

Editor in Chief: **Ipek Ozkaya** Carnegie Mellon Software Engineering Institute, ipek.ozkaya@computer.org

What Should a Software Engineer Know?

Ipek Ozkaya

A SOFTWARE ENGINEER applies the principles of engineering to the design, development, maintenance, testing, and evaluation of a softwareenabled system. While this fundamental understanding of what a software engineer does is commonly shared, the journey to understand what a software engineer should know evolves, mostly as a consequence of the rapid pace of technological changes.

Similar to all other engineering disciplines, the societal implications of software-enabled systems influence the software-engineering body of knowledge. One way we observe this influence is through the incredible pace at which advances in artificial intelligence (AI) and, in particular, machine learning (ML) are impacting software-enabled systems.

Should we be teaching budding software engineers skills that we are not already providing to ensure that they become competent in the brave new world of developing socially responsible software systems that are increasingly likely to include AI/ML components?

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/MS.2019.2946668 Date of current version: 24 December 2019

Fundamental Knowledge Areas

The Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge (SWEBOK) describes 15 areas that are fundamental to the profession.¹ The knowledge areas should not surprise any practicing software engineer. They span software requirements, design, construction, testing, maintenance, configuration management, softwareengineering management, softwareengineering processes, models and methods (including formal and agile methods), software quality, professional practices, software-engineering economics, computing foundations, mathematical foundations, and engineering foundations.

There are natural overlaps between these areas; however, collectively they provide a reasonable coverage of the knowledge and skills that a software engineer should develop during the course of her career. On one hand the *SWEBOK* knowledge areas cover the essential competencies in software engineering. On the other hand, software-enabled systems are increasingly ubiquitous, developed and sustained by interdisciplinary teams, and integrated into all aspects of so-

ciety. Consequently, it is important to consider emerging areas of knowledge that are likely to be increasingly critical for software engineers. I argue that, given the pervasive nature of software in society and the promise of AI, software engineers, at a minimum, should have an understanding of three additional areas: data science, computing hardware, and socially responsible engineering.

Data Science

Data science combines theories and techniques from mathematics, statistics, computer science, and information science to understand and analyze the phenomenon about data. For example, extracting knowledge and insight from patterns in information is the fundamental aspect of ML in data science.

Software engineers need to understand the basics of data science for several reasons. Increasingly, softwareenabled systems include ML models and data. Developing software systems with these elements presents different software-engineering challenges. For instance, data and ML models erode at different rates than other software components. This requires software

IEEE Software Mission Statement

To be the best source of reliable, useful, peer-reviewed information for leading software practitioners the developers and managers who want to keep up with rapid technology change.

CONTACT US

AUTHORS

For detailed information on submitting articles, visit the "Write for Us" section at www.computer.org/software

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Send letters to software@computer.org

ON THE WEB www.computer.org/software

SUBSCRIBE

www.computer.org/subscribe

SUBSCRIPTION CHANGE OF ADDRESS

address.change@ieee.org (please specify *IEEE Software*.)

MEMBERSHIP CHANGE OF ADDRESS

member.services@ieee.org

MISSING OR DAMAGED COPIES

help@computer.org

REPRINT PERMISSION

IEEE utilizes Rightslink for permissions requests. For more information, visit www.ieee.org/publications/rights /rights-link.html engineers to design systems that create data-agnostic pipelines to enable data and model changes to be incorporated with ease. In addition, software engineers need to understand how to verify and validate such systems as well as how to continuously test for them. Understanding data-science methods provides opportunities for software engineers to question the verification and validation of the outcomes appropriately and raise flags when uncertainty is high. Software engineering for ML systems needs to incorporate the discovery, management, versioning, and overall handling of data; model customization and reuse; and incorporation of general data-intensive AI components, all of which are new skill sets.²

The ability to engineer systems that address these challenges requires software engineers to have an understanding of how data may evolve. In addition, interacting with the tools and techniques that data scientists use becomes part of the responsibilities of software engineers. Increasingly, software-engineering teams integrate data scientists. A study done with Microsoft engineers observed two leading kinds of data scientists. Polymaths conduct all of the necessary work related to preparing and analyzing data, including some software-engineering tasks, but come from a data-science background. Conversely, moonlighters are software engineers, but to address an immediate need in the team, they often perform data-scientist tasks.³ The blurring of the boundaries between roles and responsibilities is likely to increase, further necessitating software engineers to possess some of the fundamental data-science knowledge.

Computing Hardware

Not all software applications are computationally demanding. How-

ever, increasing demands for security, energy efficiency, high performance, and multimodal data processing including images, video, and acoustics, necessitate focusing on hardware that the software runs on more carefully. Developing software on field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs), multicore processors, and graphics-processing units requires unique software-engineering approaches for parallelizing algorithms and computation. And, of course, with the much-anticipated possibility of making quantum computing a reality sooner than later, the question we should ask is: how might the way we develop software for these different hardware platforms change?

Software engineers need to understand the benefits and shortcomings of the hardware for which software is developed, to better take advantage of its capabilities. For example, the research and practitioner communities are increasingly focused on the implications of developing energy-aware software and how it operates.⁴ The way software runs is directly related to how aware engineers are of the hardware platforms it will run on, how they optimize the development of the software for those platforms, and how efficient the computation can be.

Energy-aware software is only one of the emerging scenarios that necessitate software engineers' understanding of computing hardware. There are many other examples; for instance, the benefit of FPGAs is their user-reprogrammable hardware blocks that interconnect to enable application customization. The ability to change the internal operation of the hardware to accommodate changes to a design provides flexibility, making FPGAs suitable for applications in which low latency and high processing power are desired, such as

FROM THE EDITOR

LOOKING AHEAD

For 2020, we have an exciting lineup of theme issues. In this issue, guest edited by Rick Kazman and Liliana Pasquale, we focus on software engineering in society. We also introduce a new column, "SE for AI," edited by Tim Menzies. Software systems are increasingly key AI-enabled systems, such as autonomous vehicles, or have significant AI components, including web search, recommendation systems, and home appliances. AI is built with software. Engineering AI-enabled systems presents different challenges that cannot be studied in isolation from software engineering. The goal of this column is to precisely focus on this relationship.

Our March/April 2020 issue will focus on design thinking, followed by the August/September 2020 issue that will be dedicated to the AI effect: working at the intersection of AI and software engineering. We will end the year by looking closer into blockchains, smart-contract engineering, and the psychology of software engineering. Details about the calls for papers for these theme issues can be found by visiting our website, https:// www.computer.org/publications/author-resources/calls-for-papers, and selecting *IEEE Software*.

cybersecurity, autonomous systems, and wireless communications.

Socially Responsible Engineering

The term socially responsible engineering means placing the public safety and interest ahead of all other considerations. As an engineering discipline, software engineering can borrow from the thinking that has been done to advance socially responsible engineering in other disciplines. Engineering ethics, for example, is treated under three distinct categories: individual, professional, and social.⁵ The individual and professional responsibilities of software engineers are articulated through an existing code of ethics and under the software-engineering professional-practice knowledge area of SWEBOK. However, we have a long way to go in better incorporating socially responsible engineering know-how into software engineering. The many recent incidents of failure—the Boeing 737 crashes⁶ and Equifax data breach,⁷ to name two high-profile ones—should be wake-up calls that we need to treat the social responsibilities of software engineers as top priority.

Teaching social responsibility requires teaching software engineers to account for the impact of their work on society. Software engineers should know how to discover all of the relevant facts concerning design, development, and deployment of their systems and how the possible outcomes of their available choices may positively or negatively affect/impact society. Thinking about software engineering outside the boundaries of social responsibility simply is not an option anymore. Luckily, we do not have to start from scratch and can build on decades of thinking about the societal impact of science and engineering.

Software engineers work in interdisciplinary teams that include data scientists, hardware engineers, and domain and other experts as the problem at hand necessitates. There is a fine

EDITORIAL STAFF

IEEE SOFTWARE STAFF

Managing Editor: Jessica Welsh, j.welsh@ ieee.org

Cover Design: Andrew Baker Peer Review Administrator: software@ computer.org

Publications Portfolio Manager: Carrie Clark Publisher: Robin Baldwin

Senior Advertising Coordinator: Debbie Sims IEEE Computer Society Executive Director: Melissa Russell

CS PUBLICATIONS BOARD

Fabrizio Lombardi (VP for Publications), Alfredo Benso, Cristiana Bolchini, Javier Bruguera, Carl K. Chang, Fred Douglis, Sumi Helal, Shi-Min Hu, Sy-Yen Kuo, Avi Mendelson, Stefano Zanero, Daniel Zeng

CS MAGAZINE OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Sumi Helal (Chair), Lorena Barba, Irena Bojanova, Shu-Ching Chen, Gerardo Con Diaz, Lizy K. John, Marc Langheinrich, Torsten Möller, David Nicol, Ipek Ozkaya, George Pallis, VS Subrahmanian, Jeffrey Voas

IEEE PUBLICATIONS OPERATIONS

Senior Director, Publishing Operations: Dawn M. Melley

Director, Editorial Services: Kevin Lisankie Director, Production Services: Peter M. Tuohy Associate Director, Information Conversion and Editorial Support: Neelam Khinvasara Senior Managing Editor: Geraldine Krolin-Taylor Senior Art Director: Janet Dudar

Editorial: All submissions are subject to editing for clarity, style, and space. Unless otherwise stated, bylined articles and departments, as well as product and service descriptions, reflect the author's or firm's opinion. Inclusion in *IEEE Software* does not necessarily constitute endorsement by IEEE or the IEEE Computer Society.

To Submit: Access the IEEE Computer Society's Webbased system, ScholarOne, at http://mc.manuscript central.com/sw-cs. Be sure to select the right manuscript type when submitting. Articles must be original and not exceed 4,700 words including figures and tables, which count for 200 words each.

IEEE prohibits discrimination, harassment and bullying: For more information, visit www.ieee.org /web/aboutus/whatis/policies/p9-26.html.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/MS.2019.2946667

line that any professional walks between specializing her knowledge versus becoming a generalist. However, given the pervasive nature of data, advances in hardware, and the impact of software in society, we need to treat data science, computing hardware, and socially responsible engineering as key knowledge areas for software engineers and not bury them beneath other areas of expertise.

References

 IEEE Computer Society, "Guide to the software engineering body of knowledge." Accessed on: Nov. 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www .computer.org/education/ bodies-of-knowledge/software -engineering

- S. Amershi et al., "Software engineering for machine learning: A case study," in Proc. 41st Int. Conf. Software Engineering: Software Engineering in Practice (ICSE SEIP), 2019, pp. 291–300.
- 3. M. Kim, T. Zimmermann, R. De-Line, and A. Begel, "Data scientists in software teams: State of the art and challenges," *IEEE Trans. Softw. Eng.*, vol. 44, no. 11, pp. 1024–1038, 2018.
- A. Fonseca, R. Kazman, and P. Lago, "A manifesto for energy-aware software," *IEEE Softw.*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 79–82, 2019.

- J. R. Herkert, "Ways of thinking about and teaching ethical problem solving: Microethics and macroethics in engineering," *Sci. Eng. Ethics*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 373–385, 2005.
- L. Hatton and A.-F. Rutkowski, "'Lessons must be learned'–But are they?" *IEEE Softw.*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 91–95, 2019.
- 7. U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. 115th Congress. (Dec. 2018). *The Equifax Data Breach*. Accessed on: Nov. 2019. [Online]. Available: https://republicans -oversight.house.gov/wp-content/ uploads/2018/12/Equifax -Report.pdf



Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/MS.2019.2959927