## Correction to "On the Optimality of Beamforming with Quantized Feedback"

Syed A. Jafar and Sudhir Srinivasa

*Abstract*—This correspondence corrects an error in our paper titled, "On the Optimality of Beamforming with Quantized Feedback", published in the IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 2288-2302, Dec. 2007.

*Index Terms*—Beamforming, multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) channels, feedback.

**T** HE objective function in (19) is not concave in **b** (for the same reason that the function  $\log(1 + ax^2)$  is not concave in x). Therefore, the condition presented in Theorem 1 is only a necessary condition. In its corrected form, Theorem 1 is stated as follows (changing "if and only if" to "only if").

*Theorem 1:* (Symmetry Condition): The unit vector **b** is the optimal beamforming direction given  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{D}_n$  only if

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{h}\in\mathcal{D}_n}\left[\frac{h_{||}h_{\perp j}^*}{1+P|h_{||}|^2}\right] = 0 \qquad \forall 2 \le j \le M.$$

Consider any set of vectors  $\{\mathbf{u}_1 = b, \mathbf{u}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{u}_{M-1}, \mathbf{u}_M\}$  that form an orthonormal basis in *M*-dimensional space.  $h_{||}$  is the projection of the channel along **b** (i.e.,  $h_{||} = \mathbf{b}^{\dagger}\mathbf{h}$ ) while  $h_{\perp j}$ is the projection along  $\mathbf{u}_j$  for  $j \geq 2$  (i.e.,  $h_{\perp j} = \mathbf{u}_j^{\dagger}\mathbf{h}$ ).

## I. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to Dr. Giorgio Taricco for kindly bringing this error to our attention.

Manuscript received January 16, 2014; no revision. The editor coordinating the review of this paper and approving it for publication was N. Al-Dhahir.

The authors are with the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of California—Irvine (e-mail: syed@uci.edu, sudhirs@gmail.com).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TCOMM.2014.012014.140002