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#### Abstract

The $t$-colored rainbow saturation number $\operatorname{rsat}_{t}(n, F)$ is the minimum size of a $t$-edge-colored graph on $n$ vertices that contains no rainbow copy of $F$, but the addition of any missing edge in any color creates such a rainbow copy. Barrus, Ferrara, Vandenbussche and Wenger conjectured that $\operatorname{rsat}_{t}\left(n, K_{s}\right)=\Theta(n \log n)$ for every $s \geq 3$ and $t \geq\binom{ s}{2}$. In this short note we prove the conjecture in a strong sense, asymptotically determining the rainbow saturation number for triangles. Our lower bound is probabilistic in spirit, the upper bound is based on the Shannon capacity of a certain family of cliques.


## 1 Introduction

A graph $G$ is called $F$-saturated if it is a maximal $F$-free graph. The classic saturation problem, first studied by Zykov [14] and Erdős, Hajnal and Moon [4], asks for the minimum number of edges in an $F$-saturated graph (as opposed to the Turán problem, which asks for the maximum number of edges in such a graph). A rainbow analog of this problem was recently introduced by Barrus, Ferrara, Vandenbussche and Wenger [1], where a $t$-edge-colored graph is defined to be rainbow $F$-saturated if it contains no rainbow copy of $F$ (i.e., a copy of $F$ where all edges have different colors), but the addition of any missing edge in any color creates such a rainbow copy. Then the $t$-colored rainbow saturation number $\operatorname{rsat}_{t}(n, F)$ is the minimum size of a $t$-edge-colored rainbow $F$-saturated graph.

Among other results, Barrus et al. showed that $\Omega\left(\frac{n \log n}{\log \log n}\right) \leq \operatorname{rsat}_{t}\left(n, K_{s}\right) \leq O(n \log n)$ and conjectured that their upper bound is of the right order of magnitude:

Conjecture 1.1 ( 1 ). For $s \geq 3$ and $t \geq\binom{ s}{2}, \operatorname{rsat}_{t}\left(n, K_{s}\right)=\Theta(n \log n)$.
Here we prove this conjecture in a strong sense: we give a lower bound that is asymptotically tight for triangles.

Theorem 1.2. For $s \geq 3$ and $t \geq\binom{ s}{2}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{rsat}_{t}\left(n, K_{s}\right) \geq \frac{t(1+o(1))}{(t-s+2) \log (t-s+2)} n \log n
$$

with equality for $s=3$.
We should point out that Conjecture 1.1 was independently verified by Girão, Lewis and Popielarz [9] and by Ferrara et al. [5], but with somewhat weaker bounds. In fact, our result proves a conjecture in [9], establishing the stronger estimate $\operatorname{rsat}_{t}\left(n, K_{s}\right)=\Theta_{s}\left(\frac{n \log n}{\log t}\right)$ with their upper bound.

[^0]Our lower bound is probabilistic in spirit, using ideas of Katona and Szemerédi [10], and Füredi, Horak, Pareek and Zhu [6] (similar techniques were used in [12, 2, 11). The upper bound for $s=3$ is based on the following theorem that follows from a strong information-theoretic result of Gargano, Körner and Vaccaro [8] on the Shannon capacities of graph families.

Theorem 1.3. For every $t \geq 3$, there is a set $X \subseteq[t]^{k}$ of $m=(t-1)^{\left(\frac{t-1}{t}-o(1)\right) k}$ strings of length $k$ from alphabet $[t]=\{1, \ldots, t\}$ such that for any $x, x^{\prime} \in X$ and any $a \in[t]$, there is a position $i$ where $x(i) \neq x^{\prime}(i)$ and $x(i), x^{\prime}(i) \neq a$.

In the next section we derive Theorem 1.3 from results about the Shannon capacity of graph families. This is followed by the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 3

## 2 Graph capacities

Let $\mathcal{G}=\left\{G_{1}, \ldots, G_{r}\right\}$ be a family of graphs on vertex set $[t]$. Let $N_{k}$ be the maximum size of a set $X \subseteq[t]^{k}$ of strings of length $k$ on alphabet $[t]$ such that for any two strings $x, x^{\prime} \in X$ and any $G_{j} \in \mathcal{G}$, there is a position $i_{j} \in[k]$ such that $x\left(i_{j}\right) x^{\prime}\left(i_{j}\right)$ is an edge in $G_{j}$. The Shannon capacity of the family $\mathcal{G}$ is defined as $C(\mathcal{G})=\lim _{\sup _{k \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{k} \log N_{k}$ (see, e.g., [13, 3] 1 ). When $\mathcal{G}=\{G\}$, we simply write $C(G)$ for $C(\mathcal{G})$.

We need an analogous definition for strings where the occurrences of each $a \in[t]$ are proportional to some probability measure $P$ on $[t]$. So let $\mathcal{T}^{k}(P, \varepsilon)$ be the set of all strings $x \in[t]^{k}$ such that $\left|\frac{1}{k} \#\{i: x(i)=a\}-P(a)\right|<\varepsilon$ for every $a \in[t]$, and let $M_{k, \varepsilon}$ be the maximum size of a set $X \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{k}(P, \varepsilon)$ such that for every $x, x^{\prime} \in X$ there is an $i$ with $x(i) x^{\prime}(i) \in G$. The Shannon capacity within type $P$ is $C(G, P)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim \sup _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log M_{k, \varepsilon}$. Using a clever construction, Gargano, Körner and Vaccaro [8] showed that $C(\mathcal{G})$ can be expressed in terms of the $C\left(G_{j}, P\right)$ :

Theorem 2.1 ([8]). For a family of graphs $\mathcal{G}=\left\{G_{1}, \ldots, G_{r}\right\}$ on vertex set $[t]$, we have

$$
C(\mathcal{G})=\max _{P} \min _{G_{j} \in \mathcal{G}} C\left(G_{j}, P\right) .
$$

In fact, they proved a more general result for Sperner capacities, the analogous notion for directed graphs. What we need is a corollary that follows easily from this theorem using standard tools about graph entropy (see the survey of Simonyi [13] for more information). Here we give a self-contained argument that goes along the lines of a proof by Gargano, Körner and Vaccaro [7] of the case $s=2$.

Corollary 2.2. Let $2 \leq s \leq t$ be an integer and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the family of all $s$-cliques on [ $t$ ] (each with $t-s$ isolated vertices). Then $C(\mathcal{G})=\frac{s}{t} \log s$.

Proof. For the lower bound, we can take $P$ to be the uniform measure on $[t]$. Then by Theorem 2.1. it is enough to show that $C(G, P) \geq \frac{s}{t} \log s$ where $G$ is a clique on [s] with isolated vertices $s+1, \ldots, t$. Let $X_{k} \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{k}\left(P, \frac{1}{k}\right)$ be the set of all strings $x$ of length $k$ such that the first $\lfloor s k / t\rfloor$

[^1]letters of $x$ contain $\lfloor k / t\rfloor$ or $\lceil k / t\rceil$ instances of each $a \in[s]$, and $x(i)=b$ for every $s+1 \leq b \leq t$ and $\frac{(b-1) k}{t}<i \leq \frac{b k}{t}$. Then
$$
C(G, P) \geq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(X_{k}\right)}{k}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \frac{\left(\frac{s k}{t}\right)!}{\left(\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)!\right)^{s}}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \left(s^{s k / t}\right)=\frac{s}{t} \log s
$$

For the upper bound, let $X \subseteq[t]^{k}$ be a maximum set of strings such that for any $x, x^{\prime} \in X$ and for every $s$-clique $G \in \mathcal{G}$, there is an $i \in[k]$ such that $x(i) x^{\prime}(i) \in G$. We set $m=|X|$ to be this maximum. We may assume that $\{1, \ldots, s\}$ are the $s$ least frequent elements appearing in the strings of $X$. Let $d_{x}$ be the number of elements in $x \in X$ that are not in $[s]$, so $\sum_{x \in X} d_{x} \geq \frac{t-s}{t} m k$, and let $X_{x}$ be the set of strings obtained from $x$ by replacing these elements arbitrarily with numbers from $[s]$. Then $\left|X_{x}\right|=s^{d_{x}}$, and $X_{x}, X_{x^{\prime}}$ are disjoint for distinct $x, x^{\prime} \in X$ because any string from $X_{x}$ will differ from any string in $X_{x^{\prime}}$ at the position $i$ where $x(i) x^{\prime}(i)$ is an edge of the clique on $[s]$. Then using Jensen's inequality we have

$$
s^{k} \geq \sum_{x \in X} s^{d_{x}} \geq m \cdot s^{\left(\sum_{x \in X} d_{x}\right) / m} \geq m \cdot s^{\frac{(t-s) k}{t}}
$$

and hence $m \leq s^{s k / t}$, implying $C(\mathcal{G}) \leq \frac{1}{k} \log m \leq \frac{s}{t} \log s$.
Theorem 1.3 clearly follows from the case $s=t-1$.

## 3 Rainbow saturation

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For the lower bound, suppose $H$ is a $t$-edge-colored rainbow $K_{s}$-saturated graph, and split its vertices into two parts: let $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$ be the set of vertices of degree at least $d=\log ^{3} n$, and $B$ be the rest. We may assume $|A| \leq \frac{n}{\log n}$ (otherwise $H$ has at least $\frac{1}{2} n \log ^{2} n$ edges), and thus $B$ contains $m \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{\log n}\right) n$ vertices. Now let us define a string $x_{v} \subseteq[t+1]^{k}$ for every $v \in B$ that encodes the colors of the $A-B$ edges touching $v$ as follows: $x_{v}(i)$ is $t+1$ if $a_{i} v$ is not an edge in $H$, otherwise it is the color of $a_{i} v$.

Assume, without loss of generality, that $t-s+3, \ldots, t$ are the $s-2$ most common colors among the $A$ - $B$ edges. For $v \in B$, let $X_{v} \subseteq[t-s+2]^{k}$ be the set of strings obtained from $x_{v}$ by replacing each $t-s+3, \ldots, t+1$ with an arbitrary number from $[t-s+2]$. Then if $d_{v}$ denotes the number of $A-B$ edges in $H$ touching $v$ and $d_{v}^{\prime}$ denotes the number of such edges of colors $t-s+3, \ldots, t$, then $\left|X_{v}\right|=(t-s+2)^{k-d_{v}+d_{v}^{\prime}}$.

We claim that if $v, w \in B$ are non-adjacent with no common neighbor in $B$, then $X_{v}$ and $X_{w}$ have no string in common. Indeed, adding the edge $v w$ of color $t$ creates a rainbow $K_{s}$ with $s-2$ vertices in $A$. So there must be an $a_{i}$ such that $a_{i} v$ and $a_{i} w$ have different colors, also differing from $t-s+3, \ldots, t$. But then all the strings in $X_{v}$ have the color of $a_{i} v$ as their $i$ 'th letter, and all the strings in $X_{w}$ have the color of $a_{i} w$ as their $i$ 'th letter, so $X_{v}$ and $X_{w}$ are disjoint.

Since vertices in $B$ have degree at most $d$, each $v \in B$ has at most $d^{2}$ vertices $w \in B$ that are either adjacent to $v$ or have a common neighbor with $v$ in $B$. So each string in $[t-s+2]^{k}$ can appear
in no more than $d^{2}+1$ collections $X_{w}$, and hence we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(d^{2}+1\right)(t-s+2)^{k} & \geq \sum_{v \in B}\left|X_{v}\right|=\sum_{v \in B}(t-s+2)^{k-d_{v}+d_{v}^{\prime}} \\
d^{2}+1 & \geq \sum_{v \in B}(t-s+2)^{d_{v}^{\prime}-d_{v}} \geq m \cdot(t-s+2)^{\frac{1}{m}\left(\sum_{v \in B} d_{v}^{\prime}-\sum_{v \in B} d_{v}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

using Jensen's inequality.
Now $t-s+3, \ldots, t$ were the $s-2$ most common colors, so we also have $\sum_{v \in B} d_{v}^{\prime} \geq \frac{s-2}{t} \sum_{v \in B} d_{v}$ and thus $\sum_{v \in B} d_{v}^{\prime}-\sum_{v \in B} d_{v} \geq \frac{s-2-t}{t} \sum_{v \in B} d_{v}$. Taking logs, we obtain

$$
\sum_{v \in B} d_{v} \geq \frac{t}{t-s+2} m\left(\log _{t-s+2} m-\log _{t-s+2}\left(d^{2}+1\right)\right)
$$

As the left-hand side is a lower bound on the number of edges in $H$, this establishes the desired lower bound (using $d=\log ^{3} n$ and $m=n+o(n)$ ).

For the upper bound in the case of triangles, let $k$ be large enough, and take a set $X$ of size $m$ as provided by Theorem 1.3. Consider a $k$-by- $m$ complete bipartite graph $G_{0}$ with parts $A$ and $B$, where $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$, and $B$ corresponds to the strings in $X$. For every vertex $v \in B$, we look at the corresponding string $x \in X$, and color each edge $v a_{i}$ by the color $x(i) . G_{0}$ is clearly (rainbow) triangle-free, and by the definition of $X$, adding an edge to $G_{0}$ between two vertices of $B$ in any color $a \in[t]$ creates a rainbow triangle.

Now let $G$ be a maximal rainbow triangle-free supergraph of $G_{0}$. Then $G$ is rainbow trianglesaturated by definition, and compared to $G_{0}$, it only has new edges induced by $A$, thus it has at most $k m+\binom{k}{2}$ edges. Here $n=k+m$ and $k=\frac{t(1+o(1))}{(t-1) \log (t-1)} \log m$, implying the required upper bound.

For $s>3$ our lower bound is probably not tight. It would be interesting to determine the asymptotics of $\operatorname{rsat}_{t}\left(n, K_{s}\right)$ for general $s$.
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