

# MIT Open Access Articles

## The Number of Edges in k-Quasi-planar Graphs

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

**Citation:** Fox, Jacob, János Pach, and Andrew Suk. "The Number of Edges in \$k\$-Quasi-planar Graphs." SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 27, no. 1 (March 19, 2013): 550-561. © 2013, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics

**As Published:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/110858586

Publisher: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics

Persistent URL: http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/79615

**Version:** Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

**Terms of Use:** Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.



#### THE NUMBER OF EDGES IN k-QUASI-PLANAR GRAPHS\*

JACOB FOX<sup>†</sup>, JÁNOS PACH<sup>‡</sup>, AND ANDREW SUK<sup>†</sup>

Abstract. A graph drawn in the plane is called k-quasi-planar if it does not contain k pairwise crossing edges. It has been conjectured for a long time that for every fixed k, the maximum number of edges of a k-quasi-planar graph with n vertices is O(n). The best known upper bound is  $n(\log n)^{O(\log k)}$ . In the present paper, we improve this bound to  $(n \log n)^{2\alpha(n)^{c_k}}$  in the special case where the graph is drawn in such a way that every pair of edges meet at most once. Here  $\alpha(n)$  denotes the (extremely slowly growing) inverse of the Ackermann function. We also make further progress on the conjecture for k-quasi-planar graphs in which every edge is drawn as an x-monotone curve. Extending some ideas of Valtr, we prove that the maximum number of edges of such graphs is at most  $2^{ck^6} n \log n$ .

Key words. topological graphs, quasi-planar graphs, Turan-type problems

AMS subject classifications. 05C35, 05C10, 68R10, 52C10

**DOI.** 10.1137/110858586

1. Introduction. A *topological graph* is a graph drawn in the plane such that its vertices are represented by points and its edges are represented by nonself-intersecting arcs connecting the corresponding points. In notation and terminology, we make no distinction between the vertices and edges of a graph and the points and arcs representing them, respectively. No edge is allowed to pass through any point representing a vertex other than its endpoints. Any two edges can intersect only in a finite number of points. Tangencies between the edges are not allowed. That is, if two edges share an interior point, then they must properly cross at this point. A topological graph is *simple* if every pair of its edges intersect at most once: at a common endpoint or at a proper crossing. If the edges of a graph are drawn as straight-line segments, then the graph is called *geometric*.

Finding the maximum number of edges in a topological graph with a forbidden crossing pattern is a fundamental problem in extremal topological graph theory (see [2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 12, 16, 21, 23]). It follows from Euler's polyhedral formula that every topological graph on *n* vertices and with no two crossing edges has at most 3n - 6edges. A graph is called *k*-quasi-planar if it can be drawn as a topological graph with no *k* pairwise crossing edges. A graph is 2-quasi-planar if and only if it is planar. According to an old conjecture (see Problem 1 in section 9.6 of [5]), for any fixed  $k \geq 2$  there exists a constant  $c_k$  such that every *k*-quasi-planar graph on *n* vertices has at most  $c_k n$  edges. Agarwal et al. [4] were the first to prove this conjecture for

<sup>\*</sup>Received by the editors December 12, 2011; accepted for publication (in revised form) October 30, 2012; published electronically March 19, 2013. A preliminary version of this paper, with A. Suk as its sole author, appears in *Proceedings of the 19th International Symposium on Graph Drawing (GD 2011, TU Eindhoven), Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* 7034, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2011, pp. 266–277.

http://www.siam.org/journals/sidma/27-1/85858.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02114 (fox@math.mit.edu, asuk@math.mit.edu). The first author was supported by a Simons Fellowship and NSF grant DMS 1069197. The third author was supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>EPFL Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland and Courant Institute, New York University, New York, NY 10012 (pach@cims.nyu.edu). This author was supported by Hungarian Science Foundation EuroGIGA grant OTKA NN 102029, by Swiss National Science Foundation grant 200021-125287/1, and by NSF grant CCF-08-30272.

simple 3-quasi-planar graphs. Later, Pach, Radoičić, and Tóth [17] generalized the result to all 3-quasi-planar graphs. Ackerman [1] proved the conjecture for k = 4.

For larger values of k, first Pach, Shahrokhi, and Szegedy [18] showed that every simple k-quasi-planar graph on n vertices has at most  $c_k n(\log n)^{2k-4}$  edges. For  $k \geq 3$  and for all (not necessarily simple) k-quasi-planar graphs, Pach, Radoičić, and Tóth [17] established the upper bound  $c_k n(\log n)^{4k-12}$ . Plugging into these proofs the above mentioned result of Ackerman [1], for  $k \geq 4$ , we obtain the slightly better bounds  $c_k n(\log n)^{2k-8}$  and  $c_k n(\log n)^{4k-16}$ , respectively. For large values of k, the exponent of the polylogarithmic factor in these bounds was improved by Fox and Pach [10], who showed that the maximum number of edges of a k-quasi-planar graph on n vertices is  $n(\log n)^{O(\log k)}$ .

For the number of edges of geometric graphs, that is, graphs drawn by straightline edges, Valtr [22] proved the upper bound  $O(n \log n)$ . He also extended this result to simple topological graphs whose edges are drawn as x-monotone curves [23].

The aim of this paper is to improve the best known bound,  $n(\log n)^{O(\log k)}$ , on the number of edges of a k-quasi-planar graph in two special cases: for simple topological graphs and for not necessarily simple topological graphs whose edges are drawn as x-monotone curves. In both cases, we improve the exponent of the polylogarithmic factor from  $O(\log k)$  to 1 + o(1).

THEOREM 1.1. Let G = (V, E) be a k-quasi-planar simple topological graph with n vertices. Then  $|E(G)| \leq (n \log n) 2^{\alpha(n)^{c_k}}$ , where  $\alpha(n)$  denotes the inverse of the Ackermann function and  $c_k$  is a constant that depends only on k.

Recall that the Ackermann (more precisely, the Ackermann–Péter) function A(n)is defined as follows. Let  $A_1(n) = 2n$ , and let  $A_k(n) = A_{k-1}(A_k(n-1))$  for  $k = 2, 3, \ldots$  In particular, we have  $A_2(n) = 2^n$ , and  $A_3(n)$  is an exponential tower of ntwo's. Now let  $A(n) = A_n(n)$ , and let  $\alpha(n)$  be defined as  $\alpha(n) = \min\{k \ge 1 : A(k) \ge n\}$ . This function grows much slower than the inverse of any primitive recursive function.

THEOREM 1.2. Let G = (V, E) be a k-quasi-planar (not necessarily simple) topological graph with n vertices, whose edges are drawn as x-monotone curves. Then  $|E(G)| \leq 2^{ck^6} n \log n$ , where c is an absolute constant.

In both proofs, we follow the approach of Valtr [23] and apply results on generalized Davenport–Schinzel sequences. An important new ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is a corollary of a separator theorem established in [9] and developed in [8]. Theorem 1.2 is not only more general than Valtr's result, which applies only to simple topological graphs, but its proof gives a somewhat better upper bound: we use a result of Pettie [20], which improves the dependence on k from double exponential to single exponential.

**2.** Generalized Davenport-Schinzel sequences. The sequence  $u = a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m$  is called *l*-regular if any *l* consecutive terms are pairwise different. For integers  $l, t \ge 2$ , the sequence

$$S = s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{lt}$$

of length lt is said to be of type up(l,t) if the first l terms are pairwise different and

$$s_i = s_{i+l} = s_{i+2l} = \dots = s_{i+(t-1)l}$$

for every  $i, 1 \leq i \leq l$ . For example,

is a type up(3, 4) sequence or, in short, an up(3, 4) sequence. We need the following theorem of Klazar [13] on generalized Davenport–Schinzel sequences.

THEOREM 2.1 (Klazar). For  $l \ge 2$  and  $t \ge 3$ , the length of any l-regular sequence over an n-element alphabet that does not contain a subsequence of type up(l,t) has length at most

$$n \cdot l2^{(lt-3)} \cdot (10l)^{10\alpha(n)^{lt}}.$$

For  $l \geq 2$ , the sequence

$$S = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{3l-2}$$

of length 3l - 2 is said to be of  $type \ up-down-up(l)$  if the first l terms are pairwise different and

$$s_i = s_{2l-i} = s_{(2l-2)+i}$$

for every  $i, 1 \leq i \leq l$ . For example,

is an up-down-up(4) sequence. Klazar and Valtr [14] showed that any *l*-regular sequence over an *n*-element alphabet, which contains no subsequence of type up-down-up(l), has length at most  $2^{l^c}n$  for some constant *c*. This has been improved by Pettie [20], who proved the following.

LEMMA 2.2 (see Pettie [20]). For  $l \geq 2$ , the length of any l-regular sequence over an n-element alphabet, which contains no subsequence of type up-down-up(l), has length at most  $2^{O(l^2)}n$ .

For more results on generalized Davenport–Schinzel sequences, see [15].

3. On intersection graphs of curves. In this section, we prove a useful lemma on intersection graphs of curves. It shows that every collection C of curves, no two of which intersect many times, contains a large subcollection C' such that in the partition of C' into its connected components  $C_1, \ldots, C_t$  in the intersection graph of C, each component  $C_i$  has a vertex connected to all other  $|C_i| - 1$  vertices.

For a graph G = (V, E), a subset  $V_0$  of the vertex set is said to be a *separator* if there is a partition  $V = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup V_2$  with  $|V_1|, |V_2| \leq \frac{2}{3}|V|$  such that no edge connects a vertex in  $V_1$  to a vertex in  $V_2$ . We need the following separator lemma for intersection graphs of curves, established in [9].

LEMMA 3.1 (see Fox and Pach [9]). There is an absolute constant  $c_1$  such that every collection C of curves with x intersection points has a separator of size at most  $c_1\sqrt{x}$ .

Call a collection C of curves in the plane *decomposable* if there is a partition  $C = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_t$  such that each  $C_i$  contains a curve which intersects all other curves in  $C_i$ , and for  $i \neq j$ , the curves in  $C_i$  are disjoint from the curves in  $C_j$ . The following lemma is a strengthening of Proposition 6.3 in [8]. Its proof is essentially the same as that of the original statement. It is included here for completeness.

LEMMA 3.2. There is an absolute constant c > 0 such that every collection C of  $m \ge 2$  curves such that each pair of them intersect in at most t points has a decomposable subcollection of size at least  $\frac{cm}{t \log m}$ .

Proof of Lemma 3.2. We prove the following stronger statement. There is an absolute constant c > 0 such that every collection C of  $m \ge 2$  curves whose intersection graph has at least x edges, and each pair of curves intersects in at most t points

and has a decomposable subcollection of size at least  $\frac{cm}{t \log m} + \frac{x}{m}$ . Let  $c = \frac{1}{576c_1^2}$ , where  $c_1 \ge 1$  is the constant in Lemma 3.1. The proof is by induction on m, noting that all collections of curves with at most three elements are decomposable. Define  $d = d(m, x, t) := \frac{cm}{t \log m} + \frac{x}{m}$ .

Let  $\Delta$  denote the maximum degree of the intersection graph of C. We have  $\Delta < d-1$ . Otherwise, the subcollection consisting of a curve of maximum degree, together with the curves in C that intersect it, is decomposable and its size is at least d, and we are done. Also,  $\Delta \geq 2\frac{x}{m}$ , since  $2\frac{x}{m}$  is the average degree of the vertices in the intersection graph of C. Hence, if  $\Delta \geq 2\frac{cm}{t\log m}$ , then the desired inequality holds. Thus, we may assume  $\Delta < 2\frac{cm}{t\log m}$ .

Applying Lemma 3.1 to the intersection graph of C, we obtain that there is a separator  $V_0 \subset C$  with  $|V_0| \leq c_1 \sqrt{tx}$ , where  $c_1$  is the absolute constant in Lemma 3.1. That is, there is a partition  $C = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup V_2$  with  $|V_1|, |V_2| \leq 2|V|/3$  such that no curve in  $V_1$  intersects any curve in  $V_2$ . For i = 1, 2, let  $m_i = |V_i|$  and let  $x_i$  denote the number of pairs of curves in  $V_i$  that intersect, so that

(1) 
$$x_1 + x_2 \ge x - \Delta |V_0| \ge x - 2\frac{cm}{t\log m}c_1\sqrt{tx}.$$

As no curve in  $V_1$  intersects any curve in  $V_2$ , the union of a decomposable subcollection of  $V_1$  and a decomposable subcollection of  $V_2$  is decomposable. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, C contains a decomposable subcollection of size at least

$$d(m_1, x_1, t) + d(m_2, x_2, t) = \frac{cm_1}{t \log m_1} + \frac{x_1}{m_1} + \frac{cm_2}{t \log m_2} + \frac{x_2}{m_2}$$
$$\geq \frac{c(m_1 + m_2)}{t \log(2m/3)} + \frac{(x_1 + x_2)}{2m/3}.$$

We split the rest of the proof into two cases.

Case 1.  $x \ge t^{-1}(12c_1c_{\overline{\log m}})^2$ . In this case, by (1), we have  $x_1 + x_2 \ge \frac{5}{6}x$ , and hence there is a decomposable subcollection of size at least

$$d(m_1, x_1, t) + d(m_2, x_2, t) \ge \frac{c(m_1 + m_2)}{t \log m} + \frac{5x}{4m} = d + \frac{x}{4m} - \frac{c(m - (m_1 + m_2))}{t \log m}$$
$$\ge d + \frac{x}{4m} - \frac{c_1 c \sqrt{tx}}{t \log m} > d,$$

completing the analysis.

Case 2.  $x < t^{-1}(12c_1c_{\overline{\log m}})^2$ . There is a decomposable subcollection of size at least

$$d(m_1, x_1, t) + d(m_2, x_2, t) \ge \frac{c(m_1 + m_2)}{t \log(2m/3)} \ge \frac{c}{t} \left(m - c_1 \sqrt{tx}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\log m} + \frac{1}{2 \log^2 m}\right)$$
$$\ge \frac{c}{t} \left(\frac{m}{\log m} + \frac{m}{2 \log^2 m} - \frac{2c_1 \sqrt{tx}}{\log m}\right) \ge \frac{c}{t} \left(\frac{m}{\log m} + \frac{m}{4 \log^2 m}\right)$$
$$\ge \frac{c}{t} \left(\frac{m}{\log m} + \frac{m}{4 \log^2 m}\right) \ge \frac{cm}{t \log m} + \frac{x}{m} = d,$$

where we used  $c = \frac{1}{4(12c_1)^2} = \frac{1}{576c_1^2}$ .

### Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

553

**4. Simple topological graphs.** In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. The following statement will be crucial for our purposes.

LEMMA 4.1. Let G = (V, E) be a k-quasi-planar simple topological graph with n vertices. Suppose that G has an edge that crosses every other edge. Then we have  $|E| \leq n \cdot 2^{\alpha(n)} c_k^{c_k}$ , where  $\alpha(n)$  denotes the inverse Ackermann function and  $c_k'$  is a constant that depends only on k.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Let  $k \geq 5$  and let  $c'_k = 40 \cdot 2^{k^2+2k}$ . To simplify the presentation, we do not make any attempt to optimize the value of  $c'_k$ . Label the vertices of G from 1 to n, i.e., let  $V = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ . Let e = uv be the edge that crosses every other edge in G. Note that d(u) = d(v) = 1.

Let E' denote the set of edges that cross e. Suppose, without loss of generality, that no two of elements of E' cross e at the same point. Let  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{|E'|}$  denote the edges in E' listed in the order of their intersection points with e from u to v. We create two sequences of vertices  $S_1 = p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{|E'|}$  and  $S_2 = q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_{|E'|} \subset V$ , as follows. For each  $e_i \in E'$ , as we move along edge e from u to v and arrive at the intersection point with  $e_i$ , we turn left and move along edge  $e_i$  until we reach its endpoint  $u_i$ . Then we set  $p_i = u_i$ . Likewise, as we move along edge e from u to vand arrive at edge  $e_i$ , we turn right and move along edge  $e_i$  until we reach its other endpoint  $w_i$ . Then we set  $q_i = w_i$ . Thus,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are sequences of length |E'| over the alphabet  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ . See Figure 1 for a small example.



FIG. 1. In this example,  $S_1 = v_1, v_3, v_4, v_3, v_2$  and  $S_2 = v_2, v_2, v_1, v_5, v_5$ .

We need two lemmas. The first one is due to Valtr [23].

LEMMA 4.2 (Valtr). For  $l \ge 1$ , at least one of the sequences  $S_1, S_2$  defined above contains an *l*-regular subsequence of length at least |E'|/(4l).

Since each edge in E' crosses e exactly once, the proof of Lemma 4.2 can be copied almost verbatim from the proof of Lemma 4 in [23]. Indeed, the only fact about the sequences  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  it uses is that the edges  $e_{j_1}, e_{j_1+1}, \ldots, e_{j_2}$  are spanned by the vertices  $p_{j_1}, \ldots, p_{j_2}$  and  $q_{j_1}, \ldots, q_{j_2}$ , for each pair  $j_1 < j_2$ .

For the rest of this section, we set  $l = 2^{k^2+k}$  and  $t = 2^k$ .

LEMMA 4.3. Neither of the sequences  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  has a subsequence of type up(l,t).

*Proof.* By symmetry, it suffices to show that  $S_1$  does not contain a subsequence of type up(l,t). The argument is by contradiction. We will prove by induction on k that the existence of such a sequence would imply that G has k pairwise crossing edges. The base cases k = 1, 2 are trivial. Now assume the statement holds up to k - 1. Let

$$S = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{lt}$$

be our up(l,t) sequence of length lt such that the first l terms are pairwise distinct and for i = 1, 2, ..., l we have

$$s_i = s_{i+l} = s_{i+2l} = s_{i+3l} = \dots = s_{i+(t-1)l}$$

For each i = 1, 2, ..., l, let  $v_i \in V$  denote the vertex  $s_i$ . Moreover, let  $a_{i,j}$  be the arc emanating from vertex  $v_i$  to the edge e corresponding to  $s_{i+jl}$  for j = 0, 1, 2, ..., t-1. We will think of  $s_{i+jl}$  as a point on  $a_{i,j}$  very close but not on edge e. For simplicity, we will let  $s_{lt+q} = s_q$  for all  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_{i,j} = a_{i,j'}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $j' \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., t-1\}$  is such that  $j \equiv j' \pmod{t}$ . Hence there are l distinct vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_l$ , each vertex of which has t arcs emanating from it to the edge e.

Consider the arrangement formed by the t arcs emanating from  $v_1$  and the edge e. Since G is simple, these arcs partition the plane into t regions. By the pigeonhole principle, there is a subset  $V' \subset \{v_1, \ldots, v_l\}$  of size

$$\frac{l-1}{t} = \frac{2^{k^2+k}-1}{2^k}$$

such that all of the vertices of V' lie in the same region. Let  $j_0 \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, t-1\}$  be an integer such that V' lies in the region bounded by  $a_{1,j_0}$ ,  $a_{1,j_0+1}$ , and e. See Figure 2. In the case  $j_0 = t - 1$ , the set V' lies in the unbounded region.



FIG. 2. Vertices of V' lie in the region enclosed by  $a_{1,j_0}$ ,  $a_{1,j_0+1}$ , e.

Let  $v_i \in V'$  and  $a_{i,j_0+j_1}$  be an arc emanating from  $v_i$  for  $j_1 \ge 1$ . Notice that  $a_{i,j_0+j_1}$  cannot cross both  $a_{1,j_0}$  and  $a_{1,j_0+1}$ . Indeed, as  $a_{i,j_0+j_1}$  can cross each of  $a_{1,j_0}$  and  $a_{1,j_0+1}$  at most once; had it crossed both of them, its endpoint  $s_{1,j_0+j_1}$  would be in the shaded region on Figure 2. Suppose that  $a_{i,j_0+j_1}$  crosses  $a_{1,j_0+1}$ . Then all arcs emanating from  $v_i$ ,

$$A = \{a_{i,j_0+1}, a_{i,j_0+2}, \dots, a_{i,j_0+j_1-1}\}$$

must also cross  $a_{1,j_0+1}$ . Indeed, let  $\gamma$  be the simple closed curve created by the arrangement

$$a_{i,j_0+j_1} \cup a_{1,j_0+1} \cup e.$$

Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Since  $a_{i,j_0+j_1}, a_{1,j_0+1}$ , and e pairwise intersect at precisely one point,  $\gamma$  is well defined. We define points  $x = a_{i,j_0+j_1} \cap a_{1,j_0+1}$  and  $y = a_{1,j_0+1} \cap e$ , and orient  $\gamma$  in the direction from x to y along  $\gamma$ .

In view of the fact that  $a_{i,j_0+j_1}$  intersects  $a_{1,j_0+1}$ , the vertex  $v_i$  must lie to the right of  $\gamma$ . Moreover, since the arc from x to y along  $a_{1,j_0+1}$  is a subset of  $\gamma$ , the points corresponding to the subsequence

$$S' = \{ s_q \in S \mid 2 + (j_0 + 1)l \le q \le (i - 1) + (j_0 + j_1)l \}$$

must lie to the left of  $\gamma$ . Hence,  $\gamma$  separates vertex  $v_i$  and the points of S'. Therefore, using again that G is simple, each arc from A must cross  $a_{1,j_0+1}$  (these arcs cannot cross  $a_{i,j_0+j_1}$ ). See Figure 3.



FIG. 3. Defining  $\gamma$  and its orientation.

By the same argument, if the arc  $a_{i,j_0-j_1}$  crosses  $a_{1,j_0}$  for  $j_1 \ge 1$ , then the arcs emanating from  $v_i$ ,

$$a_{i,j_0-1}, a_{i,j_0-2}, \ldots, a_{i,j_0-j_1+1},$$

must also cross  $a_{1,j_0}$ . Since  $a_{i,j_0+t/2} = a_{i,j_0-t/2}$ , we have the following observation.

OBSERVATION 4.4. For half of the vertices  $v_i \in V'$ , the arcs emanating from  $v_i$  satisfy that either

- 1.  $a_{i,j_0+1}, a_{i,j_0+2}, \ldots, a_{i,j_0+t/2}$  all cross  $a_{1,j_0+1}$ , or
- 2.  $a_{i,j_0-1}, a_{i,j_0-2}, \ldots, a_{i,j_0-t/2}$  all cross  $a_{1,j_0}$ .

Since  $t/2 = 2^{k-1}$  and

$$\frac{|V'|}{2} \ge \frac{l-1}{2t} = \frac{2^{k^2+k}-1}{2\cdot 2^k} \ge 2^{(k-1)^2+(k-1)}$$

by Observation 4.4, we obtain an  $up(2^{(k-1)^2+(k-1)}, 2^{k-1})$  sequence such that the corresponding arcs all cross either  $a_{1,j_0}$  or  $a_{1,j_0+1}$ . By the induction hypothesis, it follows that there exist k pairwise crossing edges.  $\Box$ 

Now we are ready to complete the proof of Lemma 4.1. By Lemma 4.2 we know that, say,  $S_1$  contains an *l*-regular subsequence of length |E'|/(4l). By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 4.3, this subsequence has length at most

$$n \cdot l2^{(lt-3)} \cdot (10l)^{10\alpha(n)^{lt}}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{|E'|}{4 \cdot l} \le n \cdot l2^{(lt-3)} \cdot (10l)^{10\alpha(n)^{lt}},$$

which implies

$$|E'| \le 4 \cdot n \cdot l^2 2^{(lt-3)} \cdot (10l)^{10\alpha(n)^{lt}}$$

Since  $c'_k = 40 \cdot lt = 40 \cdot 2^{k^2 + 2k}$ ,  $\alpha(n) \ge 2$ , and  $k \ge 5$ , we have

$$|E| = |E'| + 1 \le n \cdot 2^{\alpha(n)^{c'_k}}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.  $\Box$ 

Now we are in position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let G = (V, E) be a k-quasi-planar simple topological graph on n vertices. By Lemma 3.2, there is a subset  $E' \subset E$  such that  $|E'| \ge c|E|/\log|E|$ , where c is an absolute constant and E' is decomposable. Hence, there is a partition

$$E' = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots \cup E_t$$

such that each  $E_i$  has an edge  $e_i$  that intersects every other edge in  $E_i$ , and for  $i \neq j$ , the edges in  $E_i$  are disjoint from the edges in  $E_j$ . Let  $V_i$  denote the set of vertices that are the endpoints of the edges in  $E_i$ , and let  $n_i = |V_i|$ . By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$|E_i| \le n_i 2^{\alpha(n_i)^{c_k}} + 2n_i,$$

where the  $2n_i$  term accounts for the edges that share a vertex with  $e_i$ . Hence,

$$\frac{c|E|}{\log|E|} \le \sum_{i=1}^{t} n_i 2^{\alpha(n_i)^{c'_k}} + 2n_i \le n 2^{\alpha(n)^{c'_k}} + 2n.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$|E| < (n\log n)2^{\alpha(n)^{c_k}}$$

for a sufficiently large constant  $c_k$ .

5. *x*-monotone topological graphs. The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For  $k \ge 2$ , let  $g_k(n)$  be the maximum number of edges in a k-quasi-planar topological graph whose edges are drawn as x-monotone curves. We will prove by induction on n that

$$g_k(n) \le 2^{ck^\circ} n \log n,$$

where c is a sufficiently large absolute constant.

The base case is trivial. For the inductive step, let G = (V, E) be a k-quasi-planar topological graph whose edges are drawn as x-monotone curves, and let the vertices be labeled 1, 2, ..., n. Let L be a vertical line that partitions the vertices into two parts,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , such that  $|V_1| = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  vertices lie to the left of L, and  $|V_2| = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ vertices lie to the right of L. Furthermore, let  $E_1$  denote the set of edges induced by  $V_1$ , let  $E_2$  denote the set of edges induced by  $V_2$ , and let E' be the set of edges that intersect L. Clearly, we have

$$|E_1| \leq g_k(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)$$
 and  $|E_2| \leq g_k(\lceil n/2 \rceil)$ .

It suffices to show that

(2) 
$$|E'| \le 2^{ck^0/2} n,$$

since this would imply

Downloaded 07/17/13 to 18.51.1.228. Redistribution subject to SIAM license or copyright; see http://www.siam.org/journals/ojsa.php

$$g_k(n) \le g_k(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + g_k(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + 2^{ck^6/2}n \le 2^{ck^6}n\log n.$$

In the rest of the proof, we consider only the edges belonging to E'. For each vertex  $v_i \in V_1$ , consider the graph  $G_i$  whose vertices are the edges with  $v_i$  as a left endpoint, and two vertices in  $G_i$  are adjacent if the corresponding edges cross at some point to the left of L. Since  $G_i$  is an *incomparability graph* (see [7, 11]) and does not contain a clique of size k,  $G_i$  contains an independent set of size  $|E(G_i)|/(k-1)$ . We keep all edges that correspond to the elements of this independent set, and discard all other edges incident to  $v_i$ . After repeating this process for all vertices in  $V_1$ , we are left with at least |E'|/(k-1) edges.

Now we continue this process on the other side. For each vertex  $v_j \in V_2$ , consider the graph  $G_j$  whose vertices are the edges with  $v_j$  as a right endpoint, and two vertices in  $G_j$  are adjacent if the corresponding edges cross at some point to the right of L. Since  $G_j$  is an incomparability graph and does not contain a clique of size  $k, G_j$  contains an independent set of size  $|E(G_j)|/(k-1)$ . We keep all edges that corresponds to this independent set, and discard all other edges incident to  $v_j$ . After repeating this process for all vertices in  $V_2$ , we are left with at least  $|E'|/(k-1)^2$ edges.

We order the remaining edges  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m$  in the order in which they intersect L from bottom to top. (We assume, without loss of generality, that any two intersection points are distinct.) Define two sequences,  $S_1 = p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m$  and  $S_2 = q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_m$ , such that  $p_i$  denotes the left endpoint of edge  $e_i$  and  $q_i$  denotes the right endpoint of  $e_i$ . We need the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.1. Neither of the sequences  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  has subsequence of type updown-up( $k^3 + 2$ ). *Proof.* By symmetry, it suffices to show that  $S_1$  does not have a subsequence of type up-down- $up(k^3 + 2)$ . Suppose for contradiction that  $S_1$  does contain such a subsequence. Then there is a sequence

$$S = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{3(k^3+2)-2}$$

such that the integers  $s_1, \ldots, s_{k^3+2}$  are pairwise distinct and

$$s_i = s_{2(k^3+2)-i} = s_{2(k^3+2)-2+i}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k^3 + 2$ .

For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k^3 + 2\}$ , let  $v_i \in V_1$  denote the label (vertex) of  $s_i$  and let  $x_i$  denote the *x*-coordinate of the vertex  $v_i$ . Moreover, let  $a_i$  be the arc emanating from vertex  $v_i$  to the point on *L* that corresponds to  $s_{2(k^3+2)-i}$ . Let  $A = \{a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_{k^3+1}\}$ . Note that the arcs in *A* are enumerated downwards with respect to their intersection points with *L*, and they correspond to the elements of the "middle" section of the up-down-up sequence. We define two partial orders on *A* as follows:

 $a_i \prec_1 a_j$  if i < j,  $x_i < x_j$  and the arcs  $a_i, a_j$  do not intersect,

 $a_i \prec_2 a_j$  if i < j,  $x_i > x_j$  and the arcs  $a_i, a_j$  do not intersect.

Clearly,  $\prec_1$  and  $\prec_2$  are partial orders. If two arcs are not comparable by either  $\prec_1$  or  $\prec_2$ , then they cross. Since G does not contain k pairwise crossing edges, by Dilworth's theorem [7], there exist k arcs  $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \ldots, a_{i_k}\}$  such that they are pairwise comparable by either  $\prec_1$  or  $\prec_2$ . Now the proof falls into two cases.

Case 1. Suppose that  $a_{i_1} \prec_1 a_{i_2} \prec_1 \cdots \prec_1 a_{i_k}$ . Then the arcs emanating from  $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_k}$  to the points corresponding to  $s_{2(k^3+2)-2+i_1}, s_{2(k^3+2)-2+i_2}, \ldots, s_{2(k^3+2)-2+i_k}$  are pairwise crossing. See Figure 4.



FIG. 4. Case 1 of the proof of Lemma 5.1.

Case 2. Suppose that  $a_{i_1} \prec_2 a_{i_2} \prec_2 \cdots \prec_2 a_{i_k}$ . Then the arcs emanating from  $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_k}$  to the points corresponding to  $s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \ldots, s_{i_k}$  are pairwise crossing. See Figure 5.  $\Box$ 

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 4.2, we know that,  $S_1$ , say, contains a  $(k^3 + 2)$ -regular subsequence of length

$$\frac{|E'|}{4(k^3+2)(k-1)^2}.$$



FIG. 5. Case 2 of the proof of Lemma 5.1.

By Lemmas 2.2 and 5.1, this subsequence has length at most  $2^{c'k^6}n$ , where c' is an absolute constant. Hence, we have

$$\frac{|E'|}{4(k^3+2)(k-1)^2} \le 2^{c'k^6}n,$$

which implies that

$$|E'| \le 4k^5 2^{c'k^6} n \le 2^{ck^6/2} n$$

for a sufficiently large absolute constant c.

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank the referee for helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. ACKERMAN, On the maximum number of edges in topological graphs with no four pairwise crossing edges, Discrete Comput. Geom., 41 (2009), pp. 365–375.
- [2] E. ACKERMAN, J. FOX, J. PACH, AND A. SUK, On grids in topological graphs, in Proceedings of the 25th Annual ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry, ACM, New York, 2009, pp. 403–412.
- [3] E. ACKERMAN AND G. TARDOS, On the maximum number of edges in quasi-planar graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 114 (2007), pp. 563–571.
- [4] P. K. AGARWAL, B. ARONOV, J. PACH, R. POLLACK, AND M. SHARIR, Quasi-planar graphs have a linear number of edges, Combinatorica, 17 (1997), pp. 1–9.
- [5] P. BRASS, W. MOSER, AND J. PACH, Research Problems in Discrete Geometry, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [6] V. CAPOYLEAS AND J. PACH, A Turán-type theorem on chords of a convex polygon, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 56 (1992), pp. 9–15.
- [7] R. P. DILWORTH, A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets, Ann. of Math., 51 (1950), pp. 161–166.
- [8] J. FOX AND J. PACH, Erdős-Hajnal-type results on intersection patterns of geometric objects, in Horizons of Combinatorics, G. O. H. Katona et al., eds., Bolyai Soc. Stud. Math. 17, Springer, Berlin, 2008, pp. 79–103.
- J. FOX AND J. PACH, Separator theorems and Turán-type results for planar intersection graphs, Adv. Math., 219 (2008), pp. 1070–1080.
- [10] J. FOX AND J. PACH, Coloring K<sub>k</sub>-free intersection graphs of geometric objects in the plane, in Proceedings of the 24th Annual ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry, ACM, New York, 2008, pp. 346–354.

- [11] J. FOX, J. PACH, AND C. TÓTH, Intersection patterns of curves, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 83 (2011), pp. 389–406.
- [12] R. FULEK AND A. SUK, On disjoint crossing-families in geometric graphs, in Thirty Essays on Geometric Graph Theory, J. Pach, ed., Springer, New York, 2012, pp. 289–302.
- [13] M. KLAZAR, A general upper bound in extremal theory of sequences, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., 33 (1992), pp. 737–746.
- [14] M. KLAZAR AND P. VALTR, Generalized Davenport-Schinzel sequences, Combinatorica, 14 (1994), pp. 463–476.
- [15] G. NIVASCH, Improved bounds and new techniques for Davenport-Schinzel sequences and their generalizations, J. ACM, 57 (2010), 17.
- [16] J. PACH, R. PINCHASI, M. SHARIR, AND G. TÓTH, Topological graphs with no large grids, Graphs Combin., 21 (2005), pp. 355–364.
- [17] J. PACH, R. RADOIČIĆ, AND G. TÓTH, Relaxing planarity for topological graphs, Discrete and Computational Geometry, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 2866, Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 221–232.
- [18] J. PACH, F. SHAHROKHI, AND M. SZEGEDY, Applications of the crossing number, J. Graph Theory, 22 (1996), pp. 239–243.
- [19] S. PETTIE, Generalized Davenport-Schinzel sequences and their 0-1 matrix counterparts, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 118 (2011), pp. 1863–1895.
- [20] S. PETTIE, On the structure and composition of forbidden sequences, with geometric applications, in Proceedings of the 27th Annual ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry, ACM, New York, 2011, pp. 370–379.
- [21] G. TARDOS AND G. TÓTH, Crossing stars in topological graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 21 (2007), pp. 737–749.
- [22] P. VALTR, On geometric graphs with no k pairwise parallel edges, Discrete Comput. Geom., 19 (1998), pp. 461–469.
- [23] P. VALTR, Graph drawings with no k pairwise crossing edges, in Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Graph Drawing, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 1353, Springer, Berlin, 1997, pp. 205–218.