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# A method to formulate a dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian of parallel manipulators 

Haitao Liu, Tian Huang, and Derek G Chetwynd


#### Abstract

This paper presents a general and systematic approach for formulating the dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian, an important issue for the dexterity evaluation and dimensional synthesis of $f$-DOF $(f \leq 6)$ parallel manipulators having mixed rotational and translational movement capabilities. Utilizing $f$ independent coordinates to describe the specified motion types of the platform, the $f \times f$ dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian is derived directly from the generalized Jacobian provided that the manipulator has only one type of actuator. The condition number of the new Jacobian is then employed to evaluate the dexterity of two typical 3-DOF parallel manipulators as an illustration of the effectiveness of this approach.


## Index Terms_Parallel manipulators, dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian

## I. Introduction

TThe Jacobian, conventionally defined as the linear map between actuator rates in the joint space and velocity twist in the operation space, plays an important role in kinematic performance evaluation and optimization of manipulators having different architectures. For those manipulators having coupled translational and rotational movement capabilities, it has been recognized that the algebraic characteristics such as condition number, maximum/minimum singular values, etc. of the Jacobian vary with the scaling due to the inconsistency in physical units of its terms. This may cause a serious problem [1-3] when they are employed as the conditioning indices in kinematic performance evaluation and design optimization. Therefore, it is critically important to formulate dimensionally homogeneous Jacobians in which all entries have the same physical units.

A review of the existing literature shows that two methods might be employed to formulate a dimensionally homogeneous

[^0]Jacobian. One way is the length-based method that adopts a "characteristic/natural length" to normalize all translational elements in the Jacobian subject to isotropic constraints [4-10]. Design optimizations are then based on the condition number of the dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian being minimized. This method is suited to the design of an isotropic manipulator because the characteristic/natural length varies with the system configurations. A more practical approach is to use the point-based method to achieve a dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian by linking the actuator rates with the linear velocities at several points on the end-effector provided that all actuators are of identical type. Research along this track can be traced back to the early work [11] dealing with the optimum design problem of planar and spatial serial manipulators. The idea was then extended to 6-DOF parallel manipulators, resulting in a $6 \times 9$ dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian [12-14]. More recently, using a 3-PRS or 3-RPS mechanism as an example, the point-based method was taken further to formulate the $f \times f$ dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian of $f$-DOF parallel manipulators [15, 16]. However, this method suffers from a rather heavy computational burden as partial derivatives have to be established on a case-by-case basis.

Drawing on the needs for kinematic performance evaluation and optimization, this paper presents a general and systematic computational approach for obtaining the $f \times f$ dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian of $f$-DOF parallel manipulators $(f \leq 6)$. The method is implemented in two steps: (1) formulating the linear map between the joint rates and velocity twist using the generalized Jacobian [17]; (2) generating a linear map between the velocity twist and $f$ linear velocities at a set of selected points on the end-effector provided that the manipulator has only one type of actuator. On the basis of this new Jacobian, a condition number is then used to evaluate the dexterity of two 3-DOF parallel manipulators having coupled translational and rotational motion capabilities.

## II. Formulation Of The Generalized Jacobian

This section briefly reviews the formulation process of the generalized Jacobian [17] of a parallel manipulator having $f$-DOF ( $2 \leq f \leq 6$ ). Without loss of generality, assume that the manipulator is composed of $l(f \leq l \leq f+1)$ limbs connecting the platform with the base, each essentially containing $n_{i}$
( $i=1,2, \cdots, l$ ) 1-DOF joints with at most one of them actuated. Thus, two families of parallel manipulators can be taken into account. The first family covers fully parallel manipulators having $f$ constrained active limbs ( $n_{i} \leq 6$ for all limbs). The second family comprises those having $f$ unconstrained active limbs (i.e. $n_{i}=6$ for each of these $f$ limbs) plus one properly constrained passive limb. For convenience, the properly constrained passive limb is always designated by $l=f+1$. Any other parallel manipulators not belonging to these two families can be dealt with in a manner similar to that used below.

On the one hand, the set of continuous motions of the platform forms a Lie group $\operatorname{SE}(3)$ [18].

$$
\text { SE } 3=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{R} & \boldsymbol{r}  \tag{1}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, \boldsymbol{R} \in \operatorname{SO} 3, \boldsymbol{r} \in \square^{3}\right\} \subset G L \quad 4, \square
$$

where $r$ is the position vector of the origin of the platform in the fixed body frame $R_{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{R}$ is the orientation matrix of
$R_{0}$ with respect to the reference frame $R$. At any point in $\operatorname{SE}(3)$, the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{SE}(3)$ is a 6 -dimentional vector space and can be expressed as

$$
\text { se } 3=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \times & \delta \boldsymbol{r}  \tag{2}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, \delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \times \in \operatorname{so} 3, \delta \boldsymbol{r} \in \square^{3}\right\}
$$

where $\delta \boldsymbol{r}$ is the variation of $\boldsymbol{r}$ and $\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \times$ is a skew-symmetric matrix with its entries representing the angular variation of the platform. Note that $\operatorname{se}(3)$ has a "variational" rather than "differential" structure of $\operatorname{SE}(3)$ so as to encompass a broader sense of the first order kinematics in terms of velocity, pose error and deflection. Note that se(3) is isomorphic to $\square^{6}$ via the mapping

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \times & \delta \boldsymbol{r}  \tag{3}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \mapsto \$_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\delta \boldsymbol{r}^{\mathrm{T}} & \delta \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \in \square^{6}
$$

Since $\$_{t}$ has a form of twist in screw theory and thus se(3) is referred to as the twist space, denoted T for symbolic consistency in the later use.

On the other hand, the set of wrenches exerted on the platform forms a 6-dimensional vector space $W$ known as the wrench space with $\$_{w}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{f}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}}\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ being its elements, where $f$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ are the applied force and moment exerted on the platform. T andW are a pair of dual spaces known as the tangent space and cotangent space of $\operatorname{SE}(3)$, respectively [18]

It has been shown [17] that for an $f$-DOF parallel manipulator, T can uniquely be decomposed into a pair of complementary subspaces, i.e., an $f$ dimensional subspace $\mathrm{T}_{a}$ and a 6-f dimensional subspace $\mathrm{T}_{c}$, associated respectively with the permitted and restricted instantaneous motions of the platform, and thus known as the twist subspaces of permissions and restrictions. Correspondingly, W can be decomposed into a
pair of complementary subspaces, i.e. an $f$ dimensional subspace $\mathrm{W}_{a}$ and a 6- $f$ dimensional subspace $\mathrm{W}_{c}$, associated respectively with actuation and constraint wrenches exerted on the platform by the limbs, and thus known as the wrench subspaces of actuations and constraints.

Note that $\$_{t}$ and $\$_{w}$ are expressed in the form of axiscoordinate and ray-coordinate, the virtual work done by $\$_{w}$ on $\$_{t}$ can be represented by the inner product that is equivalent to the reciprocal product defined in screw theory [20-22].

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta W=\left\langle \$_{w}, \$_{t}\right\rangle=\left\langle \$_{w a}+\$_{w c}, \$_{t a}+\$_{t c}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle \$_{w a}, \$_{t a}\right\rangle+\left\langle \$_{w c}, \$_{t c}\right\rangle+\left\langle \$_{w a}, \$_{t c}\right\rangle+\left\langle \$_{w c}, \$_{t a}\right\rangle \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

It has been proved [17] that $\left\langle \$_{w a}, \$_{t c}\right\rangle=\left\langle \$_{w c}, \$_{t a}\right\rangle=0$, $\left\langle \$_{w a}, \$_{t a}\right\rangle=\delta_{a}$ and $\left\langle \$_{w c}, \$_{t c}\right\rangle=\delta_{c}$ as $\$_{w a}\left(\$_{w c}\right)$ does not do work on $\$_{t c}\left(\$_{t a}\right)$, and $\$_{w a}\left(\$_{w c}\right)$ does work on $\$_{t a}\left(\$_{t c}\right)$. Thus, the following relationships hold:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Orthogonality: } \mathrm{W}_{a}=\mathrm{T}_{c}^{\perp}, \mathrm{W}_{c}=\mathrm{T}_{a}^{\perp} \\
\qquad \text { Duality: } \mathrm{W}_{a}=\mathrm{T}_{a}^{*}, \mathrm{~W}_{c}=\mathrm{T}_{c}^{*} \tag{5b}
\end{array}
$$

Let $\hat{\$}_{t a, j_{a}, i} \in \mathrm{~T}_{a, i}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w a, k_{a}, i} \in \mathrm{~W}_{a, i}\left(j_{a}, k_{a}=1,2, \cdots, n_{i}\right)$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{t c, j_{c}, i} \in \mathrm{~T}_{c, i}$, $\hat{\$}_{w c, k_{c}, i} \in \mathrm{~W}_{c, i}\left(j_{c}, k_{c}=1,2, \cdots, 6-n_{i}\right)$ be the basis elements of the four vector subspaces associated with the $i$ th limb. The twist of the platform can then be represented by a linear combination of the basis elements of $\mathrm{T}_{a, i}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{c, i}(i=1,2, \cdots, l)$ because all limbs share the same platform

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{\$}_{t} & =\mathbf{\$}_{t a}+\$_{t c}=\$_{t a, i}+\$_{t c, i} \\
& =\sum_{j_{a}=1}^{n_{i}} \delta \rho_{a, j_{a},} \hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{t a, j_{a}, i}+\sum_{j_{c}=1}^{6-n_{i}} \delta \rho_{c, j_{c}, i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{t c, j_{c}, i} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{\$}_{t a, j_{a}, i}$ and $\delta \rho_{a, j_{a}, i}\left(\hat{\$}_{t c, j_{c}, i}\right.$ and $\left.\delta \rho_{c, j_{c}, i}\right)$ are the $j_{a}$ th ( $j_{c}$ th ) unit screw of permissions (restrictions) and its intensity. In screw theory, $\hat{\$}_{t a, j_{a}, i}$ is none other than the unit screw of the $j_{a}$ th 1-DOF joint within the $i$ th limb having connectivity of $n_{i} \leq 6$ [22].
In velocity analysis where merely the ideal motions of the platform are considered, it gives $\$_{t}=\$_{t a}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\mathrm{T}}\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$, $\delta \rho_{a, j_{a}, i} \rightarrow \dot{q}_{a, j_{a}, i}$ and $\delta \rho_{c, j_{c}, i}=0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\$_{t}=\sum_{j_{a}=1}^{n_{i}} \dot{q}_{a, j_{a}, i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{t a, j_{a}, i}+\sum_{j_{c}=1}^{6-n_{i}} 0 \cdot \hat{\$}_{t c, j_{c}, i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ are the linear velocity of the reference point on the platform and angular velocity of the platform, and $\dot{q}_{a, j_{a}, i}$ is the rate of the $j_{a}$ th 1 -DOF joint in the $i$ th limb.


Fig.1. Frame settings on the platform

Let $\hat{\$}_{w a, g_{k}, k}$ be the unit wrench of actuations associated with the actuated joint, which is labelled $g_{k}$ in the $k$ th $(k=1,2, \cdots, f)$ limb. Note that $\hat{\$}_{w a, g_{k}, k}$ is dual to $\hat{\$}_{t a, g_{k}, k}$ but orthogonal to $\hat{\$}_{t a, j_{a}, k}\left(j_{a}=1,2, \cdots, n_{k}, j_{a} \neq g_{k}\right)$ in accordance with the properties given in Eq.(5). Similarly, let $\hat{\$}_{w c, k_{c}, i}$ be the $k_{c}$ th unit wrench of constraints in the $i$ th limb. Also, note that $\hat{\$}_{w c, k_{c}, i}$ is orthogonal to $\hat{\$}_{t a, j_{a}, i}\left(j_{a}=1,2, \ldots, n_{i}\right)$. Thus, taking the inner product on both sides of Eq.(7) with $\hat{\$}_{w a, g_{k}, k}$ and $\hat{\$}_{w c, k_{c}, i}$, respectively, results in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{J} \$_{t}=\dot{\boldsymbol{q}} \\
& \boldsymbol{J}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{J}_{a} \\
\boldsymbol{J}_{c}
\end{array}\right], \boldsymbol{J}_{a}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w a, g_{1}, 1}^{\mathrm{T}} / \hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w a, g_{1}, 1}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{t a, g_{1}, 1} \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w a, g_{2}, 2}^{\mathrm{T}} / \hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w a, g_{2}, 2}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{t a, g_{2}, 2} \\
\vdots \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w a, g_{f}, f}^{\mathrm{T}} / \hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w a, g_{f}, f}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{t a, g_{f}, f}
\end{array}\right], \boldsymbol{J}_{c}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{J}_{c, 1} \\
\boldsymbol{J}_{c, 2} \\
\vdots \\
\boldsymbol{J}_{c, l}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \boldsymbol{J}_{c, i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w c, 1, i}^{\mathrm{T}} / \hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w c, 1,1 \boldsymbol{i}}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{t c, 1, i} \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w c, 2, i}^{\mathrm{T}} / \boldsymbol{\$}_{w c, 2, i}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\phi}_{t c, 2, i} \\
\vdots \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w c, 6-n_{i} i}^{\mathrm{T}} / \hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w c, 6-n_{i},}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{t c, 6-n_{i}, i}
\end{array}\right], \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{a} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right], \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{a}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{q}_{a, g_{1}, 1} \\
\dot{q}_{a, g_{2}, 2} \\
\vdots \\
\dot{q}_{a, g_{f}, f}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $J$ is an $f+\sum_{i=1}^{l} 6-n_{i} \times 6$ matrix known as the generalized Jacobian of $f$-DOF parallel manipulators, which has a broader sense than the overall Jacobian developed by other means in the existing literature [23]. With the aid of Eq.(8), the formulation of $f \times f$ dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian of parallel manipulators will be discussed in next section.

## III. Formulation Of The $f \times f$ Dimensionally Homogeneous Jacobian

Theoretically, the translations of three non-collinear points on a rigid body are sufficient to uniquely identify the motion of


Fig.2. Points and axes arrangements on a tetrahedron
the body in terms of translation and rotation. Hence, on the basis of the generalized Jacobian given in Eq.(8), a computational scheme to formulate the $f \times f$ dimensionally homogeneous Jacobians of $f$-DOF parallel manipulators is proposed as follow.

Firstly, place a reference frame $R$ at a point $O$ on the base and a body fixed frame $R_{0}$ on the platform at a point $O^{\prime}$. Also, set an instantaneous reference frame $R^{\prime}$ with its origin at point $O^{\prime}$ while its three orthogonal axes remain parallel to those of $R$ as shown in Fig.1. Secondly, express the velocity $v_{i}$ at point $P_{i}$ on the platform in terms of velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$ at point $O^{\prime}$ and angular velocity $\omega$ of the platform, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{v}_{i}=\boldsymbol{v}+\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{p}_{i} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing all vectors in $R^{\prime}$ with $\boldsymbol{p}_{i}$ the position vector pointing from $O^{\prime}$ to $P_{i}$, place a set of axes at point $P_{i}$ and let $\boldsymbol{e}_{i, j}$ be the unit vector along the $j$ th such axis. Then, taking the dot product on both sides of Eq.(14) with $\boldsymbol{e}_{i, j}$ leads to

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{i, j}=\boldsymbol{\$}_{i, j 0}^{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{Ad}_{g_{R_{0}}^{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\$}_{t}  \tag{10}\\
\boldsymbol{\$}_{i, j 0}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{e}_{i, j 0} \\
\boldsymbol{p}_{i 0} \times \boldsymbol{e}_{i, j 0}
\end{array}\right], \operatorname{Ad}_{g_{R_{0}}^{R^{\prime}}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{R} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{R}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{e}_{i, j 0}=\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{e}_{i, j}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_{i 0}=\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{p}_{i}$ are the vectors of $\boldsymbol{e}_{i, j}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_{i}$ evaluated in $R_{0}$ with $\boldsymbol{R}$ being the orientation matrix of $R_{0}$ with respect to $R^{\prime}(R) ; \$_{i, j 0}$ is a unit wrench of zero pitch measured in $R_{0}$, representing a unit force along $\boldsymbol{e}_{i, j}$ at point $P_{i}$.

In order to fully describe the different motion types of parallel manipulators having coupled DOF, it is necessary to select a set of appropriate points on the platform and specify the relevant axes along which the dot product is taken. Although taking three distinct and non-collinear points on the platform is sufficient to identify its pose, a practical generic way to do this is to take 5 points as the candidates: e.g., the 4 vertices of a triangular pyramid (tetrahedron) having an equilateral base and

TABLE I
Enumeration of Motion Types for 3~6 DOF Parallel Manipulators


R---Rotation, T---Translation
three identical isosceles faces plus the centre of its base for providing axial symmetries and easier implementation. For this arrangement of points, assume that point $O^{\prime}$ is the centre of the base equilateral triangle lying in the $x_{0}-y_{0}$ plane of $R_{0}$, taken to be the plane containing the centres of joints connected with the platform. In this way, it is sufficient to choose two orthogonal axes at each vertex such that $\boldsymbol{e}_{i, 10} \perp \boldsymbol{e}_{i, 20}, \boldsymbol{e}_{i, 10} \perp \boldsymbol{p}_{i 0}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{i, 20} \perp \boldsymbol{p}_{i 0}(i=1,2,3,4)$ as shown in Fig.2. Thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{e}_{i, 10}=-\sin \beta_{i} \quad \cos \beta_{i} \quad 0^{\mathrm{T}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{i, 20}=0 \quad 0 \quad 1^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\boldsymbol{P}_{i 0}=p \cos \beta_{i} \sin \beta_{i} 0^{\mathrm{T}}, \text { for } i=1,2,3 \\
\boldsymbol{e}_{4,10}=1
\end{gathered} 000^{\mathrm{T}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{4,20}=\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 1 & 0^{\mathrm{T}}, \boldsymbol{p}_{40}=p & 0 & 0 & 1^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}
$$

where $\beta_{i}$ is the position angle of $\boldsymbol{p}_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ lying in the $x_{0}-y_{0}$ plane; $p$ is the height of the tetrahedron which is equal to the circumscribing radius of the base. And at point $O^{\prime}$

$$
\boldsymbol{e}_{0,10}=1 \quad 0 \quad 0^{\mathrm{T}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{0,20}=\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 0^{\mathrm{T}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{0,30}=0 & 0 & 1^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}
$$

Rewrite Eq.(10) in matrix form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{v}_{p}=\boldsymbol{J}_{p 0} \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{R_{0}}^{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} \$_{t} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{J}_{p 0}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\$_{0,10} & \$_{0,20} & \cdots & \$_{4,20}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{p}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
v_{0,1} & v_{0,2} & \cdots & v_{4,2}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

$\boldsymbol{J}_{p 0}$ is an $11 \times 6$ matrix (i.e., two for each $\boldsymbol{e}_{i, j 0}$ with $i=1,2,3,4, j=1,2$ and three more for $\boldsymbol{e}_{0, j 0}$ with $j=1,2,3$ ).
For an $f$-DOF parallel manipulator with a specified motion type,
any $f$ linearly independent row vectors of $J_{p 0}$ can be selected to form an $f \times 6$ matrix $J_{p a 0}$. Thus, the linear map $L: \$_{t} \in \square^{6} \mapsto \boldsymbol{v}_{p a} \in \square^{f}$ can be obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{J}_{p a} \boldsymbol{v}_{p a}=\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{a}, \boldsymbol{J}_{p a}=\left[\boldsymbol{J}_{p a 0} \operatorname{Ad}_{g_{R_{0}}}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{J}^{-1} \boldsymbol{J}_{a}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]^{-1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a}$ is an $f \times f$ matrix known as the dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian of $f$-DOF parallel manipulators. It is easy to see that $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a 0}$ depends only upon $\boldsymbol{p}_{i 0}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{i, j 0}$. Therefore, the computational burden to formulate the dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian can be reduced dramatically once the generalized Jacobian has been made available using the approach given in Section II. It should be noted that the choice of $f$ linearly independent row vectors of $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a 0}$ is not unique. In order to solve this problem $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a 0}$ can be formulated by either of two ways. By setting $p=1$ one way is to choose $J_{p a 0}$ of which the minimum singular value takes the maximum value. Although this is a systematic approach, it is rather time consuming. By utilizing the 3-2-1 positioning principle in the jig design, a more straightforward way is to select $f \$_{i, j 0}$ that enable to describe the prescribed motion type of the platform, and to achieve the highest feasible degree of axial symmetry. For example, it is very natural to select $\$_{0,10}$, $\$_{0,20}, \$_{4,10}$ and $\$_{4,20}$ to formulate $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a 0}$ of a 2 R 2 T parallel manipulator in terms of two translations along and two rotations about the $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$ axes. On this basis, Table I enumerates suitable $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a 0}$ for a number of $3 \sim 6$ DOF parallel manipulators
having coupled DOF. It can be seen that for each case the selected $f$ linearly dependent wrenches relate to no more than 3 points out of 5 candidates.

There are some 3-DOF non-overconstrained parallel manipulators having coupled DOF for which the dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian can directly be generated if either the translational or the rotational components in $\$_{t}$ can be taken as the independent coordinates. The 3-DOF module within the Tricept robot [24] is a typical example of this condition. For such cases, Eq.(8) is rewritten in a partitioned form as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{J}_{v v} & \boldsymbol{J}_{v \omega}  \tag{13}\\
\boldsymbol{J}_{\omega v} & \boldsymbol{J}_{\omega \omega}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{v} \\
\boldsymbol{\omega}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{a} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and then if, for example, the linear velocity of point $O^{\prime}$ is taken as the independent coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{J}_{p a} \boldsymbol{v}=\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{a}, \boldsymbol{J}_{p a}=\boldsymbol{J}_{v v}-\boldsymbol{J}_{v \omega} \boldsymbol{J}_{\omega \omega}^{-1} \boldsymbol{J}_{\omega v} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Iv. EXAMPLES

The dexterity analyses of two typical parallel mechanisms are carried out using Eq.(12) or (14) developed in Section III to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed approach.

## A. 3-RPS parallel mechanism

As shown in Fig.3, the 3-RPS parallel mechanism consists of a base, a platform, and three identical limbs, each connecting the base with the platform in sequence by a revolute joint $R$, an actuated prismatic joint $\underline{P}$, and a spherical joint $S$. Therefore, $n_{i}=5(i=1,2,3)$. The unit screws of permissions, $\hat{\$}_{t a, j_{a}, i}$ ( $j_{a}=1,2, \ldots, 5$ ), in the $i$ th limb can be obtained by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{\mathbf{\$}}_{t a, 1, i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{a}_{i}-q_{i} \boldsymbol{s}_{2, i} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{1, i} \\
\boldsymbol{s}_{1, i}
\end{array}\right], \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{t a, 2, i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{s}_{2, i} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right] \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{t a, 3, i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{a}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{3, i} \\
\boldsymbol{s}_{3, i}
\end{array}\right], \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{t a, 4, i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{a}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{4, i} \\
\boldsymbol{s}_{4, i}
\end{array}\right], \hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{t a, 5, i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{a}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{5, i} \\
\boldsymbol{s}_{5, i}
\end{array}\right] \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $s_{j_{a}, i}$ is a unit vector along the $j_{a}$ th 1 -DOF joint of the $i$ th limb; $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}=\overline{O^{\prime} A_{i}}$ and $q_{i} \boldsymbol{s}_{2, i}=\overline{B_{i} A_{i}}$. The joint axes are arranged such that $s_{1, i} \perp s_{2, i} ; s_{3, i}, s_{4, i}$ and $s_{5, i}$ are coincident with three rotational axes of the spherical joint, with $s_{3, i}=s_{2, i}$.

Utilizing the properties given in Eq.(5), the unit wrench of constraints (actuations) $\hat{\$}_{w c, 1, i}\left(\hat{\$}_{w a, 2, i}\right)$ and the unit screw of restrictions $\hat{\$}_{t c, 1, i}(i=1,2,3)$ can sequentially be determined by the observation method [22].

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w c, 1, i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{s}_{1, i} \\
\boldsymbol{a}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{1, i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Table II
DIMENSIONS OF A 3-RPS PARALLEL MECHANISM (UNIT: mm)

| $\frac{a}{250}$ |
| :--- |
| $\overline{\overline{O^{\prime} A_{i}}\left\|=a ;\left\|\overrightarrow{O B_{i}}\right\|=b ; q_{i 0} \text { is the initial length of the } i \text { th prismatic joint }\right.}$ |
| $(i=1,2,3)$. |



Fig.3. Schematic diagram of a 3-RPS mechanism


Fig.4. Distribution of $k\left(\boldsymbol{J}_{p a}\right)$ of the 3-RPS mechanism $\theta_{x}=\theta \cos \psi, \theta_{y}=\theta \sin \psi$

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{w a, 2, i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{s}_{2, i}  \tag{16}\\
\boldsymbol{a}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{2, i}
\end{array}\right], \hat{\boldsymbol{\$}}_{t c, 1, i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{a}_{i}-q_{i} \boldsymbol{s}_{2, i} \times \boldsymbol{n}_{1, i} \\
\boldsymbol{n}_{1, i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}_{1, i}=\boldsymbol{s}_{2, i} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{1, i}$. Substituting Eqs.(19) and (20) into Eq.(8), results in the generalized Jacobian of the 3-RPS mechanism.

$$
\boldsymbol{J}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{J}_{a}  \tag{17}\\
\boldsymbol{J}_{c}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{J}_{a}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\boldsymbol{s}_{2,1}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{a}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{2,1} & \\
\boldsymbol{s}_{2,2}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{a}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{2,2} & \mathrm{~T} \\
\boldsymbol{s}_{2,3}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{a}_{3} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{2,3} & \mathrm{~T}
\end{array}\right], \boldsymbol{J}_{c}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\boldsymbol{s}_{1,1}^{\mathrm{T}} / q_{1} & \boldsymbol{a}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{1,1} & \mathrm{~T} / q_{1} \\
\boldsymbol{s}_{1,2}^{\mathrm{T}} / q_{2} & \boldsymbol{a}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{1,2} & \mathrm{~T} / q_{2} \\
\boldsymbol{s}_{1,3}^{\mathrm{T}} / q_{3} & \boldsymbol{a}_{3} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{1,3} & \mathrm{~T} / q_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Considering the mechanism to have three degrees of freedom in terms of one translation and two rotations which then produce other parasitic motions, $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a 0}$ can be formulated by selecting $v_{i, 2}$ along the $\boldsymbol{e}_{i, 20}$ at point $P_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)(i=1,2,3)$ as the three independent coordinates, i.e.

$$
\boldsymbol{J}_{p a 0}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\$_{1,20} & \$_{2,20} & \$_{3,20} \tag{18}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{\$}_{i, 20}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{e}_{i, 20} \\
\boldsymbol{a}_{i 0} \times \boldsymbol{e}_{i, 20}
\end{array}\right], \boldsymbol{e}_{i, 20}=\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\boldsymbol{a}_{i 0}=a \cos \beta_{i} \sin \beta_{i} 0^{\mathrm{T}}, \beta_{i}=\frac{11 \pi}{6}-i-1 \frac{2 \pi}{3}, i=1,2,3
\end{gathered}
$$

The orientation matrix $\boldsymbol{R}$ of $R_{0}$ with respect to $R^{\prime}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ can be generated by

$$
\boldsymbol{R}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{c} \psi \mathrm{c} \phi-\mathrm{s} \psi \mathrm{c} \theta \mathrm{~s} \phi & -\mathrm{c} \psi \mathrm{~s} \phi-\mathrm{s} \psi \mathrm{c} \theta \mathrm{c} \phi & \mathrm{~s} \psi \mathrm{~s} \theta  \tag{19}\\
\mathrm{~s} \psi \mathrm{c} \phi+\mathrm{c} \psi \mathrm{c} \theta \mathrm{~s} \phi & -\mathrm{s} \psi \mathrm{~s} \phi+\mathrm{c} \psi \mathrm{c} \theta \mathrm{c} \phi & -\mathrm{c} \psi \mathrm{~s} \theta \\
\mathrm{~s} \theta \mathrm{~s} \phi & \mathrm{~s} \theta \mathrm{c} \phi & \mathrm{c} \theta
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\psi, \theta$ and $\phi$ are three Euler angles of precession, nutation and body rotation, respectively, with $\phi=-\psi$; "s" and " $c$ " denote sine and cosine functions. Thus, substituting Eqs.(17)-(19) into Eq.(12) finally results in a $3 \times 3$ dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a}$.

As an illustration, consider a 3-RPS parallel mechanism having the geometric parameters given in Table II, a rotational capability of $\theta=40^{\circ}$ throughout $\psi=0 \sim 360^{\circ}$ and a translational capability of 200 mm from $z=536.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $z=736.4$ mm . Fig. 4 plots the condition number of $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a}, \kappa \boldsymbol{J}_{p a}$, evaluated throughout the entire task workspace. It can be seen that for a given $z$-coordinate of $O^{\prime}, \kappa \boldsymbol{J}_{p a}$ takes a maximum value at $\theta=40^{\circ}$ when $\psi=0^{\circ}, 120^{\circ}, 240^{\circ}$; its minimum value occurs at $\theta=0^{\circ}$. It shows that the kinematic performance can be improved slightly by increasing the distance between $O^{\prime}$ and $O$ within the given range of the stroke.

## B. 3-UPS\&UP parallel mechanism

Fig. 5 shows the schematic diagram of the 3-UPS\&UP parallel mechanism which forms the main body of the Tricept robot [24]. The mechanism is composed of three identical unconstrained active UPS limbs and one properly constrained passive UP limb. Each UPS limb connects the base to the platform in sequence by a universal joint, an actuated prismatic


Fig. 5 Schematic diagram of the 3-UPS\&UP mechanism within the Tricept robot


Fig. 6 Distribution of $k\left(\boldsymbol{J}_{p a}\right)$ of the 3-UPS\&UP mechanism
joint, and a spherical joint, while the UP limb connects the base to the platform by a universal joint followed by a prismatic joint. Therefore, $n_{i}=6(i=1,2,3)$ for the UPS limb and $n_{4}=3$ for the UP limb.

The bases of four vector subspaces of the $i$ th UPS limb and UP limb are given in an extensive analysis of this system [17], so its generalized Jacobian is easily formulated. For this particular case the three components of the linear velocity, $\boldsymbol{v}$, of point $O^{\prime}$ can be taken as three independent coordinates.

Thus the $3 \times 3$ dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a}$ can be obtained directly by using Eq.(14).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{J}_{p a} \boldsymbol{v}=\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{a}, \boldsymbol{J}_{p a}=\boldsymbol{J}_{v v}-\boldsymbol{J}_{v \omega} \boldsymbol{J}_{\omega \omega}^{-1} \boldsymbol{J}_{\omega v}  \tag{20}\\
\boldsymbol{J}_{v v}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{s}_{3,1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\boldsymbol{s}_{3,2}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\boldsymbol{s}_{3,3}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right], \boldsymbol{J}_{v \omega}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{a}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{3,1} & \mathrm{~T} \\
\boldsymbol{a}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{3,2} & \\
\boldsymbol{a}_{3} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{3,3} & \mathrm{~T}
\end{array}\right] \\
\boldsymbol{J}_{\omega v}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{0} \\
\boldsymbol{s}_{2,4}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\boldsymbol{n}_{2,4}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right], \boldsymbol{J}_{\omega \omega}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{n}_{1,4}^{\mathrm{T}} & \\
-q_{4} \boldsymbol{s}_{3,4} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{2,4} & \mathrm{~T} \\
-q_{4} \boldsymbol{s}_{3,4} \times \boldsymbol{n}_{2,4} & \mathrm{~T}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{s}_{j_{a}, i}(i=1,2,3)$ is a unit vector along the $j_{a}$ th 1 -DOF joint of the $i$ th limb. $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{a}=\dot{q}_{1} \quad \dot{q}_{2} \quad \dot{q}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ with $\dot{q}_{i}$ being the rate of the $i$ th actuated UPS limb along $s_{3, i}$.Here, the joint axes are arranged such that $\boldsymbol{s}_{1, i} \perp s_{2, i}, s_{2, i} \perp s_{3, i}, s_{3, i}=s_{4, i}, s_{1,4} \perp s_{2,4}$, and $\boldsymbol{s}_{2,4} \perp \boldsymbol{s}_{3,4} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_{i}=\overline{O^{\prime} A_{i}}, q_{4} \boldsymbol{s}_{3,4}=\overline{O O^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{n}_{1,4}=s_{1,4} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{2,4}$, and $\boldsymbol{n}_{2,4}=\boldsymbol{s}_{2,4} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{3,4}$.

Consider, for illustration, a 3-UPS\&UP parallel mechanism having the geometric parameters given in Table III with a cylindrical task workspace of height $h=200 \mathrm{~mm}$ and diameter $\phi=1000 \mathrm{~mm}$. Fig. 6 plots the condition number of $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a}$, $\kappa \boldsymbol{J}_{p a}$, evaluated throughout the entire task workspace. For a given $z$-coordinate of $O^{\prime}$, the maximum value of $\kappa J_{p a}$ occurs at the workspace boundary; whilst its minimum value occurs at $x=y=0$. This shows that the kinematic performance can be improved by decreasing the distance between $O^{\prime}$ and $O$ in the given range of $h$.

Finally, it must be stressed that the units of $\boldsymbol{v}_{p a}$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{a}$ are both length/time for these two examples, leading to $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a}$ being dimensionless. This means that not only the condition number but also the maximum/minimum singular value of $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a}$ are dimensionless, and can thereby be used as the local conditioning index. However, for a parallel mechanism actuated by revolute joints, the unit of $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{a}$ is angle/time and $\boldsymbol{J}_{p a}$ is no longer dimensionless although it remains dimensionally homogeneous. In this case, $\kappa \boldsymbol{J}_{p a}$ is suitable only for kinematic performance evaluation. The worst analytic case is when a parallel mechanism is driven by a mixture of prismatic and revolute actuators. The method presented here no longer works directly and so special treatments will need to be used to achieve the dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian for kinematic performance evaluation.

## IV. Conclusions

This paper proposes a new approach for deriving the $f \times f$ dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian for dexterity analysis of $f$-DOF ( $2 \leq f \leq 6$ ) parallel manipulators having only one type of actuator, i.e., either revolute or prismatic. The following conclusions are drawn.

1) The proposed approach is general for $f$-DOF parallel manipulators having coupled translational and rotational motion capabilities provided that a proper linear mapping can be made between the twist of the platform and the independent coordinates in accordance with the specified motion types of the platform.
2) The procedure to formulate the dimensionally homogeneous Jacobian is standardized and computationally effective thanks to the use of the generalized Jacobian.
3) Both condition number and singular values of the derived Jacobian can be employed as a local conditioning index for parallel mechanisms actuated by prismatic joints; whereas, only the condition number can be used for those actuated by revolute joints.
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