Cache Placement in an NDN Based LEO Satellite Network Constellation

Rodríguez Pérez, Miguel, Senior, IEEE atlanTTic research center, Universidade de Vigo, 36212 Vigo, Spain

Herrería Alonso, Sergio, IEEE

atlanTTic research center, Universidade de Vigo, 36212 Vigo, Spain

Suárez González, Andrés

atlanTTic research center, Universidade de Vigo, 36212 Vigo, Spain

López Ardao, José Carlos

atlanTTic research center, Universidade de Vigo, 36212 Vigo, Spain

Rodríguez Rubio, Raúl

atlanTTic research center, Universidade de Vigo, 36212 Vigo, Spain

Abstract- The efforts to replace the successful, albeit aging, TCP/IP Internet architecture with a better suited one have driving research interest to information-centric alternatives. The Named Data Networking (NDN) architecture is probably one of the main contenders to become the network layer of the future Internet thanks to its inbuilt support for mobility, in-network caching, security and, in general, for being better adapted to the needs of current network applications. At the same time, massive satellite constellations are currently being deployed in low Earth orbits (LEO) to provide a backend for network connectivity. It is expected that, very soon, these constellations will function as proper networks thanks to inter-satellite communication links. These new satellite networks will be able to benefit from their greenfield status and the new network architectures. In this paper we analyze how to deploy the network caches of an NDN-based LEO satellite network. In particular, we show how we can jointly select the most appropriate caching nodes for each piece of content and how to forward data across the constellation in two simple alternative ways. Performance results show that the caching and forwarding strategies proposed reduce path lengths up to a third with just a few caching nodes while, simultaneously, helping to spread the load along the network.

Index Terms— Information-centric networking, named-data networking, satellite networking, optimization

Manuscript received XXXXX 00, 0000; revised XXXXX 00, 0000; accepted XXXXX 00, 0000.

This work has received financial support from grant PID2020-113240RB-I00, financed by MCIN/ AEI/10.13039/501100011033, and by the Xunta de Galicia (Centro singular de investigación de Galicia accreditation 2019–2022) and the European Union (European Regional Development Fund—ERDF). (*Corresponding author: M. Rodríguez-Pérez*). All the authors contributed equally to this work.

All authors are with the atlanTTic research center, Universidade de Vigo, 36212 Vigo, Spain (e-mails: {miguel, sha, asuarez, jardao, rrubio}@det.uvigo.gal).

0018-9251 © 2022 IEEE

D URING the last few years we are witnessing a commercial race for providing low-latency, highbandwidth Internet access with the help of massive constellations of satellites in low Earth orbit (LEO). Probably, the best well-known example is SpaceX's Startlink network, operating, as of July 2022, about 2500 satellites [1]. However, there are many other competing networks in different completion phases [2], [3], [4]. Although the satellites in these networks will initially act just as packet relays between pairs of ground stations, the main benefits will be obtained when traffic can travel directly across the constellation with the help of inter-satellite links (ISL) [5], [6].

Almost simultaneously to this commercial interest in satellite networks, the network research community has started paying attention to a new networking paradigm focused not on providing connectivity between distant devices, but on the data acquisition itself. Several proposals, under the umbrella of the Information Centric Networking (ICN) paradigm [7], try to create a new global network architecture that one day may replace the current TCP/IP Internet. Proponents of these architectures claim that they are better suited to current applications due to their natural support for consumer mobility [8], innetwork caching [9], multicast transmission [10], [11] and in-built security [12].

In this work we focus on the network caching characteristics of an ICN network when applied to a massive LEO satellite constellation. We will assume that each satellite will carry four inter-satellite links (ISL) to communicate with its four closest neighbors. Although linking with the closest available satellites is not the only feasible alternative [5], neither the best one, it helps to keep things manageable and has already been proposed by several works [13], [14]. As for the actual ICN architecture, we will use the Named-Data Networking (NDN) proposal [15], as it is already in a mature state. In an NDN network, data is directly addressed by its unique name, rather than by its location, as done by IP networks. For this, the network uses two different kinds of packets: Interest packets, that carry a request for a named piece of data; and Data packets, carrying the actual data back to the requester(s) following the reverse path used by the Interest packet.

In this paper we explore the regular topology of satellite networks to give answer to what appear to be two contradictory but highly desired characteristics. On the one hand, we want to spread the load on the network so that information from different sources follows disjoint, albeit equal-cost, network paths, thus maximizing network capacity. On the other hand, traffic of Interest packets for the same information should converge at common nodes so as to maximize the effectiveness of in-network caching. Thus, we provide:

1) A simple Interest *forwarding strategy* that, while following the shortest path to a target producer,

© 2022 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works. DOI: 10.1109/TAES.2022.3227530

I. Introduction

always finds the nearest cache while spreading the load evenly across the whole network;

- a discussion about which nodes should be involved in caching data from each producer to maximize cache hit-rate;
- 3) and an algorithm that finds the best possible cache locations for a given satellite constellation working in tandem with our forwarding strategy.

To assess the adequacy of our proposed solution, we simulate a large LEO constellation network to find that, with just a few caching nodes, the number of transmissions required to obtain a named piece of data is just halved. This has profound positive effects both in the used capacity and the incurred transmission delay.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the considered scenario. Then, Section III discusses alternatives for cache placement. The experimental results are shown in Section IV. Finally, we lay out our conclusions in Section V.

II. Problem Description

We consider a scenario consisting in a LEO satellite constellation with inter-satellite communication capabilities and a set of ground stations acting as the entry (exit) points of the orbiting network. All orbiting and terrestrial nodes run an instance of an NDN network protocol.

As shown in Fig. 1, satellites in a usual LEO constellation are organized in various orbits sharing the same inclination (planes). The relative positions of individual satellites in the same orbital plane is kept relatively stable and this permits to keep connections with both the preceding and following satellites in the same orbit. Moreover, the distance between the orbits is also stable, permitting also connections with the nearest satellites in the two immediate neighboring orbital planes. Thus, assuming four inter-satellite links per satellite, this results in a grid-like topology for the orbiting part of the network, like the one shown in Fig. 2.

As the connectivity between ground stations and their orbiting counterparts is subject to frequent changes, we employ two different routing strategies. In the terrestrial part, the satellite network topology can be simply ignored since the LEO constellation will be used as a backbone providing connectivity between any pair of ground stations. Thus, for some prefixes, the LEO network will provide the best path and will be used for routing some Interest packets. In that case, the corresponding ground station will simply relay the Interest packet to any reachable overhead satellite. Then, the satellites will forward the Interest packet to the nearest most appropriate terrestrial node, as all the producers are assumed to stay on the ground. Due to the grid-like connectivity topology of the LEO network, once the target ground node has



Fig. 1. A satellite constellation with 24 orbital planes and 30 satellites in each plane with an inclination of 60° as seen from space. We have represented the satellite identifiers and, with yellow lines, the four possible ISL links of the satellite located at coordinates (0, 0).

been located,¹ the routing is straightforward as we shall see later.

For the rest of the article we will consider a simplified satellite network, made up of a single constellation where each satellite has four ISL links, two with the nearest satellites in the same plane (the immediately ones in front of it and behind it), and another two with the nearest satellites in the plane to port and starboard. Recall that the relative positions of the satellites do not change as they travel through their orbits. Although the connectivity pattern gets obscured at the northernmost (and southernmost) regions of the constellation due to the increased density, the ordering of the satellites in the same orbital plane is not changed. The same happens with the relative positions of the successive planes. Therefore, even though successive orbital planes have some offset, the resulting connectivity pattern (if the metric is the number of hops) can be represented as a grid \mathcal{G} . Let then \mathcal{G} = $\{\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}\}, \text{ where } \mathcal{N} = \{(x, y), x \in \mathbb{Z}/n_{p}\mathbb{Z}, y \in \mathbb{Z}/n_{s}\mathbb{Z}\}$ is the set of satellites, represented by their coordinates in the constellation, $n_{\rm p}$ and $n_{\rm s}$ are, respectively, the number of orbital planes and the number of satellites per plane, and $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is the ring of integers modulo m. $\mathcal{E} = \{(n_i, n_j), n_i, n_j \in \mathcal{N} \mid d(n_i, n_j) = 1\}$ is the set of ISLs inside the constellation, with $d(\cdot)$ a modified taxicab metric that takes into account the modular nature of the scenario,² so

$$d(n_i, n_j) = d((x_i, y_i), (x_j, y_j))$$

= min{ $x_i \ominus x_j, x_j \ominus x_i$ } + min{ $y_i \ominus y_j, y_j \ominus y_i$ },
(1)

where \ominus is the subtraction operation in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

Without loss of generality, and taking into account the symmetric nature of the satellite network, we will

¹We do not delve into how this information is procured, but it can be readily obtained if nodes use a link-state routing protocol, for instance. ²We need to use modular arithmetic to account for the fact that there is connectivity both before the first and the last satellite of a plane and between the first and the last planes themselves.



Fig. 2. A grid-like representation of the links between neighboring satellites of the constellation of Fig. 1.

always consider that the exit node is the node located at coordinates (0,0) and only examine the behavior of those nodes located in the top-right quadrant.³ Figure 2 depicts an example of such a grid network, where the nodes in the top-right quadrant have been highlighted.

A. Forwarding Strategy

Before we delve into the specifics of the forwarding strategy, it may be good to recapitulate how the network layer of the NDN architecture works. In the NDN architecture no data can be sent to the network unless a node has previously asked for it. This pull-based communication approach is in sharp contrast with the IP architecture, where any node can push data to the network as long as it knows a destination address. To accommodate to this pull-based operating manner, NDN defines two different types of network layer packets: the Interest and the Data packets. Thus, when a consumer needs to obtain some data from another node, it sends to the network an Interest packet that specifies the name of the requested data. Then, the NDN routers forward this Interest packet according to the named requested content (usually just the prefix of the name) and their configured forwarding mechanism. However, if they have previously seen this particular named Data, they can directly return a copy from their cache, if they happen to have stored it. If there is no copy, they forward the Interest packet to the next NDN router and store the information about it in a temporal table of pending interests, called the Pending Interest Table, or PIT. Finally, when the Interest reaches a *producer* for the requested data, it answers with a Data packet. This Data packet flows back to the requesting consumer(s) following the reverse path taken by the Interest packet. As the Data packet reaches every intermediate NDN router, they use the information stored in the PIT to forward it to the destination while they optionally keep a copy stored in their local cache.

Due to the grid-like topology of the satellite network, forwarding an Interest packet towards the exit node is just

a matter of selecting any of the two closer neighbors. That is, for a satellite in the top-right quadrant, at location (i, j)relative to the exit gateway, that means using either (i - 1, j) or (i, j - 1) as the next hop node, as exemplified for node (2, 1) in Fig. 2. From a pure forwarding perspective, both neighbors represent an optimal choice.

However, nodes in an NDN network may cache the contents of any previous Data packet. If the Interest packet is forwarded to a node already holding a copy of the requested information, it does not need to be forwarded further and, instead, the node can reply immediately with the copy. This results in shorter delays and avoids unnecessary transmissions along the satellite network and even in the satellite to ground exit link. So, it is important to forward Interest packets in a way that Interest packets from different nodes converge at some common caching nodes. However, to avoid overwhelming the storage capacity of the caches, it is better for different nodes to cache the contents of different prefixes. At the same time, given that all the links in the satellite network have identical characteristics, it is important to spread traffic to maximize the aggregated capacity. Luckily, all these conditions can be simultaneously met if the decision of whether to cache a piece of content depends on the location of the candidate caching node relative to the exit location (the center node for a given prefix). Keep in mind that non-location aware cache management algorithms (like those based on popularity, freshness...) can be used in tandem with a location-aware one to also influence the decision to cache a piece of content.

With all these considerations we propose the following two simple rules for deciding the next node in forwarding decisions:

- 1) Interest packets must be forwarded without increasing distance to the primary source (the one at the origin).
- 2) Interest packets should be forwarded to the closest *allowed* cache.

The first rule avoids looping. Even though a caching node closer to the current node, but further away from the producer, may hold a copy of the content, moving away from the center causes loops when the content is not found in the cache.⁴ The second rule not only makes the traffic converge to a caching node, but also helps to spread the traffic across the network. Recall that caching nodes are set at positions relative to the network center for each named prefix.

As a result of these rules, different sets of nodes forward to (are served by) different caching nodes. Figure 3 shows the nodes of the top-right quadrant of a

³ The exit node will be different for different prefixes, so there is not a central node of the network, but a central node with respect to a given prefix.

⁴If nodes closer to the producer than the presumed cache forward traffic to it, then traffic from this caching node will not be able to reach the producer when the caching node forwards an Interest for the data for the first time since its immediate neighbors would always forward the Interest back to it. Certainly, one can devise mechanisms that can solve this scenario, but we feel that the added complexity is not worth it.



Fig. 3. Top-right quarter of the constellation grid, showing the location of the producer at the origin and three more arbitrarily placed caches (solid colors). The colored regions show the serving cache for each node. The satellite network is composed of $n_p = 2h$ orbital planes with $n_s = 2v$ satellites in each plane.

network with three caches and a producer at the bottomleft corner. All nodes in the gray area are served by the original producer at (0,0), as being served by either the red caching node at (3,1) or the green one at (1,5)would entail either increasing the value of one of their coordinates. This would mean forwarding Interests farther from the producer, violating rule 1 above. Note that nodes in the red, green and blue areas are served by nodes (3,1), (1,5), and (9,4), respectively, since the caching node in their corresponding region is the closest cache (rule 2) and forwarding to it gets closer to the producer (rule 1).

What follows is a discussion about where to place caching nodes for each prefix.

III. Cache Placement

Even though every node is free to opportunistically store any Data packet, we must select the nodes *responsible* for caching the contents of a given producer. Their location should become a convergence point of disjoint paths towards the producer. Moreover, their locations should also be such that they minimize the average number of hops that an Interest packet must be forwarded before it encounters such a caching node. This metric clearly saves transmission capacity and minimizes delay.

A. Regular Cache Placement

One natural way to place the caches arises from subdividing the original region, i.e., the set of nodes served by the producer, into r^2 identical subregions, like in Fig. 4. It is straightforward to check that in such a placement, each satellite is at most (h + v)/r - 2 hops away from the nearest copy, where $v = n_s/2$ is the height of the resulting top-right grid and $h = n_p/2$ its width. It is also quite easy to get the average distance to a cache



Fig. 4. Top-right quarter of the constellation grid showing the location of caches as a result of subdividing the original region into $r^2 = 9$ smaller identical subregions.

in the network, or equivalently, in a single subregion, as

h a

$$D^{\text{reg}} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{r}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n}{r}-1} (i+j)}{\frac{h}{r} \frac{v}{r}} = \frac{h+v}{2r} - 1, \quad (2)$$

where we assume that both h and v are integer multiples of r to keep the expression simple. As all subregions are identical, (2) is approximately the average distance in the whole network.⁵

We have also to consider how many total caches are needed for such an arrangement in the full satellite topology. It can be easily deduced that, for r^2 subregions in a single quadrant, we need (r-1) caching nodes in each axe and $(r-1)^2$ caching nodes outside the axes. As there are four such quadrants, each pair sharing a semiaxis, the total number of caching nodes in the topology is

$$N^{\text{reg}} = 4(r-1)^2 + 4(r-1) = 4r(r-1).$$
(3)

B. In-Axes Cache Placement

There is also a natural cache placement strategy that consists in considering only nodes in the axes. In this way, routing becomes even more straightforward. Now, Interest packets can be forwarded directly first to the nearest axis, and then to the producer. This ensures that the packet will come across a caching node in the process. Obviously, if the forwarding node is aware of the precise location of the nearest cache, or of on which axis it resides, it can still use this information to forward the Interest packet even to the furthest axis if that results in reaching a closer caching node.

Figure 5 shows the area of influence of several caching nodes located in the axes of a grid network. Note how the further away from the center (where the producer is located), the greater area of coverage of each cache. The location of the caching nodes must be carefully chosen, to minimize the average distance to a cache in the network.

We can formalize the area *covered* by each cache in the following way. Consider two sets of ordered caches, one in the vertical axe $\mathcal{V} = \{(0, v_1), \dots, (0, v_V)\}$ and the corresponding set in the horizontal one $\mathcal{H} = \{(h_1, 0), \dots, (h_H, 0)\}$. Then, the set of nodes served by

 $[\]overline{}^{5}$ Non caching nodes in the axes are taken into account twice. However, the global effect in the average distance is very small for sufficiently large networks.



Fig. 5. Top-right quarter of the constellation grid showing the regions covered by each of the caches located in the axes. In this specific scenario $c_1 = v_1$ and $c_2 = h_1$.

node $(h_i, 0) \in \mathcal{H}$ —resp. $(0, v_i) \in \mathcal{V}$ —is $\mathcal{A}(h_i) = \{(x, y) | h_i \leq x < h_{i+1}, y < v_k\}$, where $v_k = \max(v_j | (0, v_j) \in \mathcal{V} \cup \{(0, v)\}$ and $v_j < h_{i+1})$ and $h_{H+1} = (h, 0)$ —resp. for $\mathcal{A}(v_i)$ —. To obtain the average distance to a cache, we have to first obtain the sum of the distances from every node to its nearest cache and divide it by the number of nodes. As we can see, the regions are defined by three parameters: the positions of two consecutive caches in one axis, and a single cache in the other axis. If we define $\|\mathcal{A}(h_i)\| = \|(h_i, h_{i+1}, v_k)\|$ (resp. $\|\mathcal{A}(v_i)\| = \|(v_i, v_{i+1}, h_k)\|$) as the sum of the distances in the $\mathcal{A}(h_i)$ region, we get

$$\|(a_1, a_2, b)\| = \sum_{i=a_1}^{a_2-1} \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} d((i, j), (a_1, 0))$$

=
$$\sum_{i=a_1}^{a_2-1} \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} (i+j-a_1)$$

=
$$b(a_2-a_1) \frac{a_2-a_1+b-2}{2}.$$
 (4)

The average distance in this setup is thus

$$D^{\text{axes}} = \frac{\|\mathcal{A}(0)\| + \|\mathcal{H}\| + \|\mathcal{V}\|}{hv} \\ = \frac{\|\mathcal{A}(0)\|}{hv} + \frac{\sum_{h_i \in \mathcal{H}} \|\mathcal{A}(h_i)\| + \sum_{v_i \in \mathcal{V}} \|\mathcal{A}(v_i)\|}{hv},$$
(5)

where $\mathcal{A}(0)$ is the set of nodes served directly by the producer.⁶

We prove in Appendix A that placing the caches in an interleaved way $(\ldots < h_i < v_j < h_{i+1} < v_{j+1} < \ldots)$ minimizes (5).

C. A Fast Algorithm to Compute the Optimal in-Axes Cache Locations

Our next step is to obtain the optimal location of the caches in the axes for a given number N of total caches. To keep the notation simple, we will ignore the 0-valued dimension in each of the caches, so that $(h_i, 0)$ becomes directly h_i —resp. $(0, v_i)$ becomes v_i —and, using the fact that the caches are interleaved, work directly with the vector $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_N\} = \mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{V}$, where each element represents either a cache location in \mathcal{H} or in \mathcal{V} . The problem is thus to find C that minimizes (5), that is, that minimizes

$$\|\mathcal{C}\| = \|(0, c_2, c_1)\| + \|(c_1, c_3, c_2)\| + \|(c_2, c_4, c_3)\| + \|(c_3, c_5, c_4)\| + \dots$$
(6)

Sadly, it is not possible to minimize this function analytically, but the procedure shown next finds the optimal locations in less than hN iterations.⁷ The procedure detailed in Algorithm 1 sets the initial location of the caches to those closest to the producer. That is, for a solution with N caching nodes, they initially hold positions $c_i = i, 1 \le i \le N$. After the initialization, our algorithm displaces the furthest cache to more distant locations until the cost stops decreasing (lines 6-14). Then, it tries with the next one (lines 5-14), and so on. When all the caches have been tried, it tries to move the caches again starting with the furthest one (loop of lines 3–15) if the inner loops have found a better solution (finish set to false in line 12). If finish is still true after the loop in lines 5–14 ends, then no better solution has been found and the procedure ends.

Algorithm	1	Algorithm	for	finding	the	best	cache
locations alo	ong	the axes.					

1: $C = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}, c_{N+1} = h$					
2: $lowest_cost \leftarrow \ \mathcal{C}\ $					
3: repeat					
4:	$finish \leftarrow true$				
5:	for all $i \in \{N, \dots, 1\}$ do				
6:	while $c_i + 1 < c_{i+1}$ do				
7:	$\mathcal{C}' = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_i + 1, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_N\}$				
8:	$current_cost \leftarrow \ \mathcal{C}'\ $				
9:	if current_cost < lowest_cost then				
10:	$lowest_cost \leftarrow current_cost$				
11:	$\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{C}'$				
12:	$finish \leftarrow false$				
13:	else				
14:	break while loop				
15: until $finish = true$					

This simple procedure finds the optimal cache locations as can be derived from Lemma 1 that shows that if moving a cache further from the producer reduces the total cost and moving the previous one also reduces the cost, then moving both reduces the cost even more. This ensures that when we advance an *outer* cache to find a local optimum we are not going to miss a global optimum resulting from moving only *inner* caches.

LEMMA 1. Let $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_i, \dots, c_n\}$ be a set of ordered cache locations and $\Delta_i C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_i + c_i\}$

 $^{^{6}}$ As in the case of the regular cache placement, the value is exact for a single quadrant. For the whole network it is a good approximation when the constellation is large enough.

 $[\]overline{{}^{7}}$ From now onwards, we will assume for clarity a square network with h = v, although the results can be easily extended to the general scenario.

1,..., c_n }. Then, if both $\|\Delta_i C\| < \|C\|$ and $\|\Delta_{i-1} C\| < \|C\|$, it holds that $\|\Delta_{i-1} \Delta_i C\| < \|\Delta_{i-1} C\|$.

Proof:

For $\|\Delta_{i-1}\Delta_i \mathcal{C}\| < \|\Delta_{i-1}(\mathcal{C})\|$ to be true, it must hold that $\|\Delta_{i-1}\mathcal{C}\| - \|\Delta_{i-1}\Delta_i \mathcal{C}\| > 0.$ According to (6),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{i-1}\mathcal{C}\| &= \|(0,c_2,c_1)\| + \|(c_1,c_3,c_2)\| + \|(c_2,c_4,c_3)\| \\ &+ \dots + \|(c_{i-3},c_{i-1}+1,c_{i-2})\| \\ &+ \|(c_{i-2},c_i,c_{i-1}+1)\| \\ &+ \|(c_{i-1}+1,c_{i+1},c_i)\| \\ &+ \|(c_i,c_{i+2},c_{i+1})\| + \dots \end{aligned}$$
(7)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{i-1}\Delta_{i}\mathcal{C}\| &= \|(0,c_{2},c_{1})\| + \|(c_{1},c_{3},c_{2})\| + \|(c_{2},c_{4},c_{3})\| \\ &+ \dots + \|(c_{i-3},c_{i-1}+1,c_{i-2})\| \\ &+ \|(c_{i-2},c_{i}+1,c_{i-1}+1)\| \\ &+ \|(c_{i-1}+1,c_{i+1},c_{i}+1)\| \\ &+ \|(c_{i}+1,c_{i+2},c_{i+1})\| + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$(8)$$

So $\|\Delta_{i-1}\mathcal{C}\| - \|\Delta_{i-1}\Delta_i\mathcal{C}\| > 0$ expands to

$$\begin{split} \|\Delta_{i-1}\mathcal{C}\| - \|\Delta_{i-1}\Delta_{i}\mathcal{C}\| &= \|(c_{i-2},c_{i},c_{i-1}+1)\| \\ - \|(c_{i-2},c_{i}+1,c_{i-1}+1)\| + \|(c_{i-1}+1,c_{i+1},c_{i})\| \\ - \|(c_{i-1}+1,c_{i+1},c_{i}+1)\| + \|(c_{i},c_{i+2},c_{i+1})\| \\ - \|(c_{i}+1,c_{i+2},c_{i+1})\|. \end{split}$$
(9)

If we apply (4) and perform some straightforward simplifications, we get that $\|\Delta_{i-1}C\| - \|\Delta_{i-1}\Delta_iC\| > 0$ iif

$$0 < c_{i-1}(c_{i+1} + c_{i-2} - c_{i-1} - 2) + c_{i+1}(c_{i+2} - 2c_i) + c_{i-2} - 1$$
(10)

$$\frac{2c_{i-1} - c_{i-2} + 1 < c_{i-1}(c_{i+1} + c_{i-2} - c_{i-1})}{+ c_{i+1}(c_{i+2} - 2c_i)}.$$
(11)

We also know that $\|\Delta_i C\| < \|C\|$. If we repeat the same procedure as before, we get that

$$0 < c_{i-1}(c_{i+1} + c_{i-2} - c_{i-1}) + c_{i+1}(c_{i+2} - 2c_i - 1)$$

$$c_{i+1} < c_{i-1}(c_{i+1} + c_{i-2} - c_{i-1}) + c_{i+1}(c_{i+2} - 2c_i).$$
(12)

So, (10) is true iff

$$c_{i+1} \ge 2c_{i-1} - c_{i-2} + 1. \tag{13}$$

Considering that $\|\Delta_{i-1}C\| < \|C\|$, we find that

$$0 < c_{i-2}(c_i + c_{i-3} - c_{i-2}) + c_i(c_{i+1} - 2c_{i-1} - 1)$$

$$c_i c_{i+1} > c_i(2c_{i-1} - c_{i-2} + 1) + c_{i-2}(c_{i-2} - c_{i-3}).$$

(14)

So, from (14) we obtain that

$$c_{i+1} > 2c_{i-1} - c_{i-2} + 1 + \frac{c_{i-2}(c_{i-2} - c_{i-3})}{c_i}$$
(15)
> $2c_{i-1} - c_{i-2} + 1$,

as
$$c_{i-2} > c_{i-3}$$
.



Fig. 6. Number of transmission carried out by each node in a 60×42 grid network with 1000 randomly placed clients requesting the same data originally produced at node (0, 0). The circles show the locations of each caching node.

It is easy to extend this result for arbitrary advancements of either c_{i-1} or c_i . On the one hand, $\|\Delta_{i-1}^j \Delta_i \mathcal{C}\| = \|\Delta_{i-1} \Delta_{i-1} \dots \Delta_{i-1} \Delta_i \mathcal{C}\| < \|\Delta_{i-1}^j \mathcal{C}\|$ by Lemma 1, as long as $c_{i-1} + j < c_i$, because Lemma 1 does not place any other restriction on the value of c_{i-1} . On the other hand, $\|\Delta_{i-1} \Delta_i^k \mathcal{C}\| < \|\Delta_{i-1} \Delta_i^{k-1} \mathcal{C}\|$ if, as by hypothesis, $\|\Delta_i^k \mathcal{C}\| < \|\Delta_i^{k-1} \mathcal{C}\|$.

IV. Experimental Results

We have tested the previous results with the help of a newly developed routing module [16] for the ndnSIM [17] NDN network simulator. We have also released the software used to calculate the optimal cache placement [18].

We have simulated a 60×42 grid network to have a setup that can be representative of a current commercial one [19], even though the developed routing module supports arbitrary satellite shells. In the first experiment, we just wanted to test the behavior of the caches and the routing algorithm. To this end, we calculated the location of the five caching nodes in the top-right quadrant $\{(11,0), (18,0), (24,0), (0,8), (0,15)\}$.⁸ Then we enabled the NDN cache in all these nodes, and the corresponding ones in the three remaining quadrants. Finally, with a producer located at the center—node (0,0)—, we requested the same content from 1000 random locations. Consumers at each location ask just once for the content, so the same content is requested 1000 times. Figure 6 shows the number of transmissions performed by each node during the whole experiment. Nodes in both axes concentrate most of the transmissions, as the routing algorithm drives the requests towards the axis with the closest allowed cache. Also note that the mechanism is able to alleviate the load near the producer since the number of transmissions carried out by nodes close to the

⁸Note that the caches are still intercalated. Cache (0, 24) cannot be in the vertical axe, because its maximum size is (0, 21).



Fig. 7. Evolution of the average path length vs. the number of clients. Hashed lines represent the theoretical values.

producer is, in fact, similar to those near caching nodes. Finally, one can discern in the figure the areas covered by each caching node, as nodes in the boundaries perform few transmissions as no traffic is directed to those regions, and intensity grows higher the closer to a caching node.

The next experiment tests the accuracy of the theoretical results. In the same network scenario as before, we measured the average transmission path length until the data is obtained for different amount of caching nodes and a growing number of randomly placed clients. The experiment has been repeated 25 times, varying the location of each client. Figure 7 shows the results obtained when there are no caching nodes, and for one, five and ten caching nodes in the positive parts of the axes (and the corresponding set of caching nodes in the negative parts, thus the $2 \times n$ notation). The theoretical values were calculated according to (5) for the cache locations resulting from Algorithm 1. When the number of clients is very small, there is a great variability in the results, as clients can be at very different distances from the caches or the producer. As the number of clients increases, and they get more evenly placed in the network, the variability diminishes, and we can observe that the resulting average path length converges to the theoretical value.

The simulation length also plays an important role in the results. To show this, we have repeated the previous experiment but, this time, modifying the simulation length for a constant value of 1000 randomly placed clients. The request from each client happens at a random instant during the whole simulation. As before, each simulation was repeated 25 times, varying the location of the clients. The results in Fig. 8 show that, when the simulation duration is short, the results are much better than those predicted by (5). The reason for this is that, with short simulation lengths, all the requests from the different clients happen in a very short interval, so a second request from a different client can reach a node that has still pending a previous request from another client, even if it is not a caching node. As NDN coalesces requests for the same Interest, the effect is similar as caching. When the request is finally satisfied by a downstream node, all



Fig. 8. Evolution of the average path length vs. the simulation length. Hashed lines represent the theoretical values.



Fig. 9. Comparison of cache efficacy between regular and in-axes cache placement strategies for a 24×24 satellite constellation.

the pending requests will get a copy of the requested Data. As the simulation duration increases, the number of simultaneous requests decreases and the only effective data saving measure is the caching mechanism.⁹

Finally, we would like to pay attention to the different compromises between the two considered alternatives for cache placement: regular cache placement and in-axes cache placement. To this end we have calculated the resulting average path length and the total number of caching nodes needed for both alternatives for a simple 24×24 network. We can observe in Fig. 9 the average distance from a node to the nearest cache for both the regular and the in-axes cache placement strategies. It is evident that the greatest reduction in average distances happens for just a few caching nodes. For instance, there is a 45% reduction for just 4 caching nodes and a 64%reduction for 16 nodes. From there, as the number of caching nodes increases, the improvement is marginal. For a small number of caching nodes, both strategies produce very similar results, although only the regular cache placement strategy is able to use a very high

 $^{^{9}}$ The longest simulation lengths are only included to show the asymptotic behavior of the algorithm. In an actual LEO constellation, the satellite serving a given producer changes every few minutes.

number of caching nodes. However, when the number of caching nodes is small, the in-axes strategy provides much more flexibility. Recall that, as shown in (3), the regular cache placement strategy places stringent conditions on the possible total number of caching nodes.

V. Conclusions

The recently deployed massive LEO satellite constellations serving as communication backends for packet switched networks are an opportunity to explore new network architectures that can be better suited to the job than the ubiquitous TCP/IP one. The NDN architecture, for instance, with its inbuilt network caching capabilities, may be used instead of custom CDN solutions to alleviate scarce network capacity and, at the same time, reduce content delivery delay. This paper explores the issue of cache placement considering the very regular structure of a satellite constellation.

We have made a proposal able to simultaneously spread traffic through the network, maximizing resource utilization and global capacity, and to concentrate related traffic—traffic to a common producer—on a handful of network paths. Thus, by placing caching nodes in these network paths, we can obtain high cache hit rates. In our proposal, the decision about whether to cache a piece of data depends on the relative locations of the forwarding node and the producer. As different nodes are responsible for caching different pieces of content, the memory requirements for the caching memory of the routers are equalized.

We have compared two different strategies for establishing the location of caching nodes relative to the producers: an *in-axes* alternative that places the caching nodes either in the same orbital plane or in different orbital planes, but similar latitude; and a second alternative that divides the constellation into regular regions, each one served by a single caching node. For the in-axes cache placement strategy, we have presented a linear-cost algorithm to obtain the optimal location of a given number of caching nodes that minimizes path lengths. Experimental results show that most of the performance is gained with just a few caching nodes per piece of content and that, for a small number of caching nodes, both alternatives produce similar results, although the in-axes approach is more flexible.

Future work includes exploring the possibility to apply these cache placement algorithms in other regular network structures, like, for instance, the power grid.

Acknowledgements

We wish to sincerely thank Margarita González-Romero for her insights and suggestions for dealing with the proof of Lemma 1.

Appendix

About the Interleaved Cache Placement

LEMMA 2. The optimum way to place the caches in the axes is in an interleaved manner, that is, $h_i < v_i < h_{i+1}$.

Proof:

Consider a non-interleaved optimum solution to the problem $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \ldots, h_i, v_j, h_k, \ldots, h_n\}$ and $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_i, h_j, v_k, \ldots, v_n\}$, where $h_i < v_i < h_{i+1}$. According to (6), the cost of this solution is

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{V}\| &= \|(0, v_1, h_1)\| + \|(h_1, h_2, v_1)\| + \|(v_1, v_2, h_2)\| \\ &+ \dots + \|(h_i, v_j, v_i)\| + \|(v_i, h_j, v_j)\| \\ &+ \|(h_j, v_k, v_j)\| + \|(v_j, h_k, v_k)\| \\ &+ \dots + \|(v_{n-1}, v_n, h_n)\|. \end{aligned}$$
(16)

If we swap now v_i and that h_i , so \mathcal{H}' $\{h_1,\ldots,h_i,h_j,h_k,\ldots,h_n\}$ = \mathcal{V}' and = $\{v_1, \ldots, v_i, v_j, v_k, \ldots, v_n\}$, now the cost becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}' \cup \mathcal{V}'\| &= \|(0, v_1, h_1)\| + \|(h_1, h_2, v_1)\| + \|(v_1, v_2, h_2)\| \\ &+ \dots + \|(h_i, h_j, v_i)\| + \|(v_i, v_j, h_j)\| \\ &+ \|(h_j, h_k, v_j)\| + \|(v_j, v_k, h_k)\| \\ &+ \dots + \|(v_{n-1}, v_n, h_n)\|. \end{aligned}$$
(17)

The difference

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{V}\| - \|\mathcal{H}' \cup \mathcal{V}'\| &= h_i h_j v_i - h_j v_i^2 - h_k v_j^2 \\ &+ (h_j h_k - h_i v_i + v_i^2) v_j \\ &- (h_j v_j - v_j^2) v_k \\ &= v_j (v_i^2 + v_j v_k - v_j h_k - h_i v_i) \\ &- h_j (v_i^2 + v_j v_k - v_j h_k - h_i v_i) \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$
(18)

if

$$v_j > h_j, \tag{19}$$

that is true because it is just a hypothesis of Lemma 2, and

$$v_{i}^{2} + v_{j}v_{k} - v_{j}h_{k} - h_{i}v_{i} > h_{i}v_{i} + v_{j}v_{k} - v_{j}h_{k} - h_{i}v_{i} > h_{i}v_{i} + v_{j}h_{k} - v_{j}h_{k} - h_{i}v_{i} > 0,$$
(20)

which is easy to check as both $h_i < v_i$ and $h_k < v_k$.

REFERENCES

[1]	J. McDowell
	Startlink Statistics
	Jul. 2022. [Online]. Available: https://planet4589.org/space/
	stats/star/starstats.html
[2]	UK Space Agency
	£18m for OneWeb satellite constellation to deliver global

communications Feb. 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.gov.uk/government/news/ 18m-for-oneweb-satellite-constellation-to-deliver-global-communications

- [3] J. D. Hindin, M. M. Lottenbach, and C. A. Keisner Application for authority to launch and operate a nongeostationary satellite orbit system in ka-band frequencies *Federal Communications Commission*, p. 36, Jul. 2019. [Online]. Available: https://web.archive.org/web/ 20190706025649if_/https://licensing.fcc.gov/myibfs/download. do%3Fattachment_key%3D1773656
- [4] Telesat Telesat Lightspeed LEO Network May 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.telesat.com/ leo-satellites/
- [5] D. Bhattacherjee and A. Singla Network topology design at 27,000 km/hour In Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Emerging Networking Experiments And Technologies. Orlando Florida: ACM, Dec. 2019, pp. 341–354.
- [6] H. Pan, H. Yao, T. Mai, N. Zhang, and Y. Liu Scalable Traffic Control Using Programmable Data Planes in a Space Information Network *IEEE Network*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 35–41, Jul. 2021, conference Name: IEEE Network.
- [7] T. Koponen *et al.* A Data-Oriented (and Beyond) Network Architecture *SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev.*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 181–192, Oct. 2007.
 [8] Z. Xia
 - Z. Xia Adapting Named Data Networking (NDN) for Better Consumer Mobility Support in LEO Satellite Networks Wireless Networks, p. 10, 2021.
- [9] C. Ghasemi, H. Yousefi, and B. Zhang Far Cry: Will CDNs Hear NDN's Call? In Proceedings of the 7th ACM Conference on Information-Centric Networking, ser. ICN '20. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Sep. 2020, pp. 89–98.
- [10] S. Lederer, C. Mueller, C. Timmerer, and H. Hellwagner Adaptive multimedia streaming in information-centric networks *IEEE Network*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 91–96, Nov. 2014.
- [11] B. Rainer, D. Posch, and H. Hellwagner Investigating the Performance of Pull-Based Dynamic Adaptive Streaming in NDN

IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol. 34, no. 8, pp. 2130–2140, Aug. 2016.

[12] R. Tourani, S. Misra, T. Mick, and G. Panwar Security, Privacy, and Access Control in Information-Centric Networking: A Survey IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 566-600, 2018. [13] M. Handley Delay is Not an Option: Low Latency Routing in Space In Proceedings of the 17th ACM Workshop on Hot Topics in Networks. Redmond WA USA: ACM, Nov. 2018, pp. 85-91. [14] A. U. Chaudhry and H. Yanikomeroglu Laser Intersatellite Links in a Starlink Constellation: A Classification and Analysis IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 48-56, Jun. 2021. [15] L. Zhang et al. Named data networking Computer Communication Review, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 66-73, 2014. [16] M. Rodríguez Pérez Simulation environment for trying cache placement strategies on a grid-like NDN network Jun. 2022. [Online]. Available: https://github.com/ ICARUS-ICN/ndn-grid-cache [17] ndnSIM authors ndnSIM: NS-3 based NDN simulator Jun. 2022. [Online]. Available: https://github.com/ named-data-ndnSIM/ndnSIM [18] M. Rodríguez Pérez Fast in-axis cache placement algorithm for grid-like NDN networks Jun. 2022. [Online]. Available: https://github.com/ ICARUS-ICN/fastgridcache [19] C. G. Bassa, O. R. Hainaut, and D. Galadí-Enríquez Analytical simulations of the effect of satellite constellations on optical and near-infrared observations

Astronomy & Astrophysics, vol. 657, p. A75, Jan. 2022.