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#### Abstract

We prove that for all $k \geqslant 4$ and $1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$, every $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ on $n$ vertices with $\delta_{k-2}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant\left(\frac{4(k-\ell)-1}{4(k-\ell)^{2}}+o(1)\right)\binom{n}{2}$ contains a Hamiltonian $\ell$-cycle if $k-\ell$ divides $n$. This degree condition is asymptotically best possible. The case $k=3$ was addressed earlier by Buß et al.


## §1. Introduction

A $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is a pair $(V, E)$ with vertex set $V$ and edge set $E$ such that each edge is a subset of $k$ vertices. Given a $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ and $S \in\binom{V}{s}$, we denote by $\operatorname{deg}(S)$ the number of edges of $\mathcal{H}$ containing $S$ and we denote by $N(S)$ the $(k-s)$-element sets $T \in\binom{V}{k-s}$ such that $T \cup S$ is an edge of $E$, so $\operatorname{deg}(S)=|N(S)|$. We define the minimum s-degree of $\mathcal{H}$, denoted by $\delta_{s}(\mathcal{H})$, as the minimum of $\operatorname{deg}(S)$ over all $s$-vertex sets $S \in\binom{V}{s}$.

We say that a $k$-uniform hypergraph is an $\ell$-cycle if there exists a cyclic ordering of its vertices such that every edge is composed of $k$ consecutive vertices, two (vertex-wise) consecutive edges share exactly $\ell$ vertices, and every vertex is contained in an edge. If the ordering is not cyclic, we call it an $\ell$-path and we say that the first and last $\ell$ vertices are the ends of the path.

We are interested in the problem of finding minimum degree conditions that ensure the existence of Hamiltonian cycles, i.e. cycles containing all vertices of the given hypergraph. Problems of this type attracted considerable attention in the literature over the last two decades (see, e.g., $[13,18]$ and the references therein). This problem was first studied by Katona and Kierstead in [8]. They posed a conjecture, which was confirmed by the following result of Rödl, Ruciński, and Szemerédi [14,15]: For every $k \geqslant 3$, if $\mathcal{H}$ is a $k$-uniform

[^0]$n$-vertex hypergraph with $\delta_{k-1}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant(1 / 2+o(1)) n$, then $\mathcal{H}$ contains a Hamiltonian $(k-1)$ cycle. Their proof introduces the so-called Absorbing Method, which we will use in our proof as well. In [12] Kühn and Osthus investigated a similar question for 1-cycles, proving that 3-uniform hypergraphs $\mathcal{H}$ with $\delta_{2}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant(1 / 4+o(1)) n$ contain a Hamiltonian 1-cycle. This result was generalized to arbitrary $k$ and $\ell$-cycles with $1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$ by Hàn and Schacht [5] (see also [9]).

Theorem 1. For all integers $k \geqslant 3$ and $1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$ and every $\gamma>0$ there exists an $n_{0}$ such that every $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ on $|V|=n \geqslant n_{0}$ vertices with $n \in(k-\ell) \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
\delta_{k-1}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant\left(\frac{1}{2(k-\ell)}+\gamma\right) n
$$

contains a Hamiltonian $\ell$-cycle.
To see the asymptotic optimality of the minimum degree condition, we consider the following well-known example. Let $\mathcal{H}_{k, \ell}=(V, E)$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices such that $E$ is the set of all edges with at least one vertex from $A \subset V$, where $|A|=$ $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2(k-\ell)}-1\right\rceil$. Note that an $\ell$-cycle on $n$ vertices contains $n /(k-\ell)$ edges and for $\ell<k / 2$ every vertex is contained in at most two edges of any $\ell$-cycle. So the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_{k, \ell}$ does not contain a Hamiltonian $\ell$-cycle and has $\delta_{k-1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{k, \ell}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2(k-\ell)}-1\right\rceil$. In [6] Han and Zhao proved a version of Theorem 1 with this sharp degree condition.

Kühn, Mycroft, and Osthus [11] generalized Theorem 1 to $1 \leqslant \ell<k-1$, solving the problem of finding minimum $(k-1)$-degree conditions that ensure the existence of Hamiltonian $\ell$-cycles in $k$-uniform hypergraphs.

A natural question is to ask for minimum $d$-degree conditions forcing the existence of Hamiltonian $\ell$-cycles for $d<k-1$. In this direction Buß, Hàn, and Schacht proved the following asymptotically optimal result in [2].

Theorem 2. For all $\gamma>0$ there exists an $n_{0}$ such that every 3-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ on $|V|=n \geqslant n_{0}$ vertices with $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma\right) n
$$

contains a Hamiltonian 1-cycle.
Note that the asymptotic optimality again follows from the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_{k, \ell}$ considered above for $k=3$ and $\ell=1$. The sharp bound for $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H})$ was proved by Han and Zhao in [7]. We generalize Theorem 2 to $k$-uniform hypergraphs and give an asymptotically optimal bound on the minimum $(k-2)$-degree for the existence of Hamiltonian $\ell$-cycles for all $1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$.

Theorem 3 (Main result). For all integers $k \geqslant 4$ and $1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$ and every $\gamma>0$ there exists an $n_{0}$ such that every $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ on $|V|=n \geqslant n_{0}$ vertices with $n \in(k-\ell) \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
\delta_{k-2}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant\left(\frac{4(k-\ell)-1}{4(k-\ell)^{2}}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}
$$

contains a Hamiltonian $\ell$-cycle.
The hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_{k, \ell}$ motivates the following notion of extremality. Let $k \geqslant 3$ and $\ell \geqslant 1$ be integers and let $0<\xi<1$. A $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ is called $(\ell, \xi)$-extremal if there exists a set $B \subset V$ such that $|B|=\left\lfloor\frac{2(k-\ell)-1}{2(k-\ell)} n\right\rfloor$ and $e(B) \leqslant \xi\binom{n}{k}$, where $e(B)$ stands for the number of edges in the subhypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ induced by $B$. Our main result follows directly from the following theorem.

Theorem 4. For any $0<\xi<1$ and all integers $k \geqslant 4$ and $1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$, there exists $\gamma>0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large $n$. Suppose $\mathcal{H}$ is a $k$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices with $n \in(k-\ell) \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{H}$ is not $(\ell, \xi)$-extremal and

$$
\delta_{k-2}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant\left(\frac{4(k-\ell)-1}{4(k-\ell)^{2}}-\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{H}$ contains a Hamiltonian $\ell$-cycle.
We remark that for $k=3$ and $\ell=1$, the corresponding version of Theorem 4 appeared in the so-called non-extremal case of the sharp version of Theorem 2 in [6]. As a result, it will be sufficient to address the extremal case for a sharp version of Theorem 3 and we shall return to this in the near future [1]. For details about this approach see [6, 7]. It is easy to check that if $\delta_{k-2}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant\left(\frac{4(k-\ell)-1}{4(k-\ell)^{2}}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}$, then there exists $\xi=\xi(k, \ell, \gamma)>0$ such that $\mathcal{H}$ is not $(\ell, \xi)$-extremal. Consequently, Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 4.

## §2. Main lemmas

2.1. Outline of the proof of Theorem 4. The proof follows the Absorbing Method introduced by Rödl, Ruciński, and Szemerédi in [14]. For this, we derive the following lemmas: the Absorbing Lemma (Lemma 7), the Reservoir Lemma (Lemma 6), and the Path-Tiling Lemma (Lemma 16).

We call an $\ell$-path $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ a $\beta$-absorbing path for a $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ if for every subset $U \subset V(\mathcal{H})$ of size at most $\beta n$ there exists an $\ell$-path $\mathcal{Q}$ such that $V(\mathcal{Q})=V(\mathcal{A}) \cup U$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ has the same ends as $\mathcal{A}$, for some $\beta>0$. The Absorbing Lemma (Lemma 7) ensures the existence of a $\beta$-absorbing path $\mathcal{A}$. This reduces the problem of finding a Hamiltonian $\ell$-cycle to that of finding an almost spanning $\ell$-cycle that contains $\mathcal{A}$.

To obtain an almost spanning $\ell$-cycle, we first find a bounded number (independent of $|V(\mathcal{H})|$ ) of $\ell$-paths covering almost all vertices of $V(\mathcal{H}) \backslash \mathcal{A}$ and then connect them using only vertices from a small set, a so-called reservoir set that we fix beforehand. The Reservoir Lemma (Lemma 6) shows that it is possible to find this reservoir set $R$ such that any bounded number of disjoint $\ell$-paths can be connected to an $\ell$-cycle, only using vertices from $R$.

We can choose the sizes of $\mathcal{A}$ and $R$ small enough, so that the remaining hypergraph satisfies almost the same degree condition as $\mathcal{H}$. Then the Path-Tiling Lemma (Lemma 16) ensures the existence of a collection of $\ell$-paths covering almost all vertices of $V(\mathcal{H}) \backslash(\mathcal{A} \cup R)$. This is the only point in the proof where we use the exact value of the degree condition and the non-extremality of $\mathcal{H}$. (In fact, a proof for the corresponding version of the PathTiling Lemma for a direct proof of Theorem 3, which allows us to utilise a slightly larger degree condition, is a bit simpler.)

As mentioned before, the paths from the Path-Tiling Lemma and $\mathcal{A}$ can be connected by using vertices from $R$ to an almost spanning $\ell$-cycle containing $\mathcal{A}$. Since this $\ell$-cycle contains almost all vertices of $\mathcal{H}$, the absorbing property of $\mathcal{A}$ allows us to absorb the leftover vertices, i.e. vertices that are not contained in any of the $\ell$-paths and vertices that were not used to connect the $\ell$-paths. The resulting $\ell$-cycle is the desired Hamiltonian $\ell$ cycle.
2.2. Connecting. In order to construct an almost spanning $\ell$-cycle of a $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$, we first find some $\ell$-paths and connect them at their ends. Recall that, given an $\ell$-path $\mathcal{P}=v_{1} \cdots v_{t}$ in $\mathcal{H}$, the ends of $\mathcal{P}$ are the sets $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{t-\ell+1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\}$. As usual, the size of an $\ell$-path is the number of its edges. For a collection of $2 m$ mutually disjoint sets of $\ell$ vertices $X_{i}, Y_{i}$ we say that a set of $\ell$-paths $\mathcal{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{m}$ connects $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)_{i \in[m]}$ if all paths are vertex-disjoint and $X_{i}$ and $Y_{i}$ are the ends of $\mathcal{T}_{i}$, for all $i \in[m]$. The connections for a given collection of disjoint $\ell$-paths are given by the following lemma. In addition the lemma allows to restrict the edges used for the connection to a given "well-connected" subset $R$ of vertices.

Lemma 5 (Connecting Lemma). Let $\eta>0$ and let $k \geqslant 4,1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$, and $m \geqslant 1$ be integers. Let $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ be a k-uniform hypergraph and $R \subset V$ with $|R|=r \geqslant$ $32 \mathrm{~km} / \eta^{3}$. For every collection of $2 m$ mutually disjoint sets $X_{i}, Y_{i} \in\binom{V}{\ell}$ the following holds for $V^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in[m]}\left(X_{i} \cup Y_{i}\right) \cup R$.

If $\left|N(K) \cap\binom{R}{2}\right| \geqslant \eta\binom{r}{2}$ for all $K \in\binom{V^{\prime}}{k-2}$, then there exist $\ell$-paths $\mathcal{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{m}$ of size at most four connecting $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)_{i \in[m]}$, which contain vertices from $V^{\prime}$ only.


Figure 1. The path connecting $\left(X_{j}, Y_{j}\right)$.
Proof. Given $\eta>0$ and integers $k \geqslant 4,1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$ and $m \geqslant 1$, let $\mathcal{H}=(V, E), R \subseteq V$, and $X_{i}, Y_{i}$ for $i \in[m]$ satisfy the assumptions of the lemma.

Suppose we have constructed $\ell$-paths $\mathcal{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{j-1}$ each of size at most four connecting the pairs $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)_{i \in[j-1]}$ for some $j \leqslant m$ using only vertices from $\bigcup_{i \in[m]}\left(X_{i} \cup Y_{i}\right) \cup R$. We want to construct a path $\mathcal{T}_{j}$ with ends $X_{j}$ and $Y_{j}$. We define $F_{j}=\bigcup_{i \in[m]}\left(X_{i} \cup Y_{i}\right) \cup$ $\bigcup_{i \in[j-1]} V\left(\mathcal{T}_{i}\right)$ as the set of forbidden vertices for $\mathcal{T}_{j}$.

If $k-2 \geqslant 2 \ell=\left|X_{j} \cup Y_{j}\right|$, fix a set $Z$ of size $k-2-2 \ell$ from $R \backslash F_{j}$. Since $|R|=r \geqslant 32 \mathrm{~km} / \eta^{3}$, we know that

$$
\left|N\left(X_{j} \cup Y_{j} \cup Z\right) \cap\binom{R}{2}\right| \geqslant \eta\binom{r}{2}>\binom{r}{2}-\binom{r-4 k m}{2} \geqslant\binom{ r}{2}-\binom{\left|R \backslash F_{j}\right|}{2} .
$$

Hence, there exists a hyperedge $X_{j} \cup Y_{j} \cup Z^{\prime}$ with $Z^{\prime} \subseteq R \backslash F_{j}$, which realizes the path $\mathcal{T}_{j}$.
It is left to consider the case that $2 \ell=k-1$. See Figure 1 for a drawing of the path we will construct in this case. For a set $A \subseteq V$, let $N_{A}(S)=N(S) \cap\binom{A}{k-|S|}$.

Observation. For any $Z \in\left\{X_{j}, Y_{j}\right\}$ and $L \in\binom{R \backslash F_{j}}{\ell-1}$, there are at least $\eta r / 4$ many vertices $z \in R \backslash\left(F_{j} \cup L\right)$ with $\left|N_{R \backslash F_{j}}(Z \cup L \cup\{z\})\right| \geqslant \eta r / 4$.

To see the observation note that we can consider $N_{R \backslash F_{j}}(Z \cup L)$ as the edge set of a 2-graph with vertex set $R \backslash\left(F_{j} \cup L\right)$. Since $r \geqslant 32 k m / \eta^{3}$, it follows from the degree condition of $\mathcal{H}$ into the set $R$ that this graph has edge density at least $\eta / 2$ and the observation follows.

Let $L \in\binom{R \backslash F_{j}}{\ell-1}$ and let $x, y \in R \backslash\left(F_{j} \cup L\right)$ be distinct. We say that $(x, L, y)$ is an extendable triple in $R \backslash F_{j}$ if

$$
\left|N_{R \backslash F_{j}}\left(X_{j} \cup L \cup\{x\}\right)\right| \geqslant \eta r / 4 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|N_{R \backslash F_{j}}\left(Y_{j} \cup L \cup\{y\}\right)\right| \geqslant \eta r / 4 .
$$

The observation yields at least $(\eta r / 4)(\eta r / 4-1)>(\eta r / 8)^{2}$ extendable triples $(x, L, y)$ for any fixed $L \in\binom{R \backslash F_{j}}{\ell-1}$.

Given $S \in\binom{R \backslash F_{j}}{\ell-2}$ and an extendable triple $(x, L, y)$ disjoint from $S, S \cup L \cup\{x, y\}$ is a $(k-2)$-element set. Consequently, the minimum degree condition of the lemma yields at least $\eta\binom{r}{2}$ pairs $M \in\binom{R}{2}$ such that $S \cup M \cup L \cup\{x, y\}$ is an edge of $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, similarly as in the proof of the observation at least $(\eta / 2)\binom{\left|R \backslash F_{j}\right|}{2}$ of these pairs avoid $F_{j}$. Since this is true for every extendable triple and there are at least $\binom{\left|R \backslash F_{j}\right|}{\ell-1}(\eta r / 8)^{2}$ extendable triples, there exists an $M \in\binom{R \backslash F_{j}}{2}$ that, together with $S$, forms an edge of $\mathcal{H}$ with at least $(\eta / 2)(\eta r / 8)^{2}\binom{\left|R \backslash F_{j}\right|}{\ell-1}$ extendable triples. Since $r \geqslant 32 \mathrm{~km} / \eta^{3}$, this is more than the number of triples that any single extendable triple can intersect with, so there exist two completely disjoint extendable triples $(x, L, y)$ and $\left(x^{\prime}, L^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ that form an edge of $\mathcal{H}$ together with $M^{\prime}=M \cup S$.

By the definition of extendable triples we have

$$
\left|N_{R \backslash F_{j}}\left(X_{j} \cup L \cup\{x\}\right)\right| \geqslant \eta r / 4>k+1=\left|M^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime} \cup\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, y\right\}\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|N_{R \backslash F_{j}}\left(Y_{j} \cup L^{\prime} \cup\left\{y^{\prime}\right\}\right)\right| \geqslant \eta r / 4>k+2=\left|M^{\prime} \cup L \cup\left\{x, y, x^{\prime}\right\}\right|+1 .
$$

Consequently there are $v, v^{\prime} \in R \backslash F_{j}$ such that the hyperedges
$\left\{X_{j} \cup L \cup\{v, x\}\right\}, \quad\left\{M^{\prime} \cup L \cup\{x, y\}\right\}, \quad\left\{M^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime} \cup\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\}\right\}, \quad$ and $\quad\left\{Y_{j} \cup L^{\prime} \cup\left\{y^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\}\right\}$
are edges of $\mathcal{H}$, which form a path of size 4 connecting $\left(X_{j}, Y_{j}\right)$.
In the main proof we will connect $\ell$-paths to an almost spanning $\ell$-cycle. The Reservoir Lemma (stated below) ensures the existence of a small set $R$ such that we can connect an arbitrary collection of at most $2 m$ many $\ell$-sets, only using vertices of $R$.

Lemma 6 (Reservoir Lemma). Let $\eta, \varepsilon>0$ and let $k \geqslant 4,1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$, and $m \geqslant 1$ be integers. Then for every sufficiently large $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ on $n$ vertices with $\delta_{k-2}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant \eta\binom{n}{2}$ there is a set $R \subset V$ with $|R| \leqslant \varepsilon n$ such that the following holds.

For every collection $X_{i}, Y_{i}$ for $i \in[j]$ of $2 j$ mutually disjoint sets of $\ell$ vertices, where $j \leqslant m$, there exist $\ell$-paths $\mathcal{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{j}$ of size at most 4 connecting $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)_{i \in[j]}$ that, moreover, contain vertices from $\bigcup_{i \in[j]}\left(X_{i} \cup Y_{i}\right) \cup R$ only.

Lemma 6 is a consequence of Lemma 5, since one can show that with high probability a suitably sized random subset $R \subseteq V$ inherits an appropriately scaled minimum degree condition from $\mathcal{H}$. As a consequence such a set satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 5 (with $\eta / 2$ ) and the lemma yields the conclusion of Lemma 6 (see, e.g. [2, Lemma 6] for a very similar argument).
2.3. Absorption. Given a $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ and $U \subset V$ with $|U| \in(k-\ell) \mathbb{N}$, we say that an $\ell$-path $\mathcal{A}$ absorbs $U$ if there exists an $\ell$-path $\mathcal{Q}$ with the same ends as $\mathcal{A}$ and $V(\mathcal{Q})=V(\mathcal{A}) \cup U$. At the end of the main proof we will absorb all vertices outside of an almost spanning $\ell$-cycle to obtain a Hamiltonian $\ell$-cycle using an absorbing path $\mathcal{A}$, i.e. a path that can absorb any set $U$ of small linear size. The existence of such a path $\mathcal{A}$ is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 7 (Absorbing Lemma). For every $\eta, \zeta>0$ and all integers $k \geqslant 4$ and $1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$ there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large $n$. Let $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices that satisfies $\delta_{k-2}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant \eta\binom{n}{2}$. Then there is an $\ell$-path $\mathcal{A}$ with $|V(\mathcal{A})| \leqslant \zeta n$ such that for all subsets $U \subset V \backslash V(\mathcal{A})$ of size at most हn with $|U| \in(k-\ell) \mathbb{N}$ there exists an $\ell$-path $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{H}$ with $V(\mathcal{Q})=V(\mathcal{A}) \cup U$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ have the same ends.

Proof. Let $\eta, \zeta>0$ and let $k \geqslant 4$ and $1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$ be integers, and assume w.l.o.g. that $\eta, \zeta \leqslant 1$. Fix auxiliary constants

$$
\widetilde{\eta}=\frac{\eta}{4 k!} \quad \text { and } \quad q=3 k-2 \ell
$$

and set

$$
\varepsilon=\frac{\zeta \widetilde{\eta}^{10}}{56 k q^{2}}
$$

Let $n$ be sufficiently large and let $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices that satisfies $\delta_{k-2}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant \eta\binom{n}{2}$. First, we will show that for any $S \in\binom{V}{k-\ell}$ there exist many, i.e. $\Omega\left(n^{q}\right)$, 3 -edge $\ell$-paths that absorb $S$ (see Claim 8 below). For that we will use the following consequence of the minimum degree condition. Let $A, B \subset V(\mathcal{H})$ be disjoint sets of vertices with $|A| \leqslant k-2$ and $|B| \leqslant q+k$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{H}[V \backslash B]}(A) \geqslant \frac{(n-|A|) \cdot \cdots \cdot(n-k+3)}{(k-|A|)!} \cdot \eta\binom{n}{2}-|B| n^{k-|A|-1} \geqslant \widetilde{\eta} n^{k-|A|} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 8. For every $S \in\binom{V}{k-\ell}$ there exist at least $\widetilde{\eta}^{5} n^{q}$ many 3-edge $\ell$-paths that absorb $S$.
Proof. Let $S_{1} \cup S_{2}=S$ be chosen in some way such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{1}\right| \geqslant\left|S_{2}\right| \geqslant\left|S_{1}\right|-1 \quad \text { and } \quad \max \{0,3 \ell-k\} \leqslant\left|S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right|<\ell \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and set $s_{1}=\left|S_{1}\right|, s_{2}=\left|S_{2}\right|$, and $s_{3}=\left|S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right|$. Clearly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}+s_{2}-s_{3}=|S|=k-\ell \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the choices above that $s_{1}+s_{2} \geqslant 2 \ell$. Indeed, since $s_{3} \geqslant 3 \ell-k$ we have $k-\ell=s_{1}+s_{2}-s_{3} \leqslant s_{1}+s_{2}-3 \ell+k$ and, hence, $s_{1}+s_{2} \geqslant 2 \ell$. Furthermore, $s_{1} \geqslant s_{2} \geqslant s_{1}-1$
yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1} \geqslant s_{2} \geqslant \ell . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $\left|S_{1}\right|>\left|S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right|$ (see (2)) and $s_{1}<k-\ell$ by (3). We then select the following sets. See Figure 2 for a drawing of the chosen sets and edges containing them. In each step, we will only select sets that are disjoint from $S$ and everything chosen in previous steps.
(i) Since $s_{1} \leqslant k-\ell-1 \leqslant k-2$, by (1) there exist $\widetilde{\eta} n^{k-s_{1}}$ choices for a $\left(k-s_{1}\right)$-set $X$ such that $f_{1}=X \cup S_{1}$ is an edge of $\mathcal{H}$. Since $|X|=k-s_{1} \stackrel{(3)}{=} \ell+s_{2}-s_{3}$ it follows from (4) that we may partition $X=L_{1} \cup F \cup F_{1}$ such that $\left|L_{1}\right|=\ell$, $|F|=\ell-s_{3} \stackrel{(2)}{>} 0$, and $\left|F_{1}\right|=s_{2}-\ell \geqslant 0$.
(ii) Since $k \geqslant 4$ we have $k-\ell \geqslant 3$ and, consequently, $s_{1} \geqslant\lceil(k-\ell) / 2\rceil \geqslant 2$. Thus, by (1) and $\left|S_{2} \cup F\right|=s_{2}+\ell-s_{3}=k-s_{1}$, there exist $\widetilde{\eta} n^{s_{1}}$ choices for a set $Y$ of size $s_{1}$ such that $f_{2}=S_{2} \cup F \cup Y$ is an edge of $\mathcal{H}$. Again owing to (4) we may partition $Y=L_{2} \cup F_{2}$ such that $\left|L_{2}\right|=\ell$ and $\left|F_{2}\right|=s_{1}-\ell \geqslant 0$.
(iii) Fix $L_{1}^{\prime} \subset L_{1}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime} \subset L_{2}$ subsets of size $\ell-1$. Note that

$$
\left|L_{1}^{\prime} \cup L_{2}^{\prime} \cup F \smile F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right|=|X|+|Y|-2=k-2 .
$$

Therefore, there exist at least $\widetilde{\eta} n^{2}$ choices for a pair of vertices $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ such that $e_{2}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\} \cup L_{1}^{\prime} \cup L_{2}^{\prime} \cup F \cup F_{1} \cup F_{2}$ is an edge of $\mathcal{H}$.
(iv) Since $k \geqslant 4$ we have $\ell+1 \leqslant k-2$. Therefore, there exist $\widetilde{\eta} n^{k-(\ell+1)}$ choices each for two disjoint edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{3}$ such that $\left\{x_{1}\right\} \cup L_{1} \subset e_{1}$ and $\left\{x_{2}\right\} \cup L_{2} \subset e_{3}$.
By construction we have

$$
e_{1} \cap e_{2}=\left\{x_{1}\right\} \cup L_{1}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad e_{2} \cap e_{3}=\left\{x_{2}\right\} \cup L_{2}^{\prime},
$$

so the edges $e_{1}, e_{2}$, and $e_{3}$ form an $\ell$-path $\mathcal{P}$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, since

$$
e_{1} \cap f_{1}=L_{1}, \quad\left|f_{1} \cap f_{2}\right|=\left|\left(S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right) \cup F\right| \stackrel{(i)}{=} \ell, \quad \text { and } \quad f_{2} \cap e_{3}=L_{2},
$$

the edges $e_{1}, f_{1}, f_{2}$, and $e_{3}$ form an $\ell$-path $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$. Since $k-\ell-1 \geqslant \ell$, we may select for $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ the same ends in $e_{1}$ and $e_{3}$. Moreover, $V\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=V(\mathcal{P}) \cup S$ and, therefore, the $\ell$-path $\mathcal{P}$ absorbs $S$. From $(i)-(i v)$ it is clear that there are at least $\widetilde{\eta}^{5} n^{q}$ choices for $\mathcal{P}$.

Following the scheme from [14], let $\mathcal{F} \subset V(\mathcal{H})^{q}$ be a family of ordered $q$-sets of vertices such that each of these sets are selected from $V(\mathcal{H})^{q}$ independently with probability

$$
p=\frac{4 \varepsilon}{\widetilde{\eta}^{5} n^{q-1}}
$$

An $\ell$-path in $V(\mathcal{H})^{q}$ is an ordered set $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)$ of vertices such that

$$
e_{1}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}, \quad e_{2}=\left\{v_{k-\ell+1}, \ldots, v_{2 k-\ell}\right\}, \quad \text { and } \quad e_{3}=\left\{v_{2 k-2 \ell+1}, \ldots, v_{3 k-2 \ell}\right\}
$$



Figure 2. The path $\mathcal{P}$, consisting of $e_{1}, e_{2}$, and $e_{3}$, that absorbs $S$.
are edges in $\mathcal{H}$. Using Chernoff's inequality, with high probability we have

$$
|\mathcal{F}| \leqslant 2 p n^{q}=\frac{8 \varepsilon}{\widetilde{\eta}^{5}} n
$$

By Claim 8, for each set $S$ of size $k-\ell$, at least $\widetilde{\eta}^{5} n^{q} \ell$-paths in $V(\mathcal{H})^{q}$ absorb $S$. By Chernoff's inequality, w.h.p. for all $S \in\binom{V}{k-\ell}$, there are at least $2 \varepsilon n \ell$-paths in $\mathcal{F}$ that absorb $S$. The expected value of the number of intersecting pairs of $q$-sets in $\mathcal{F}$ is at most

$$
q^{2} n n^{2 q-2} p^{2}=q^{2} n^{2 q-1}\left(\frac{4 \varepsilon}{\widetilde{\eta}^{5} n^{q-1}}\right)^{2}=\varepsilon n \frac{16 \zeta}{56 k} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon n
$$

So by Markov's inequality, the number of intersecting pairs of $q$-sets in $\mathcal{F}$ is at most $\varepsilon n$ with probability at least $1 / 2$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family that satisfies the above conditions. For each of the intersecting pairs in $\mathcal{F}$, delete one of the $q$-sets and let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be the remaining family. We want to use Lemma 5 with $R=V$, which is sufficiently large as the following calculation shows:

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \frac{8 \varepsilon}{\tilde{\eta}^{5}} n=\frac{8 \zeta \tilde{\eta}^{5}}{q^{2} 56 k} n=\frac{8 \zeta \eta^{5}}{q^{2} 56 k(4 k!)^{5}} n \leqslant \frac{\eta^{3}}{32 k} n=\frac{\eta^{3}}{32 k}|R| .
$$

So we can connect all $\ell$-paths in $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ to an $\ell$-path $\mathcal{A}$ with

$$
|V(\mathcal{A})| \leqslant\left|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right| \cdot(4 k+q) \leqslant 2 p n^{q} \cdot 7 k=\frac{56 k}{\widetilde{\eta}^{5}} \varepsilon n \leqslant \zeta n
$$

and this path absorbs all sets $U \subset V \backslash V(\mathcal{A})$ with $|U| \in(k-\ell) \mathbb{N}$ and $|U| \leqslant \varepsilon n$.
2.4. Path-Tiling. In this part we will find a path-tiling of $\ell$-paths in $\mathcal{H}$ that covers all but a small fraction of the vertices of $\mathcal{H}$. For that purpose we use the so-called weak regularity lemma for hypergraphs, which is the straightforward extension of Szemerédi's regularity lemma for graphs [17]. Roughly speaking, we will show that there exists a fractional $\mathcal{C}_{\ell^{-}}$ tiling, a so-called $\beta$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling in the resulting reduced hypergraph $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$ is the $k$-uniform "cherry" consisting of two hyperedges that share exactly $2 \ell$ vertices. The fractional $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$-tiling of $\mathcal{R}$ will transfer to a path-tiling of $\mathcal{H}$.

First, we introduce the standard notation for the regularity lemma. Let $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph and let $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ be non-empty, mutually disjoint subsets of $V$. We denote the number of edges with one vertex in each $V_{i}$ by $e_{\mathcal{H}}\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$ and define the density of $\mathcal{H}$ w.r.t. $\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$ by

$$
d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)=\frac{e_{\mathcal{H}}\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)}{\left|V_{1}\right| \cdots\left|V_{k}\right|}
$$

For $\varepsilon>0$ and $d>0$, a $k$-tuple $\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$ of mutually disjoint subsets of vertices is called $(\varepsilon, d)$-regular if for all $k$-tuples $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)$ of subsets $A_{i} \subseteq V_{i}$ with $\left|A_{i}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\left|V_{i}\right|$, we have

$$
\left|d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)-d\right| \leqslant \varepsilon .
$$

Moreover, the tuple $\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$ is called $\varepsilon$-regular if it is $(\varepsilon, d)$-regular for some $d>0$. Below we state the weak hypergraph regularity lemma (see, e.g. [3, 4, 16]).

Lemma 9 (Weak regularity lemma). For all integers $k \geqslant 2$ and $t_{0} \geqslant 1$ and for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $T_{0}=T_{0}\left(k, t_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$ such that for every sufficiently large $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ on $n$ vertices, there exists a partition $V=V_{0} \cup V_{1} \cup \ldots \cup V_{t}$ satisfying
(i) $t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant T_{0}$,
(ii) $\left|V_{1}\right|=\cdots=\left|V_{t}\right|$ and $\left|V_{0}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon n$, and
(iii) for all but at most $\varepsilon\binom{t}{k}$ many $k$-subsets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subset[t]$, the $k$-tuple $\left(V_{i_{1}}, \ldots, V_{i_{k}}\right)$ is $\varepsilon$-regular.

A vertex partition of a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying $(i)-(i i i)$ of the conclusion of Lemma 9 will be referred to as an $\varepsilon$-regular partition. For $\varepsilon>0$ and $d>0$, we define the reduced hypergraph $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon, d)$ of $\mathcal{H}$ w.r.t. such a partition as the $k$-uniform hypergraph on the vertex set $[t]$ and

$$
\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \in E(\mathcal{R}) \Longleftrightarrow\left(V_{i_{1}}, \ldots, V_{i_{k}}\right) \text { is }\left(\varepsilon, d^{\prime}\right) \text {-regular, for some } d^{\prime} \geqslant d
$$

In typical applications of the regularity lemma, the reduced hypergraph inherits some key features of the given hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$. In fact, the following observation shows that the reduced hypergraph inherits approximately the minimum degree condition of the original hypergraph. A similar result can be found in [5, Proposition 16] and for completeness we include its proof below.

Lemma 10. Given $c, \varepsilon, d>0$ and integers $k \geqslant 3$ and $t_{0} \geqslant 2 k / d$, let $\mathcal{H}$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph on $n \geqslant t \geqslant t_{0}$ vertices such that

$$
\delta_{k-2}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant c\binom{n}{2} .
$$

If $\mathcal{H}$ has an $\varepsilon$-regular partition $V_{0} \cup V_{1} \cup \ldots \cup V_{t}$ with reduced hypergraph $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon, d)$, then at most $\sqrt{\varepsilon}\binom{t}{k-2}$ many $(k-2)$-subsets $K$ of $[t]$ violate

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{R}}(K) \geqslant(c-2 d-\sqrt{\varepsilon})\binom{t}{2}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{D}(d)$ and $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)$ be the hypergraphs with vertex set $[t]$ and

- $E(\mathcal{D})$ consists of all sets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$ such that $d\left(V_{i_{1}}, \ldots, V_{i_{k}}\right) \geqslant d$,
- $E(\mathcal{N})$ consists of all sets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$ such that $\left(V_{i_{1}}, \ldots, V_{i_{k}}\right)$ is not $\varepsilon$-regular.

Note that the reduced hypergraph $\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon, d)$ is the hypergraph with vertex set [ $t$ ] and edge set $E(\mathcal{D}) \backslash E(\mathcal{N})$. For an arbitrary $K=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k-2}\right\} \in\binom{[t]}{k-2}$ we will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{D}}(K) \geqslant(c-2 d)\binom{t}{2} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n / t \geqslant\left|V_{i_{j}}\right|=m \geqslant(1-\varepsilon) n / t$ be the size of the partition classes and let $x$ be the number of edges in $\mathcal{H}$ that intersect each $V_{i_{j}}$ in exactly one vertex for each $j \in[k-2]$. By the condition on $\delta_{k-2}(\mathcal{H})$ and $t \geqslant t_{0} \geqslant 2 k / d$, we obtain

$$
x \geqslant m^{k-2}\left(c\binom{n}{2}-(k-2) m n\right) \geqslant(c-d) m^{k-2}\binom{n}{2} .
$$

If (5) did not hold, then we would find for $x$ the upper bound

$$
x<(c-2 d)\binom{t}{2} m^{k}+\binom{t}{2} d m^{k} \leqslant(c-d) m^{k-2}\binom{n}{2}
$$

contradicting the lower bound for $x$.
Next we observe that at most $\sqrt{\varepsilon}\binom{t}{k-2}$ many $(k-2)$-sets $K$ satisfy $\operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{N}}(K) \leqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon}\binom{t}{2}$ since the number of non- $\varepsilon$-regular $k$-tuples in $\mathcal{R}$ is at most $\varepsilon\binom{t}{k}$. Consequently, it follows from the degree conditions on $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ that all but at most $\sqrt{\varepsilon}\binom{t}{k-2}$ many $(k-2)$-sets $K$ satisfy

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{R}}(K) \geqslant(c-2 d-\sqrt{\varepsilon})\binom{t}{2}
$$

We will find a suitable fractional $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$-tiling in the reduced hypergraph $\mathcal{R}$, where the cherry $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$ is the $k$-uniform hypergraph with vertex set $[2 k-2 \ell]$ and edges $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $\{k-2 \ell+1, \ldots, 2 k-2 \ell\}$.

Definition 11. Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ be $k$-uniform hypergraphs, $\beta>0$, and let $\Phi$ be a multiset of hypergraph homomorphisms from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{R}$. A function $h: \Phi \rightarrow\left\{a \beta: a \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}\right\}$ is called $a$ $\beta-h o m(\mathcal{C})$-tiling if the weight $w_{h}(v)$ of a vertex $v$ satisfies

$$
w_{h}(v)=\sum_{u \in V(\mathcal{C})} \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi: v=\varphi(u)} h(\varphi) \leqslant 1
$$

for all $v \in V(\mathcal{R})$. We call

$$
w(h)=\sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{R})} w_{h}(v)=\sum_{\varphi \in \Phi} h(\varphi)|V(\mathcal{C})|
$$

the weight of the tiling.

The following building block allows us to easily define a tiling on a single edge.
Fact 12. Given an edge $e=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$, there exists a $\frac{1}{2(k-\ell-1)}-$ hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h$ that is non-zero only on $e$, such that $w_{h}\left(v_{i}\right)=1$ for $i \in[k-2]$ and $w_{h}\left(v_{k-1}\right)=w_{h}\left(v_{k}\right)=\frac{k-2}{2(k-\ell-1)}$. Note that we may scale the weight of $h$ by any $q \in(0,1]$ and obtain a $\frac{q}{2(k-\ell-1)}-\operatorname{hom}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)-$ tiling with $w_{h}\left(v_{i}\right)=q$ for $i \in[k-2]$ and $w_{h}\left(v_{k-1}\right)=w_{h}\left(v_{k}\right)=\frac{q(k-2)}{2(k-\ell-1)}$. Similarly, for any $q \in(0,1]$ there exists a $\frac{q}{2(k-\ell)}-$ hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling with $w_{h}\left(v_{i}\right)=q$ for $i \in[k]$.

Proof. For this consider the homomorphism that maps $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$ to $e$ such that $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 \ell-2}, v_{k-1}$ and $v_{k}$ are the image of the intersection of the two edges of $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$. By cyclically shifting the image of the first $2 \ell-2$ vertices of the intersection and appropriate scaling, we obtain all homomorphisms for the required tiling. We obtain the even weight distribution for the last part of the fact by cyclically shifting the whole image $k$ times.

The following lemma is the main part of the proof of the Path-Tiling Lemma. For this we introduce a fractional notion of extremality. We say that a $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{R}$ on $t$ vertices is $\beta$-fractionally $(\ell, \xi)$-extremal if there is a function $b: V(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow\{0\} \cup[\beta, 1]$ with

$$
\sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{R})} b(v) \geqslant \frac{2(k-\ell)-1}{2(k-\ell)} t \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{R})} \prod_{v \in e} b(v) \leqslant \xi\binom{t}{k} .
$$

Note that the function $b$ can be viewed as a set of weighted vertices, which plays the rôle of the vertex set $B$ in the definition of extremality.

Lemma 13. For all integers $k \geqslant 3$ and $1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$, there exist $C$ and $\gamma_{0}$ such that for all $\alpha>0$ and $\gamma \in\left(0, \gamma_{0}\right)$, there exist $\beta>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large $t$. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph on $t$ vertices that is not $\beta$-fractionally ( $\ell, C \gamma$ )-extremal and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(K) \geqslant\left(\frac{4(k-\ell)-1}{4(k-\ell)^{2}}-\gamma\right)\binom{t}{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all but at most $\varepsilon\binom{t}{k-2}$ sets $K \in\binom{V(\mathcal{R})}{k-2}$. Then there exists a $\beta$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h$ with weight at least $(1-\alpha)$ t.

Proof. Clearly, it is sufficient to prove the lemma for small values of $\alpha$. Consequently the quantification of the lemma allows us to fix the parameters and auxiliary constants $C^{\prime}$
and $c$ to satisfy the following hierarchy of constants

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{\ell} \gg \frac{1}{C^{\prime}} \gg \frac{1}{C} \gg \gamma_{0} \geqslant \gamma \gg \alpha \gg c, \varepsilon, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "> $x$ " denotes that $x$ is chosen sufficiently small with regard to all constants to its left. Moreover, we fix $\beta$ inductively such that

$$
1=\beta_{0} \gg \beta_{1} \gg \cdots \gg \beta_{\lfloor 1 / c]}=\beta \quad \text { and } \quad 16 \cdot k!\text { divides } \frac{\beta_{i}}{\beta_{i+1}},
$$

and let $t$ be sufficiently large such that $c, \varepsilon, \beta \gg 1 / t$. Note that any $\beta_{i}$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling is also a $\beta$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling as $\beta_{i}$ is a multiple of $\beta$. To prove the lemma, we show that given a $\beta_{i}$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h$ with weight $w(h)<(1-\alpha) t$, there exists a $\beta_{i+1}$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h^{\prime}$ with weight $w\left(h^{\prime}\right) \geqslant w(h)+c t$. We can begin with the trivial 1-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling with weight zero and hence, after at most $1 / c$ steps, we obtain a $\beta$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling with weight at least $(1-\alpha) t$.

For the rest of the proof fix a $\beta_{i}$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h$ with weight $w(h)<(1-\alpha) t$ and assume for a contradiction that there is no $\beta_{i+1}-\operatorname{hom}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling with weight $w(h)+c t$. It follows from the upper bound on the weight that there are at least $\alpha t / 2$ vertices $v \in V(\mathcal{R})$ with $w_{h}(v)<1-\alpha / 2$ and we may fix a subset $W$ of them of size $\alpha t / 2$.

We view $\Phi$, the set of homomorphisms from $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$ to $\mathcal{R}$, as a multiset, where we include $\varphi$ with multiplicity $\frac{h(\varphi)}{\beta_{i}}$, so that we can assume $h: \Phi \rightarrow\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}$. For our argument, we will need copies of $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$ to cover most of the hypergraph $\mathcal{R}$ apart from $W$. So choose $\Psi$, a multiset of functions from $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$ to $V(\mathcal{R}) \backslash W$, such that for all vertices $v \in V(\mathcal{R})$

$$
\beta_{i} \cdot \sum_{i \in[2 k-2 \ell]}(|\{\varphi \in \Phi: v=\varphi(i)\}|+|\{\varphi \in \Psi: v=\varphi(i)\}|) \leqslant 1,
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-2 \alpha) \frac{t}{\beta_{i} v\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)} \leqslant|\Phi \cup \Psi|<(1-\alpha) \frac{t}{\beta_{i} v\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right hand side of (8) holds for empty $\Psi$ and to see that $\Psi$ satisfying the inequality on the left can be chosen, note that one may simply take constant functions that map $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$ to single vertices $v \in V(\mathcal{R}) \backslash \mathcal{W}$ for which $w_{h}(v)<1-\alpha$. Let

$$
\Xi=\Phi \cup \Psi
$$

and identify a function $\varphi$ in $\Xi$ with the - not necessarily distinct - vertices $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 k-2 \ell}\right)$ in its image, where $v_{i}=\varphi(i)$ so that $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{k-2 \ell+1}, \ldots, v_{2 k-2 \ell}\right\}$ form edges in $\mathcal{R}$ if $\varphi \in \Phi$. We refer to the elements of $\Xi$ as cherries $\mathcal{C} \in \Xi$.

Consider the $(k-2)$-sets in $W$ that satisfy the degree condition (6) of the lemma. Since $\varepsilon \ll \alpha$, among those $(k-2)$-sets we find a collection $\mathcal{W}$ whose elements are pairwise disjoint
and cover at least $|W| / 2$ vertices. For later reference we note

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{W}| \geqslant \frac{|W|}{2(k-2)}>\frac{\alpha t}{4 k} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $K \in \mathcal{W}$ we consider the link graph $L_{K}$ of $K$ in $\mathcal{R}$, which is the (2-uniform) graph containing all edges $e$ such that $K \cup e \in E(\mathcal{R})$. At most $\frac{t}{\beta_{i}}\binom{v\left(\mathcal{C}_{e}\right)}{2} \leqslant \gamma\binom{t}{2}$ edges have both ends in the same $\mathcal{C} \in \Xi$ and at most $\alpha t^{2} / 2 \leqslant \gamma\binom{t}{2}$ edges contain a vertex from $W$, so let $L_{K}^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $L_{K}$ by removing all these edges. Combined with the degree condition (6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(L_{K}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant\left(\frac{4(k-\ell)-1}{4(k-\ell)^{2}}-3 \gamma\right)\binom{t}{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every such $(k-2)$-set $K \in \mathcal{W}$.
We will find pairs $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in \Xi$ that allow us to locally improve the tiling $h$. For this we only want to consider edges in the bipartite induced link graph $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$. Formally the vertex classes of $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ are given by two disjoint copies of [2k-2€]. In particular, $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ has $4 k-4 \ell$ vertices even when $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ intersect or when $\mathcal{C}$ or $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ are not given by injective functions from $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$. Moreover, two vertices $i$ and $j$ from different classes are adjacent in $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ if $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ is an edge in the link graph $L_{K}$, where $v_{i}$ is the image of $i \in V\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and $v_{j}^{\prime}$ is the image of $j$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. However, similarly as above we canonically identify the vertices of $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ with the vertices of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$.

We show in the following that for most $K \in \mathcal{W}$ the bipartite link graph between most $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ has a very specific structure. We call $\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) \in \Xi^{2}$ an extremal pair for $K$ if there exist special vertices $u \in \mathcal{C}$ and $u^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ such that $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ contains exactly all edges incident to these two vertices. In particular, in such a case $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ has $4(k-\ell)-1$ edges.

Claim 14. Either there exists a $\beta_{i+1}-\operatorname{hom}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h^{\prime}$ with $w\left(h^{\prime}\right)>w(h)+c t$, or for every $\mathcal{C} \in \Xi$ there exists $u_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that the following holds. For all but at most $\gamma|\mathcal{W}|$ sets $K \in \mathcal{W}$ all but at most $C^{\prime} \gamma|\Xi|^{2}$ pairs $\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) \in \Xi^{2}$ are extremal for $K$ with special vertices $u_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $u_{\mathcal{C}^{\prime}}$.

Proof. The proof of the claim consists of three steps. First we show that if for a given (k-2)tuple $K \in \mathcal{W}$ and some pair of cherries $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in \Xi$ the induced bipartite link graph $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ contains a matching of size three or two vertices in $\mathcal{C}$ each neighbour to two distinct vertices in $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$, then there is a local improvement of the tiling by a weight of at least $\beta_{i} / 4$. In a second step we shall bound the number of possible local improvements, as otherwise we could combine them to arrive at a desired tiling $h^{\prime}$ with a weight increased by $c t$, which would conclude the proof. With some foresight, we remark that every cherry $\mathcal{C} \in \Xi$ may
be used at most once for a local improvement. In the last step we utilise this bound on the number of local improvements to show that "typically" $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ contains only $4(k-\ell)-1$ edges and displays the structural conditions stated in the claim.

Let $K \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) \in \Xi^{2}$ be such that $w_{h}(v) \leqslant 1-\beta_{i}$ for all vertices $v \in K$. For the first step we consider two cases. Suppose that there is a matching with three edges in $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$. Recall that $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ is a bipartite graph with partition classes of size $2(k-\ell)$. We set $h^{\prime}(\mathcal{C})=\left(1-\frac{k-2}{6(k-\ell-1)}\right) \beta_{i}$ if $\mathcal{C} \in \Phi$ and similarly $h^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)=\left(1-\frac{k-2}{6(k-\ell-1)}\right) \beta_{i}$ if $\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in \Phi$. If one or both of $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ are in $\Psi$, their vertices were not saturated by the $\beta_{i}$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$ tiling $h$ and otherwise we have reduced their weight by $\frac{k-2}{6(k-\ell-1)} \beta_{i}$. So we can assign weight $\frac{k-2}{6(k-\ell-1)} \beta_{i}$ to the vertices of the matching edges and weight $\beta_{i}$ to the vertices of $K$. This defines a valid $\beta_{i+1}$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h^{\prime}$ by applying Fact 12 (with $q=\frac{1}{3} \beta_{i}$ ) to the three edges in $\mathcal{R}$ corresponding to the matching edges in the link graph. Note that the weights on the 6 vertices of the matching edges remain unchanged, and by considering the vertices on which the weight has changed, it is easy to see that

$$
w\left(h^{\prime}\right)=w(h)+\left(k-2-(4 k-4 \ell-6) \cdot \frac{k-2}{6(k-\ell-1)}\right) \beta_{i} \geqslant w(h)+\frac{1}{3} \beta_{i}
$$

which yields a local improvement in this case.
For the next case suppose that there are two vertices in $\mathcal{C}$ each incident to two edges such that all four neighbours in $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ are distinct. Set $h^{\prime}(\mathcal{C})=\left(1-\frac{k-2}{4(k-\ell-1)}\right) \beta_{i}$ if $\mathcal{C} \in \Phi$ and $h^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)=\left(1-\frac{k-2}{8(k-\ell-1)}\right) \beta_{i}$ if $\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in \Phi$. On the vertices of the four edges described above we put weights $\frac{k-2}{8(k-\ell-1)} \beta_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ on the vertices of $K$. Again, this defines a tiling $h^{\prime}$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
w\left(h^{\prime}\right) & =w(h)+\left(k-2-(2 k-2 \ell-2) \cdot \frac{k-2}{4(k-\ell-1)}-(2 k-2 \ell-4) \cdot \frac{k-2}{8(k-\ell-1)}\right) \beta_{i} \\
& \geqslant w(h)+\frac{k-2}{4} \beta_{i} \geqslant w(h)+\frac{1}{4} \beta_{i} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

This establishes a local improvement for this case and concludes the discussion of the first step.

For the second step suppose that there is a subset $\mathcal{W}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{W}$ of size at least $\gamma|\mathcal{W}| / 2$, such that for each $K \in \mathcal{W}^{\prime}$ we can define a local improvement for $\gamma|\Xi|^{2}$ cherry pairs. We apply these local improvements greedily, only using each cherry $\mathcal{C} \in \Xi$ at most once (over all $K \in \mathcal{W}^{\prime}$ ), to increase the weight of the tiling. This procedure may end, either when every $K \in \mathcal{W}^{\prime}$ contains a saturated vertex, in which case we enlarge the total weight by at least

$$
\frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot\left|\mathcal{W}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{2}|\mathcal{W}| \stackrel{(9)}{\geqslant} \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{\gamma \alpha t}{8 k}
$$

or when for at least one $K \in \mathcal{W}^{\prime}$ for each of the $\gamma|\Xi|^{2}$ pairs of cherries at least one cherry was used for some local improvement already. Any two cherries are contained in
at most $4|\Xi|$ ordered pairs so the latter case would imply that we applied $\gamma|\Xi| / 4$ local improvements before. In summary, we can aggregate local improvements leading to a $\beta_{i+1}-\operatorname{hom}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h^{\prime \prime}$ with weight at least

$$
w\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right) \geqslant w(h)+\min \left\{\frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{\gamma \alpha t}{8 k}, \frac{\beta_{i}}{4} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{4}|\Xi|\right\} \stackrel{(7),(8)}{>} w(h)+c t,
$$

which would conclude the proof of Claim 14.
Consequently, for the third step we only need to consider those $K \in \mathcal{W}$ for which we can define a local improvement for less than $\gamma|\Xi|^{2}$ of its cherry pairs. In particular, for those $K$ most pairs induce no matching of size three in $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ and by König's theorem [10] $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ spans at most $4(k-\ell)$ edges. If it contains exactly $4(k-\ell)$ edges, the second local improvement considered in the first step would be possible, so indeed these pairs contain at most $4(k-\ell)-1$ edges. On the other hand, in view of (8) the degree condition (10) of $K \in \mathcal{W}$ translates to an average number of edges of at least $4(k-\ell)-1-4(3 \gamma+4 \alpha)(k-\ell)^{2}$ in the link graphs. So, as $C^{\prime}$ was chosen big enough, all but $\left(C^{\prime}-1\right) \gamma|\Xi|^{2}$ cherry pairs $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ induce exactly $4(k-\ell)-1$ edges in $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$. Since in addition these pairs allow no local improvement as considered in (11), there must be a vertex on each side that has a complete neighbourhood on the other side, so most pairs are indeed extremal.

It remains to show that typically the special vertex $u \in \mathcal{C}$ in an extremal pair $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ is independent of $K$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. So assume for a moment that there are two vertices $u$ and $v$ in $\mathcal{C} \in \Xi$ such that $u$ is a special vertex for an extremal pair $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ and $v$ is special for an extremal pair $L_{K^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for some (possibly non-distinct) $K, K^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime} \in \Xi$. In this case we can define a local improvement by "splitting" the case with four edges above. Indeed choose four edges incident with $u$ in $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ and four for $v$ in $L_{K^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Assign weights $\frac{1}{2} \beta_{i}$ to the vertices of $K$ and $K^{\prime}$, and $\frac{k-2}{16(k-\ell-1)} \beta_{i}$ to the vertices of the eight chosen edges. Set $h^{\prime}(\mathcal{C})=\left(1-\frac{k-2}{4(k-\ell-1)}\right) \beta_{i}$ if $\mathcal{C} \in \Phi$ and reduce the weights on $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ by $\frac{k-2}{16(k-\ell-1)} \beta_{i}$ if they are in $\Phi$ (or by $\frac{k-2}{8(k-\ell-1)} \beta_{i}$ in case $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}=\mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ ). Similar calculations as in (11) lead to a local improvement of $\beta_{i} / 4$ involving the three cherries $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$, and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$.

For each cherry $\mathcal{C}$ fix $u_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}$ as the vertex that occurs most often as a special vertex over all extremal pairs $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$. Assume for a moment that at least for $\gamma|\mathcal{W}| / 2$ many $K \in \mathcal{W}$ for at least $\gamma|\Xi|^{2}$ extremal pairs $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ the special vertex in $\mathcal{C}$ is not $u_{\mathcal{C}}$. In particular for each such $K$ we find $\gamma|\Xi| / 4$ such pairs none of which share a cherry. By the choice of $u_{\mathcal{C}}$ there exist $K^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ as above that allow us to define a local improvement as long as we have not applied more than $\gamma|\Xi| / 12$ local improvements. So we can aggregate the local improvements as in the second step. Otherwise the chosen $u_{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfy the statement of the claim.

We call $\mathcal{C} \in \Xi$ good if it is contained in at least $\frac{1}{2}|\Xi|$ extremal pairs for at least $\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{W}|$ many $K \in \mathcal{W}$ and bad otherwise. As a $\beta_{i+1}-\operatorname{hom}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h^{\prime}$ with $w\left(h^{\prime}\right)>w(h)+c t$ would complete the proof of Lemma 13, Claim 14 implies that there are at most $\left(C^{\prime}+1\right) \gamma|\mathcal{W} \| \Xi|^{2}$ triples $\left(K, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{W} \times \Xi^{2}$ such that $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ are not extremal for $K$. So at most $5 C^{\prime} \gamma|\Xi|$ cherries are bad as we would have

$$
5 C^{\prime} \gamma|\Xi| \cdot \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{W}| \cdot \frac{1}{2}|\Xi|>\left(C^{\prime}+1\right) \gamma|\mathcal{W}||\Xi|^{2}
$$

such triples otherwise. Moreover, for every vertex $v \in V$ we denote by $\Xi_{\text {BAD }}(v)$ the set of bad cherries $\mathcal{C} \in \Xi$ that contain it.

To complete the proof of Lemma 13 we will show that we find a large matching $M$ in $\mathcal{R}$ such that every vertex $v \in e \in M$ is contained in "many" good cherries. For each good cherry $\mathcal{C} \in \Xi$ there are a lot of choices for $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ and $K \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ are an extremal pair for $K$. We will redistribute the weights to transfer weight from the nonspecial vertices of $\mathcal{C}$ (and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ ) to $K$, which will reduce the weight on $v$ (since we will ensure that $v$ is a non-special vertex). Repeating this for every $v \in e$ will allow us to obtain a local improvement for the tiling by adding weight on $e$ and repeating this for sufficiently many hyperedges $e \in M$ leads to the desired global improvement.

We define the function $a: V(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow[0,1]$ by $v \mapsto \beta_{i} \cdot \sum_{\mathcal{C} \in \Xi} \mathbb{1}_{\{v\}}\left(u_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$, which assigns to a vertex the sum of weights of cherries that use it as a special vertex. As any cherry contains $2(k-\ell)$ vertices, it is clear that $\sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{R})} a(v) \leqslant \frac{t}{2(k-\ell)}$ and, therefore, we can utilise the $\beta$-fractional non-extremality of $\mathcal{R}$ for $b(\cdot)=1-a(\cdot)$ and obtain

$$
\sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{R})} \prod_{v \in e} b(v) \geqslant C \gamma\binom{t}{k}
$$

Since there are at most $5 C^{\prime} \gamma|\Xi|$ bad cherries, they contribute at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{i} \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{R})}\left|\Xi_{\mathrm{BAD}}(v)\right| \leqslant \beta_{i} v\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right) \cdot 5 C^{\prime} \gamma|\Xi| \stackrel{(8)}{\leqslant} 5 C^{\prime} \gamma t \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the overall weight of the $\beta_{i}$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h$. We shall only use good cherries to redistribute weights for the desired $\beta_{i+1}-\operatorname{hom}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling, so we consider the function $b^{\prime}: V(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow$ $[0,1]$ given by

$$
b^{\prime}(v)=\max \left\{0, b(v)-\beta_{i} \cdot\left|\Xi_{\mathrm{BAD}}(v)\right|\right\}
$$

and in view of (12) and $C^{\prime} \ll C$ (cf. (7)) we have

$$
\sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{R})} \prod_{v \in e} b^{\prime}(v) \geqslant \frac{C}{2} \gamma\binom{t}{k}
$$

We will use an averaging argument to obtain a matching $M$ in $E(\mathcal{R})$ with a suitable lower bound on $\sum_{e \in M} \prod_{v \in e} b^{\prime}(v)$. Consider all maximal matchings (of size $\lfloor t / k\rfloor$ ) in the complete
$k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{K}_{t}$ on $t$ vertices. Any given edge is contained in a $\lfloor t / k\rfloor /\binom{t}{k}$ fraction of those matchings, and so by averaging there is a matching $M^{\prime} \subset E\left(\mathcal{K}_{t}\right)$ with

$$
\sum_{e \in M^{\prime} \cap E(\mathcal{R})} \prod_{v \in e} b^{\prime}(v) \geqslant \frac{C}{2} \gamma \cdot\left\lfloor\frac{t}{k}\right\rfloor \geqslant \frac{C}{3} \gamma \cdot \frac{t}{k}
$$

Set $M=M^{\prime} \cap E(\mathcal{R})$. Since $b^{\prime}(v) \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{e \in M} k \cdot \min _{v \in e}\left\{b^{\prime}(v)\right\} \geqslant \sum_{e \in M} k \prod_{v \in e} b^{\prime}(v) \geqslant \frac{C}{3} \gamma t . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we may assume that $\min _{v \in e}\left\{b^{\prime}(v)\right\}>0$ for every $e \in M$, since this has no effect on inequality (13). Moreover, from the definition of the function $b^{\prime}(\cdot)$ it then follows that $\min _{v \in e}\left\{b^{\prime}(v)\right\} \geqslant \beta_{i}$ for every $e \in M$.

For each vertex $v \in \bigcup M$, we consider good cherries that contain $v$ as a non-special vertex. Assume that we have $K \in \mathcal{W}$ and an extremal pair $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ such that $v$ is a nonspecial vertex in $\mathcal{C}$. Recall that $L_{K}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ contains all edges incident to the two special vertices. We define a local weight shift: If $\mathcal{C} \in \Psi$, we can increase the weight at the vertex $v$ by $\beta_{i}$, if $\mathcal{C} \in \Phi$ we will shift the weight as follows. Assign weights $\frac{1}{2(k-\ell)-1} \cdot \frac{k-2}{4(k-\ell-1)} \beta_{i}$ to the vertices of all edges incident with exactly one of the special vertices, $\beta_{i}$ to the vertices of $K$ and set $h^{\prime}(\mathcal{C})=\left(1-\frac{k-2}{4(k-\ell-1)}\right) \beta_{i}$ and $h^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)=\left(1-\frac{k-2}{4(k-\ell-1)}\right) \beta_{i}$ if $\mathcal{C} \in \Phi$. By similar calculations as before, this defines a valid $\beta_{i+1}-\operatorname{hom}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h^{\prime}$ with $w\left(h^{\prime}\right)=w(h)$. On the other hand, the weight of the vertex $v$ is reduced by $\frac{k-2}{4(k-\ell)-2} \beta_{i}$, i.e.

$$
w_{h^{\prime}}(v)=w_{h}(v)-\frac{k-2}{4(k-\ell)-2} \beta_{i} .
$$

It follows from the definition of $b^{\prime}(v)$ that we have at least $b^{\prime}(v) / \beta_{i}$ many good cherries that contain $v$ as a non-special vertex and we shall apply at $\operatorname{most~}_{\min }^{u \in e}$ $\left\{b^{\prime}(u)\right\} / \beta_{i}$ local weight shifts for a vertex $v \in e \in M$.

For every edge $e \in M$ we would like to apply these local weight shifts for every vertex $v \in e$, where we cycle through all $k$ vertices and apply one shift at a time. In other words, we evenly reduce the weights on the vertices of $e$. Note that we can apply these local weight shifts using $K, \mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ unless we have saturated the vertices in $K$ or used one of the cherries before. The procedure stops as soon as we reach a vertex for which no local weight shift is possible.

We first discuss the ideal case that this procedure does not stop, i.e. for every $e \in M$ and every $v \in e$ we applied $\min _{u \in e}\left\{b^{\prime}(u)\right\} / \beta_{i}$ local weight shifts. In this case, for every $e \in M$ we reduced the weight of all vertices $v \in e$ by at least

$$
\frac{1}{\beta_{i}} \min _{u \in e}\left\{b^{\prime}(u)\right\} \cdot \frac{k-2}{4(k-\ell)-2} \beta_{i}=\frac{k-2}{4(k-\ell)-2} \min _{u \in e}\left\{b^{\prime}(u)\right\} .
$$

Consequently, we may appeal to Fact 12 to increase the tiling on the edge $e$ by the same amount. Repeating this for all $e \in M$, we obtain a $\beta_{i+1}$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h^{\prime \prime}$ satisfying
$w\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right) \geqslant w(h)+\sum_{e \in M} k \cdot \frac{k-2}{4(k-\ell)-2} \min _{u \in e}\left\{b^{\prime}(u)\right\} \stackrel{(13)}{\geqslant} w(h)+\frac{C \gamma t}{3} \cdot \frac{k-2}{4(k-\ell)-2} \stackrel{(7)}{\geqslant} w(h)+c t$,
which would conclude the proof of Lemma 13 in this case.
In the case that the procedure stops, there is some $v \in V(M)$ and a good cherry $\mathcal{C}$ for $v$ such that $\mathcal{C}$ cannot be used for a local weight shift for $v$. This means, since $\mathcal{C}$ is a good cherry, that either $\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{W}|$ many $K \in \mathcal{W}$ contain a saturated vertex or that at least $\frac{1}{2}|\Xi|$ cherries were used in local weight shifts before. In the case that $\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{W}|$ many $K \in \mathcal{W}$ contain a saturated vertex, each of these vertices was used in at least $\frac{\alpha}{2 \beta_{i}}$ local weight shifts, so in total we have applied

$$
\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{W}| \cdot \frac{\alpha}{2 \beta_{i}} \stackrel{(9)}{\geqslant} \frac{\alpha t}{8 k} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{2 \beta_{i}}
$$

local weight shifts. If on the other hand all $\frac{1}{2}|\Xi|$ possible cherries $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ were used in local weight shifts before, then we have applied at least $\frac{1}{4}|\Xi|$ local weight shifts. As in the ideal case, using Fact 12, we conclude that we can increase the tiling on the edges in $M$ and obtain a $\beta_{i+1}$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h^{\prime \prime}$ with

$$
w\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right) \geqslant w(h)+\left(\min \left\{\frac{\alpha^{2} t}{16 k \beta_{i}}, \frac{|\Xi|}{4}\right\}-k\right) \cdot \frac{(k-2) \beta_{i}}{4(k-\ell)-2} \stackrel{(7),(8)}{\geqslant} w(h)+c t
$$

which concludes the proof of Lemma 13.
Next we want to transfer the $\beta$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling of $\mathcal{R}$ into a path-tiling of $\mathcal{H}$. For that purpose we will use the following lemma from [6, Lemma 2.7].

Lemma 15. Fix $k \geqslant 3,1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$ and $\varepsilon$, $d>0$ such that $d>2 \varepsilon$. Let $m>\frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}(d-\varepsilon)}$. Suppose $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$ is an $(\varepsilon, d)$-regular $k$-tuple with

$$
\left|V_{1}\right|=\cdots=\left|V_{2 \ell}\right|=m \text { and }\left|V_{2 \ell+1}\right|=\cdots=\left|V_{k}\right|=2 m .
$$

Then there are at most $\frac{2 k}{(d-\varepsilon) \varepsilon}$ vertex disjoint $\ell$-paths that together cover all but at most $2 k \varepsilon m$ vertices of $\mathcal{V}$.

Finally, by using Lemma 15 on the edges of the $\beta$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling of $\mathcal{R}$ given by Lemma 13 , we obtain a path-tiling from $\mathcal{H}$ of the desired size.

Lemma 16 (Path-Tiling Lemma). For all integers $k \geqslant 3$ and $1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$, there exist $C, \gamma_{0}>0$ such that for all $\alpha>0, \gamma \leqslant \gamma_{0}$ there exists an integer $s$ such that the following holds for all sufficiently large $n$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices and

$$
\delta_{k-2}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant\left(\frac{4(k-\ell)-1}{4(k-\ell)^{2}}-\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2} .
$$

Then either there is a family of at most s disjoint $\ell$-paths that cover all but at most $\alpha$ n vertices of $\mathcal{H}$ or $\mathcal{H}$ is $(\ell, C \gamma)$-extremal.

Proof. Let $k \geqslant 3$ and $1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$ be given. Let $C^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{0}^{\prime}$ be the constants given by Lemma 13 for $k$ and $\ell$. Set $C=6 C^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{0}=\frac{\gamma_{0}^{\prime}}{4}$, and let $\alpha>0$ and $\gamma \leqslant \gamma_{0}$. Following the quantification of Lemma 13 with $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $\gamma$ we obtain $\beta$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ and a sufficiently large $t_{0}$. Let $\varepsilon$ be sufficiently small. Then the weak regularity lemma (Lemma 9) for $\varepsilon_{0}=\frac{\beta \varepsilon}{2}<\gamma^{2}$ and $t_{0}$ yields $T_{0}$. Let $s$ be a sufficiently large constant. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices such that

$$
\delta_{k-2}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant\left(\frac{4(k-\ell)-1}{4(k-\ell)^{2}}-\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}
$$

and $n$ is sufficiently large. By the weak regularity lemma there exists an $\varepsilon_{0}$-regular partition $V_{0} \cup \ldots \cup V_{t}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with $\left|V_{1}\right|=\cdots=\left|V_{t}\right|=m,\left|V_{0}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon_{0} n$ and $t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant T_{0}$ and the corresponding reduced hypergraph $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \gamma\right)$ on $t$ vertices satisfies, by Lemma 10,

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{R}}(K) \geqslant\left(\frac{4(k-\ell)-1}{4(k-\ell)^{2}}-4 \gamma\right)\binom{t}{2}
$$

for all but at most $\sqrt{\varepsilon_{0}}\binom{t}{k-2} \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime}\binom{t}{k-2}$ many $(k-2)$-sets $K \in\binom{[t]}{k-2}$. We split the remainder of the proof in two cases, depending on whether $\mathcal{R}$ is $\beta$-fractionally ( $\ell, 4 C^{\prime} \gamma$ )-extremal.

Suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ is not $\beta$-fractionally $\left(\ell, 4 C^{\prime} \gamma\right)$-extremal, so in particular it is not $\beta$ fractionally $\left(\ell, C^{\prime} \gamma\right)$-extremal. Then Lemma 13 implies that there exists a $\beta$-hom $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h$ of $\mathcal{R}$ with weight $\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) t$. Let $\Phi^{+}$be the set of homomorphisms $\varphi$ from $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$ to $\mathcal{R}$ with $h(\varphi)>0$, which implies in fact $h(\varphi) \geqslant \beta$. We will use Lemma 15 to obtain $\ell$-paths covering almost all vertices of $\mathcal{H}$ and for this we split the partition classes according to the tiling $h$ : let $\left\{R_{1}^{\varphi}, \ldots, R_{2 k-2 \ell}^{\varphi}\right\}_{\varphi \in \Phi^{+}}$be a family such that for all $\varphi \neq \varphi^{\prime} \in \Phi^{+}$

- $R_{i}^{\varphi} \subset V_{\varphi(i)}$ for all $i \in[2 k-2 \ell]$,
- $R_{i}^{\varphi} \cap R_{j}^{\varphi^{\prime}}=\varnothing$ for all $i, j \in[2 k-2 \ell]$,
- $\left|R_{i}^{\varphi}\right|=2\left\lfloor\frac{h(\varphi) m}{2}\right\rfloor$ for all $i \in[2 k-2 \ell]$.

For each $\varphi \in \Phi^{+}$and all $i \in\{k-2 \ell+1, \ldots, k\}$ let $S_{i}^{\varphi} \cup U_{i}^{\varphi}=R_{i}^{\varphi}$ be a partition of $R_{i}^{\varphi}$ into two classes of equal size. Note that, since $\left(V_{\varphi(1)}^{\varphi}, \ldots, V_{\varphi(k)}^{\varphi}\right)$ and $\left(V_{\varphi(k-2 \ell+1)}^{\varphi}, \ldots, V_{\varphi(2 k-2 \ell)}^{\varphi}\right)$ are $\left(\frac{\beta \varepsilon}{2}, d\right)$-regular for some $d \geqslant \gamma$

$$
\left(R_{1}^{\varphi}, \ldots, R_{k-2 \ell}^{\varphi}, S_{k-2 \ell+1}^{\varphi}, \ldots, S_{k}^{\varphi}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(U_{k-2 \ell+1}^{\varphi}, \ldots, U_{k}^{\varphi}, R_{k+1}^{\varphi}, \ldots, R_{2 k-2 \ell}^{\varphi}\right)
$$

are $(\varepsilon, d)$-regular, for some $d \geqslant \gamma$, where we used that $h(\varphi) \geqslant \beta$ for all $\varphi \in \Phi^{+}$. Then, with Lemma 15 we obtain at most $\frac{2 k}{(\gamma-\varepsilon) \varepsilon}$ many $\ell$-paths that cover all but $k \varepsilon\left|R_{i}^{\varphi}\right|$ vertices of $R_{1}^{\varphi}, \ldots, R_{2 k-2 \ell}^{\varphi}$. Applying this to each homomorphism $\varphi \in \Phi^{+}$we obtain at most $s$ many $\ell$-paths.

We claim that the number of vertices in $V(\mathcal{H})$ that are not covered by these $\ell$-paths is less then $\alpha n$. For this note that the uncovered vertices are the vertices from the partition class $V_{0}$, the vertices that are not contained in any $R_{i}^{\varphi}$ and those vertices in some $R_{i}^{\varphi}$ that are not contained in any $\ell$-path. At most $\frac{\alpha}{2} n$ vertices are not in any $R_{i}^{\varphi}$ due to the weight of the $\beta$-hom $\left(C_{\ell}\right)$-tiling $h$ and we lose at most $\frac{2 t}{\beta}$ vertices due to the rounding in the definition of $R_{i}^{\varphi}$. The $\ell$-paths cover all but a $(k \varepsilon)$-fraction of vertices in $\bigcup_{i, \varphi} R_{i}^{\varphi}$. Consequently the total number of uncovered vertices is at most

$$
\varepsilon_{0} n+\frac{\alpha}{2} n+\frac{2 t}{\beta}+k \varepsilon n<\alpha n .
$$

Now suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ is $\beta$-fractionally $\left(\ell, 4 C^{\prime} \gamma\right)$-extremal. This means by definition that there is a function $b: V(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow\{0\} \cup[\beta, 1]$ with

$$
\sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{R})} b(v) \geqslant \frac{2(k-\ell)-1}{2(k-\ell)} t \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{R})} \prod_{v \in e} b(v) \leqslant 4 C^{\prime} \gamma\binom{t}{k} .
$$

For each $i \in[t]$ we fix a subset $A_{i} \subseteq V_{i}$ with $\left.\left|A_{i}\right|=|b(i)| V_{i} \mid\right]$ and define $B=\bigcup_{i \in[t]} A_{i}$. Thus, we can bound the number of edges on $B$ by those that are in $\left(\varepsilon_{0}, d\right)$-regular tuples for some $d \geqslant \gamma$, the edges that are in $k$-tuples which are not dense or not regular and those that contain two or more vertices from the same $A_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\mathcal{H}}(B) & \leqslant \sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{R})} \prod_{v \in e}\left(b(v) \frac{n}{t}\right)+\binom{t}{k} \gamma\left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^{k}+\varepsilon_{0}\binom{t}{k}\left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^{k}+t\binom{n / t}{2}\binom{n}{k-2} \\
& \leqslant 4 C^{\prime} \gamma\binom{n}{k}+\gamma\binom{n}{k}+\varepsilon_{0}\binom{n}{k}+\frac{k(k-1)}{2 t}\binom{n}{k} \\
& \leqslant 5 C^{\prime} \gamma\binom{n}{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
|B| \geqslant\left(\frac{2(k-\ell)-1}{2(k-\ell)} t\right)\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right) \frac{n}{t}-t \geqslant\left(\frac{2(k-\ell)-1}{2(k-\ell)}-\varepsilon_{0}\right) n .
$$

Therefore, by adding at most $\varepsilon_{0} n$ vertices from $V \backslash B$ to $B$ we obtain a set $B^{\prime}$ with $\left|B^{\prime}\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{2(k-\ell)-1}{2(k-\ell)} n\right\rfloor$ such that

$$
e_{\mathcal{H}}\left(B^{\prime}\right) \leqslant e_{\mathcal{H}}(B)+\varepsilon_{0} n\binom{n}{k-1} \leqslant 6 C^{\prime} \gamma\binom{n}{k}=C \gamma\binom{n}{k},
$$

from which we conclude that $\mathcal{H}$ is $(\ell, C \gamma)$-extremal.

## §3. Proof of Theorem 4

Below we give the proof of the main technical result, which details the outline from Section 2.1 and is based on the lemmas from the last section.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $0<\xi<1$ and let $k \geqslant 4$ and $1 \leqslant \ell<k / 2$ be integers. Let $C$ and $\gamma_{0}$ be given by the Path-Tiling Lemma (Lemma 16) for $k$ and $\ell$. Let $\gamma<\gamma_{0}$ be a sufficiently small constant, in particular we may assume $C \gamma<\xi$. From the Absorbing Lemma (Lemma 7) for $\eta=\zeta=\gamma, k$ and $\ell$ we obtain $\varepsilon$. Following the quantification of the Path-Tiling Lemma for $\alpha=\varepsilon / 2$ and $5 \gamma$ we obtain an integer $s$. We will use the Reservoir Lemma (Lemma 6) with $\eta=\frac{4(k-\ell)-1}{4(k-\ell)^{2}}-3 \gamma, \varepsilon^{\prime}=\min \{\varepsilon / 2, \gamma\}, k$, and $m=s+1$. Let $n \in(k-\ell) \mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices.

Suppose $\mathcal{H}$ is not $(\ell, \xi)$-extremal and

$$
\delta_{k-2}(\mathcal{H}) \geqslant\left(\frac{4(k-\ell)-1}{4(k-\ell)^{2}}-\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the absorbing path obtained with the Absorbing Lemma and let $X_{0}$ and $Y_{0}$ be the ends of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $|V(\mathcal{A})| \leqslant \gamma n$ and $\mathcal{A}$ has the following absorption property: for every subset $U \subset V \backslash V(\mathcal{A})$ with $|U| \leqslant \varepsilon n$ and $|U| \in(k-\ell) \mathbb{N}$ there exists an $\ell$-path $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{H}$ such that $V(\mathcal{Q})=V(\mathcal{A}) \cup U$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ has the ends $X_{0}$ and $Y_{0}$.

Let $V^{\prime}=(V \backslash V(\mathcal{A})) \cup\left\{X_{0}, Y_{0}\right\}$ and let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}=\mathcal{H}\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ be the subhypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ induced by $V^{\prime}$. Note that

$$
\delta_{k-2}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant\left(\frac{4(k-\ell)-1}{4(k-\ell)^{2}}-3 \gamma\right)\binom{n}{2} .
$$

The Reservoir Lemma guarantees the existence of a set $R \subset V^{\prime}$ with $|R| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime} n \leqslant \gamma n$ such that for every $j \leqslant s+1$ every family $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)_{i \in[j]}$ of mutually disjoint pairs of sets of $\ell$ vertices can be connected by paths that contain vertices of $\bigcup_{i \in[j]}\left(X_{i} \cup Y_{i}\right) \cup R$ only.

Let $V^{\prime \prime}=V \backslash(V(\mathcal{A}) \cup R)$ and let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{H}\left[V^{\prime \prime}\right]$ be the subhypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ induced by $V^{\prime \prime}$. Then

$$
\delta_{k-2}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}\right) \geqslant\left(\frac{4(k-\ell)-1}{4(k-\ell)^{2}}-5 \gamma\right)\binom{n}{2} .
$$

Now we apply the Path-Tiling Lemma to $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}$ and either we obtain a family of at most $s$ disjoint $\ell$-paths that cover all but at most $\alpha\left|V^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \alpha n$ vertices of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}$, or $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}$ is $(\ell, 5 C \gamma)$ extremal. Set $n^{\prime \prime}=\left|V^{\prime \prime}\right|$ and suppose for a contradiction that $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}$ is $(\ell, 5 C \gamma)$-extremal. Then there exists a set $B \subset V^{\prime \prime}$ such that $|B|=\left\lfloor\frac{2(k-\ell)-1}{2(k-\ell)} n^{\prime \prime}\right\rfloor$ and $e(B) \leqslant 5 C \gamma\left(n^{\prime \prime}\right)^{k}$. By adding at most $n-n^{\prime \prime} \leqslant 2 \gamma n$ vertices from $V \backslash B$ to $B$, we obtain a vertex set $B^{\prime} \subset V$ such that $\left|B^{\prime}\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{2(k-\ell)-1}{2(k-\ell)} n\right\rfloor$ and

$$
e\left(B^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 5 C \gamma\left(n^{\prime \prime}\right)^{k}+2 \gamma n\binom{n-1}{k-1} \leqslant \xi n^{k}
$$

a contradiction to the fact that $\mathcal{H}$ is not $(\ell, \xi)$-extremal. Therefore, we may assume that there exist disjoint $\ell$-paths $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{j}$ with $j \leqslant s$ that cover all but at most $\alpha\left|V^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \alpha n$ vertices of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}$.

For all $i \in[j]$, we denote the ends of $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ by $X_{i}$ and $Y_{i}$. Let $Y_{j+1}=Y_{0}$. By using the Reservoir Lemma to connect the family $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i+1}\right)_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant j}$, we connect the $\ell$-paths $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{j}$ to an $\ell$-cycle $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H}$.

Let $U=V \backslash V(\mathcal{C})$ be the set of vertices not contained in $\mathcal{C}$, i.e. the vertices that were leftover in the reservoir $R$ or uncovered by the path-tiling. We have $|U| \leqslant\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}+\alpha\right) n \leqslant \varepsilon n$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\ell$-cycle and $n \in(k-\ell) \mathbb{N}$, we have $|U| \in(k-\ell) \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we can utilise the absorbing property of $\mathcal{A}$ to replace $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ by a path $\mathcal{Q}$ with the same ends as $\mathcal{A}$, obtaining a Hamiltonian $\ell$-cycle of $\mathcal{H}$.
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