281



Methods  $\blacksquare$ 

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Planned NLM/AHCPR Large-Scale Vocabulary Test: Using UMLS Technology to Determine the Extent to Which Controlled Vocabularies Cover Terminology Needed for Health Care and Public Health

BETSY L. HUMPHREYS, MLS, WILLIAM T. HOLE, MD, ALEXA T. MCCRAY, PhD, J. MICHAEL FITZMAURICE, PhD

**Abstract** The National Library of Medicine (NLM) and the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research (AHCPR) are sponsoring a test to determine the extent to which a *combination* of existing health-related terminologies covers vocabulary needed in health information systems. The test vocabularies are the 30 that are fully or partially represented in the 1996 edition of the Unified Medical Language System (UMLS) Metathesaurus, plus three planned additions: the portions of SNOMED International not in the 1996 Metathesaurus, the Read Clinical Classification, and the Logical Observations Identifiers, Names, and Codes (LOINC) system. These vocabularies are available to testers through a special interface to the Internetbased UMLS Knowledge Source Server. The test will determine the ability of the test vocabularies to serve as a source of controlled vocabulary for health data systems and applications. It should provide the basis for realistic resource estimates for developing and maintaining a comprehensive "standard" health vocabulary that is based on existing terminologies.

■ JAMIA. 1996;3:281–287.

Affiliations of the authors: National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD (BH, WH, AM); and Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, Rockville, MD (JMF).

Correspondence and reprints: Betsy L. Humphreys, MLS, National Library of Medicine, 8600 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20894. e-mail: blh@nlm.nih.gov

Received for publication: 2/9/96; accepted for publication: 3/12/96.

The National Library of Medicine (NLM) and the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research (AHCPR) are sponsoring a large-scale vocabulary test to determine the extent to which a *combination* of existing health-related classifications and vocabularies covers vocabulary needed in information systems supporting health care, public health, and health services research. The test differs from previous studies of single terminologies<sup>1</sup> or comparisons of single systems<sup>2,3</sup> in its emphasis on determining the aggregate coverage of more than 30 terminology systems. The vocabularies in the test include some that are not yet incorporated into the Unified Medical Language System (UMLS) Metathesaurus,<sup>4</sup> but the test relies heavily on UMLS technology—the Metathesaurus data and format, the SPECIALIST lexicon and lexical programs,<sup>5</sup> and the Internet-based UMLS Knowledge Source Server.<sup>6</sup>

Any organization or person willing and able to adhere to the test procedures and to provide test data by December 15, 1996, may participate. In addition to contributing to a worthwhile cause, participants establish links between their local systems and the UMLS Metathesaurus. These connections can provide synonyms, definitions, hierarchical contexts, pointers to external information sources, and other information useful in health information systems.

Each test participant must have a good Internet connection and a real task for which controlled vocabulary is desired. Examples of such tasks include designing structured data entry screens for a particular clinic or service, developing a lexicon for a problem list, identifying names of all valid values for parameters in a clinical protocol or guideline, and developing templates for retrieving and summarizing patient data. Participation in the vocabulary test should be an extension of a task that will be undertaken anyway. Although NLM and others will be assessing the utility of the test vocabularies in natural language processing, the focus of the test is on the ability of the test set to serve as a source of controlled vocabulary for health data-not necessarily on its ability to represent concepts and terms occurring in free text.

## **Underlying Assumptions**

Unambiguous, sharable, and aggregatable electronic health data will increase the quality, effectiveness, and efficiency of patient care and will facilitate clinical research, public health surveillance, and health services research. The basic premise of the vocabulary test is that controlling the vocabulary used in at least some health data elements is essential, although not sufficient, to achieve electronic health data that are unambiguous, sharable, and aggregatable. Other difficult problems, such as developing a robust structure for explicit, computable definitions of health concepts<sup>7</sup> and of data elements in patient records, must also be solved, but controlled vocabulary is an important step toward comparable health data.

The test assumes that the controlled vocabulary used in United States health data systems can be based on a combination of existing terminologies, thus avoiding the need to start de novo or to replicate terminology already available in an existing system. This position was espoused by AMIA's Board of Directors in 1994.<sup>8</sup>

The combination of vocabularies must include more than statistical and billing codes, such as the International Classification of Diseases, 9th edition, Clinical Modification (ICD9-CM) and Current Procedural Terminology (CPT). There is ample evidence that these systems are not adequate for recording detailed health care and public health data<sup>3</sup> or for outcomes and effectiveness research.<sup>9</sup> This is not surprising, since they were developed to serve statistical reporting and billing objectives. By mapping more specific controlled vocabulary to these systems, we can improve the information content of basic health data and retain the ability to generate statistics and bills at the appropriate level of aggregation.

Whatever its starting point, the controlled health vocabulary must evolve over time to represent the specific terminology and multiple hierarchical arrangements needed in different settings, to keep pace with changes in medicine and health, to accommodate evolving standards for the structure of health care and public health records, and to correct any problems that inhibit efficient electronic processing. The vocabulary test assumes that the UMLS Metathesaurus will provide an appropriate framework for managing the evolution of the controlled health vocabulary. The Metathesaurus provides access to many different healthrelated vocabularies in a common database format. In a number of cases, the Metathesaurus represents-in an explicit, machine-processable format—information that is only implicit in the original source vocabularies (e.g., relationships implied by indentations in a wordprocessing file or print tape). Organized by concept or meaning, the Metathesaurus links specific clinical vocabularies to each other, to statistical and billing codes, and to terms used in clinical practice guidelines, knowledge-based expert systems, MEDLINE, and other decision support tools. The Metathesaurus can represent an unlimited number of hierarchical views and can identify a particular concept or name of a concept as belonging to an unlimited number of functional subsets. If system developers design software and systems to use vocabulary data in the Metathesaurus format today, they are likely to have a relatively smooth transition path from the use of current vocabularies to any eventual, standard health vocabulary in the United States.

A significant barrier to the development and maintenance of a United States health vocabulary has been the lack of an organization with the responsibility, authority, and resources necessary to coordinate a transition from the current array of independent vocabularies to a coherent, maintainable "standard." Efforts to address coordination and long-term maintenance obviously must continue. The new Department of Health and Human Services Data Council and the revamped National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics may help this process. In the meantime, the results of the vocabulary test should help to clarify the nature and extent of the gaps in existing vocabularies and to assist in developing realistic estimates of the resources required to achieve and maintain a comprehensive health vocabulary that can be distributed and linked to statistical, billing, and decision support vocabularies within the UMLS Metathesaurus.

### **Test Vocabularies**

The vocabularies included in the test are the 30 that are fully or partially represented in the 1996 edition of the Metathesaurus (Table 1) plus three planned additions. These are the portions of SNOMED International<sup>10</sup> not in the 1996 Metathesaurus, the Read Clinical Classification,<sup>11</sup> and the Logical Observations Identifiers, Names, and Codes (LOINC) system.<sup>12</sup> For purposes of the test, the three planned additions have been converted to a Metathesaurus-like format, including word and term indexes automatically generated using the same programs that produce the Metathesaurus indexes. The planned additions are available to testers through special interfaces to the UMLS Knowledge Source Server.

The test vocabularies were selected based on data presented and discussed at a December 5-6, 1994, meeting convened by the NLM and AHCPR to assist in planning for the test.13 Attendees included medical informatics researchers, system developers, and representatives of standards groups, government agencies, and interested professional organizations (e.g., AMIA, American Nurses Association). Presentations at the meeting summarized the results of a number of published studies demonstrating the excellent clinical coverage of SNOMED International,23 and participants endorsed its inclusion in the test set. The description of the LOINC system and its development from numerous sources, including EUCLIDES,<sup>14</sup> ASTM E1218-94,<sup>15</sup> and laboratory test names currently used at sites such as MetPath, Latter Day Saints Hospital, the Regenstrief Institute, and the Department of Veterans Affairs system, provided a compelling justification for its inclusion. The Read Clinical Classifi-

#### Table 1 🔳

Vocabularies Fully or Partially Included in the 1996 UMLS Metathesaurus

- AI/RHEUM diagnoses and findings
- Classification of Nursing Diagnoses. NANDA
- COSTAR (Computer-Stored Ambulatory Records) Vocabulary
- COSTART: coding symbols for thesaurus of adverse reaction terms
- CRISP (Computer Retrieval of Information on Scientific Projects) Thesaurus
- Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-III-R
- Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-IV DXplain (diagnoses and findings)
- Glossary of Methodologic Terms for Clinical Epidemiologic Studies of Human Disorders

(McMaster University)

- Home Health Care Classification of Nursing Diagnoses and Interventions
- Index for Radiological Diagnoses: including diagnostic ultrasound: revised 3rd ed.
- International Classification of Diseases: 9th revision, Clinical Modification: ICD-9-CM.
- Library of Congress Subject Headings. 12th ed.
- Medical Subject Headings. MeSH
- (English, French, German, Portuguese, Spanish)
- Neuronames Brain Hierarchy
- Nursing Interventions Classification NIC
- Omaha System
- Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man
- Physician Data Query (PDQ) Cancer Terms
- Systematized Nomenclature of Human and Veterinary Medicine: SNOMED International
- Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine: 2nd ed.
- Thesaurus of Psychological Index Terms. PsycINFO Thesaurus
- UltraSTAR. Ultrasound Structured Attribute Reporting
- Universal Medical Device Nomenclature System: product category thesaurus
- WHO Adverse Reaction Terminology. WHOART

cation was selected for the test set because the results of a small NLM study of the overlap between SNO-MED International and preliminary version 3.1 of the Read Clinical Classification suggested that the two systems might provide complementary coverage in some clinical areas.<sup>13</sup>

Other vocabularies discussed at the meeting but not yet sufficiently developed for inclusion in the test were the United States version of the Tenth International Classification of Diseases; the in-patient Procedure Coding System, which the Health Care Financing Administration plans to implement when the United States begins to use ICD-10 for morbidity statistics; and the Medical Dictionary for Drug Regulatory Affairs (MEDDRA). MEDDRA will replace Coding Symbols for Thesaurus of Adverse Drug Reaction Terms (COSTART) and WHO Adverse Drug Reaction Terminology (WHOART), and it will provide a single system for reporting adverse drug reactions to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and regulatory agencies in other countries. Although MEDDRA is not one of the test vocabularies, the FDA and NLM will



**Figure 1** Special World Wide Web interface to the UMLS Knowledge Source Server for processing user terminology. Here the user has entered the term "acromial bursa." The term is found in the current Metathesaurus as a synonym for the concept "subdeltoid bursa."

collaborate to search the test set (UMLS Metathesaurus, remainder of SNOMED International, Read Clinical Classification, and LOINC) for concepts and terms that may be needed to augment MEDDRA's coverage.

# **Test Participants**

The core test participants are the following 10 health care organizations that serve as electronic medical record test sites under 8 cooperative agreements funded by NLM and AHCPR: Albert Einstein Medical Center (New York), Beth Israel Hospital (Boston), Children's Hospital (Boston), Columbia–Presbyterian Medical Center (New York), Kaiser-Permanente (Oakland), Massachusetts General Hospital (Boston), Mayo Foundation (Rochester, Minnesota), Oregon Health Sciences University (Portland), Regenstrief Institute (Indianapolis), and Washington University (St. Louis). Other participants include the University of Pittsburgh (as part of a project funded by an NLM High Performance Computing and Communications contract), the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Department of Defense, Group Health of Puget Sound, the FDA (as previously indicated), commercial developers of clinical software, state public health agencies, drug companies, and biomedical publishers. Additional volunteers are welcome (contact Betsy Humphreys at blh@nlm.nih.gov). NLM and AHCPR will recruit specific participants if they are needed to test coverage of concepts important to key clinical or public health activities.

## **Test Procedures**

In overview, test participants search the test set for controlled vocabulary needed for their specific purposes and send standard electronic messages to the NLM about what they find and do not find. Testers use a special Web interface (Figs. 1 and 2) to the UMLS Knowledge Source Server for interactive or "batch" processing of groups of terms. In either case, the following steps apply:

- Each tester is assigned an identifier that will be included in all data submitted to the NLM.
- The tester describes the purpose for which controlled vocabulary is needed by selecting appropriate categories (Table 2) from a form provided in the Web interface. If testers are looking for different groups of terms for different purposes, each group of terms is categorized separately.
- The tester searches for controlled vocabulary for particular concepts of interest using a special configuration of the UMLS lexical programs. The same programs that produced the indexes to the Meta-

thesaurus and the additional vocabularies in the test set convert each term sought to a comparable normalized form. Normalization involves breaking a term into its constituent words, converting each word to lower case letters, converting each word to an uninflected canonical form (e.g., for nouns, the singular), and sorting the words in alphabetical order. For searches against the additional vocabularies, potential British spelling variants of the input term will also be generated and normalized to support searching of the Read system. If no exact match for the normalized form of the desired term is found, "looser" lexical searches against the test are made; these involve such techniques as word-level substitution (e.g., "renal" for "kidney") and partial matches (e.g., "obstructive apnea" and "sleep apnea" are returned for a search on "obstructive sleep apnea"). The interface will display enough infor-



**Figure 2** Special World Wide Web interface to the UMLS Knowledge Source Server for processing user terminology. Here the user has entered the term "continuous pump driven hemofiltration." This term is not found as an exact match in the Metathesaurus, but further lexical processing has identified some Metathesaurus concepts that are closely related to the meaning of the user's term.

#### Table 2

#### Tester's Reason for Searching for Controlled Vocabulary

For each discrete group of terms, select at least one category each from A and B. Select categories from C, D, and E if applicable. In some sections categories may overlap. Select the category that is closest to your view of the task at hand.

- A. Data Task (required)
  - A1. Record or display data about individuals
  - A2. Extract or summarize data about groups of individuals
  - A3. Retrieve information from knowledge bases
  - A4. Build multi-purpose vocabulary database or tools
  - A5. Link natural language to controlled vocabulary
  - A6. Other; please specify .
- B. General Purpose of task (required)
  - B1. Direct Patient Care
  - **B2.** Decision Support
  - B3. Clinical Research
  - B4. Public Health Surveillance or Intervention
  - **B5.** Outcomes, Health Services Research
  - B6. Development of Guidelines, Pathways, Reminders
  - B7. Enhancement of health database or system used for multiple purposes
  - B8. Other, please specify .
- C. Care Setting or Facility (required, if applicable)
  - C1. Ambulatory Care Office/Clinic
  - C2. Inpatient Care Facility
  - C3. Longterm Care Facility
  - C4. Home Care
  - C5. Free-Standing Clinical Laboratory
  - C6. Free-Standing Pharmacy
  - C7. Other; please specify
- D. Specific Type of Care or Specialty (required, if applicable) D1. Anesthesiology

  - D2. Dentistry
  - D3. Diagnostic Imaging D4. Emergency Medicine

  - D5. Family Practice
  - D6. Internal Medicine
  - D7. Intensive Care/Critical Care
  - D8. Neurology
  - D9. Nursing
  - D10. Obstetrics/Gynecology
  - D11. Ophthalmology

mation to let the tester determine whether the meaning found is identical to the meaning sought.

For each term searched, the tester sends an electronic record to the NLM in a standard format. Interactive use of the Web interface generates these records and sends them to the NLM as a by-product of the search process. Testers who employ batch processing produce and send comparable records using locally developed routines. If the exact meaning of the term searched is found as a single concept in either the Metathesaurus or the set of planned additions, the record sent to the NLM includes a variable indicating an exact match. If the exact meaning of the term searched is not found, the tester must supply definitional information and a relationship between the concept searched and the most closely related term found. Testers may also suggest useful relationships between two concepts in the test set or identify a combination of two or

- D12. Orthopedics
- D13. Pathology
- D14. Pediatrics
- D15. Pharmacology
- D16. Pharmacy
- D17. Psychiatry/Clinical Psychology
- D18. Social Work
- D19. Surgery
- D20. Urology
- D21. Veterinary Medicine
- D22. Other; please specify
- E. Specific Segment of Patient Record for which Controlled Terminology is Sought (required, if applicable)
  - E1. Chief Complaint
  - E2. Problem List
  - E3. Discharge Summary
  - E4. Medications
  - E5. Diagnoses
  - E6. Patient History
  - E7. Physical Examination
  - E8. Review of Systems
  - E9. Laboratory Tests
  - E10. Procedures
  - E11. Progress Notes
  - E12. Immunizations
  - E13. Family History
  - E14. Assessment
  - E15. Flowsheet
  - E16. Plan
  - E17. Intake and Output
  - E18. Environmental Exposures
  - E19. Demographic Data
  - E20. Functional Status
  - E21. Consult/Referral

  - E22. Patient Education/Teaching Record
  - E23. Other; please specify.

more concepts in the test set that is synonymous with a concept for which they were searching.

## Data Analysis

After automatic format and preliminary content validation are completed, experts in the vocabularies included in the test set review a sample of records for additional or new concepts. This review determines whether concepts not found by testers are actually present in the test set, although perhaps not retrievable by the search routines used in the test. Based on results of the sampling, additional records may undergo review. Records for any "new" concepts actually found in the test set during this step are modified to reflect the existence of an exact match. Test participants are notified if concepts submitted as new are already in the test set. Those concepts correctly identified as new are candidates for addition to the Metathesaurus, either through the incorporation of another existing controlled vocabulary that contains them or, failing that, possibly as individual concepts.

When all test data are received, they will be summarized to provide basic statistics-number of testers, number of concepts searched, number of concepts found and not found by testers, number of concepts not found by testers that were located during the review step—both in aggregate and by the purposes for which the concepts were sought. The percentage of terms found for various purposes will serve as one indicator of the relative strength of the test set for various clinical, public health, and research purposes and of any significant gaps in the test set. Test data will also be used to assess tester preferences for precoordinated (e.g., "fractured right leg") versus atomic concepts (e.g., "fracture" and "leg" modified by "right") for various purposes. For concepts not found, some analysis of their frequency of use in health care and public health settings will be undertaken to help determine their relative priority.

## **Expected Outcomes**

We expect the test to have a range of positive effects. Test participants should receive immediate help in solving problems that require controlled health vocabulary. In addition, the NLM will obtain valuable feedback on the utility of its UMLS Knowledge Source Server, the lexical programs used in testing, and priorities for expanding the UMLS Metathesaurus. The test data should increase our understanding of the nature and extent of the gaps in existing vocabularies. Such understanding will assist the developers of individual vocabularies. It will also provide the basis for developing a realistic plan and resource estimates for achieving and maintaining a comprehensive health vocabulary that can be distributed and mapped to statistical, billing, and decision-support vocabularies within the UMLS Metathesaurus. Such a comprehensive vocabulary will help to ensure that the meaning of electronic health data is preserved as the data are used in health care, public health surveillance, and research.

#### References 🔳

- Henry SB, Holzemer WL, Reilly CA, Campbell KE. Terms used by nurses to describe patient problems: can SNOMED III represent nursing concepts in the patient record? J Am Med Inform Assoc. 1994;1:61–74.
- Campbell JR, Payne TH. A comparison of four schemes for codification of problem lists. SCAMC Proc. 1994;201-5.
- Chute CG, Cohn SP, Campbell KE, Oliver DE, Campbell JR, for Computer-Based Patient Record Institute's Work Group on Codes & Structures. The content coverage of clinical classifications. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 1996;3:224–233.
- Lindberg DAB, Humphreys BL, McCray AT. The Unified Medical Language System Methods Inf Med. 1993;32:281– 91.
- McCray AT, Srinivasan S, Browne AC. Lexical methods for managing variation in biomedical terminologies. SCAMC Proc. 1994:235-9.
- 6. McCray AT, Razi A. The UMLS knowledge source server. Med Inf. 1995;8:144-7.
- Campbell KE, Amar K, Musen MA. A logical foundation for representation of clinical data. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 1994;1:218-32.
- 8. Board of Directors of the American Medical Association. Standards for medical identifiers, codes, and messages needed to create an efficient computer-stored medical record. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 1994;1:1–7.
- 9. United States Congress, Office of Technology Assessment. Identifying Health Technologies That Work: Searching for Evidence. Washington, DC: US Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, September 1994. Publication OTA-H-608.
- Rothwell DJ, Cote RA, Cordeau JP, Boisvert MA. Developing a standard data structure for medical language—the SNOMED proposal. SCAMC Proc. 1993:695–9.
- Read JD, Sanderson HF, Drennan YM. Terming, coding, and grouping. Med Inf. 1995;8:56–9.
- Forrey AW, McDonald CJ, DeMoor G, et al. Logical Observation Identifier Names and Code (LOINC) database: a public use set of codes and names for electronic reporting of clinical laboratory test results. Clin Chem. 1996;42:81–90.
- 13. Vocabularies for computer-based patient records: identifying candidates for large scale testing. Minutes of a December 5–6 meeting sponsored by the National Library of Medicine and the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, Bethesda, MD: National Library of Medicine, 1994. Located in http://www.nlm.nih.gov/proceedings.dir/...
- 14. EUCLIDES. Coding System Version 4.01. Ghent, Belgium: EUCLIDES Foundation International, 1994.
- ASTM E1238-94. Standard Specification for Transferring Clinical Observations Between Independent Computer Systems. Philadelphia, PA: American Society for Testing Materials, 1994.