

Comments and Corrections

Errata: Distributed Event-Triggered Adaptive Control for Cooperative Output Regulation of Heterogeneous Multi-Agent Systems Under Switching Topology

Ruohan Yang¹, Hao Zhang¹, Gang Feng², *Fellow, IEEE*, and Huaicheng Yan¹

Abstract—This article aims to point out an error in the concerned paper and a possible correction to address the error. The correction is achieved by several revisions made on the corresponding assumption, event-triggering function, adaptive laws, and event-triggered control law.

Index Terms—Adaptive control, cooperative output regulation, event-triggered control, heterogeneous multi-agent systems, switching topology.

I. CORRIGENDUM

There exists an error in the proof of [1, Th. 1], that also affects Theorem 2. The error happens in the paragraph after [1, eq. (21)]. In fact, the claim “there exist $v_1 \geq 1$ and $v_2 \geq 1$ such that $v_1 d_i(t) \geq d_{\max}(t)$ and $v_2 N^3 \rho_i(t) \geq \rho_{\max}(t)$ ” is incorrect. The claim holds only when $d_i(t)$ and $\rho_i(t)$ are bounded.

To address this error, the following several revisions need to be done.

1) Assumption 1 in [1] needs to be revised as follows.

Assumption 1: Node 0 is globally reachable in each possible graph $\mathcal{G}^\sigma(t)$, and the communication topologies among nodes i , $i = 1, \dots, N$ are undirected.

Remark 1: Although the communication topologies among nodes i , $i = 1, \dots, N$ are strengthened to be undirected, compared with existing works [2], [3] on fully distributed event-triggered control, in which the communication topology is fixed and undirected, the switching communication graph considered in this errata can still cover most of them as special cases.

2) The second equation in [1, eq. (7)] and the third equation in [1, eq. (34)] should be replaced by

$$\dot{\eta}_i(t) = S\eta_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij}^{\sigma(t)} d_{ij}(t) \hat{z}_{ij}(t) + a_{i0}^{\sigma(t)} d_{i0}(t) \hat{z}_{i0}(t) \quad (C1)$$

where $\hat{z}_{ij}(t) = e^{S(t-t_k^j)} \eta_j(t_k^j) - e^{S(t-t_k^i)} \eta_i(t_k^i)$ and $\hat{z}_{i0}(t) = e^{S(t-t_k^i)} v(t_k^i) - e^{S(t-t_k^i)} \eta_i(t_k^i)$.

Manuscript received January 24, 2019; revised May 14, 2019; accepted August 2, 2019. Date of publication August 30, 2019; date of current version October 29, 2019. (Corresponding author: Gang Feng.)

R. Yang and G. Feng are with the Department of Biomedical Engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong (e-mail: ruohayang2-c@my.cityu.edu.hk; megfeng@cityu.edu.hk).

H. Zhang is with the Department of Control Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China.

H. Yan is with the School of Information Science and Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China.

This article has supplementary downloadable material available at <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org>, provided by the author.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TNNLS.2019.2933549

3) The third equation in [1, eq. (7)] and the fourth equation in [1, eq. (34)] should be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{d}_{ij}(t) &= \gamma_{ij} a_{ij}^{\sigma(t)} \hat{z}_{ij}^\top(t) \hat{z}_{ij}(t) \\ \dot{d}_{i0}(t) &= \gamma_{i0} a_{i0}^{\sigma(t)} \hat{z}_{i0}^\top(t) \hat{z}_{i0}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (C2)$$

where $\gamma_{ij} > 0$, $\gamma_{i0} > 0$, $d_{ij}(0) \geq 1$, and $d_{i0}(0) \geq 1$.

4) Reference [1, eq. (9)] should be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{f}_i(t) &= \omega_i(t) \bar{d}_i(t) \eta_{ei}^\top(t) \eta_{ei}(t) - \hat{z}_i^\top(t) \hat{z}_i(t) - \alpha_{1i} e^{-\alpha_{2i} t} \\ \dot{\omega}_i(t) &= \beta_i \bar{d}_i(t) \eta_{ei}^\top(t) \eta_{ei}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{d}_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij}^{\sigma(t)} d_{ij}(t) + a_{i0}^{\sigma(t)} d_{i0}(t)$, $\hat{z}_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij}^{\sigma(t)} \hat{z}_{ij}(t) + a_{i0}^{\sigma(t)} \hat{z}_{i0}(t)$, $\alpha_{1i} > 0$, $\alpha_{2i} > 0$, $\beta_i > 0$ and $\omega_i(0) \geq 1$.

5) The second equation in [1, eqs. (10) and (35)] should be replaced by (C1).

With the above-mentioned revisions, the revised theorems are given as follows.

Theorem 1: Suppose that Assumptions 1–3 and 5 hold. Consider the multi-agent system (2) and the exosystem (3). Choose $\gamma_{ij} > 0$, $\gamma_{i0} > 0$, $\beta_i > 0$, $\alpha_{1i} > 0$, and $\alpha_{2i} > 0$, $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. Let K_{1i} , $i = 1, \dots, N$ be any gain matrices such that $A_i + B_i K_{1i}$ is Hurwitz, and $K_{2i} = U_i - K_{1i} X_i$. Under the state-feedback controller (7) and the event-triggering mechanism (9), the cooperative output regulation problem is solved and Zeno-behavior is excluded.

Theorem 2: Suppose Assumptions 1–5 hold. Consider the multi-agent system (2) and the exosystem (3). Choose $\gamma_{ij} > 0$, $\gamma_{i0} > 0$, $\beta_i > 0$, $\alpha_{1i} > 0$, and $\alpha_{2i} > 0$, $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. Let K_{1i} and \bar{H}_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$ be any gain matrices such that $A_i + B_i K_{1i}$ and $A_i - \bar{H}_i C_{mi}$ are Hurwitz, $K_{2i} = U_i - K_{1i} X_i$. Under the output-feedback controller (34) and the event-triggering mechanism (9), the cooperative output regulation problem is solved and Zeno behavior is excluded.

In addition, since [1, Corollaries 1 and 2] are the special cases in [1, Ths. 1 and 2], respectively, they should be revised accordingly. The simulation example should also be revised accordingly. Due to the limited space, they are omitted here.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Yang, H. Zhang, G. Feng, and H. Yan, “Distributed event-triggered adaptive control for cooperative output regulation of heterogeneous multiagent systems under switching topology,” *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 4347–4358, Sep. 2018.
- [2] B. Cheng and Z. Li, “Fully distributed event-triggered Protocols for linear multiagent networks,” *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 1655–1662, Apr. 2019.
- [3] Y. Qian, L. Liu, and G. Feng, “Output consensus of heterogeneous linear multi-agent systems with adaptive event-triggered control,” *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 2606–2613, Jun. 2019. doi: 10.1109/TAC.2018.2868997.