CARDIFF UNIVERSITY PRIFYSGOL CAERDYD

ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/61177/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Zunic, Jovisa, Hirota, Kaoru and Rosin, Paul L. 2014. Note on the shape circularity measure method based on radial moments. Journal of Electronic Imaging 23 (2), 029701. 10.1117/1.JEI.23.2.029701

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/1.JEI.23.2.029701

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Note on the shape circularity measure method based on radial moments

Joviša Žunić * Kaoru Hirota[†] Paul L. Rosin[‡]

Abstract

In this note we show that the, so called, circularity measures based on radial moments, as defined in [1], are a particular case of the circularity measures introduced by [2].

Keywords: Shape, Circularity measure, Hu moment invariants, Pattern recognition, Image processing.

1 Introduction

A family of circularity measures $C_{\beta}(S)$ was introduced recently in [2]. More precisely, if S denotes a planar shape, $\mu_{0,0}(S)$ is the area of S, and β is a number from the interval $(-1, \infty)$, then the quantities $C_{\beta}(S)$ indicate/measure how much the considered shape S differs from a planar circular disc, of the same area as the given shape S. The formal definition, of the circularity measures $C_{\beta}(S)$, is as follows.

Definition 1 Let S be a given shape whose centroid coincides with the origin and a real β such that $-1 < \beta$ and $\beta \neq 0$. Then the circularity measure $C_{\beta}(S)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(S) = \begin{cases}
\frac{\mu_{0,0}(S)^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+1)\pi^{\beta} \iint_{S} (x^{2}+y^{2})^{\beta} dx dy}, & \beta > 0 \\
\frac{(\beta+1)\pi^{\beta} \iint_{S} (x^{2}+y^{2})^{\beta} dx dy}{\mu_{0,0}(S)^{\beta+1}}, & \beta \in (-1,0).
\end{cases}$$
(1)

e-mail: hirota@hrt.dis.titech.ac.jp

^{*}J. Žunić is with the Department of Computer Science, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QF, U.K. e-mail: J.Zunic@ex.ac.uk

[†]K. Hirota is with the Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, G3-49, 4259 Nagatsuta, Modori-ku, Yokohama 226-8502, Japan.

[‡]P.L. Rosin is with Cardiff University, School of Computer Science, Cardiff CF24 3AA, Wales, U.K. e-mail: Paul.Rosin@cs.cf.ac.uk

The formula in (1) is given in Cartesian coordinates. If the polar coordinate system is involved (instead of the Cartesian coordinate system):

 $x = r \cdot \cos\theta$, $y = r \cdot \sin\theta$ (the Jacobian for this coordinate transformation is |J| = r) then

$$\iint_{S} (x^{2} + y^{2})^{\beta} dx dy = \iint_{\theta} \int_{r} ((r \cdot \cos\theta)^{2} + (r \cdot \sin\theta)^{2})^{\beta} \cdot r dr d\theta = \iint_{\theta} \int_{r} r^{2\beta+1} dr d\theta$$

and consequently (1) becomes

$$\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(S) = \begin{cases}
\frac{\mu_{0,0}(S)^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+1)\pi^{\beta}\int_{\theta}\int_{r}r^{2\beta+1} dr d\theta}, & \beta > 0 \\
\frac{(\beta+1)\pi^{\beta}\int_{\theta}\int_{r}r^{2\beta+1} dr d\theta}{\mu_{0,0}(S)^{\beta+1}}, & \beta \in (-1,0).
\end{cases}$$
(2)

Now, by setting $\beta = \frac{p}{2}$, the first expression (for $\beta > 0$) in (2) becomes

$$\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(S) = \mathcal{C}_{p/2}(S) = \frac{\frac{2}{p+2} \cdot \pi^{-p/2} \cdot \mu_{0,0}(S)^{\frac{p+2}{2}}}{\int_{\theta} \int_{r} r^{p+1} dr d\theta}.$$
(3)

Circularity measures based on radial moments, introduced in [1], are denoted by $\zeta_p(D)$ and formally defined, by the expression in (9) from [1], as

$$\zeta_p(D) = \frac{\frac{2}{p+2} \cdot \pi^{-p/2} \cdot [u_0(D)]^{\frac{p+2}{2}}}{u_p(D)}.$$
(4)

Further, [1] uses the following denotation

• $u_p(D) = \iint_D (r - \bar{r})^p ds$, with $ds = r \cdot dr \cdot d\theta$ $\bar{r} = \sqrt{x_c^2 + y_c^2}$, and $(x_c, y_c) = \left(\frac{\iint_D x \, ds}{\iint_D \, ds}, \frac{\iint_D y \, ds}{\iint_D \, ds}\right)$ being the centroid of the considered shape D. (Notice: $u_0(D) = \mu_{0,0}(D)$ and $u_p(D) = \int_{\theta} \int_r r^{p+1} dr d\theta$, if $\bar{r} = 0$, i.e. $(x_c, y_c) = (0, 0)$.)

Finally, since $\zeta_p(D)$ is translation invariant (see Theorem 2 from [1]) we can set $\bar{r} = 0$ (i.e we can assume that the shape D is translated such that its gravity center (x_c, y_c) coincides with the origin (0,0)), and deduce that (for p > 0)

$$\zeta_p(D) = \mathcal{C}_{p/2}(D). \tag{5}$$

In other words, the formula in (4) is equivalent to the formula in (3), and further, shape circularity measures $\zeta_p(D)$ based on radial moments, from [1], are particular subcases of the family of circularity measures $C_{\beta}(S)$, introduced by [2] (measures from [2] are defined for $\beta = \frac{p}{2}$ negative, as well).

It is worth mentioning that the identity in (5) is evident in the experimental results from Table 1 in [1], which includes $C_p(D)$ denoted by $H_p(D)$. Although there is a systematic offset between $\zeta_p(D)$ and $C_{p/2}(D)$, possibly caused by digitization and numerical errors, the results for $\zeta_{p=2}(D)$ are similar to $C_{p=1}(D)$, such that their ratios are all the same to within 3 significant places. Likewise, the ratios of $\zeta_{p=4}(D)$ and $C_{p=2}(D)$ are the same to within 3 significant places.

References

- B. Xiao, G.-Y. Wang. Shape circularity measure method based on radial moments. *Journal of Electronic Imaging*, 22:033022, 2013.
- [2] J. Żunić, K. Hirota, P.L. Rosin. A Hu moment invariant as a shape circularity measure. Pattern Recognition, 43:47-57, 2010.