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#### Abstract

The system of isovector fields of the Biot's equations for one-dimensional linear poroelasticity is calculated in this paper by using exterior calculus. Similarity solutions for some special cases are also presented in this paper.


## 1 Introduction

A poroelastic material consists of an elastic skeleton and pores filled with fluid. Porous seabed, saturated rock and bone are examples of this type of material. Acoustic wave propagation in poroelastic materials is described by the Biot's equations of poroelasticity [4], [3]:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla \cdot \sigma_{s}-b(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u_{s}-u_{l}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left(\rho_{11}(x) u_{s}+\rho_{12}(x) u_{l}\right) \\
\nabla \sigma+b(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u_{s}-u_{l}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left(\rho_{12}(x) u_{s}+\rho_{22}(x) u_{l}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $u_{s}$ is the displacement in the solid part, $u_{l}$ the displacement in the fluid part; $\sigma_{s}, \sigma$ are the stress applied to the solid part and the fluid part, respectively. The physical parameters $\rho_{i j}(x), i, j=1,2$ are the mass coupling of the fluid part and the solid part whereas $b(x)$ is the energy dissipation term of the system. Following Biot [4], we assume there is a strain energy function $W\left(x, e_{s}, \zeta\right)$ such that the bulk stress $\tau$ and the pore fluid pressure $p$ can be written as

$$
\tau=\frac{\partial W}{\partial e_{s}}, p=\frac{\partial W}{\partial \zeta}
$$

, where $e_{s}$ is the symmetric strain tensor of the solid part, $\zeta:=f \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(u_{s}-u_{l}\right)$ the increment of fluid content and $f$ the porosity. In order to put the equations into divergence form, we assume $f$ to be a constant. The relation between the bulk stress $\tau, \sigma$ and $p$ is:

$$
\tau=\sigma_{s}+\sigma, \sigma=-f p
$$

Note that in the 1D case which we consider here, $e_{s}=\frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial_{x}}$. Define a new variable $u(x, t):=u_{s}(x, t)-$ $u_{l}(x, t)$. Then the divergence form of the governing equations is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial e_{s}}+\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{x}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[-b(x) u-\left(\rho_{11}(x)+\rho_{12}(x)\right) \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial t}+\rho_{12} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right]=0  \tag{PE}\\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(-\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{x}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[b(x) u-\left(\rho_{12}(x)+\rho_{22}(x)\right) \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial t}+\rho_{22}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right]=0
\end{align*}
$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will adapt Şuhubi's notation in [2] to solve for the isovector fields of the balance ideal which is determined by the governing equations. This will involve solving an over-determined system of partial differential equations. We will then solve for the similarity solutions generated by the isovector fields for some special cases. This involves solving a system of first order quasilinear partial differential equations.
We list the definition of some terminology which will be used in this paper.
Definition 1.1 (Kinematic space K). Given a system of second order partial differential equations with $N$ dependent variables $u_{1}, \ldots u_{N}$, and $n$ independent variables $x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}$, the kinematic space $K:=\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{R}_{n N}$ with the global coordinate cover $\left\{x^{i}, u^{j}, \nu_{j}^{i}\right\}, i=1 \sim n, j=1 \sim N$. Here $\mathcal{G}$ is the graph space of the solution and $\mathcal{R}_{n N}$ is an $n N$-fold Cartesian product of the real number line $\mathcal{R}$.
Definition 1.2 (Exterior algebra $\Lambda\left(E_{n}\right)$ ). Let $\left\{x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right\}$ be a coordinate cover of the vector space $E_{n}$. The exterior algebra $\Lambda\left(E_{n}\right)$ is defined as the direct sum:

$$
\Lambda\left(E_{n}\right):=\Lambda^{0}\left(E_{n}\right) \oplus \Lambda^{1}\left(E_{n}\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus \Lambda^{n}\left(E_{n}\right)
$$

where $\Lambda^{0}\left(E_{n}\right)$ is the vector space of all real-valued $C^{\infty}$ functions on $E_{n}$ and $\Lambda^{k}\left(E_{n}\right), 1 \leq k \leq n$ is the vector space of all exterior forms of degree $k$ over $\Lambda^{0}\left(E_{n}\right)$ with the natural basis $\left\{d x^{i_{1}} \wedge d x^{i_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge\right.$ $\left.d x^{i_{k}}, i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{k}\right\}$. Here $\wedge$ is the operation of exterior multiplication.

Definition 1.3 (Solution Map). A map $\Phi: B_{n} \rightarrow K$ is a solution map of a given system $\left\{\omega^{\alpha}\right\}$ of n-form on $K$ iff $\Phi$ is a regular map from $B_{n}$ to $K$ such that the induced pullback map $\Phi^{*}$ satisfies

$$
\Phi^{*} \omega^{\alpha}=0, \alpha=1, \ldots, N
$$

## 2 Isovector Field

Following the notations in [2], we rewrite (PE) as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \Sigma^{11}}{\partial x^{1}}+\frac{\partial \Sigma^{12}}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \\
\frac{\partial \Sigma^{21}}{\partial x^{1}}+\frac{\partial \Sigma^{22}}{\partial x^{2}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We also define new variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{1} & :=u_{s} ; u^{2}:=u, x^{1}:=x, x^{2}:=t \\
\nu_{j}^{i} & :=\frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial x^{j}} \\
\Sigma^{11} & :=\frac{\partial W}{\partial \nu_{1}^{1}}+\frac{\partial W}{\partial \nu_{1}^{2}} \\
\Sigma^{12} & :=-b\left(x^{1}\right) u^{2}-\left(\rho_{11}\left(x^{1}\right)+\rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right)\right) \nu_{2}^{1}+\rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right) \nu_{2}^{2} \\
\Sigma^{21} & :=-\frac{\partial W}{\partial \nu_{1}^{2}} \\
\Sigma^{22} & :=b\left(x^{1}\right) u^{2}-\left(\rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right)+\rho_{22}\left(x^{1}\right)\right) \nu_{2}^{1}+\rho_{22}\left(x^{1}\right) \nu_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two independent variables and two dependent variables in this system, so $n=2$ and $N=2$ and the kinematic space is $K=R^{2} \times R^{2} \times R^{4}$. The two contact 1-forms of the exterior algebra $\Lambda(K)$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{i}:=d u^{i}-\nu_{j}^{i} d x^{j}, i, j=1,2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and two balance forms are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{i}:=d \Sigma^{i j} \wedge \mu_{j}=\frac{\partial \Sigma^{i j}}{\partial x^{j}} \mu+\frac{\partial \Sigma^{i j}}{\partial u^{k}} d u^{k} \wedge \mu_{j}+\frac{\partial \Sigma^{i j}}{\partial \nu_{l}^{k}} d \nu_{l}^{k} \wedge \mu_{j}, i, j=1,2, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\mu_{j}:=\partial_{j}\right\rfloor \mu$ and $\mu:=d x_{1} \wedge d x_{2}$, i.e. $\mu_{1}=d x_{2}$ and $\mu_{2}=-d x_{1}$. Here $\wedge$ is the exterior product and $\left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right\}$ is the natural top-down basis of the 1 -forms in the exterior algebra $\Lambda\left(R^{2}\right)$.

The idea of solving partial differential equations with a geometric approach is due to Cartan: a solution to (PE) can be regarded as a regular map $\Phi: R^{2} \rightarrow K$ which solves in parametric form the system of exterior equations $\omega^{i}=0, i=1,2$. In other words, a solution to (PE) is a map $\Phi: R^{2} \rightarrow K$ which solves the balance ideal

$$
B:=I\left\{C^{1}, C^{2}, d C^{1}, d C^{2}, \omega^{1}, \omega^{2}\right\}
$$

and $\Phi^{*} \mu \neq 0$. By adding $d C^{1}$ and $d C^{2}$ into the ideal generator, we "close" the ideal with respect to exterior differentiation without changing its isovector fields. The advantage of doing this is that we can have a representation formula for the elements of the ideal.

Recall that an isovector field $V$ of an ideal $I$ is a vector field in the tangent space $T(K)$ such that

$$
£_{V} I \subset I
$$

where $£_{V}$ is the Lie derivative operator with respect to $V$. We denote the unknown $V \in T(K)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}+V_{0}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{i}}+V_{j}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{j}^{i}}, i, j=1 \sim 2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We only consider materials whose energy density function has the following positive definite quadratic form:

$$
W=w_{1} \nu_{1}^{1} \nu_{1}^{1}-2 w_{2} \nu_{1}^{1} \nu_{1}^{2}+w_{3} \nu_{1}^{2} \nu_{1}^{2}
$$

where $w_{1}>0, w_{2}>0, w_{3}>0$ and $w_{1} w_{3}-w_{2}^{2}>0$. We will exclude the case of special coupling between the elastic and dynamic constant such that $w_{3}=\frac{\rho_{22} w_{2}}{\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}}=\frac{\rho_{22} w_{1}}{\rho_{11}+2 \rho_{12}+\rho_{22}}$. We will call this Assump 1. The goal in this section is to find all the $V$ 's such that $£_{V} B \subset B$.

The isovector field (3) in general depends on all the eight variables in $K$, but for a system with more then one equation, i.e. $N>1$, it can be shown that [2]

$$
\begin{align*}
& V^{i}=V^{i}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, u^{1}, u^{2}\right),  \tag{4}\\
& V_{0}^{i}=V_{0}^{i}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, u^{1}, u^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. $V^{i}$ and $V_{j}^{i}$ are independent of $\nu_{i}^{j}$. Because of the closedness of the balance ideal $B$ and the commutability of the two operators $£_{V}$ and $d$, we have

$$
£_{V} B \subset B \text { iff } £_{V} C^{i} \in B \text { and } £_{V} \omega^{i} \in B
$$

These two equivalent conditions will be used to calculate the isovector fields of B . The first condition $£_{V} C^{i} \in B$ implies there exists $\lambda_{j}^{i} \in \Lambda^{0}(K) \equiv C^{\infty}(K)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
£_{V} C^{i}=\lambda_{j}^{i} C^{j} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with the well-known formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.£_{V} \alpha=V\right\rfloor d \alpha+d(V\rfloor \alpha\right), \forall \alpha \in \Lambda(K) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to the following set of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{j}^{k} & =\frac{\partial V_{0}^{k}}{\partial u^{j}}-\nu_{i}^{k} \frac{\partial V^{i}}{\partial u^{j}}  \tag{8}\\
V_{j}^{k} & =\frac{\partial V_{0}^{k}}{\partial x^{j}}-\nu_{i}^{k} \frac{\partial V^{i}}{\partial x^{j}}+\nu_{j}^{i}\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{k}}{\partial u^{i}}-\nu_{j}^{k} \frac{\partial V^{j}}{\partial u^{i}}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (8) and (9) show that $\lambda_{j}^{i}$ and $V_{j}^{i}$ can be calculated from $V^{i}$ and $V_{0}^{i}, i, j=1 \sim 2$. Similarly, the condition $£_{V} \omega^{i} \in B$ implies there exists $A^{\alpha}, A_{\beta}^{\alpha \gamma}, A_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha i j}, A_{\beta}^{\alpha i j}, A_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha i j k} \in \Lambda^{0}(K)$ such that
$£_{V} \omega^{i}=A^{\alpha} \mu+A_{\beta}^{\alpha \gamma} d u^{\beta} \wedge \mu_{\gamma}+A_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha i j} d u^{\beta} \wedge d u^{\gamma} \wedge \mu_{j i}+A_{\beta}^{\alpha i j} d \nu_{j}^{\beta} \wedge \mu_{i}+A_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha i j k} d \nu_{k}^{\beta} \wedge d u^{\gamma} \wedge \mu_{j i}$.
Applying the definition of the balance forms (2) to the left-hand side of (10) leads to the following relations between these coefficient functions and the isovector $V$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\alpha} & =\frac{\partial V<\Sigma^{\alpha i}>}{\partial x^{i}}+\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha i}}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial V^{j}}{\partial x^{j}}-\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha i}}{\partial x^{j}} \frac{\partial V^{j}}{\partial x^{i}}  \tag{11}\\
A_{\beta}^{\alpha \gamma} & =\frac{\partial V<\Sigma^{\alpha \gamma}>}{\partial u^{\beta}}+\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha i}}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial V^{\gamma}}{\partial u^{\beta}}-\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha \gamma}}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial V^{i}}{\partial u^{\beta}} \\
& +\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha \gamma}}{\partial u^{\beta}} \frac{\partial V^{i}}{\partial x^{i}}-\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha i}}{\partial u^{\beta}} \frac{\partial V^{\gamma}}{\partial x^{i}},  \tag{12}\\
A_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha i j} & =-A_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha j i}=A_{\gamma \beta}^{\alpha i j} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha i}}{\partial u^{\beta}} \frac{\partial V^{j}}{\partial u^{\gamma}}-\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha j}}{\partial u^{\beta}} \frac{\partial V^{i}}{\partial u^{\gamma}}+\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha j}}{\partial u^{\gamma}} \frac{\partial V^{i}}{\partial u^{\beta}}-\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha i}}{\partial u^{\gamma}} \frac{\partial V^{j}}{\partial u^{\beta}}\right),  \tag{13}\\
A_{\beta}^{\alpha i k} & =\frac{\partial V<\Sigma^{\alpha i}>}{\partial \nu_{k}^{\beta}}+\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha i}}{\partial \nu_{k}^{\beta}} \frac{\partial V^{j}}{\partial x^{j}}-\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha j}}{\partial \nu_{k}^{\beta}} \frac{\partial V^{i}}{\partial x^{j}},  \tag{14}\\
A_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha i j k} & =-A_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha j i k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha i}}{\partial \nu_{k}^{\beta}} \frac{\partial V^{j}}{\partial u^{\gamma}}-\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\alpha j}}{\partial \nu_{k}^{\beta}} \frac{\partial V^{i}}{\partial u^{\gamma}}\right), \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V<\cdot>$ is a linear functional on $\Lambda^{0}(K)$, i.e.

$$
V<g>:=v^{i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y^{i}}, \text { for } V=v^{i} \partial_{i} \in T(K), g \in \Lambda^{0}(K), \text { where }\left\{y_{i}\right\} \text { is a coordinate cover of } K .
$$

On the other hand, the balance forms $\omega^{i}, i=1,2$ in (2) can be decomposed into two parts such that one part contains the contact forms while the other part doesn't, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^{i} & =\frac{\partial \Sigma^{i j}}{\partial u^{k}} C^{k} \wedge \mu_{j}+\left\{\left(\frac{\partial \Sigma^{i j}}{\partial x^{j}}-\nu_{j}^{k} \frac{\partial \Sigma^{i j}}{\partial u^{k}}\right) \mu+\frac{\partial \Sigma^{i j}}{\partial \nu_{l}^{k}} d \nu_{l}^{k} \wedge \mu_{j}\right\} \\
& =: \frac{\partial \Sigma^{i j}}{\partial u^{k}} C^{k} \wedge \mu_{j}+\omega^{i \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have $I\left\{C^{1}, C^{2}, d C^{1}, d C^{2}, \omega^{1}, \omega^{2}\right\}=I\left\{C^{1}, C^{2}, d C^{1}, d C^{2}, \omega^{1 \prime}, \omega^{2 \prime}\right\}$. Replacing the balance form in (10) with this decomposition and considering (6), followed by collecting terms, we obtain these equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{\beta}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\beta i}}{\partial x^{i}}+\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\beta i}}{\partial u^{\gamma}} \nu_{i}^{\gamma}\right)=A^{\alpha}+\left(A_{\beta}^{\alpha i}+2 A_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha i j} \nu_{j}^{\gamma}\right) \nu_{i}^{\beta}  \tag{16}\\
& \lambda_{\gamma}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\gamma i}}{\partial \nu_{j}^{\beta}}+\frac{\partial \Sigma^{\gamma j}}{\partial \nu_{i}^{\beta}}\right)=A_{\beta}^{\alpha i j}+A_{\beta}^{\alpha j i}+2\left(A_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha i k j}+A_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha j k i}\right) \nu_{k}^{\gamma} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The above system contains 18 equations for the 8 unknowns $V^{i}, V_{0}^{i}$ and $\lambda_{\beta}^{\alpha}$. These equations are analyzed in the following section.

## 3 Analysis of equations (16) and (17)

The equation of $(\alpha, i, j, \beta)=(1,2,1,2)$ in (17) is
$2 \rho_{12} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{2}}+4\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right) \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{1}}+2\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right) \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial u^{1}} \nu_{1}^{1}+2\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right) \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial u^{2}} \nu_{1}^{2}+\rho_{12} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial u^{1}} \nu_{2}^{1}+\rho_{12} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial u^{2}} \nu_{2}^{2}=0$.
Because of (5) and the fact that [5] $\rho_{12}<0$, the coefficients of $\nu_{2}^{i}$ gives

$$
\frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial u^{1}}=0, \quad \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial u^{2}}=0 .
$$

Applying the above conclusion to the equation of $(\alpha, i, j, \beta)=(2,2,1,2)$ in (17) leads to:

$$
2 \rho_{22} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{2}}-4 w_{3} \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{1}}-2 w_{3} \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial u^{1}} \nu_{1}^{1}-2 w_{3} \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial u^{2}} \nu_{1}^{2}=0 .
$$

Similarly, $w_{3}>0$ leads to the conclusion that

$$
\frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial u^{1}}=0, \quad \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial u^{2}}=0
$$

Applying the above results to the equations of $(\alpha, i, j, \beta)=(2,2,1,2)$ and $(\alpha, i, j, \beta)=(1,1,2,2)$, we get the following system of equations for $\frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{2}}$ and $\frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{22} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{2}}-2 w_{3}\left(x^{1}\right) \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{1}}=0, \\
& \rho_{12} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{2}}+2\left(w_{3}\left(x^{1}\right)-w_{2}\left(x^{1}\right)\right) \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{1}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Under Assump 1, we have

$$
\frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{2}}=0, \quad \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{1}}=0
$$

That is, $V^{1}=V^{1}\left(x^{1}\right)$ and $V^{2}=V^{2}\left(x^{2}\right)$. Applying these conclusions, (17) reduces to the following 8 equations
$(2,2,2,1) \quad\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right) \lambda_{1}^{2}+\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) \lambda_{2}^{2}-\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) V^{1^{\prime}}-V^{1}\left(\rho_{12}^{\prime}+\rho_{22}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right)\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{1}}-\dot{V}^{2}\right)+\rho_{22} \frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{1}}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{12} \lambda_{1}^{1}+\rho_{22} \lambda_{2}^{1}-\rho_{12} V^{1^{\prime}}-V^{1} \rho_{12}^{\prime}+\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right) \frac{\partial V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{2}}-\rho_{12}\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{2}}-\dot{V}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{1,2,2,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{12} \lambda_{1}^{2}+\rho_{22} \lambda_{2}^{2}-\rho_{22} V^{1^{\prime}}-\rho_{22}^{\prime} V^{1}+\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{22}\right) \frac{\partial V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{2}}-\rho_{22}\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{2}}-\dot{V}^{2}\right)=0(19) \tag{2,2,2,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right) \lambda_{1}^{1}+\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) \lambda_{2}^{1}-\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right) V^{1^{\prime}}-\left(\rho_{11}^{\prime}+\rho_{12}^{\prime}\right) V^{1} \tag{1,2,2,1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right)\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{1}}-\dot{V}^{2}\right)+\rho_{12} \frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{2}}=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(2,1,1,2) \quad\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right) \lambda_{1}^{2}-w_{3} \lambda_{2}^{2}+w_{3} \dot{V}^{2}+V^{1} w_{3}^{\prime}-w_{2} \frac{\partial V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{2}}+w_{3}\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{2}}-V^{1^{\prime}}\right)=0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) \lambda_{1}^{2}+w_{2} \lambda_{2}^{2}-w_{2} \dot{V}^{2}-V^{1} w_{2}^{\prime}  \tag{2,1,1,1}\\
& -w_{2}\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{1}}-V^{1^{\prime}}\right)+w_{3} \frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{1}}=0
\end{align*}
$$

$(1,1,1,1)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) \lambda_{1}^{1}+w_{2} \lambda_{2}^{1}-\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) \dot{V}^{2}-V^{1}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{23}\\
& -\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{1}}-V^{1^{\prime}}\right)\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)+\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right) \frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{1}}=0
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right) \lambda_{1}^{1}-w_{3} \lambda_{2}^{1}-\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right) \dot{V}^{2}-V^{1}\left(w_{3}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{\partial V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{2}}\left(w_{2}-w_{1}\right)  \tag{1,1,1,2}\\
& \quad-\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{2}}-V^{1^{\prime}}\right)=0 \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

The two equations in (16) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{1}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial \nu_{1}^{1} \partial x^{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial \nu_{1}^{2} \partial x^{1}}\right)-\lambda_{2}^{1} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial \nu_{1}^{2} \partial x^{1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}^{1}-\lambda_{1}^{1}\right) b\left(x^{1}\right) \nu_{2}^{2}-A^{1} \\
& -A_{1}^{11} \nu_{1}^{1}-A_{1}^{12} \nu_{2}^{1}-A_{2}^{11} \nu_{1}^{2}-A_{2}^{12} \nu_{2}^{2}=0  \tag{26}\\
& \lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial \nu_{1}^{1} \partial x^{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial \nu_{1}^{2} \partial x^{1}}\right)-\lambda_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial \nu_{1}^{2} \partial x^{1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2}\right) b\left(x^{1}\right) \nu_{2}^{2}-A^{2} \\
& -A_{1}^{21} \nu_{1}^{1}-A_{1}^{22} \nu_{2}^{1}-A_{2}^{21} \nu_{1}^{2}-A_{2}^{22} \nu_{2}^{2}=0 \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that equations (18)-(21) imply that $\lambda_{j}^{i}, i, j=1,2$ are not functions of $\nu_{j}^{i}, i, j=1,2$ because $V^{i}$ and $V_{0}^{i}$ are not. Now we will extract from (26) and (27) some information of $V_{0}^{i}$. Collecting the $\left(\nu_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}$ terms in these two equations and setting them equal to zero, combined with the fact that $\lambda_{j}^{i}$ are not functions of $\nu_{j}^{i}$, we get the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{1} \partial u^{1}}+\rho_{12} \frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{1} \partial u^{1}}=0 \\
& \left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{1} \partial u^{1}}+\rho_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{1} \partial u^{1}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\triangle:=\rho_{11} \rho_{22}-\rho_{12}^{2}>0$ [5], we conclude from these two equations that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{2} \partial u^{2}}=0, \frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{2} \partial u^{2}}=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by examining the coefficients of $\nu_{2}^{1} \nu_{2}^{2}$ and those of $\left(\nu_{2}^{1}\right)^{2}$ in (26) and (27), it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{1} \partial u^{2}}=0, \frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{1} \partial u^{2}}=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{1} \partial u^{1}}=0, \frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{1} \partial u^{1}}=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that these conditions imply that $\frac{\partial V_{0}^{i}}{\partial u^{j}}$ depends on $x^{1}$ and $x^{2}$ only. Therefore, $V_{0}^{1}$ and $V_{0}^{2}$ must have the following forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{0}^{1}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, u^{1}, u^{2}\right)=g_{1}^{1}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) u^{1}+g_{2}^{1}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) u^{2}+g_{3}^{1}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)  \tag{31}\\
& V_{0}^{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, u^{1}, u^{2}\right)=g_{1}^{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) u^{1}+g_{2}^{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) u^{2}+g_{3}^{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{j}^{i}$ are arbitrary functions of $x^{1}$ and $x^{2}$. The condition that the $\nu_{2}^{1}$ term in both (26) and (27) must vanish result in the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{1} \partial x^{2}}-\frac{d^{2} V^{2}}{d x^{2} d x^{2}}\right)\left(\rho_{11}\left(x^{1}\right)+\rho_{12}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-2\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{1} \partial x^{2}}\right) \rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{1}}\right) b\left(x^{1}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{1} \partial x^{2}}\right)\left(\rho_{11}\left(x^{1}\right)+\rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right)\right)=0  \tag{33}\\
& \left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{1} \partial x^{2}}-\frac{d^{2} V^{2}}{d x^{2} d x^{2}}\right)\left(\rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right)+\rho_{22}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-2\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{1} \partial x^{2}}\right) \rho_{22}\left(x^{1}\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u^{1}}\right) b\left(x^{1}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u^{1} \partial x^{2}}\right)\left(\rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right)+\rho_{22}\left(x^{1}\right)\right)=0 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $V_{0}^{1}$ and $V_{0}^{2}$ in the above equations with (31) and (32), we get the following system of PDEs for $g_{1}^{1}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)$ and $g_{1}^{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left(\rho_{11}\left(x^{1}\right)+\rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right)\right) \frac{\partial g_{1}^{1}}{\partial x^{2}}-2 \rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right) \frac{\partial g_{1}^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+b\left(x^{1}\right) g_{1}^{2}=\left(\rho_{11}\left(x^{1}\right)+\rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right)\right) \ddot{V}^{2} \\
& 2\left(\rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right)+\rho_{22}\left(x^{1}\right)\right) \frac{\partial g_{1}^{1}}{\partial x^{2}}-2 \rho_{22}\left(x^{1}\right) \frac{\partial g_{1}^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}-b\left(x^{1}\right) g_{1}^{2}=\left(\rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right)+\rho_{22}\left(x^{1}\right)\right) \ddot{V}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the dot $\cdot$ denotes the derivative with respect to $x^{2}$. The general solution to this system is:

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{1}^{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)= & f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) e^{-F\left(x^{1}\right) x^{2}},  \tag{35}\\
g_{1}^{1}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \dot{V}^{2}+\left[\rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right)+\frac{b\left(x^{1}\right)}{2 F\left(x^{1}\right)}\right]\left[\frac{f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)}{\left(\rho_{11}\left(x^{1}\right)+\rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right)\right)}\right] e^{-F\left(x^{1}\right) x^{2}} \\
& +f_{2}\left(x^{1}\right) \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $F\left(x^{1}\right):=\frac{b\left(x^{1}\right)\left[2 \rho_{12}\left(x^{1}\right)+\rho_{11}\left(x^{1}\right)+\rho_{22}\left(x^{1}\right)\right]}{2 \triangle}$, whereas $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are arbitrary functions of $x^{1}$.
Hereafter, for simplicity of notations, we will omit the $x^{1}$ in the material parameters $\rho_{i j}\left(x^{1}\right)$ and $b\left(x^{1}\right)$. Following Biot's notation [5], new material variables $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ and $\rho$ are defined in the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{1}:=\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}, \\
& \rho_{2}:=\rho_{12}+\rho_{22} \\
& \rho:=\rho_{11}+2 \rho_{12}+\rho_{22}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the requirement that the $\nu_{2}^{2}$ term must vanish in both (26) and (27) leads to a system of PDEs for $g_{2}^{1}$ and $g_{2}^{2}$ after replacing the $\lambda_{j}^{i}$ terms in the coefficients of $\nu_{2}^{2}$ with expressions solved from the system of (18) to (21). The general solution to this system of PDEs is:

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{2}^{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \dot{V}^{2}-F\left(x^{1}\right) V^{2}-G\left(x^{1}\right) V^{1}\left(x^{1}\right) x^{2} \\
& -\frac{\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}}{\rho} f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) e^{-F\left(x^{1}\right) x^{2}}+f_{3}\left(x^{1}\right)  \tag{37}\\
g_{2}^{1}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)= & C_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) V^{2}\left(x^{2}\right)+C_{2}\left(x^{1}\right) V^{1}\left(x^{1}\right) x^{2}+C_{3}\left(x^{1}\right) V^{1}\left(x^{1}\right) \\
& +C_{4}\left(x^{1}\right) f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) e^{-F\left(x^{1}\right) x^{2}}+C_{5}\left(x^{1}\right)\left(f_{3}\left(x^{1}\right)-f_{2}\left(x^{1}\right)\right) \\
& +f_{4}\left(x^{1}\right) e^{F\left(x^{1}\right) x^{2}} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $f_{3}$ and $f_{4}$ are arbitrary functions of $x^{1}$ and new material parameter functions are denoted by upper case Latin letters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\left(x^{1}\right) & :=F^{\prime}, \\
C_{5}\left(x^{1}\right) & :=\frac{\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right)}{\rho} \\
C_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) & :=-\frac{2 \rho_{12} F+b}{2\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right)}=-F C_{5}, \\
C_{2}\left(x^{1}\right) & :=-C_{5} G \\
C_{3}\left(x^{1}\right) & :=\frac{-\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) \rho_{11}^{\prime}+\left(\rho_{11}-\rho_{22}\right) \rho_{12}^{\prime}+\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{11}\right) \rho_{22}^{\prime}}{\rho^{2}}=C_{5}^{\prime} \\
C_{4}\left(x^{1}\right) & :=-\left(C_{5}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the prime ${ }^{\prime}$ denotes derivatives with respect to variable $x^{1}$.
At this point, (26) and (27) reduced to

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{121} \nu_{1}^{2}+c_{111} \nu_{1}^{1}+c_{1}=0  \tag{39}\\
& c_{221} \nu_{1}^{2}+c_{211} \nu_{1}^{1}+c_{2}=0 \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{121}:=\lambda_{1}^{1}\left(w_{3}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\lambda_{2}^{1} w_{3}^{\prime}-\left(w_{3}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right) \dot{V}_{2}-2\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial u_{2}}\right)\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) \\
&-\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u_{2}}\right)\left(w_{1}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}\left[\left(-V^{1^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u_{2}}\right)\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right)\right]-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial u_{2}}\right)\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right) \\
&- V_{1}\left(w_{3}^{\prime \prime}-w_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)-V^{1^{\prime}}\left(w_{3}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right), \\
& c_{111}:=\lambda_{1}^{1}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\lambda_{2}^{1} w_{2}^{\prime}-\left[V_{1}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\prime} \\
&-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[\left(-V_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u_{1}}\right)\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\right]-\left(w_{1}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right) \dot{V}^{2} \\
&-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial u_{1}}\right)\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)-2\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial u_{1}}\right)\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right)-\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u_{1}}\right)\left(w_{3}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right), \\
& c_{1}:=-\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right)\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right)-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right) \frac{\rho_{12}}{2} \\
& \quad-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right) \frac{-\rho_{11}-\rho_{12}}{2}-\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)\left(w_{1}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)-\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)\left(w_{3}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right), \\
& c_{221}:=\lambda_{1}^{2}\left(w_{3}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\lambda_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{\prime}+w_{3}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial u_{2}}\right)+\left[V^{1} w_{3}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime} \\
& \quad-2 w_{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial u_{2}}\right)-w_{2}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{1}}{\partial u_{2}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}\left[\left(-V^{1^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u_{2}}\right) w_{3}\right]+w_{3}^{\prime} \dot{V}^{2}, \\
& c_{211}:=\lambda_{2}^{2} w_{2}^{\prime}+\lambda_{1}^{2}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}\left[\left(-V^{1^{\prime}}+\frac{V_{0}^{1}}{u^{1}}\right) w_{2}\right]-\left[V^{1} w_{2}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime} \\
& \quad-w_{2}^{\prime} \dot{V}^{2}-w_{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial u_{1}}\right)+w_{3}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial u_{1}}\right)+2 w_{3}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial u_{1}}\right) \\
& c_{2}:=\frac{\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{1}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}\left[w_{2}\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}} w_{3}\right) \\
&-\frac{\rho_{22}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V_{0}^{2}}{\partial\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)-\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{\partial V_{0}^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The system of equations (18) to (25) and the 6 equations $c_{121}=0, c_{111}=0, c_{221}=0, c_{211}=0, c_{1}=0$, $c_{2}=0$ contains equations which must be satisfied simultaneously by $\lambda_{1}^{1}, \lambda_{2}^{1}, \lambda_{1}^{2}, \lambda_{2}^{2}, f_{2}, f_{3}, V^{1}, V^{2}, w_{1}$, $w_{2}, w_{3}, \rho_{11}, \rho_{12}, \rho_{22}$ and $b$.

Substitute (31) and (32), together with the solutions of $g_{1}^{1}, g_{2}^{1}, g_{1}^{2}$ and $g_{2}^{2}$ in (36)-(37) into $c_{1}=0$ and $c_{2}=0$. The fact that the $u_{1}$-term in each these two equations must vanish in $K$ leads to a set two equations. We then eliminate all $V^{2}\left(x^{2}\right)$ terms to get the following equation:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\frac{\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right)^{2}}{\rho} w_{1}-2\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) w_{2}+\rho w_{3}\right]\left[f_{1} e^{-F x^{2}}\left(F^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
+\left[A_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) f_{1} F^{\prime \prime}+A_{2}\left(x^{1}\right) f_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{3}\left(x^{1}\right) f_{1}^{\prime}+A_{4}\left(x^{1}\right) f_{1}\right) F^{\prime}\right] e^{-F x^{2}} x^{2} \\
+A_{5}\left(x^{1}\right) e^{-F x^{2}}+A_{6}\left(x^{1}\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

where $A_{i}, i=1 \sim 6$ are functions of $x^{1}$ only and none of them contain terms with $f_{1}$ or derivatives of $F$. From the $\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}$-term of the above equation, we conclude that $f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)\left(F^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0$ provided $\frac{\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right)^{2}}{\rho} w_{1}-$ $2\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) w_{2}+\rho w_{3} \neq 0$. We will consider non-constant case first.

## $3.1 \quad F^{\prime}\left(x^{1}\right) \neq 0$

For this case, we must have $f_{1}=0$. Noticing the similarity between (22) and $c_{211}=0$, we may use the former to simplify the latter and then sub. $f_{1}=0$ into the result to get

$$
f_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}=0
$$

Since $w_{2}>0$, we must have $f_{2}^{\prime}=0$, ie. $f_{2}=f_{2 c}$ for some constant $f_{2 c}$. Applying this information to the $u^{1}$-term in $c_{1}=0$ and $c_{2}=0$, we conclude that

$$
\dddot{V}^{2}=0
$$

Let $V^{2}:=a_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{0}$, with constants $a_{2}, a_{1}$ and $a_{0}$. Sub. this and $\left\{f_{1}=0, f_{2}=f_{2 c}\right\}$ into the $u^{2}$-term in $c_{2}=0$, the $\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}$-term gives

$$
a_{2}\left(C_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}+F^{\prime} w_{3}\right)^{\prime}+f_{4}\left(F^{\prime}\right)^{2} w_{2} e^{F x^{2}}=0
$$

Therefore, for $F^{\prime} \neq 0$, it must be that $f_{4}=0$. Note that (18) and (19) constitute a system of linear equations for $\lambda_{1}^{2}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{2}$ with non-zero Jacobian $\triangle$. We solve this system for $\lambda_{1}^{2}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{2}$ and substitute the results into (22). After replacing $V_{0}^{1}$ and $V_{0}^{2}$ with (31) and (32) and applying $\left\{f_{1}=0, f_{4}=0\right\}$, we obtain the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 w_{3} \dot{V}^{2}-2 w_{3} V^{1^{\prime}}+\left\{( \frac { - 1 } { \rho \Delta } ) \left[\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right)\left(-\rho_{22} w_{2}+\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) w_{3}\right) \rho_{11}^{\prime}\right.\right.  \tag{2112}\\
& +\left(\left(\rho_{22} \rho_{11}-\rho_{12} \rho_{11}-2 \rho_{12}^{2}\right) w_{2}+\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right)^{2} w_{3}\right) \rho_{22}^{\prime} \\
& \left.\left.+2\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right)\left(\rho_{22} w_{2}-\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) w_{3}\right) \rho_{12}^{\prime}\right]+w_{3}^{\prime}\right\} V^{1}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Note that in this equation, only $V^{2}$ is a function of $x^{2}$. Therefore, $\dot{V}^{2}$ must be a constant. This implies that $a_{2}=0$,i.e. $V^{2}=a_{1} x^{2}+a_{0}$. Consequently, the system of $c_{1}=0$ and $c_{2}=0$ reduces to the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\left[\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\left(\left(G V^{1}\right)^{\prime}+a_{1} F^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+\left[\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\left(a_{1} C_{1}^{\prime}+\left(C_{2} V^{1}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}\right\} u^{2} x^{2}\right. \\
+ & \left\{\left[\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\left(a_{0} C_{1}+C_{3} V^{1}+C_{5} f_{3}-f_{2 c} C_{5}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\left(a_{0} F^{\prime}-f_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\right]^{\prime}+\left(a_{1} F+G V^{1}\right) b / 2\right\} u^{2} \\
+ & \left\{\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}-\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right)+\frac{\rho_{12}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& -\frac{\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right)}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{1}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial g_{3}^{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)\left(w_{1}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{\partial g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\right) \\
& \left.-\left(w_{2}^{\prime}-w_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\partial g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)\right\}=0,  \tag{41}\\
& \left\{\left[w_{3}\left(\left(G V^{1}\right)^{\prime}+a_{1} F^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+\left[w_{2}\left(a_{1} C_{1}^{\prime}+\left(C_{2} V^{1}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}\right\} u^{2} x^{2}\right. \\
+ & \left\{\left[w_{2}\left(a_{0} C_{1}+C_{3} V^{1}+C_{5} f_{3}-f_{2 c} C_{5}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.-\left[\left(a_{0} F^{\prime}-f_{3}^{\prime}\right) w_{3}\right]^{\prime}-\left(a_{1} F+G V^{1}\right) b / 2\right\} u^{2} \\
+ & \left\{w_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}-w_{3}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right)+\frac{\rho_{22}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)-\frac{\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right)}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{1}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+w_{2}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\partial g_{3}^{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)+\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{\partial g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)-w_{3}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\partial g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)\right\}=0 . \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

After using (22), (23), (24) and (25) to simplify $c_{221}=0, c_{211}=0, c_{111}=0$ and $c_{121}=0$, respectively, and substituting in $\left\{f_{1}=0, f_{2}=f_{2 c}, f_{4}=0, V^{2}=a_{1} x^{2}+a_{0}\right\}, c_{211}=0$ and $c_{111}=0$ become $0=0$ whereas $c_{221}=0$ and $c_{121}=0$ become:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\left[a_{1} F^{\prime}+\left(G V^{1}\right)^{\prime}\right] w_{3}+\left[a_{1} C_{1}^{\prime}+\left(C_{2} V^{1}\right)^{\prime}\right] w_{2}\right\} x^{2} \\
& +\left\{\left[a_{0} F^{\prime}-f_{3}^{\prime}\right] w_{3}+\left[a_{0} C_{1}+C_{3} V^{1}+C_{5} f_{3}-f_{2 c} C_{5}\right]^{\prime} w_{2}\right\}=0,  \tag{43}\\
& \left\{\left[a_{1} F^{\prime}+\left(G V^{1}\right)^{\prime}\right]\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right)+\left[a_{1} C_{1}^{\prime}+\left(C_{2} V^{1}\right)^{\prime}\right]\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\right\} x^{2} \\
& +\left\{\left[a_{0} F^{\prime}-f_{3}^{\prime}\right]\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left[a_{0} C_{1}+C_{3} V^{1}+C_{5} f_{3}-f_{2 c} C_{5}\right]^{\prime}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\right\} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that by the independence condition of the coordinates of $K$, the above four equations in $K$ give a system of ten equations. The two equations resulting from the constant term in (41) and (42) constitute a system of second-order PDEs for $g_{3}^{1}$ and $g_{3}^{2}$. We will refer to this system of equations as the $\mathbf{g}_{3}$-equations and analyze the other eight equations first.

Because of the assumption $w_{3} w_{1}-w_{2}^{2}>0$, the $x^{2}$ terms in (44) and (43) imply the following two equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1} F^{\prime}+\left(G V^{1}\right)^{\prime}=0  \tag{45}\\
& a_{1} C_{1}^{\prime}+\left(C_{2} V^{1}\right)^{\prime}=0, \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the constant terms in (44) and (43) give

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0} F^{\prime}-f_{3}^{\prime}=0  \tag{47}\\
& \left(a_{0} C_{1}+C_{3} V^{1}+C_{5} f_{3}-f_{2 c} C_{5}\right)^{\prime}=0 \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying (45)-(48) to (41) and (42), it can be easily seen that the two equations given by the $u^{2} x^{2}$ terms are satisfied automatically whereas the two equations given by the $u^{2}$ term reduce to

$$
\left(a_{1} F+G V^{1}\right) b=0
$$

Since the dissipation function $b\left(x^{1}\right) \neq 0$, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} F+G V^{1}=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this equation in turns imply (45) and (46) because of the relations $C_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)=-F\left(x^{1}\right) C_{5}\left(x^{1}\right)$ and $C_{2}\left(x^{1}\right)=-F^{\prime}\left(x^{1}\right) C_{5}\left(x^{1}\right)$. Therefore, the ten equations from (41), (42), (43) and (44) reduce to the $\mathrm{g}_{3}$-equations and the equations of (47), (48) and (49).

In the system of equations (18) to (25), note that (18) and (19) constitute a system of linear equations for $\lambda_{1}^{2}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{2}$ whereas (20) and (21)for $\lambda_{1}^{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{1}$ with non-zero Jacobian $\triangle$. We solve this system for $\lambda_{j}^{i}$ and substitute the results into (22)-(25). Replacing $V_{0}^{1}$ and $V_{0}^{2}$ with (31) and (32), followed by applying
$\left\{f_{1}=0, f_{2}=f_{2 c}, f_{3}=a_{0} F+f_{3 c}, f_{4}=0, V^{2}=a_{1} x^{2}+a_{0}\right\}$ to these equations, (22)-(25) become
(2112)

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2 w_{3} \frac{d V^{1}}{d x^{1}}+\left[w_{3}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{C_{3} \rho}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{2} w_{3}-\rho_{22} w_{2}\right)+\left(\frac{\rho_{22}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{12} w_{2}-\rho_{1} w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\rho_{12}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{2} w_{3}-\rho_{22} w_{2}\right)\right] V^{1}+2 a_{1} w_{3}=0, \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

(1111): $\quad 2 w_{1} \frac{d V^{1}}{d x^{1}}+\left[-w_{1}^{\prime}-\left(\frac{C_{3} \rho}{\triangle}\right)\left(R_{w}\right)+\left(\frac{\rho_{11}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{2} w_{2}-\rho_{22} w_{1}\right)+\left(\frac{\rho_{12}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left[\left(\rho_{22}-\rho_{11}\right) w_{2}\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.+\left(\rho_{12}-\rho_{22}\right) w_{1}\right]+\left(\frac{\rho_{22}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{12} w_{1}-\rho_{1} w_{2}\right)\right] V^{1}-2 a_{1} w_{1}=0$,
(1112) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 w_{3}-2 w_{2}\right) \frac{d V^{1}}{d x^{1}}+\left[w_{2}^{\prime}-w_{3}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{C_{3}}{\triangle}\right)\left(-\triangle w_{1}-\rho \rho_{12} w_{2}+\rho \rho_{1} w_{3}\right)\right. \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 w_{2} \frac{d V^{\prime}}{d x^{1}}+\left[-w_{2}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{C_{3} \rho_{2}}{\triangle}\right) R_{w}+\left(\frac{\rho_{22}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{1} w_{2}-\rho_{12} w_{1}\right)\right. \tag{2111}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\left(\frac{\rho_{12}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{22} w_{1}-\rho_{2} w_{2}\right)\right] V^{1}-2 a_{1} w_{2}+\left(\frac{R_{w}}{\rho}\right)\left(f_{2 c}-f_{3 c}\right)=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.+\left(\frac{\rho_{11}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{2} w_{3}-\rho_{22} w_{2}\right)+\left(\frac{\rho_{12}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{12} w_{2}-\rho_{1} w_{3}\right)\right] V^{1}+2 a_{1}\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{R_{w}}{\rho}\left(f_{2 c}-f_{3 c}\right)=0 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{w}:=\rho_{2} w_{1}-\rho w_{2}$, which is non-zero because of Assump 1. The corresponding $V_{0}^{1}$ and $V_{0}^{2}$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{0}^{1}= & \left(a_{1} C_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)+C_{2}\left(x^{1}\right) V^{1}\right) u^{2} x^{2}+\left(\frac{a_{1}}{2}+f_{2 c}\right) u^{1} \\
& +\left[a_{0} C_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)+C_{3}\left(x^{1}\right) V^{1}+C_{5}\left(x^{1}\right)\left(a_{0} F_{c} b\left(x^{1}\right)+f_{3 c}-f_{2 c}\right)\right] u^{2}+g_{3}^{1}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right), \\
V_{0}^{2}= & {\left[-a_{1} F\left(x^{1}\right)-G\left(x^{1}\right) V^{1}\right] u^{2} x^{2}+\left(\frac{a_{1}}{2}\right) u^{2}+g_{3}^{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right), }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{3}^{1}$ and $g_{3}^{2}$ satisfies the $\mathrm{g}_{3}$-equations, which is the same as the equations in (PE), i.e. $\left\{g_{3}^{1}, g_{3}^{2}\right\}$ is any solution to (PE). Therefore, the $g_{3}^{i}$ terms in $V_{0}^{i}$ arise from the fact that the equations in (PE) are linear and hence satisfies the superposition principle (ie. the translation of any solution by a solution gives a solution). The isovector field for this case solves the system which consists of the $\mathrm{g}_{3}$-equations and equations (48)-(53).

## $3.2 \quad F^{\prime}\left(x^{1}\right)=0$

In this case, we have $F\left(x^{1}\right)=F_{*}$ for some constant $F_{*}$ and $G\left(x^{1}\right)=C_{2}\left(x^{1}\right)=0$. Adding (23) into the equation $c_{221}=0$, followed by substituting into it (31), (32) and $\left\{F\left(x^{1}\right)=F_{*}, G\left(x^{1}\right)=C_{2}\left(x^{1}\right)=0\right\}$, equation $c_{221}=0$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(f_{1} C_{5}\right)^{\prime} w_{2}+f_{1}^{\prime} w_{3}\right] e^{-F_{*} x^{2}}-f_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}=0 . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $F_{*}, w_{2} \neq 0,(54)$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(f_{1} C_{5}\right)^{\prime} w_{2}+f_{1}^{\prime} w_{3}=0, \\
& f_{2}\left(x^{1}\right)=f_{2 c},
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $f_{2 c}$. Similarly, adding (24) to $c_{111}=0$ and considering $f_{2}=f_{2 c}$ result in another equations for $f_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\left(f_{1} C_{5}\right)^{\prime} w_{2}+f_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right)=0 . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (55) and (54) imply that $f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)=f_{1 c}$ for some constant $f_{1 c}$ because $w_{1} w_{3}-w_{2}^{2} \neq 0$. Furthermore, subtracting $1 / 2$ times the derivative of the equation $c_{211}=0$ from the $u^{1}$ term in $c_{2}=0$, together with $\left\{f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)=f_{1 c}, f_{2}\left(x^{1}\right)=f_{2 c}\right\}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1 c} F_{*}\left(F_{*} \rho_{2}\left(x^{1}\right) C_{5}\left(x^{1}\right)+b\left(x^{1}\right)-F_{*} \rho_{22}\right) e^{-F_{*} x^{2}}+\frac{\rho_{2}}{2} \dddot{V}^{2}=0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, from the $u^{1}$ term in $c_{1}=0$ and the equation $c_{111}=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{c} F_{*} b\left(x^{1}\right) e^{-F_{*} x^{2}}-\rho_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) \dddot{V}^{2}=0 \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the $\dddot{V}^{2}$ term in the above two equations result in

$$
\frac{f_{1 c} \rho\left(x^{1}\right)^{2} b\left(x^{1}\right) e^{-F_{*} x^{2}}}{\triangle}=0
$$

This implies $f_{1 c}=0$. Consequently, we have $\dddot{V}^{2}=0$, ie.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{2}\left(x^{2}\right)=a_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{0}, \text { for some constants } a_{2}, a_{1} \text { and } a_{0} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $\left\{f_{1}=0, f_{2}=f_{2 c}, V^{2}\left(x^{2}\right)=a_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{0}\right\}$ into the four equations $c_{111}=0$, $c_{121}=0, c_{211}=0$ and $c_{221}=0$, both $c_{111}=0$ and $c_{211}=0$ are satisfied automatically whereas $c_{121}=0 c_{221}=0$ reduce to the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(c_{121}=0\right): & {\left[a_{2}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) C_{1}^{\prime}\right]\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}-\left[a_{1} C_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\right] x^{2}-f_{4}\left(x^{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) e^{F_{*} x^{2}} } \\
& -\left\{a_{0} C_{1}^{\prime}+\left[C_{5}\left(f_{3}\left(x^{1}\right)-f_{2 c}\right)\right]^{\prime}+\left(C_{3} V^{1}\right)^{\prime}\right\}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)+f_{3}^{\prime}\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right)=0,(59)  \tag{59}\\
\left(c_{221}=0\right): & {\left[a_{2} w_{2} C_{1}^{\prime}\right]\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+\left[a_{1} C_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}\right] x^{2}+\left(f_{4}\left(x^{1}\right)^{\prime} w_{2}\right) e^{F_{*} x^{2}} } \\
& +\left\{a_{0} C_{1}^{\prime}+\left[C_{5}\left(f_{3}\left(x^{1}\right)-f_{2 c}\right)\right]^{\prime}+\left(C_{3} V^{1}\right)^{\prime}\right\} w_{2}-f_{3}^{\prime} w_{3}=0 . \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

From (59) and (60), it can be shown that

$$
f_{4}=f_{4 c}, f_{3}=f_{3 c}
$$

for some constant $f_{4 c}$ and $f_{3 c}$.
Substituting into (22) the $\lambda_{1}^{2}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{2}$ solved from the system of (18) and (19) and (31), (32), followed by $\left\{F=F_{*}, G=0, C_{2}=0, f_{1}=0, f_{2}=f_{2 c}, f_{3}=f_{3 c}, f_{4}=f_{4 c}, V^{2}=a_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{0}\right\}$, the coefficient of $x^{2}$ in (22) is $16 w_{3} a_{2}$. Therefore, we must have $a_{2}=0$. Consequently, equations (41) and
(42) become:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(c_{1}=0\right): & -2\left[a_{1}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) C_{1}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime} u^{2} x^{2} \\
+ & \left\{f_{4 c} F_{*}^{2} \rho_{1} e^{F_{*} x^{2}}-2\left\{\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\left[C_{5}\left(f_{3 c}-f_{2 c}\right)+C_{3} V^{1}+a_{0} C_{1}\right]^{\prime}\right\}^{\prime}-a_{1} F_{*} b\right\} u^{2} \\
- & 2\left\{\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}-\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right)+\frac{\rho_{12}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& -\frac{\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right)}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{1}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial g_{3}^{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)\left(w_{1}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{\partial g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\right) \\
\left(c_{2}=0\right): \quad & \left.-\left(w_{2}^{\prime}-w_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\partial g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)\right\}=0,  \tag{61}\\
& -2\left[a_{1} w_{2} C_{1}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime} u^{2} x^{2} \\
+ & \left\{f_{4 c} F_{*}^{2} \rho_{2} e^{F_{*} x^{2}}-2\left\{w_{2}\left[C_{5}\left(f_{3 c}-f_{2 c}\right)+C_{3} V^{1}+a_{0} C_{1}\right]^{\prime}\right\}^{\prime}+a_{1} F_{*} b\right\} u^{2} \\
- & 2\left\{w_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}-w_{3}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right)+\frac{\rho_{22}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)-\frac{\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right)}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{3}^{1}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

From (61) and (62), it can be seen that

$$
f_{4 c}=0, a_{1}=0
$$

Therefore, the system of (39) and (40) reduce to the system of $\mathbf{g}_{3}$-equations and the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-C_{5}\left(a_{0} F_{*}+f_{2 c}-f_{3 c}\right)+C_{3} V^{1}\right]^{\prime}=0 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equations (18)-(25) are equivalent with the following four equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
(2112): & -2 w_{3} \frac{d V^{1}}{d x^{1}}+\left[w_{3}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{C_{3} \rho}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{2} w_{3}-\rho_{22} w_{2}\right)+\left(\frac{\rho_{22}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{12} w_{2}-\rho_{1} w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\rho_{12}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{2} w_{3}-\rho_{22} w_{2}\right)\right] V^{1}=0,  \tag{64}\\
(2111): \quad & 2 w_{2} \frac{d V^{1}}{d x^{1}}+\left[-w_{2}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{C_{3} \rho_{2}}{\triangle}\right) R_{w}+\left(\frac{\rho_{22}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{1} w_{2}-\rho_{12} w_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\rho_{12}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{22} w_{1}-\rho_{2} w_{2}\right)\right] V^{1}+\left(\frac{R_{w}}{\rho}\right)\left(a_{0} F_{*}+f_{2 c}-f_{3 c}\right)=0,  \tag{65}\\
(1111): \quad & 2\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) \frac{d V^{1}}{d x^{1}}+\left[\left(w_{2}^{\prime}-w_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\left(\frac{C_{3} \rho_{1}}{\triangle}\right)\left(R_{w}\right)+\left(\frac{\rho_{11}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{2} w_{2}-\rho_{22} w_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\rho_{12}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{1} w_{2}-\rho_{12} w_{1}\right)+\right] V^{1}-\left(\frac{R_{w}}{\rho}\right)\left(a_{0} F_{*}+f_{2 c}-f_{3 c}\right)=0,  \tag{66}\\
(1112): \quad & \left(2 w_{3}-2 w_{2}\right) \frac{d V^{1}}{d x^{1}}+\left[w_{2}^{\prime}-w_{3}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{C_{3}}{\triangle}\right)\left(-w_{1} \triangle-\rho \rho_{12} w_{2}+\rho \rho_{1} w_{3}\right)\right.  \tag{1112}\\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\rho_{11}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{2} w_{3}-\rho_{22} w_{2}\right)+\left(\frac{\rho_{12}^{\prime}}{\triangle}\right)\left(\rho_{12} w_{2}-\rho_{1} w_{3}\right)\right] V^{1} \\
& +\frac{R_{w}}{\rho}\left(a_{0} F_{*}+f_{2 c}-f_{3 c}\right)=0 . \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{w}:=\rho_{2} w_{1}-\rho w_{2}$, which is non-zero because of Assump 1. The isovector field for this case solves the system which consists of the $\mathrm{g}_{3}$-equations, and equations (63)-(67).

## 4 Similarity solutions of special cases

Definition 4.1 (Similarity Solution). A map $\Phi: B_{n} \rightarrow K$ is a similarity solution generated by an isovector field $V$ of the balance ideal iff $\Phi$ is a solution map of the balance ideal that satisfies the first-order differential constraints

$$
\left.\Phi^{*}(V\rfloor C^{\alpha}\right)=0, \alpha=1, \ldots, N
$$

A similarity solution generated by $V$ satisfies

$$
\left.\Phi^{*} B=0, \Phi^{*} \mu \neq 0, \Phi^{*}(V\rfloor C^{\alpha}\right)=0, \alpha=1, \ldots, N
$$

Note that in terms of this representation of the isovector field (3), the conditions $\left.\phi^{*}(V\rfloor C^{\alpha}\right)=0$, $\alpha=1,2$, in the above definition give the following system of quasi-linear first-order PDEs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{1} \frac{\partial u^{1}}{\partial x}+V^{2} \frac{\partial u^{1}}{\partial t} & =V_{0}^{1} \\
V^{1} \frac{\partial u^{2}}{\partial x}+V^{2} \frac{\partial u^{2}}{\partial t} & =V_{0}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The above equations were used to derive the system of differential equations in terms of the similarity variable $\xi$ which is found by solving the system of $\frac{d \bar{x}}{d \xi}=V^{1}$ and $\frac{d \bar{t}}{d \xi}=V^{2}$.

### 4.1 Special cases of constant $\rho_{i j}(x)$

### 4.1.1 Nonconstant $b(x)$

Here, we consider the case when the inertia coupling functions $\rho_{11}(x), \rho_{12}(x)$ and $\rho_{22}(x)$ are constant functions. In this case, we have $C_{3}=0$ and $F\left(x^{1}\right)=F_{c} b\left(x^{1}\right)$ for some constant $F_{c}$. This corresponds to the case of $F^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ in the previous section. It can be deduced from (50) to (53) that $f_{2 c}=f_{3 c}$ and consequently equation (48) is satisfied identically. Therefore, the projection of the isovector field onto the graph space is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& b^{\prime}(x) V^{1}=-a_{1} b(x)  \tag{68}\\
& V^{2}=a_{1} t+a_{0}  \tag{69}\\
& V_{0}^{1}=\left[a_{1} C_{1}(x)+C_{2}(x) V^{1}\right] t u^{2}+\left(\frac{a_{1}}{2}+f_{2 c}\right) u^{1}+a_{0} C_{1}(x) u^{2}+g_{3}^{1}(x, t)  \tag{70}\\
& V_{0}^{2}=\left(\frac{a_{1}}{2}-a_{0} F_{c} b(x)+f_{3 c}\right) u^{2}+g_{3}^{2}(x, t) \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

and the equations (50)-(53) reduce to the following three equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 w_{3}\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}-w_{3}^{\prime} V^{1}-2 a_{1} w_{3}=0  \tag{72}\\
& 2 w_{2}\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime} V^{1}-2 a_{1} w_{2}=0  \tag{73}\\
& 2 w_{1}\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}-w_{1}^{\prime} V^{1}-2 a_{1} w_{1}=0 \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be derived from these three equations that

$$
\left(\frac{w_{2}}{w_{3}}\right)^{\prime} V^{1}=\left(\frac{w_{1}}{w_{2}}\right)^{\prime} V^{1}=\left(\frac{w_{1}}{w_{3}}\right)^{\prime} V^{1}=0
$$

Therefore, $V^{1}$ is non-zero only if $w_{1}(x)=k_{1} w_{3}(x)$ and $w_{2}(x)=k_{2} w_{3}(x)$ for some positive constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. We will discuss these two cases in the following.

- For arbitrary $w_{i}(x), i=1,2,3$.

For this case, from (68) with the assumption that $b(x) \neq 0$ for any $x$, we conclude that $a_{1}=0$. Thus the following isovector field:

$$
\begin{align*}
V^{1} & =0  \tag{75}\\
V^{2} & =a_{0}  \tag{76}\\
V_{0}^{1} & =f_{3 c} u^{1}+g_{3}^{1}(x, t)  \tag{77}\\
V_{0}^{2} & =f_{3 c} u^{2}+g_{3}^{2}(x, t) \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

For non-trivial symmetry, we must have $a_{0} \neq 0$. Letting $g_{3}^{1}=0$ and $g_{3}^{2}=0$, the similarity solutions have the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{1}(x, t)=U(x) e^{\frac{f_{3 c}}{a_{0}} t}  \tag{79}\\
& u^{2}(x, t)=V(x) e^{\frac{f_{3 c}}{a_{0}} t} \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

where $U(x)$ and $V(x)$ satisfy the following set of ODEs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) U^{\prime \prime}+2\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right) V^{\prime \prime}+2\left(w_{1}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right) U^{\prime}+2\left(w_{3}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right) V^{\prime} \\
& -\frac{f_{3 c} b(x)}{a_{0}}-\frac{f_{3 c}^{2}\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right)}{a_{0}^{2}} U+\frac{f_{3 c}^{2} \rho_{12}}{a_{0}^{2}} V=0 \\
& 2 w_{2} U^{\prime \prime}-2 w_{3} V^{\prime \prime}+2 w_{2}^{\prime} U^{\prime}-2 w_{3}^{\prime} V^{\prime}+\frac{f_{3 c} b(x)}{a_{0}} V-\frac{f_{3 c}^{3}\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right)}{a_{0}^{2}} U+\frac{f_{3 c}^{2} \rho_{22}}{a_{0}^{2}} V=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

- For $w_{1}(x)=k_{1} w_{3}(x)$ and $w_{2}(x)=k_{2} w_{3}(x)$.

In this case, we are left with only two equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& b^{\prime}(x) V^{1}+a_{1} b(x)=0  \tag{81}\\
& 2 w_{3}\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}-w_{3}^{\prime} V^{1}-2 a_{1} w_{3}=0 \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution to (82) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{1}(x)=\sqrt{w_{3}(x)}\left[\int_{0}^{x} \frac{a_{1}}{\sqrt{w_{3}(\zeta)}} d \zeta+k\right] \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $k$. If $w_{i}(x), i=1,2,3$, are constant functions, it can be seen that $b(x)$ must have the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
b(x)=\frac{c^{*}}{a_{1} x+c_{0}} . \\
V^{1}=a_{1} x+c_{0} \\
V^{2}=a_{1} t+a_{0} \\
V_{0}^{1}=\left(\frac{a_{1}}{2}+f_{2 c}\right) u^{1}+g_{3}^{1} \\
V_{0}^{2}=\left(\frac{a_{1}}{2}+f_{2 c}\right) u^{2}+g_{3}^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The similarity variable is:

$$
\xi=\frac{a_{1} x+c_{0}}{a_{1} t+a_{0}}
$$

and the corresponding ODEs are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[-2 w_{2} \xi^{2}+2 w_{3} \xi^{2}+\rho_{12} \xi^{4}\right] V_{\xi \xi}+\left[\left(2 a_{1}+4 f_{3 c}\right)\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right) \xi+\frac{c^{*}}{a_{1}} \xi^{2}+2 \rho_{12} \xi^{3}\right] V_{\xi}} \\
& +\left[2 w_{3}-2 w_{2}\right]\left[\frac{a_{1}}{2}+f_{3 c}\right]\left[\frac{a_{1}}{2}+f_{3 c}-1\right] V+\left[2 w_{1} \xi^{2}-2 w_{2} \xi^{2}-\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right) \xi^{4}\right] U_{\xi \xi} \\
& +\left[\left(2 a_{1}+4 f_{3 c}\right)\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) \xi-2\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right) \xi^{3}\right] U_{\xi}+\left[2 w_{1}-2 w_{2}\right]\left[\frac{a_{1}}{2}+f_{3 c}\right]\left[\frac{a_{1}}{2}+f_{3 c}-1\right] U=0 \\
& {\left[-2 w_{3} \xi^{2}+\rho_{22} \xi^{4}\right] V_{\xi \xi}+\left[-\left(2 a_{1}+4 f_{3 c}\right) w_{3} \xi-\frac{c^{*}}{a_{1}} \xi^{2}+2 \rho_{22} \xi^{3}\right] V_{\xi}} \\
& -2 w_{3}\left[\frac{a_{1}}{2}+f_{3 c}\right]\left[\frac{a_{1}}{2}+f_{3 c}-1\right] V+\left[2 w_{2} \xi^{2}-\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) \xi^{4}\right] U_{\xi \xi} \\
& +\left[\left(2 a_{1}+4 f_{3 c}\right) w_{2} \xi-2\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) \xi^{3}\right] U_{\xi}+2 w_{2}\left[\frac{a_{1}}{2}+f_{3 c}\right]\left[\frac{a_{1}}{2}+f_{3 c}-1\right] U=0
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.1.2 Constant $b(x)$

In this case, we have $C_{3}=0$ and $b(x)=b_{c}$ for some constant $b_{c}$. From (64), (65) and (67), it can be seen that we must as well have

$$
a_{0} F_{*}+f_{2 c}-f_{3 c}=0
$$

The equations (63) to (67) reduce to the following three equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 w_{1}\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}-w_{1}^{\prime} V^{1}=0, \\
& 2 w_{2}\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime} V^{1}=0, \\
& 2 w_{3}\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}-w_{3}^{\prime} V^{1}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

These three equations imply

$$
\left(\frac{w_{1}}{w_{2}}\right)^{\prime} V^{1}=\left(\frac{w_{3}}{w_{2}}\right)^{\prime} V^{1}=\left(\frac{w_{1}}{w_{3}}\right)^{\prime} V^{1}=0
$$

- $w_{i}(x), i=1,2,3$, are arbitrary.

In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{1} & =0, \\
V^{2} & =a_{0}, \\
V_{0}^{1} & =f_{2 c} u^{1}+g_{3}^{1} \\
V_{0}^{2} & =f_{2 c} u^{2}+g_{3}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $g_{3}^{1}=0$ and $g_{3}^{2}=0$, we obtain similarity solutions of the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{1}(x, t)=U(x) e^{\frac{f_{2 c}}{a_{0}} t}  \tag{84}\\
& u^{2}(x, t)=V(x) e^{\frac{f_{2 c}}{a_{0}} t} \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

where $U(x)$ and $V(x)$ satisfy the following ODE's.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) U^{\prime \prime}+2\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right) V^{\prime \prime}+2\left(w_{1}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right) U^{\prime}+2\left(w_{3}^{\prime}-w_{2}^{\prime}\right) V^{\prime} \\
& +\left(\frac{\rho_{12} f_{2 c}^{2}-a_{0} f_{2 c} b_{c}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right) V-\left[\frac{f_{2 c}^{2}\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right)}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] U=0 \\
& -2 w_{2} U^{\prime \prime}+2 w_{3} V^{\prime \prime}-2 w_{2}^{\prime} U^{\prime}+2 w_{3}^{\prime} V^{\prime}-\left(\frac{\rho_{22} f_{2 c}^{2}+a_{0} f_{2 c} b_{c}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right) V+\left[\frac{f_{2 c}^{2}\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right)}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] U=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- $w_{1}(x)=k_{1} w_{3}(x), w_{2}(x)=k_{2} w_{3}(x)$ for some constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$.

For this case, we have

$$
V^{1}(x)=b_{0} \sqrt{w_{3}(x)}, \text { for some constant } b_{0}
$$

For the case of constant $w_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& V^{1}=b_{0}  \tag{86}\\
& V^{2}=a_{0}  \tag{87}\\
& V_{0}^{1}=f_{2 c} u^{1}+g_{3}^{1}  \tag{88}\\
& V_{0}^{2}=f_{2 c} u^{2}+g_{3}^{2} \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $g_{3}^{1}=0, g_{2}^{3}=0$ and for $a_{0} \neq 0$, we have the following similarity solutions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{1}(\xi, t)=U(\xi) e^{\left(\frac{f_{2 c}}{a_{0}}\right) t}  \tag{90}\\
& u^{2}(\xi, t)=V(\xi) e^{\left(\frac{f_{2 c}}{a_{0}}\right) t} \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

with the similarity variable $\xi$ being

$$
\xi=x-\frac{b_{0}}{a_{0}} t
$$

and $U(\xi)$ and $V(\xi)$ satisfying the following set of ODEs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[-2 w_{2}+2 w_{3}+\frac{\rho_{12} b_{0}^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] V_{\xi \xi}+\left[\frac{b_{c} b_{0}}{a_{0}}-\frac{2 \rho_{12} b_{0} f_{2 c}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] V_{\xi}+\left[-\frac{b_{c} f_{2 c}}{a_{0}}+\frac{\rho_{12} f_{2 c}^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] V} \\
& +\left[-2 w_{2}+2 w_{1}-\frac{\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right) b_{0}^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] U_{\xi \xi}+\left[\frac{2\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right) b_{0} f_{2 c}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] U_{\xi}-\left[\frac{\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right) f_{2 c}^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] U=0, \\
& {\left[-2 w_{3}+\frac{\rho_{22} b_{0}^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] V_{\xi \xi}+\left[-\frac{b_{c} b_{0}}{a_{0}}-\frac{2 \rho_{22} b_{0} f_{2 c}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] V_{\xi}+\left[\frac{b_{c} f_{2 c}}{a_{0}}+\frac{\rho_{22} f_{2 c}^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] V} \\
& +\left[2 w_{2}-\frac{\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) b_{0}^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] U_{\xi \xi}+\left[\frac{2\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) b_{0} f_{2 c}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] U_{\xi}-\left[\frac{\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) f_{2 c}^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right] U=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $g_{3}^{1}=0, g_{2}^{3}=0$ and for $a_{0}=0$, the similarity solutions take the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{1}(x, t)=U(t) e^{\frac{f_{2 c}}{b_{0}} x}  \tag{92}\\
& u^{2}(x, t)=V(t) e^{\frac{f_{2} c}{b_{0}} x} \tag{93}
\end{align*}
$$

where $U(t)$ and $V(t)$ satisfy the following set of ODE's:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{0}^{2}\left(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}\right) \ddot{U}-b_{0}^{2} \rho_{12} \ddot{V}+b_{0}^{2} b_{c} \dot{V}+2 f_{2 c}^{2}\left(w_{2}-w_{1}\right) U+2 f_{2 c}^{2}\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right) V=0, \\
& b_{0}^{2}\left(\rho_{12}+\rho_{22}\right) \ddot{U}-b_{0}^{2} \rho_{22} \ddot{V}-b_{0}^{2} b_{c} \dot{V}-2 f_{2 c}^{2} w_{2} U+2 f_{2 c}^{2} w_{3} V=0
\end{aligned}
$$
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