

Commentary

A Serious Role-Playing Game as a Pedagogical Innovation to Strengthen Flood Resilience

Evalyna Bogdan

School of Administrative Studies
Faculty of Liberal Arts and Professional Studies
York University, Toronto, ON M3J 1P3, Canada

Shaierree Cottar

Department of Geography and
Environmental Management
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada

■ **FLOODING IS A** global concern with an increasing number of floods and damages because of a changing climate. This has prompted the development of innovative approaches to flood resilience, both in terms of the technical aspects of flood risk management (FRM) and broader social initiatives to improve flood risk governance (decision-making and collaborations) among stakeholders. FRM encompasses policies and practices developed to prevent, manage, and reduce the impact of disasters across the disaster phases: preparedness, response, recovery, and mitigation. In this commentary, we discuss how game-based learning on flooding can be used with students and stakeholders as a pedagogical innovation to learn about the complexity of flooding and enhance flood resilience—the capacity to resist, absorb, and recover from floods and to transform and adapt to future flood risks [1], [2].

Flooding is Canada's most costly and frequent disaster. Historically, the dominant strategy for addressing flooding in Canada has been by "fighting water" with physical "hard" infrastructure such as dams and dikes, which emphasize engineering and technical solutions, in contrast to a "living with water" approach or more sustainable and socially equitable solutions [3]. Scholars critique physical

infrastructure approaches as ineffective because flooding routinely exceeds defense structures and disaster assistance and removes the incentive for property owners to reduce their risk [4]. Governance scholars also critique the emphasis on infrastructure approaches because spending scarce public resources on structural defenses to permit development on flood-prone lands raises questions about fairness and equity [5]. At the same time, few Canadians know their flood risk, highlighting poor transparency and accountability.

In addition to Canada's reliance on infrastructure solutions, another challenge is that decision-making processes in Canada often fail to provide sufficient interaction among stakeholders to understand different viewpoints or value tensions [6]. However, difficult conversations are needed because the causes and consequences of flooding are embedded in complex sociopolitical contexts involving diverse stakeholders with conflicting interests and power imbalances. The flooding problem is also difficult to solve because it is a wicked problem, meaning it is ill-defined, complex, and not amenable to a definitive solution [7]. Traditional engineering education relies on well-defined and structured problems and does not adequately prepare students for understanding the complex social dimensions [8].

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/MTS.2022.3197124
Date of current version: 16 September 2022.

Therefore, in Canada, enhancing flood resilience requires “a change in the culture and the institutional arrangements for flood risk management at all levels” [9, p. 117]. Consequently, a combination of social innovation and responsible innovation is needed to advance flood resilience [9]. Social innovation is a “novel solution to a societal problem that is more effective, efficient, sustainable, or just...,” whereas responsible innovation adds “transparency, interaction, ethics, acceptability, sustainability, and social desirability” [10, pp. 2–3].

FRC game as a pedagogical innovation

The flood resilience challenge (FRC) game is a pedagogical innovation that incorporates both social and responsible innovation in the form of a serious role-playing game developed and implemented by the FRC team (including the authors). Serious games are a well-established technique for exploring natural resource management issues, including wicked problems and policy development [11]. More specifically, role-playing games that operate as serious games have been used as tools for experiential learning that seeks to engage and educate players, rather than just entertain them, about wicked problems in a simulated environment [12].

As an educational and engagement tool, the FRC game aims to build the capacity of stakeholders to improve flood resilience and enhance flood risk governance, including collective decision-making by:

- increasing flood literacy;
- fostering social learning;
- creating a safe space for exploring both risk management and communication strategies.

In its mechanism, the FRC game brings people together to work through simulated flood-preparation scenarios and better understand the perspectives of various stakeholders (politicians, farmers, insurance companies, and so on). Players do so by choosing a stakeholder role and making decisions based on that stakeholder’s interests and goals. Each game round consists of four phases as players: 1) plan for different types of flooding; 2) reevaluate their past decisions after a flooding event; 3) evaluate their budgets to reflect any damages; and 4) cast votes indicating their confidence in the game’s politicians. Afterward, players debrief about their

strategies and experiences, providing further opportunities for learning.

The game aims to enhance flood resilience by addressing key problems present in Canada’s FRM and to mobilize the potential for innovations. To shift away from reliance on traditional physical infrastructure, the game introduces sustainable and noninfrastructure (soft) solutions such as relocation and bylaws and is based on Canada’s regulatory and financial systems. Furthermore, the game provides a safe space for players to explore different ways of interactions, allowing them to learn about the benefits and challenges of collectively finding solutions that meet the criteria for social and responsible innovation such as efficacy, sustainability, and equity.

Since the FRC game’s inaugural in-person session in 2019, the FRC team has delivered multiple online sessions to a range of audience members including engineering and resource management students, water management professionals, regional government officials, residents, and NGOs. An online version was piloted at ISTAS 2021 to showcase the effectiveness of the game with professionals. During the debrief, participants realized the importance of identifying similar goals amid competing interests and using a “future-based” approach to prioritize long-term benefits to protect the majority of stakeholders.

THE FRC OFFERS a promising strategy as an educational and engagement tool in diverse contexts enabling individuals in universities, governments, the private sectors, and more at both local and national levels to better understand how the complexity of flooding requires an adaptable multipronged approach. The game can also be used to enhance awareness, preparedness, and responses to disasters. For more information about FRC and implementation in diverse settings, visit frcgame.com.

Acknowledgments

The development of the FRC game was made possible by generous funding from SSHRC, MEOPAR, the University of Waterloo, and the dedication of each FRC team member. Ethics approval was provided for this research by the University of Waterloo’s Office of Research Ethics (File #: 43328).

References

- [1] M. Alexander, S. Priest, and H. Mees, “A framework for evaluating flood risk governance,” *Environ. Sci.*

- Policy*, vol. 64, pp. 38–47, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2016.06.004.
- [2] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, “Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation,” in *A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2012.
 - [3] J. F. Warner, J. Edenlenbos, and A. van Buuren, “Making space for the river: Governance challenges,” in *Making Space for the River*, J. F. Warner, A. van Buuren, and J. Edenlenbos, Eds. London, U.K.: IWA Publishing, 2013, pp. 1–14.
 - [4] F. Klijn et al., “In search of robust flood risk management alternatives for The Netherlands,” *Natural Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, vol. 12, pp. 1469–1479, May 2012. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nat-hazards-earth-syst-sci.net/12/1469/2012/>
 - [5] J. Thistlethwaite et al., “Canadian voices on changing flood risk: Findings from a national survey,” *Waterloo Climate Inst.*, Apr. 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://uwaterloo.ca/climate-institute/news/canadian-voices-changing-flood-risk-findings-national-survey>
 - [6] L. L. Hanson, and D. Kahane, “Introduction: Advancing public deliberation on climate change and other wicked problems,” in *Public Deliberation on Climate Change*, L. L. Hanson, Ed. Edmonton, AB, Canada: Athabasca Univ. Press, 2018, pp. 3–32.
 - [7] H. W. Rittel and M. M. Webber, “Dilemmas in a general theory of planning,” *Policy Sci.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 155–169, 1973, doi: 10.1007/BF01405730.
 - [8] F. M. Kamaruzaman, R. Hamid, and A. A. Mutalib, “A review on issues and challenges in incorporating complex engineering problems in engineering curriculum and proposed solutions,” in *Proc. 7th World Eng. Educ. Forum (WEEF)*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 2017, pp. 697–670, doi: 10.1109/WEEF.2017.8467167.
 - [9] D. Shrubsole, “A history of flood management strategies in Canada revisited,” in *Climate Change and Flood Risk Management: Adaptation and Extreme Events at the Local Level*, E. C. H. Keskitalo, Ed. Cheltenham, U.K.: Edward Elgar, 2013, pp. 95–120.
 - [10] K. Bolz and A. de Bruin, “Responsible innovation and social innovation: Toward an integrative research framework,” *Int. J. Social Econ.*, vol. 46 no. 6, pp. 742–755, 2019, doi: 10.1108/IJSE-10-2018-0517.
 - [11] S. Flood et al., “Adaptive and interactive climate futures: Systematic review of ‘serious games’ for engagement and decision-making,” *Environ. Res. Lett.*, vol. 13, no. 6, 2018, Art. no. 063005.
 - [12] P. Edwards et al., “Tools for adaptive governance for complex social-ecological systems: A review of role-playing-games as serious games at the community-policy interface,” *Environ. Res. Lett.*, vol. 14, no. 11, 2019, Art. no. 113002.

Evalyna Bogdan is an assistant professor in disaster and emergency management with the School of Administrative Studies, Faculty of Liberal Arts and Professional Studies, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada. Her background is environmental and disaster sociology. She is interested in creating innovative educational and engagement strategies to strengthen societal disaster resilience. Bogdan has a PhD from the University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada, focused on flood risk governance.

Shaiere Cottar is currently pursuing a PhD with the Department of Geography and Environmental Management, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada, and she is also a coordinator for the Canadian Coastal Resilience Forum. Her research is on climate change adaptation and Canadian policies related to disaster recovery, managed retreat, and resilience building against flood hazards. Cottar has an MES from the University of Waterloo.

■ Direct questions and comments about this article to Evalyna Bogdan, School of Administrative Studies, Faculty of Liberal Arts and Professional Studies, York University, Toronto, ON M3J 1P3, Canada; ebogdan@yorku.ca.