Erratum to "Factors Affecting Enhanced Video Quality Preferences"

PremNandhini Satgunam, Russell L. Woods,
P. Matthew Bronstad, and Eli Peli

In [1], a delay in communicating proof errors occurred. The paper was published without corrections. The following corrections are important.

The first name of the first author is PremNandhini.

The dates in the first footnote should read as follows.
Manuscript received March 14, 2012
Final revision received July 15, 2013
Accepted for publication August 29, 2013

Mentions in the text to section 2.4, section 2.5, and section 3.1 should be read as Sections II.D, II.E, and III.A, respectively.

Mentions in the text to Table 1 and Table 2 should be read as Table I and Table II, respectively.

Table II legend should read as follows:
"…thus for participant 2, in total low was preferred **2** times, out of 8, over off." (not 4 times, out of 8, over off).

Page 5149: Equation (1) should read:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \left(\beta_1 + \beta_{g1} + \beta_{c1}\right) X_{ij1} \\ &\quad + \left(\beta_2 + \beta_{g2} + \beta_{c2}\right) X_{ij2} \\ &\quad + \left(\beta_3 + \beta_{g3} + \beta_{c3}\right) X_{ij3} \\ &\quad + \beta_s X_{si} + \beta_d X_{di} + \phi_i + \theta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Equation (1) is the same as Equation (A8) in the appendix.

Manuscript received October 28, 2013; accepted October 28, 2013. Date of current version January 9, 2013.

P. Satgunam is with Hyderabad Eye Research Foundation, L. V. Prasad Eye Institute, Hyderabad 500034, India (e-mail: premnandhini@lvpei.org).

R. L. Woods, P. M. Bronstad, and E. Peli are with the Harvard Medical School, Schepens Eye Research Institute, Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Boston, MA 02114 USA (e-mail: russell_woods@meei.harvard.edu; matthew_bronstad@meei.harvard.edu; eli_peli@meei.harvard.edu).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TIP.2013.2288513

Page 5150: Footnotes should read as:
[2]Equivalent to equation 1 with $\beta_{gk} = \beta_{ck} = \beta_s = \beta_d = 0$.
[3]Equivalent to equation 1 with $\beta_{gk} = \beta_{ck} = 0$.
[4]Equivalent to equation 1 with $\beta_{ck} = 0$.

On page 5155: Equation (A5) should read as:

$$a_{ij} = \beta_1 X_{ij1} + \beta_2 X_{ij2} + \beta_3 X_{ij3} + \phi_i + \theta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}. \tag{A5}$$

On page 5155: Equation (A6) should read as:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \beta_1 X_{ij1} + \beta_2 X_{ij2} + \beta_3 X_{ij3} + \beta_s X_{si} \\ &\quad + \beta_d X_{di} + \phi_i + \theta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

On page 5156: Equation (A7) should read as:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \beta_1 X_{ij1} + \beta_2 X_{ij2} + \beta_3 X_{ij3} + \beta_{g1} X_{ij1} + \beta_{g2} X_{ij2} \\ &\quad + \beta_{g3} X_{ij3} + \beta_s X_{si} + \beta_d X_{di} + \phi_i + \theta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

On page 5156: Equation (A7a) should read as:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \left(\beta_1 + \beta_{g1}\right) X_{ij1} + \left(\beta_2 + \beta_{g2}\right) X_{ij2} + \left(\beta_3 + \beta_{g3}\right) X_{ij3} \\ &\quad + \beta_s X_{si} + \beta_d X_{di} + \phi_i + \theta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{A7a}$$

On page 5156: Equation (A8) should read as:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \left(\beta_1 + \beta_{g1} + \beta_{c1}\right) X_{ij1} \\ &\quad + \left(\beta_2 + \beta_{g2} + \beta_{c2}\right) X_{ij2} \\ &\quad + \left(\beta_3 + \beta_{g3} + \beta_{c3}\right) X_{ij3} \\ &\quad + \beta_s X_{si} + \beta_d X_{di} + \phi_i + \theta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

REFERENCES

[1] P. Satgunam, R. L. Woods, P. M. Bronstad, and E. Peli, "Factors affecting enhanced video quality preferences," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 5146–5157, Dec. 2013.