New code upper bounds from the Terwilliger algebra and semidefinite programming

Alexander Schrijver

Abstract—We give a new upper bound on the maximum size A(n, d) of a binary code of word length n and minimum distance at least d. It is based on block-diagonalising the Terwilliger algebra of the Hamming cube. The bound strengthens the Delsarte bound, and can be calculated with semidefinite programming in time bounded by a polynomial in n. We show that it improves a number of known upper bounds for concrete values of n and d.

From this we also derive a new upper bound on the maximum size A(n, d, w) of a binary code of word length n, minimum distance at least d, and constant weight w, again strengthening the Delsarte bound and yielding several improved upper bounds for concrete values of n, d, and w.

Index Terms— block-diagonalisation, codes, constant-weight codes, Delsarte bound, semidefinite programming, Terwilliger algebra, upper bounds.

I. DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD

We present a new upper bound on A(n,d), the maximum size of a binary code of word length n and minimum distance at least d. The bound is based on block-diagonalising the (noncommutative) Terwilliger algebra of the Hamming cube and on semidefinite programming. The bound refines the Delsarte bound [4], which is based on diagonalising the (commutative) Bose-Mesner algebra of the Hamming cube and on linear programming. We describe the approach in this section, and go over to the details in Section II.

Taking a tensor product of the algebra, this approach also yields a new upper bound on A(n, d, w), the maximum size of a binary code of word length n, minimum distance at least d, and constant weight w. This bound strengthens the Delsarte bound for constant-weight codes. We describe this method in Section III.

Fix a nonnegative integer n, and let \mathcal{P} be the collection of all subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. We identify code words in $\{0, 1\}^n$ with their support. So a code C is a subset of \mathcal{P} . The *Hamming distance* of $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}$ is equal to $|X \triangle Y|$. The *minimum distance* of a code C is the minimum Hamming distance of distinct elements of C. For finite sets U and V, a $U \times V$ matrix is a matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by U and V, respectively.

For background on coding theory and association schemes we refer to MacWilliams and Sloane [9]. However, most of this paper is self-contained. While we will mention below a theorem on the existence of a block-diagonalisation of a C*algebra, we prove this theorem for the algebras concerned by displaying an explicit block-diagonalisation.

A. The Terwilliger algebra

We first describe the Terwilliger algebra of the Hamming cube, in a form convenient for our purposes. For background we refer to our notes in Subsection I-C.

For nonnegative integers i, j, t, let $M_{i,j}^t$ be the $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ matrix with

(1)
$$(M_{i,j}^t)_{X,Y} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |X| = i, |Y| = j, |X \cap Y| = t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}$. So $(M_{i,j}^t)^{\mathsf{T}} = M_{j,i}^t$. It is trivial but useful to note that if |X| = i and |Y| = j, then $|X \cap Y| = t$ is equivalent to $|X \triangle Y| = i + j - 2t$.

Let \mathcal{A}_n be the set of matrices

(2)
$$\sum_{i,j,t=0}^{n} x_{i,j}^{t} M_{i,j}^{t}$$

with $x_{i,j}^t \in \mathbb{C}$. It is easy to check that \mathcal{A}_n is a *C**-algebra: it is closed under addition, scalar and matrix multiplication, and taking the adjoint. (Matrix multiplication follows from the fact that $M_{i,j}^t M_{j',k}^s = \mathbf{0}$ if $j \neq j'$, and that for $X, Z \in \mathcal{P}$ then the number of $Y \in \mathcal{P}$ with |Y| = j, $|X \cap Y| = t$, $|Y \cap Z| = s$ only depends on |X|, |Z|, and $|X \cap Z|$. So $M_{i,j}^t M_{j,k}^s$ is a linear combination of $M_{i,k}^u$ for $u = 0, \ldots, n$.)

This algebra is called the *Terwilliger algebra* [14] of the *Hamming cube* H(n, 2). It has dimension

(3)
$$\dim \mathcal{A}_n = \binom{n+3}{3},$$

since it is the number of triples (i, j, t) with $M_{i,j}^t \neq \mathbf{0}$, which is equal to the number of triples (a, b, t) with $a + b + t \leq n$.

Since \mathcal{A}_n is a C*-algebra and since \mathcal{A}_n contains the identity matrix, there exists a unitary $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ matrix U (that is, $U^*U = I$) and positive integers $p_0, q_0, \ldots, p_m, q_m$ (for some m) such that $U^*\mathcal{A}_n U$ is equal to the collection of all block-diagonal matrices

(4)
$$\begin{pmatrix} C_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & C_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & C_m \end{pmatrix}$$

(for later purposes, we start the numbering at 0), where each C_k is a block-diagonal matrix with q_k repeated, identical blocks of order p_k :

CWI and University of Amsterdam. *Mailing address:* CWI, Kruislaan 413, 1098 SJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands. *Email:* lex@cwi.nl

(5)
$$C_k = \begin{pmatrix} B_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & B_k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & B_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

So $p_0^2 + \dots + p_m^2 = \dim(\mathcal{A}_n) = \binom{n+3}{3}$ and $p_0 q_0 + \dots + p_m q_m = 2^n$.

By deleting copies of blocks, we see that A_n is (as a C*-algebra) isomorphic to the direct sum

(6)
$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{m} \mathbb{C}^{p_k \times p_k} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & B_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & B_m \end{pmatrix} \mid B_k \in \mathbb{C}^{p_k \times p_k} \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, m \right\}.$$

The isomorphism maintains positive semidefiniteness of matrices. The number m and the block sizes p_k and block multiplicities q_k are (up to permutation of the indices k) uniquely determined by the C*-algebra.

So far, this is all standard C*-algebra theory, but we will need this block-diagonalisation of the Terwilliger algebra \mathcal{A}_n more explicitly. In Section II we will specify a matrix U with the required properties. It will turn out that U can be taken real, that $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, and that, for $k = 0, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, there is a block B_k of order $p_k = n-2k+1$ and multiplicity $q_k = \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k-1}$. (One may check that indeed $\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (n-2k+1)^2 = \binom{n+3}{3}$ (cf. (48) below) and $\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k-1} (n-2k+1) = 2^n$ (cf. (41) below).)

To describe the image of (2) in (6), define, for $i, j, k, t \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$:

(7)
$$\beta_{i,j,k}^t := \sum_{u=0}^n (-1)^{u-t} {\binom{u}{t}} {\binom{n-2k}{u-k}} {\binom{n-k-u}{i-u}} {\binom{n-k-u}{j-u}}$$

In Theorem 1 (concluding Section II) we will see that, for $k = 0, ..., \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, the kth block B_k of the image (6) of (2) is the following $(n - 2k + 1) \times (n - 2k + 1)$ matrix:

(8)
$$\left(\sum_{t} {\binom{n-2k}{i-k}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} {\binom{n-2k}{j-k}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{i,j,k}^{t} x_{i,j}^{t} \right)_{i,j=k}^{n-k}$$

B. Application to coding

Let $C \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ be any code. It will be convenient to assume $\emptyset \neq C \neq \mathcal{P}$.

Let Π be the set of (distance-preserving) automorphisms π of \mathcal{P} with $\emptyset \in \pi(C)$, and let Π' be the set of automorphisms π of \mathcal{P} with $\emptyset \notin \pi(C)$. Let $\chi^{\pi(C)}$ denote the incidence vector of $\pi(C)$ in $\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{P}}$ (taken as *column* vector). Define the $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ matrices R and R' by:

(9)
$$R := \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} |\Pi|^{-1} \chi^{\pi(C)} (\chi^{\pi(C)})^{\mathsf{T}} \text{ and} R' := \sum_{\pi \in \Pi'}^{\pi(C)} |\Pi'|^{-1} \chi^{\pi(C)} (\chi^{\pi(C)})^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

As R and R' are sums of positive semidefinite matrices, they are positive semidefinite. Moreover, R and R' belong to A_n . To see this, define

(10)
$$x_{i,j}^t := \frac{1}{|C|\binom{n}{(i-t,j-t,t)}} \lambda_{i,j}^t,$$

where $\binom{a}{b_1,\ldots,b_m}$ denotes the number of pairwise disjoint subsets of a set of size a, of sizes b_1,\ldots,b_m respectively, and where

(11)
$$\lambda_{i,j}^t :=$$
 the number of triples $(X, Y, Z) \in C^3$ with $|X \triangle Y| = i, |X \triangle Z| = j, \text{ and } |(X \triangle Y) \cap (X \triangle Z)| = t.$

We set $x_{i,j}^t = 0$ if $\binom{n}{i-t,j-t,t} = 0$. Then

Proposition 1:

(12)
$$R = \sum_{i,j,t} x_{i,j}^t M_{i,j}^t \text{ and}$$
$$R' = \frac{|C|}{2^n - |C|} \sum_{i,j,t} (x_{i+j-2t,0}^0 - x_{i,j}^t) M_{i,j}^t.$$

Proof: Consider any $X \in \mathcal{P}$, and let Π_X be the set of automorphisms π of \mathcal{P} with $\pi(X) = \emptyset$. So $|\Pi_X| = n!$. Let

(13)
$$R_X := \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_X} |\Pi_X|^{-1} \chi^{\pi(C)} (\chi^{\pi(C)})^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

As the value of $(R_X)_{Y,Z}$ only depends on $|X \triangle Y|$, $|X \triangle Z|$, and $|(X \triangle Y) \cap (X \triangle Z)|$, we know that R_X belongs to \mathcal{A}_n . In fact,

(14)
$$R_X = \sum_{i,j,t} {\binom{n}{i-t,j-t,t}}^{-1} \lambda_{i,j}^{t,X} M_{i,j}^t$$

where $\lambda_{i,j}^{t,X}$ is the number of pairs $(Y,Z) \in C^2$ with $|X \triangle Y| = i$, $|X \triangle Z| = j$, and $|(X \triangle Y) \cap (X \triangle Z)| = t$.

Equation (14) follows from the fact that for any $\pi \in \Pi_X$ and for all i, j, t, the number of 1's of $\chi^{\pi(C)}(\chi^{\pi(C)})^{\mathsf{T}}$ in positions where $M_{i,j}^t$ is 1, is equal to $\lambda_{i,j}^{t,X}$. As there are $\binom{n}{(i-t,j-t,t)}$ such positions, we obtain (14).

Now $R = \sum_{X \in C} |C|^{-1} R_X$ and $R' = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P} \setminus C} (2^n - |C|)^{-1} R_X$. Moreover,

(15)
$$\sum_{X \in C} \lambda_{i,j}^{t,X} = \lambda_{i,j}^{t}$$

and

(16)
$$\sum_{X\in\mathcal{P}\backslash C}\lambda_{i,j}^{t,X} = {i+j-2t \choose i-t}{n-i-j+2t \choose t}\lambda_{i+j-2t,0}^0 - \lambda_{i,j}^t.$$

The latter expression follows from

(17)
$$\sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}} \lambda_{i,j}^{t,X} = {i+j-2t \choose i-t} {n-i-j+2t \choose t} \lambda_{i+j-2t,0}^0$$

which holds since for any pair $(Y, Z) \in C^2$ with $|Y \triangle Z| = i + j - 2t$, the number of sets $X \in \mathcal{P}$ with $|X \triangle Y| = i$, $|X \triangle Z| = j$, and $|(X \triangle Y) \cap (X \triangle Z)| = t$ is equal to $\binom{i+j-2t}{i-t} \binom{n-i-j+2t}{t}$. Since $|\Pi| = |C|n!$ and $|\Pi'| = (2^n - |C|)n!$, and since

(18)
$$\binom{n}{i-t,j-t,t}^{-1}\binom{i+j-2t}{i-t}\binom{n-i-j+2t}{t} = \binom{n}{i+j-2t}^{-1}$$

(14) gives (12).

and

The positive semidefiniteness of R and R' is by (8) equivalent to:

(19) for each $k = 0, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, the matrices

$$\left(\sum_{t=0}^{n} \beta_{i,j,k}^{t} x_{i,j}^{t}\right)_{i,j=k}^{n-k}$$
$$\left(\sum_{t=0}^{n} \beta_{i,j,k}^{t} (x_{i+j-2t,0}^{0} - x_{i,j}^{t})\right)_{i,j=k}^{n-k}$$

are positive semidefinite.

(We have deleted the factor $\binom{n-2k}{i-k}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \binom{n-2k}{j-k}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ as it makes the coefficients integer, while positive semidefiniteness is maintained.)

The $x_{i,j}^t$'s moreover satisfy the following constraints, where (iv) holds if C has minimum distance at least d:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(20)} & \text{(i)} \ x_{0,0}^0 = 1, \\ \text{(ii)} \ 0 \leq x_{i,j}^t \leq x_{i,0}^0 \ \text{and} \ x_{i,0}^0 + x_{j,0}^0 \leq 1 + x_{i,j}^t \ \text{for all} \\ & i,j,t \in \{0,\ldots,n\}, \\ \text{(iii)} \ x_{i,j}^t = x_{i',j'}^{t'} \ \text{if} \ (i',j',i'+j'-2t') \ \text{is a permutation} \\ & \text{of} \ (i,j,i+j-2t), \\ \text{(iv)} \ x_{i,j}^t = 0 \ \text{if} \ \{i,j,i+j-2t\} \cap \{1,\ldots,d-1\} \neq \emptyset. \end{array}$

(Condition (ii) follows from the fact that each row of R and R' is nonnegative and is dominated by its diagonal entry (by (9)). Conditions (iii) and (iv) follow from the fact that $\lambda_{i,j}^t$ is equal to the number of triples (X, Y, Z) in C^3 with $|X \triangle Y| = i$, $|X \triangle Z| = j$, and $|Y \triangle Z| = i + j - 2t$, as follows directly from (11).)

Moreover,

(21)
$$|C| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} {n \choose i} x_{i,0}^{0},$$

since $|C|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_{i,0}^0$. Hence we obtain an upper bound on A(n,d) by considering the $x_{i,j}^t$ as variables, and by

(22) maximizing
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} {n \choose i} x_{i,0}^{0}$$
 subject to conditions (19) and (20).

This is a semidefinite programming problem with $O(n^3)$ variables, and it can be solved in time polynomial in n. (A generic form of a semidefinite programming problem is: given $c_1, \ldots, c_t \in \mathbb{R}$ and real symmetric matrices A_0, \ldots, A_t, B (of equal dimensions), find $x_1, \ldots, x_t \in \mathbb{R}$ that maximize $\sum_i c_i x_i$ subject to the condition that $(\sum_i x_i A_i) - B$ is positive semidefinite. If all A_i and B are diagonal matrices, we have a linear programming problem. Under certain conditions (which are satisfied in the present case), semidefinite programming problems can be solved in polynomial time. For background on semidefinite programming we refer to Todd [15] and Wright [17].)

One may reduce the number of variables by using the wellknown facts that if d is odd then A(n,d) = A(n+1,d+1)and that if d is even then A(n,d) is attained by a code with all code words having even Hamming weights. So one can put $x_{i,j}^t = 0$ if i or j is odd.

The method gives, in the range $n \leq 28$, the new upper bounds on A(n,d) given in Table I (cf. the tables given by Best, Brouwer, MacWilliams, Odlyzko, and Sloane [3] and Agrell, Vardy, and Zeger [2]; $A(25,8) \leq 5557$ and $A(26,10) \leq 989$ were shown by Mounits, Etzion, and Litsyn [11], $A(22,10) \geq 64$ by Östergård [12], and $A(25,10) \geq 192$ and $A(26,10) \geq 384$ by Elssel and Zimmermann [5] (see also Andries Brouwer's website http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/codes/ binary-1.html)).

		best			
		lower new		best upper bound	
		bound	upper	previously	Delsarte
n	d	known	bound	known	bound
19	6	1024	1280	1288	1289
23	6	8192	13766	13774	13775
25	6	16384	47998	48148	48148
19	8	128	142	144	145
20	8	256	274	279	290
25	8	4096	5477	5557	6474
27	8	8192	17768	17804	18189
28	8	16384	32151	32204	32206
22	10	64	87	88	95
25	10	192	503	549	551
26	10	384	886	989	1040

TABLE I New upper bounds on A(n, d)

Our computations were done by the algorithm SDPT3 version 3.02 (cf. Tütüncü, Toh, and Todd [16]), which is available through the web on the NEOS Server for Optimization (http://www-neos.mcs.anl.gov/neos/server-solvers. html#SDP). The answers have been confirmed by the algorithm DSDP version 5.5, available on the same server.

We note that the new bound is stronger than the Delsarte bound, which is equal to the maximum value of $\sum_{i} {n \choose i} x_{i,0}^{0}$ subject to the condition that $x_{i,0}^{0} \ge 0$ for all i and $x_{i,0}^{0} = 0$ if $1 \le i \le d-1$, and to the condition that

(23)
$$\sum_{i,j,t} x_{i+j-2t,0}^0 M_{i,j}^t \text{ is positive semidefinite.}$$

(This matrix belongs to the Bose-Mesner algebra, which is a

subalgebra of A_n .) The latter condition is equivalent to the Delsarte inequalities (involving the Krawtchouk polynomial). (Then the variables different from the $x_{i,0}^0$ are superfluous and can be deleted.) Condition (23) is a consequence of the positive semidefiniteness of the matrices

(24)
$$\sum_{i,j,t} x_{i,j}^t M_{i,j}^t$$
 and $\sum_{i,j,t} (x_{i+j-2t,0}^0 - x_{i,j}^t) M_{i,j}^t$,

which is, as we saw, equivalent to (19).

A sharpening of the bound can be obtained by adding the conditions (for appropriate i)

(25)
$$\binom{n}{i} x_{i,0}^0 \le A^*(n,d,i),$$

where $A^*(n, d, i)$ is any upper bound on the maximum size of a constant-weight code of word length n, minimum distance at least d, and constant weight i. Adding these constraints to the new bound seems less effective than adding them to the Delsarte bound, as the new bound implicitly contains the Delsarte bound for the Johnson schemes. Using known upper bounds $A^*(n, d, i)$, we did not obtain in this way any improvement in the above table.

C. Some background

Above we have introduced the Terwilliger algebra of the Hamming cube in a way that is convenient for our purposes, which differs slightly from the usual (but equivalent) definition. In the usual terminology, we consider the Terwilliger algebra $T = T(\mathbf{0})$ of the Hamming cube H(n, 2) with respect to **0**. This is the algebra generated by the $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ 0, 1 matrices A_d and E_d^* for $d = 0, \ldots, n$, where $(A_d)_{X,Y} = 1 \iff |X \triangle Y| = d$, and $(E_d^*)_{X,Y} = 1 \iff X = Y$ and |X| = d. Then $M_{i,j}^t = E_i^* A_{i+j-2t} E_j^*$ for all i, j, t. Conversely, $A_d = \sum_{i,j,t;i+j-2t=d} M_{i,j}^t$ and $E_d^* = M_{d,d}^d$ for each d. So $T(\mathbf{0})$ coincides with our algebra \mathcal{A}_n .

Basic properties of the Terwilliger algebra of the Hamming cube were found by Go [6]. In particular, Go identified the irreducible *T*-modules of the algebra, which implies the block sizes and block multiplicities of A_n . Go also described bases for these modules. Our paper needs, and gives, a more explicit description of these bases. It also yields an explicit decomposition of the Terwilliger algebra into irreducible constituents.

The present research roots in two basic papers presenting eigenvalue techniques to obtain upper bounds: Delsarte [4], giving a bound on codes based on association schemes, and Lovász [7], giving a bound on the Shannon capacity of a graph. It was shown by McEliece, Rodemich, and Rumsey [10] and Schrijver [13] that the Delsarte bound is a special case of (a close variant of) the Lovász bound. (This is not to say that the Lovász bound supersedes the Delsarte bound: essential in the latter bound is a reduction of the 2^n -vertex graph problem to a linear programming problem of order n.) An extension of the Lovász bound based on 'matrix cuts' was given by Lovász and Schrijver [8]. Applying a variant of matrix cuts to the coding problem leads to considering the Terwilliger algebra as above.

II. BLOCK-DIAGONALISATION OF THE TERWILLIGER ALGEBRA

In this section we show that (8) indeed describes the blockdiagonalisation of \mathcal{A}_n . For $k = 0, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, let L_k be the linear space

(26)
$$L_k := \{ b \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{P}} \mid M_{k-1,k}^{k-1} b = 0, \text{ and } b_X = 0 \text{ if } |X| \neq k \}.$$

Then

(27)
$$M_{i,k}^i b = 0$$
 for all $i < k$ and $b \in L_k$,

since $M_{i,k-1}^{i}M_{k-1,k}^{k-1} = (k-i)M_{i,k}^{i}$. The dimension of L_k is given by:

(28) dim
$$L_k = \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k-1}$$
,

since:

Proposition 2: For each
$$k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$$
, $M_{k-1,k}^{k-1}$ has rank $\binom{n}{k-1}$.

Proof: We have

(29)
$$M_{k-1,k}^{k-1}M_{k,k-1}^{k-1} = M_{k-1,k-2}^{k-2}M_{k-2,k-1}^{k-2} + (n-2k+2)M_{k-1,k-1}^{k-1}.$$

As $M_{k-1,k-2}^{k-2}M_{k-2,k-1}^{k-2}$ is positive semidefinite, as n-2k+2 > 0, and as $M_{k-1,k-1}^{k-1}$ is positive semidefinite of rank $\binom{n}{k-1}$, we know that $M_{k-1,k}^{k-1}M_{k,k-1}^{k-1}$ has rank $\binom{n}{k-1}$. Hence also $M_{k-1,k}^{k-1}$ has rank $\binom{n}{k-1}$.

The following formula is basic to our results (note that $c^{\mathsf{T}}b = 0$ if $c \in L_l$, $b \in L_k$, and $l \neq k$):

Proposition 3: For $i, j, k, l, t \in \{0, ..., n\}$ with $k, l \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, and for $c \in L_l$, $b \in L_k$:

(30)
$$c^{\mathsf{T}} M_{l,i}^{l} M_{i,j}^{t} M_{j,k}^{k} b = \beta_{i,j,k}^{t} c^{\mathsf{T}} b.$$

Proof: First we have for each $s \in \{0, ..., n\}$:

(31)
$$M_{l,s}^{s}M_{s,k}^{s} = \sum_{p=0}^{n} {p \choose s} M_{l,k}^{p},$$

since the entry of this matrix in position (X, Y), with |X| = land |Y| = k, is equal to the number of common subsets of X and Y of size s.

Equation (31) implies for all $l, k, p \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$:

(32)
$$M_{l,k}^p = \sum_{s=0}^n (-1)^{s-p} {s \choose p} M_{l,s}^s M_{s,k}^s,$$

since

(33)
$$\sum_{\substack{s=0\\n}}^{n} (-1)^{s-p} {s \choose p} M_{l,s}^{s} M_{s,k}^{s} = \sum_{\substack{s=0\\n}}^{n} (-1)^{s-p} {s \choose p} \sum_{t=0}^{n} {t \choose s} M_{l,k}^{t} = \sum_{\substack{t=0\\t=0}}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^{s-p} {s \choose p} {t \choose s} M_{l,k}^{t} = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \delta_{t,p} M_{l,k}^{t} = M_{l,k}^{p},$$

where $\delta_{t,p} = 1$ if t = p, and $\delta_{t,p} = 0$ else.

Equation (32) implies that for all $l, k, p \in \{0, ..., n\}$ and $b \in L_k$:

(34)
$$M_{l,k}^{p}b = (-1)^{k-p} {k \choose p} M_{l,k}^{k}b,$$

since $M^s_{l,s}M^s_{s,k}b = 0$ if $s \neq k$: if s < k then $M^s_{s,k}b = 0$ (by (27)) and if s > k then $M_{s,k}^s = \mathbf{0}$. Equation (34) implies

(35)
$$M_{p,j}^p M_{j,k}^k b = \binom{n-k-p}{j-p} M_{p,k}^k b,$$

since

(36)
$$M_{p,j}^{p}M_{j,k}^{k}b = \sum_{t=0}^{n} {\binom{n-p-k+t}{n-j}}M_{p,k}^{t}b = \sum_{t=0}^{n} {\binom{n-p-k+t}{n-j}(-1)^{k-t}\binom{k}{t}}M_{p,k}^{k}b = {\binom{n-k-p}{j-p}}M_{p,k}^{k}b.$$

We finally obtain (30) (using (32) and three times (35)):

(37)
$$c^{\mathsf{T}} M_{l,i}^{l} M_{j,i}^{t} M_{j,k}^{k} b =$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^{n} (-1)^{t-p} {p \choose t} c^{\mathsf{T}} M_{l,i}^{l} M_{j,p}^{p} M_{p,j}^{p} M_{j,k}^{k} b =$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^{n} (-1)^{t-p} {p \choose t} {n-l-p \choose i-p} {n-k-p \choose j-p} c^{\mathsf{T}} M_{l,p}^{l} M_{p,k}^{k} b =$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^{n} (-1)^{t-p} {p \choose t} {n-l-p \choose i-p} {n-k-p \choose j-p} {n-l-k \choose n-p-k} c^{\mathsf{T}} M_{l,k}^{k} b.$$

By (27), the latter expression is nonzero only if l = k, in which case it is equal to $\beta_{i,j,k}^t c^{\mathsf{T}} b$. Since $c^{\mathsf{T}} b = 0$ if $l \neq k$, this proves the proposition.

This implies:

Proposition 4: For $i, j, k, l \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ with $k, l \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, and for $c \in L_l$, $b \in L_k$:

(38)
$$c^{\mathsf{T}} M_{l,i}^{l} M_{j,k}^{k} b = \begin{cases} \binom{n-2k}{i-k} c^{\mathsf{T}} b & \text{if } l=k, \ i=j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Since $M_{l,i}^l M_{j,k}^k = \mathbf{0}$ if $i \neq j$, we can assume i = j. Then

(39)
$$c^{\mathsf{T}} M_{l,i}^{l} M_{i,k}^{k} b = c^{\mathsf{T}} M_{l,i}^{l} M_{i,i}^{i} M_{i,k}^{k} b.$$

If $l \neq k$, this is 0 by (30). If l = k, then, again by (30), it is equal to $\beta_{i,i,k}^i c^{\mathsf{T}} b = \binom{n-2k}{i-k} c^{\mathsf{T}} b$.

For each $k = 0, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, choose an orthonormal basis \mathcal{B}_k of L_k . By (28), $|\mathcal{B}_k| = \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k-1}$. Let

(40)
$$V := \{ (k, b, i) \mid k \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \}, b \in \mathcal{B}_k, i \in \{k, k+1, \dots, n-k\} \}.$$

Then

(41)
$$|V| = 2^n$$

since

(42)
$$|V| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{i,n-i\}} \left(\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k-1} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{\min\{i,n-i\}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} = 2^{n}.$$

For each $(k, b, i) \in V$, define $u_{k,b,i} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{P}}$ by

(43)
$$u_{k,b,i} := {\binom{n-2k}{i-k}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_{i,k}^k b.$$

With (41), Proposition 4 implies that the $u_{k,b,i}$'s form an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{P}}$. Let U be the $\mathcal{P} \times V$ matrix whose (k, b, i)-th column equals $u_{k,b,i}$, for $(k, b, i) \in V$. Then for each triple i, j, t, the matrix $M_{i,j}^t := U^{\mathsf{T}} M_{i,j}^t U$ is in blockdiagonal form. This will follow from:

Proposition 5: For $(l, c, i'), (k, b, j') \in V$ and $i, j, t \in$ $\{0, \ldots, n\}$:

(44)
$$(M_{i,j}^t)_{(l,c,i'),(k,b,j')} = \begin{cases} \binom{n-2k}{i-k}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \binom{n-2k}{j-k}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{i,j,k}^t & \text{if } l = k, i = i', j = j', \\ and b = c, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: We have

(45)
$$(\widetilde{M}_{i,j}^t)_{(l,c,i'),(k,b,j')} = u_{l,c,i'}^{\mathsf{T}} M_{i,j}^t u_{k,b,j'} = \binom{n-2l}{i'-l} \frac{1}{2} \binom{n-2k}{j'-k}^{-\frac{1}{2}} c^{\mathsf{T}} M_{l,i'}^l M_{i,j}^t M_{j',k}^k b.$$

This is 0 if $i' \neq i$ or $j' \neq j$. So we can assume that i = i'and j = j'. Then (30) and (45) imply (44).

This implies that each matrix in $U^{\mathsf{T}}\mathcal{A}_n U$ is a block-diagonal matrix determined by the partition of V into the classes

 $V_{k,b} := \{ (k, b, i) \mid k \le i \le n - k \},\$ (46)

for $k = 0, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}_k$. Indeed, if $(l, c, i'), (k, b, j') \in V$ then $(\widetilde{M}_{i,j}^t)_{(l,c,i'),(k,b,j')} = 0$ if $l \neq k$ or $c \neq b$.

Moreover, for $k \in \{0, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}$, $b, c \in \mathcal{B}_k$, and $i', j' \in$ $\{k, ..., n-k\}$ we have by (44)

(47)
$$(\widetilde{M}_{i,j}^t)_{(k,b,i'),(k,b,j')} = (\widetilde{M}_{i,j}^t)_{(k,c,i'),(k,c,j')}$$

So for each fixed k, the blocks determined by the $V_{k,b}$ (over $b \in \mathcal{B}_k$) are equal.

For each k and each $b \in \mathcal{B}_k$, the block determined by $V_{k,b}$ has size $|V_{k,b}| = n - 2k + 1$. Now

(48)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (n-2k+1)^2 = \binom{n+3}{3}.$$

(Proof: Induction on n. It is true for n = 0 and n = 1. Moreover, $\binom{n+3}{3} - \binom{n+1}{3} = \frac{1}{6}((n+3)(n+2)(n+1) - (n+1)n(n-1)) = \frac{1}{6}((n^3+6n^2+11n+6) - (n^3-n)) = \frac{1}{6}(6n^2+12n+6) = (n+1)^2.)$

As $\binom{n+3}{3}$ is the dimension of \mathcal{A}_n (by (3)), we can conclude that \mathcal{A}_n is (as an algebra) isomorphic to the direct sum

(49)
$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{C}^{V_{k,b_k} \times V_{k,b_k}},$$

where b_k is an arbitrary element of \mathcal{B}_k .

In other words, define, for each $k = 0, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$,

(50)
$$N_k := \{k, k+1, \dots, n-k\}.$$

Then the kth block B_k belongs to $\mathbb{C}^{N_k \times N_k}$, and (using (44)):

Theorem 1: \mathcal{A}_n is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{C}^{N_k \times N_k}$, where (2) maps in $\mathbb{C}^{N_k \times N_k}$ to matrix

(51)
$$\left(\sum_{t} {\binom{n-2k}{i-k}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} {\binom{n-2k}{j-k}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{i,j,k}^{t} x_{i,j}^{t} \right)_{i,j=k}^{n-k}.$$

III. CONSTANT-WEIGHT CODES

We now go over to derive a similar bound for constantweight codes, which is based on considering a tensor product of the algebra \mathcal{A}_n . In the previous sections we fixed n, but now it will be convenient to have n as parameter in our notation. Therefore, we will denote the objects \mathcal{P} , $M_{i,j}^t$, B_k , $\beta_{i,j,k}^t$, and U by \mathcal{P}_n , $M_{i,j}^{t,n}$, B_k^n , $\beta_{i,j,k}^{t,n}$, and U_n , respectively.

A. The algebras $\mathcal{A}_{w,v}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{w,v}$

Choose n and w with $w \leq n$, and define v := n - w. Let $\mathcal{A}_{w,v}$ be the C*-algebra generated by the tensor products¹ of matrices in \mathcal{A}_w and \mathcal{A}_v . So $\mathcal{A}_{w,v}$ is equal to the set of matrices

(52)
$$\sum_{i,j,t,i',j',s} z_{i,j,i',j'}^{t,s} M_{i,j}^{t,w} \circ M_{i',j'}^{s,v}$$

with $z_{i,j,i',j'}^{t,s} \in \mathbb{C}$.

The algebra $\mathcal{A}_{w,v}$ can be brought into block-diagonal form by

(53)
$$(U_w \circ U_v)^\mathsf{T} \mathcal{A}_{w,v} (U_w \circ U_v),$$

since

(54)
$$(U_{w} \circ U_{v})^{\mathsf{T}} (M_{i,j}^{t,w} \circ M_{i',j'}^{s,v}) (U_{w} \circ U_{v}) = (U_{w}^{\mathsf{T}} M_{i,j}^{t,w} U_{w}) \circ (U_{v}^{\mathsf{T}} M_{i',j'}^{s,v} U_{v})$$

for all i, j, i', j', t, s. Then the blocks of $\mathcal{A}_{w,v}$ are spanned by the tensor products $B_k^w \circ B_l^v$ of a block B_k^w of \mathcal{A}_w and a block B_l^v of \mathcal{A}_v . Note that $B_k^w \circ B_l^v$ is a $(W_k \times V_l) \times (W_k \times V_l)$ matrix, where we denote

(55)
$$W_k := \{k, k+1, \dots, w-k\}$$
 and
 $V_l := \{l, l+1, \dots, v-l\}.$

By Theorem 1 and by the definition of tensor product, matrix (52) maps in $B_k^w \circ B_l^v$ to the matrix

(56)
$$\left(\sum_{t,s} \left(\sum_{i-k}^{w-2k} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j-k}^{w-2k} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{i'-l}^{v-2l} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j'-l}^{v-2l} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \beta_{i,j,k}^{t,w} \beta_{i',j',l}^{s,v} z_{i,j,i',j'}^{t,s} \right)_{(i,i'),(j,j')\in W_k \times V_l} \cdot \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \beta_{i,j,k}^{t,w} \beta_{i',j',l}^{s,v} z_{i,j,i',j'}^{t,s} \right)_{(i,i'),(j,j')\in W_k \times V_l} \cdot$$

We next consider the subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_{w,v}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{w,v}$ consisting of all matrices

(57)
$$\sum_{i,j,t,s} y_{i,j}^{t,s} M_{i,j}^{t,w} \circ M_{i,j}^{s,v},$$

with $y_{i,j}^{t,s} \in \mathbb{C}$. So $\mathcal{B}_{w,v}$ consists of all matrices (52) with $z_{i,j,i',j'}^{t,s} = 0$ if $i \neq i'$ or $j \neq j'$.

The image (56) of (57) in block $B_k^w \circ B_l^v$ has zeros in positions (i, i'), (j, j') with $i \neq i'$ or $j \neq j'$. Deleting these rows and columns, we obtain a block of order $|W_k \cap V_l|$ (of zero order if $W_k \cap V_l = \emptyset$). Then (57) maps in this block to

(58)
$$\left(\sum_{\substack{t,s \\ i-k}} {\binom{w-2k}{i-k}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} {\binom{w-2k}{j-k}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} {\binom{v-2l}{i-l}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} {\binom{v-2l}{j-l}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \beta_{i,j,k}^{t,w} \beta_{i,j,l}^{s,v} y_{i,j}^{t,s} \right)_{i,j \in W_k \cap V_l},$$

where we have identified any $i \in W_k \cap V_l$ with the pair $(i, i) \in$ $W_k \times V_l$.

This in fact gives the block-diagonalisation of $\mathcal{B}_{w,v}$. For consider any complex $(W_k \cap V_l) \times (W_k \cap V_l)$ matrix L. Extend L by zeros so as to obtain a $(W_k \times V_l) \times (W_k \times V_l)$ matrix L'. As (56) gives the block-diagonalisation of $\mathcal{A}_{w,v}$, we know that L' is equal to (56) for some $z_{i,j,i',j'}^{t,s}$. Resetting $z_{i,j,i',j'}^{t,s}$

¹The *tensor product* of an $A \times B$ matrix M and a $C \times D$ matrix N is the $(A \times C) \times (\dot{B \times D})$ matrix $M \circ N$ given by $(M \circ N)_{(a,c),(b,d)} := M_{a,b} N_{c,d}$ for $(a, c) \in A \times C$ and $(b, d) \in B \times D$.

to 0 if $i \neq i'$ or $j \neq j'$ does not change L'. Hence L can be given as (58), for $y_{i,j}^{t,s} := z_{i,j,i,j}^{t,s}$.

Incidentally, this implies

(59)
$$\dim(\mathcal{B}_{w,v}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{w}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{w}{2} \rfloor} |W_k \cap V_l|^2.$$

B. Application to constant-weight coding

We proceed as in Section I. Let $C \subseteq \mathcal{P}_n$ be any constantweight code of word length n and constant weight w. Fix a set $X \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with |X| = w. We will identify \mathcal{P}_n and $\mathcal{P}_w \times \mathcal{P}_v$, by identifying any $Y \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with the pair $(X \setminus Y, Y \setminus X) \in$ $\mathcal{P}_w \times \mathcal{P}_v$.

Let Π be the set of (distance-preserving) automorphisms π of \mathcal{P}_n fixing \emptyset and with $X \in \pi(C)$, and let Π' be the set of automorphisms π of \mathcal{P}_n fixing \emptyset and with $X \notin \pi(C)$. Define the matrices R and R' by:

(60)
$$R := \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} |\Pi|^{-1} \chi^{\pi(C)} (\chi^{\pi(C)})^{\mathsf{T}} \text{ and} R' := \sum_{\pi \in \Pi'} |\Pi'|^{-1} \chi^{\pi(C)} (\chi^{\pi(C)})^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

Again, as R and R' are sums of positive semidefinite matrices, they are positive semidefinite. Moreover, R and R' belong to $\mathcal{B}_{w,v}$, using the identification of \mathcal{P}_n and $\mathcal{P}_w \times \mathcal{P}_v$:

(61)
$$R = \sum_{i,j,t,s} y_{i,j}^{t,s} M_{i,j}^{t,w} \circ M_{i,j}^{s,v} \text{ and} R' = \frac{|C|}{2^n - |C|} \sum_{i,j,t,s} (y_{i+j-t-s,0}^{0,0} - y_{i,j}^{t,s}) M_{i,j}^{t,w} \circ M_{i,j}^{s,v},$$

with

(62)
$$y_{i,j}^{t,s} := \frac{1}{|C|{w \choose i-t,j-t,t}} u_{i,j}^{t,s} \mu_{i,j}^{t,s}$$

where

(63) $\mu_{i,j}^{t,s} :=$ the number of triples $(X, Y, Z) \in C^3$ with $|X \setminus Y| = i, |X \setminus Z| = j, |(X \setminus Y) \cap (X \setminus Z)| = t$, and $|(Y \setminus X) \cap (Z \setminus X)| = s$.

The equations in (61) can be proved similarly as Proposition 1.

The positive semidefiniteness of R and R' is by (58) equivalent to:

(64) for each $k = 0, ..., \lfloor \frac{w}{2} \rfloor$ and $l = 0, ..., \lfloor \frac{v}{2} \rfloor$, the matrices

$$\left(\sum_{t,s}\beta^{t,w}_{i,j,k}\beta^{s,v}_{i,j,l}y^{t,s}_{i,j}\right)_{i,j\in W_k\cap V_l}$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{t,s} \beta_{i,j,k}^{t,w} \beta_{i,j,l}^{s,v}(y_{i+j-t-s,0}^{0,0} - y_{i,j}^{t,s})\right)_{i,j \in W_k \cap V_l}$$

are positive semidefinite.

The $y_{i,j}^{t,s}$'s moreover satisfy the following constraints, where (iv) holds if C has minimum distance at least d:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(65)} & (\text{i)} \ y_{0,0}^{0,0} = 1, \\ (\text{ii)} \ 0 \leq y_{i,j}^{t,s} \leq y_{i,0}^{0,0} \ \text{and} \ y_{i,0}^{0,0} + y_{j,0}^{0,0} \leq 1 + y_{i,j}^{t,s} \ \text{for all} \\ i,j,t,s \in \{0,\ldots,\min\{w,v\}\}, \\ (\text{iii)} \ y_{i,j}^{t,s} = y_{i',j'}^{t',s'} \ \text{if} \ t' - s' = t - s \ \text{and} \ (i',j',i'+j' - t' - s') \ \text{is a permutation of} \ (i,j,i+j-t-s), \\ (\text{iv)} \ y_{i,j}^{t,s} = 0 \ \text{if} \ \{2i,2j,2(i+j-t-s)\} \cap \{1,\ldots,d-1\} \neq \emptyset. \end{array}$$

(Condition (ii) follows from the fact that each row of R and R' is nonnegative and is dominated by its diagonal entry (by (60)). Conditions (iii) and (iv) follow from the fact that $\mu_{i,j}^{t,s}$ is equal to the number of triples (X, Y, Z) in C^3 with $|X \triangle Y| = 2i$, $|X \triangle Z| = 2j$, $|Y \triangle Z| = 2(i + j - t - s)$, and $|X \triangle Y \triangle Z| = w + 2t - 2s$, as follows directly from (63).)

Now

(66)
$$|C| = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{w,v\}} {w \choose i} {v \choose i} y_{i,0}^{0,0},$$

since $|C|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{w,v\}} \mu_{i,0}^{0,0}$. Hence we obtain an upper bound on A(n,d,w) by considering the $y_{i,j}^{t,s}$ as variables, and by

(67) maximizing
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\min\{w,v\}} {w \choose i} {v \choose i} y_{i,0}^{0,0}$$
 subject to conditions (64) and (65).

This is a semidefinite programming problem with $O(w^4)$ variables, and it can be solved in time polynomial in n. In the range $n \leq 28$, it gives the new bounds given in Table II (cf. the tables given by Best, Brouwer, MacWilliams, Odlyzko, and Sloane [3] and Agrell, Vardy, and Zeger [1], and Erik Agrell's website http://www.s2.chalmers.se/ \sim agrell/bounds/cw.html). Note that it implies the exact value A(23, 8, 11) = 1288.

Again, this new bound strengthens the Delsarte bound for constant-weight codes, as can be seen by an argument similar to that given in Section I.

Acknowledgements. I thank Rob Ellis, Dion Gijswijt, Monique Laurent, and Dima Pasechnik for very helpful discussions and comments. I am moreover grateful to the two referees and to the editor, Khaled Abdel-Ghaffar, for useful suggestions as to the presentation of the results.

n d w loward bound new upper bound bound bound previously known Delsarte bound 17 6 7 166 228 234 249 17 6 8 184 280 283 283 18 6 6 132 199 202 204 19 6 8 408 718 734 751 21 6 9 11792 3736 3775 3775 22 6 10 218 4415 4416 4734 26 6 11 12037 42075 42081 42081 26 6 12 14836 50169 50204 52440 21 8 10 336 383 399 464 22 8 9 280 473 493 597 23 8 10 616 634 641 1748				best		best upper	
ndwboundupper boundpreviously knownDelsarte bound176716622823424918661321992022041968408718734751216911842359236423642161014542685270227022269179237363775377522610218244154416473426611120374207542081420812789280314320358218928047349359722810616634641758238940070779683023810616102511091111238111288128813281417248964010411143116025810124823332448244825811128821422188230525898291486161016262681225764087416943162681225764087416943162681235735753575 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>now</td><td>best upper</td><td></td></t<>					now	best upper	
							Dalcarta
17 6 7 166 228 234 249 17 6 8 184 280 283 283 18 6 6 132 199 202 204 19 6 8 408 718 734 751 21 6 9 1184 2359 2364 2364 21 6 10 1454 2685 2702 2702 22 6 11 12037 42075 42081 42081 26 6 11 12037 42075 42081 42081 26 6 12 14836 50169 50204 52440 21 8 10 336 383 399 464 22 8 9 280 473 493 597 22 8 10 616 1025 1109 1111 23 8 10		,				1 0	
17681842802832831866132199202204196840871873475121691184223592364236421610145426852702270222691792373637753775226102182441544164734266111203742075420814208126612148365016950204524402189280314320358218103363833994642281061663464175823894007077968302381061610251109111123811128812881328141724896401041114311602481096015511639163924811128821422188230525812257640874169431626813358870807164803027810124823323753575258122576408741694316268 </td <td>n</td> <td>d</td> <td>w</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	n	d	w				
18 6 6 132 199 202 204 19 6 8 408 718 734 751 21 6 9 1184 2359 2364 2364 21 6 10 1454 2685 2702 2702 22 6 10 2182 4415 4416 4734 26 6 11 12037 42075 42081 42081 26 6 12 14836 50169 50204 52440 21 8 9 280 314 320 358 21 8 10 336 383 399 464 22 8 10 616 634 641 758 22 8 10 616 1025 1109 1111 23 8 10 616 1025 1109 1111 24 8 9		6				-	
19 6 8 408 718 734 751 21 6 10 1454 2359 2364 2364 21 6 10 1454 2685 2702 2702 22 6 10 2182 4415 4416 4734 26 6 11 12037 42075 42081 42081 26 6 12 14836 50169 50204 52440 21 8 9 280 314 320 358 21 8 10 336 383 399 464 22 8 9 280 473 493 597 22 8 10 616 1025 1109 1111 23 8 10 616 1025 1109 1111 23 8 10 616 1025 1109 1111 24 8 9 <td>17</td> <td>6</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>283</td> <td>283</td>	17	6	8			283	283
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18	6	6	132	199	202	204
21 6 10 1454 2685 2702 2702 22 6 9 1792 3736 3775 3775 22 6 10 2182 4415 4416 4734 26 6 11 12037 42075 42081 42081 26 6 12 14836 50169 50204 52440 21 8 9 280 314 320 358 21 8 10 616 634 641 758 22 8 11 672 680 766 805 23 8 10 616 6025 1109 1111 23 8 11 1288 1282 1413 1160 24 8 9 640 1041 1143 1160 24 8 10 1248 2333 2448 2448 25 8	19	6	8	408	718	734	751
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	6	9	1184	2359	2364	2364
22610 2182 4415 4416 4734 266111203742075420814208126612148365016950204524402189280314320358218103363833994642289280473493597228106166346417582389400707796830238106161025110911112381112881288132814172489640104111431160248109601551163916392481012482333244824482581112623422357535752581225764087416943162689883210821602282268101519349637193719268111988522553155416268123070674168347634268123070674167837368278101597498652605260278101597498652605260	21	6	10	1454	2685	2702	2702
22610 2182 4415 4416 4734 266111203742075420814208126612148365016950204524402189280314320358218103363833994642289280473493597228106166346417582389400707796830238106161025110911112381112881288132814172489640104111431160248109601551163916392481012482333244824482581112623422357535752581225764087416943162689883210821602282268101519349637193719268111988522553155416268123070674168347634268123070674167837368278101597498652605260278101597498652605260	22	6	9	1792	3736	3775	3775
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			10				
26 6 12 14836 50169 50204 52440 21 8 9 280 314 320 358 21 8 10 336 383 399 464 22 8 9 280 473 493 597 22 8 10 616 634 641 758 22 8 10 616 1025 1109 1111 23 8 9 400 707 796 830 23 8 10 616 1025 1109 1111 23 8 10 616 1025 1109 1111 24 8 9 640 1041 1143 11660 24 8 11 1288 2142 2188 2305 25 8 9 829 1486 1610 1626 25 8 11 1266 3422 3575 3575 25 8 12 2576 4087 4169 4316 26 8 11 1988 5225 5315 5416 26 8 10 1597 4986 5260 5260 27 8 10 1597 4986 5260 5260 27 8 10 1597 4986 5260 5260 27 8 13 3588 7080 7164 8030 27 8			-			-	
2189280 314 320 358 21810 336 383 399 464 2289280 473 493 597 22810 616 634 641 758 22811 672 680 766 805 2389 400 707 796 830 23810 616 1025 1109 1111 23811 1288 1288 1328 1417 2489 640 1041 1143 11639 24810 960 1551 1639 1639 24810 1248 2333 2448 2448 25810 1248 2333 2448 2448 25811 1662 3422 3575 3575 25812 2576 4087 4169 4316 2689 883 2108 2160 2282 26810 1597 4986 5260 5260 27811 1988 5225 5315 5416 26812 3070 6714 6834 7634 26813 3588 7080 7164 8030 27810 1597 4986 5260 5260 27813 4094 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
2181033638339946422892804734935972281061663464175822811672680766805238940070779683023810616102511091111238111288128813281417248964010411143116024810960155116391639248111288214221882305258982914861610162625810124823332448244825811166234223575357525812257640874169431626810151934963719371926811198852255315541626812307067416834763426813358870807164838127813409411981119911288328810182070167368736827813409421152217392173928814609022710232682326824							
2289 280 473 493 597 22 810616634641758 22 811672680766805 23 89400707796830 23 810616102511091111 23 8111288128813281417 24 89640104111431160 24 810960155116391639 24 8111288214221882305 25 89829148616101626 25 8101248233324482448 25 8111662342235753575 25 8122576408741694316 26 89883210821602282 26 8101519349637193719 26 8111988522553155416 26 8123070674168347634 26 8133588708071648030 27 8101597498652605260 27 8112295783378378381 27 8134094119811199112883 28 812491617			-				
22810616634641758 22 811672680766805 23 89400707796830 23 810616102511091111 23 8111288128813281417 24 89640104111431160 24 810960155116391639 24 810960155116391639 24 8101248233324482305 25 89829148616101626 25 8101248233324482448 25 8111662342235753575 25 8122576408741694316 26 89883210821602282 26 8101519349637193719 26 8101597498652605260 27 8101597498652605260 27 8101597498652605260 27 8112295783378378381 27 810180701673687368 28 8124916170111729917299 28 813480521152 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			-				
22811 672 680 766 805 23 89 400 707 796 830 23 810 616 1025 1109 1111 23 811 1288 1288 1328 1417 24 89 640 1041 1143 1160 24 810 960 1551 1639 1639 24 811 1288 2142 2188 2305 25 89 829 1486 1610 1626 25 810 1248 2333 2448 2448 25 810 1248 2333 2448 2448 25 810 1248 2333 2448 2448 26 810 1519 3496 3719 3719 26 810 1519 3496 3719 3719 26 810 1597 4986 5260 5260 27 811 1988 5225 5315 5416 26 812 3070 6741 6834 7634 26 812 3070 6741 6834 7634 26 813 3588 7080 7164 8030 27 813 4094 11981 11991 12883 28 812 4916 17011 17299 17			-				
238940070779683023810616102511091111238111288128813281417248964010411143116024810960155116391639248111288214221882305258982914861610162625810124823332448244825811166234223575357525812257640874169431626898832108216022822681015193496371937192681119885225531554162681230706741683476342681335887080716480302781015974986526052602781122957833783783812781340941191112832881018207016736873682881249161701117299172992881460902271023268232682210104672738224 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
23810 616 1025 1109 1111 23811 1288 1288 1328 1417 2489 640 1041 1143 1160 24810 960 1551 1639 1639 24811 1288 2142 2188 2305 2589 829 1486 1610 1626 25810 1248 2333 2448 2448 25811 1662 3422 3575 3575 25812 2576 4087 4169 4316 2689 883 2108 2160 2282 26810 1519 3496 3719 3719 26811 1988 5225 5315 5416 26812 3070 6741 6834 7634 26813 3588 7080 7164 8030 27810 1597 4986 5260 5260 27811 2295 7833 7837 8381 27810 1820 7016 7368 7368 28812 4916 17011 17299 17299 28814 6090 22710 23268 23268 221010 130 406 410 412 2610<							
23811128812881328141724896401041114311602481096015511639163924811128821422188230525898291486161016262581012482333244824482581116623422357535752581225764087416943162689883210821602282268101519349637193719268111988522553155416268123070674168347634268133588708071648030278101597498652605260278112295783378378381278134094119811199112883288101820701673687368288124916170111729917299288146090227102326823268221011468081882410956118119119251012132434464465							
2489 640 1041 1143 1160 24 810960 1551 1639 1639 24 811 1288 2142 2188 2305 25 89 829 1486 1610 1626 25 810 1248 2333 2448 2448 25 811 1662 3422 3575 3575 25 812 2576 4087 4169 4316 26 89 883 2108 2160 2282 26 810 1519 3496 3719 3719 26 811 1988 5225 5315 5416 26 812 3070 6741 6834 7634 26 811 2295 7833 7837 8381 27 810 1597 4986 5260 5260 27 811 2295 7833 7837 8381 27 810 1820 7016 7368 7368 28 812 4916 17011 17299 17299 28 813 4805 21152 21739 21739 28 814 6090 22710 23268 23268 22 1011 125 380 388 388 24 109 56 118 119							
24810960155116391639 24 8111288214221882305 25 89829148616101626 25 8101248233324482448 25 8111662342235753575 25 8122576408741694316 26 89883210821602282 26 8101519349637193719 26 8111988522553155416 26 8123070674168347634 26 8133588708071648030 27 8101597498652605260 27 8112295783378378381 27 8134094119811199112883 28 8101820701673687368 28 8134805211522173921739 28 8146090227102326823268 22 1011125380388388 24 10956118119119 25 1011162571577579 27 1010162571577579 27 1011222<	23	8	11	1288	1288	1328	1417
248111288 2142 2188 2305 25 89829148616101626 25 8101248233324482448 25 8111662342235753575 25 8122576408741694316 26 89883210821602282 26 8101519349637193719 26 8111988522553155416 26 8123070674168347634 26 8133588708071648030 27 8101597498652605260 27 8112295783378378381 27 8134094119811199112883 28 8101820701673687368 28 8124916170111729917299 28 8134805211522173921739 28 8146090227102326823268 22 1011125380388388 24 10956118119119 25 1011162571577579 27 1010162571577579 27 1011 <td< td=""><td>24</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>640</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	24	8	9	640			
2589829148616101626 25 8101248233324482448 25 8111662342235753575 25 8122576408741694316 26 89883210821602282 26 8101519349637193719 26 8111988522553155416 26 8123070674168347634 26 8133588708071648030 27 8101597498652605260 27 8112295783378378381 27 8134094119811199112883 28 8101820701673687368 28 8124916170111729917299 28 8134805211522173921739 28 8146090227102326823268 22 101046727382 24 10956118119119 25 1011125380388388 24 10956118119119 25 1011168566581621 26 101321075	24	8	10	960	1551	1639	1639
258101248233324482448258111662 3422 3575 3575 25812 2576 4087 4169 4316 2689 883 2108 2160 2282 26810 1519 3496 3719 3719 26811 1988 5225 5315 5416 26812 3070 6741 6834 7634 26812 3070 6741 6834 7634 26813 3588 7080 7164 8030 27810 1597 4986 5260 5260 27811 2295 7833 7837 8381 27813 4094 11981 11991 12883 28810 1820 7016 7368 7368 28812 4916 17011 17299 17299 28813 4805 21152 21739 21739 28814 6090 22710 23268 23268 221010146 72 73 82 24109 56 118 119 119 251012 132 434 464 465 261010 130 406 571 577 579 2710<	24	8	11	1288	2142	2188	2305
258111662 3422 3575 3575 25812 2576 4087 4169 4316 2689 883 2108 2160 2282 26810 1519 3496 3719 3719 26811 1988 5225 5315 5416 26812 3070 6741 6834 7634 26813 3588 7080 7164 8030 27810 1597 4986 5260 5260 27810 1597 4986 5260 5260 27811 2295 7833 7837 8381 27813 4094 11981 11991 12883 28810 1820 7016 7368 7368 28812 4916 17011 17299 17299 28813 4805 21152 21739 21739 28814 6090 22710 23268 23268 221010 46 72 73 82 24109 56 118 119 119 251011 125 380 388 388 24109 56 118 119 119 261010 130 406 410 412 261013	25	8	9	829	1486	1610	1626
2581225764087416943162689883210821602282268101519349637193719268111988522553155416268123070674168347634268133588708071648030278101597498652605260278112295783378378381278134094119811199112883288101820701673687368288124916170111729917299288134805211522173921739288146090227102326823268221010467273822210111253803883882410956118119119251011125380388388261010130406410412261013210754869897271011222882900101126101321075486989727101340514191460147926	25	8	10	1248	2333	2448	2448
2581225764087416943162689883210821602282268101519349637193719268111988522553155416268123070674168347634268133588708071648030278101597498652605260278112295783378378381278134094119811199112883288101820701673687368288124916170111729917299288134805211522173921739288146090227102326823268221010467273822210111253803883882410956118119119251011125380388388261010130406410412261013210754869897271011222882900101126101321075486989727101340514191460147926	25	8	11	1662	3422	3575	3575
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			12				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-				-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
26 8 13 3588 7080 7164 8030 27 8 10 1597 4986 5260 5260 27 8 11 2295 7833 7837 8381 27 8 13 4094 11981 11991 12883 28 8 10 1820 7016 7368 7368 28 8 12 4916 17011 17299 17299 28 8 13 4805 21152 21739 21739 28 8 14 6090 22710 23268 23268 22 10 11 466 80 81 88 24 10 9 56 118 119 119 25 10 11 125 380 388 388 25 10 12 132 43							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-	-				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28	8	14	6090	22/10	23268	23268
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	10	10	46	72	73	82
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	10	11	46	80	81	88
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	10	9	56	118	119	119
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25	10	11	125	380	388	388
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25	10	12	132	434	464	465
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	10	10		406		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							842
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
27 10 13 405 1419 1460 1479 28 10 11 286 1356 1434 1453 28 10 12 365 1977 1981 1981 25 12 10 28 37 38 40 26 12 11 39 66 69 85 26 12 13 58 91 92 106 27 12 10 39 64 65 83							
28 10 11 286 1356 1434 1453 28 10 12 365 1977 1981 1981 25 12 10 28 37 38 40 26 12 11 39 66 69 85 26 12 13 58 91 92 106 27 12 10 39 64 65 83							
28 10 12 365 1977 1981 1981 25 12 10 28 37 38 40 26 12 11 39 66 69 85 26 12 13 58 91 92 106 27 12 10 39 64 65 83							
25 12 10 28 37 38 40 26 12 11 39 66 69 85 26 12 13 58 91 92 106 27 12 10 39 64 65 83							
26 12 11 39 66 69 85 26 12 13 58 91 92 106 27 12 10 39 64 65 83							
26 12 13 58 91 92 106 27 12 10 39 64 65 83							
27 12 10 39 64 65 83							
					91	92	
28 12 10 49 87 99 105	27	12	10		64		83
	28	12	10	49	87	99	105

TABLE II New upper bounds on A(n, d, w)

REFERENCES

- E. Agrell, A. Vardy, K. Zeger, "Upper bounds for constantweight codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-46, pp. 2373–2395, November 2000.
- [2] E. Agrell, A. Vardy, K. Zeger, "A table of upper bounds for binary codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-47, pp. 3004–3006, November 2001.
- [3] M.R. Best, A.E. Brouwer, F.J. MacWilliams, A.M. Odlyzko, N.J.A. Sloane, "Bounds for binary codes of length less than 25," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-24, pp. 81–93, January 1978.
- [4] P. Delsarte, "An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory," *Philips Res. Repts. Suppl.*, no. 10, 1973.
- [5] K. Elssel, K.-H. Zimmermann, "Two new nonlinear binary codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol IT-51, pp. 1189–1190, March 2005.
- [6] J.T. Go, "The Terwilliger algebra of the hypercube," *European J. Combin.*, vol. 23, pp. 399–429, May 2002.
- [7] L. Lovász, "On the Shannon capacity of a graph," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-25, pp. 1–7, January 1979.
- [8] L. Lovász, A. Schrijver, "Cones of matrices and set-functions and 0–1 optimization," *SIAM J. Optim.*, vol. 1, pp. 166–190, May 1991.
- [9] F.J. MacWilliams, N.J.A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, Amsterdam, The Netherlands: North-Holland, 1977.
- [10] R.J. McEliece, E.R. Rodemich, H.C. Rumsey, Jr, "The Lovász bound and some generalizations," J. Combin. Inform. System Sci., vol. 3 (no. 3), pp. 134–152, 1978.
- [11] B. Mounits, T. Etzion, S. Litsyn, "Improved upper bounds on sizes of codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-48, pp. 880–886, April 2002.
- [12] P.R.J. Östergård, "Two new four-error-correcting binary codes," preprint, 2003. Available: http://www.hut.fi/~pat/papers/four.ps
- [13] A. Schrijver, "A comparison of the Delsarte and Lovász bounds," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-25, pp. 425–429, July 1979.
- [14] P. Terwilliger, "The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme (Part I)," J. Algebraic Combin., vol. 1, pp. 363–388, December 1992.
- [15] M.J. Todd, "Semidefinite optimization," Acta Numer., vol. 10, pp. 515–560, May 2001.
- [16] R.H. Tütüncü, K.C. Toh, M.J. Todd, "Solving semidefinitequadratic-linear programs using SDPT3," *Math. Program., Series B*, vol. 95, pp. 189–217, February 2003.
- [17] S.J. Wright, "Nonlinear and semidefinite programming," in: *Trends in Optimization*, Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math., 61, Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 2004, pp. 115–137.