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#### Abstract

A mixed Dirichlet-Neumann problem is regularized with a family of singularly perturbed NeumannRobin boundary problems, parametrized by $\varepsilon>0$. Using an asymptotic development by Gammaconvergence, the asymptotic behavior of the solutions to the perturbed problems is studied as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$, recovering classical results in the literature.
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## 1 Introduction

Mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary value problems arise naturally from a wide range of applications. Examples are the problem of a rigid punch or stamp making contact with an elastic body (see [D96], CDS98, WSH79, and the references therein), the steady flow of an ideal inviscid and incompressible fluid through an aperture in a reservoir (see [MW17, WSH79, and the references therein), as well as free boundary problems (see, e.g., AC81]).

The prototype for this kind of problems is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Delta u_{0}=f & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
\partial_{\nu} u_{0}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{N} \\
u_{0}=g & \text { on } \Gamma_{D}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is an open set with sufficiently smooth boundary and $\Gamma_{D}, \Gamma_{N}$ are disjoint sets such that

$$
\partial \Omega=\overline{\Gamma_{D}} \cup \overline{\Gamma_{N}} .
$$

It is well known (see [Dau88, Gri85], [KO83, and [MP75]) that solutions to mixed boundary problems are in general not smooth near the points on the boundary of the domain where two different conditions meet. Indeed, when $N=2$ in (1.1), $f=0, g=0$, and $\Omega$ is given in polar coordinates by

$$
\{(r, \theta): r>0,0<\theta<\pi\}
$$

the function $S: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given is polar coordinates by ${ }^{11}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}(r, \theta):=r^{1 / 2} \sin (\theta / 2) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution to (1.1). However, $S$ fails to be in $H^{2}$ in any neighborhood of the origin.
In dimension $N=2$ it turns out that functions of the type (1.2) completely characterize the behavior of solutions to (1.1). Indeed, we have the following classical result (see [Dau88], Gri85], KO83, and [MP75]).

Theorem 1.1. Let $N=2$, and let $\Omega$ be an open, bounded, and connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with $\partial \Omega$ of class $C^{1,1}$. Assume that $\Gamma_{D}$ and $\Gamma_{N}$ are nonempty, relatively open, and connected subsets of $\partial \Omega$ with

$$
\partial \Omega=\overline{\Gamma_{D}} \cup \overline{\Gamma_{N}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{\Gamma_{D}} \cap \overline{\Gamma_{N}}=\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right\}
$$

and that $\partial \Omega \cap B_{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)$ is a segment for $i=1,2$ and for some $0<\rho<\min \left\{1,\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}-\boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right| / 2\right\}$. Let $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, $g \in H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$, and let $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to (1.1). Then $u$ admits the decomposition

$$
u=u_{\mathrm{reg}}+\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} S_{i}
$$

where $u_{\mathrm{reg}} \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ and the $c_{i}$ are coefficients that only depend on $u$. The singular functions $S_{i}$ are given by the formula

$$
\bar{S}_{i}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)=\bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{1 / 2} \sin \left(\theta_{i} / 2\right),
$$

where $\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)$ are polar coordinates centered at $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega \cap B_{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right) & =\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}+\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right): 0<r_{i}<\rho, 0<\theta_{i}<\pi\right\}, \\
\Gamma_{D} \cap B_{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right) & =\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}+\left(r_{i}, 0\right): 0<r_{i}<\rho\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\bar{\varphi} \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty))$ is such that $\bar{\varphi} \equiv 1$ in $[0, \rho / 2]$ and $\bar{\varphi} \equiv 0$ outside $[0, \rho]$. Furthermore, there exists a constant $c$, which only depends on the geometry of $\Omega$, such that

$$
\left\|u_{\mathrm{reg}}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left|c_{i}\right| \leq c\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega)}\right) .
$$

An approach that often proved to be successful for the study of ill-posed problems, and in general for problems that present singularities of some kind, is to consider a small perturbation, typically chosen with an opportunely regularizing effect, and then carry out a careful analysis on the convergence of solutions of the regularized problems to solutions of the original one. This procedure often requires to

[^0]prove estimates that are independent of the parameter of the regularization. We refer to the classical monograph of Lions Lio73 for more details.

The aim of this paper is to regularize the problem (1.1) by introducing a family of mixed NeumannRobin boundary value problems parametrized by $\varepsilon>0$. To be precise, we consider

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Delta u_{\varepsilon} & =f \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\
\partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon} & =0 \text { on } \Gamma_{N} \\
\varepsilon \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon}+u_{\varepsilon} & =g \text { on } \Gamma_{D}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The convergence of solutions to (1.3) to solutions of (1.1) has been studied by Costabel and Dauge in CD96] using classical PDE expansions (see Lio73), who proved the following result.

Theorem 1.2 (Costabel-Dauge). Let $N=2, \Omega$ be as in Theorem 1.1, $f=0, g \in H^{1+\delta}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$ for some $\delta>0$, and let $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $u_{0}$ be solutions to (1.3) and (1.1) (with $f=0$ ), respectively. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}-u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} & =\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \log \varepsilon) \\
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}-u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1+s}(\Omega)} & =\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2-s}\right), \text { for } s \in\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),  \tag{1.4}\\
\left\|\left(u_{\varepsilon}-u_{0}\right)_{\left.\right|_{\Gamma_{D}}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)} & =\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}) \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, these estimates cannot be improved in general.
We refer to CD96 for the precise statement in the case $f \neq 0$. This problem was also previously considered by Colli Franzone in CF73a, where the author proved estimates on the difference $u_{\varepsilon}-u_{0}$ in certain Sobolev norms (see also the work of Aubin [Aub72] and Lions Lio73]).

The question of convergence of solutions to the family of problems (1.3) to the solution to (1.1) is of significance for the numerical approximations of (1.1). We refer to [BEFM03], BF91, [CDS98], CF73b, CF74, and the references therein for more information on this topic.

In this paper we present an alternative proof of the estimates (1.4) with $s=0$ and (1.5) using the variational structure of (1.3). Indeed, solutions to (1.3) are minimizers of the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla v|^{2}+f v\right) d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \int_{\Gamma_{D}}(v-g)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}, \quad v \in H^{1}(\Omega) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus a natural approach is to use the notion of Gamma-convergence ( $\Gamma$-convergence in what follows) introduced by De Giorgi in DG75 (for more information see also [Bra02 and DM93]).

We recall that given a metric space $X$ and a family of functions $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \varepsilon>0$, we say that $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon} \Gamma$-converges to $\mathcal{F}_{0}: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$, and we write $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{F}_{0}$, if for every sequence $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$the following two conditions hold:
(i) liminf inequality: for every $x \in X$ and every sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n}$ of elements of $X$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$,

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right) \geq \mathcal{F}_{0}(x) ;
$$

(ii) limsup inequality: for every $x \in X$, there is a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n}$ of elements of $X$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right) \leq \mathcal{F}_{0}(x)
$$

A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n}$ as in (ii) is called a recovery sequence for $x$. Moreover, we say that the asymptotic development by $\Gamma$-convergence of order $k$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}=\mathcal{F}_{0}+\omega_{1}(\varepsilon) \mathcal{F}_{1}+\cdots+\omega_{k}(\varepsilon) \mathcal{F}_{k}
$$

holds if there are functions $\mathcal{F}_{i}: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}, i=0, \ldots k$, such that $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{F}_{0}$ and for $i \geq 1$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}:=\left(\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(i-1)}-\inf \left\{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}(x): x \in X\right\}\right) \frac{\omega_{i-1}(\varepsilon)}{\omega_{i}(\varepsilon)} \stackrel{\Gamma}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{F}_{i},
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(0)}:=\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}, \omega_{0} \equiv 1$ and for $i \geq 1, \omega_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a suitably chosen function such that both $\omega_{i}$ and $\omega_{i} / \omega_{i-1}$ converge to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$. We remark that for $\omega_{i}(\varepsilon):=\varepsilon^{i}$ one has the standard power series asymptotic expansion

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}=\mathcal{F}_{0}+\varepsilon \mathcal{F}_{1}+\cdots+\varepsilon^{k} \mathcal{F}_{k} .
$$

We refer to AB93 and ABO96 for more informations on asymptotic expansions by $\Gamma$-convergence.
The powerfulness of asymptotic expansions by $\Gamma$-convergence has been shown in the recent papers DMFL15], LM16, [M17], and [MR16], where the authors completely characterized the second order asymptotic expansion of the Modica-Mortola functional and used it to obtain new important results on the slow motion of interfaces for the mass-preserving Allen-Cahn equation and the Cahn-Hilliard equation in higher dimensions.

In this paper we consider the $\Gamma$-convergence of the functionals (1.6) with respect to convergence in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, and thus we define $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty]$ via

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(v):= \begin{cases}\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla v|^{2}+f v\right) d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \int_{\Gamma_{D}}(v-g)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1} & \text { if } v \in H^{1}(\Omega)  \tag{1.7}\\ +\infty & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

We begin by studying the $\Gamma$-convergence of order zero of (1.7).
Theorem 1.3 ( 0 th order $\Gamma$-convergence). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be an open, bounded, connected set with Lipschitz continuous boundary, and let $\Gamma_{D} \subset \partial \Omega$ be non-empty and relatively open. Assume that $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $g \in H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$. Then the family of functionals $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$ defined in (1.7) $\Gamma$-converges in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ to the functional

$$
\mathcal{F}_{0}(v):= \begin{cases}\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla v|^{2}+f v\right) d \boldsymbol{x} & \text { if } v \in V  \tag{1.8}\\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V:=\left\{v \in H^{1}(\Omega): v=g \text { on } \Gamma_{D}\right\} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the first asymptotic development by $\Gamma$-convergence of (1.7) strongly relies on Theorem 1.1, in what follows we assume $N=2$. We begin with a compactness result.

Theorem 1.4 (Compactness). Let $N=2, \Omega$ be as in Theorem 1.1, $f \in L^{2}(\Omega), g \in H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega), \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ be the functionals defined in (1.7) and (1.8), respectively, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}:=\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}-\min \mathcal{F}_{0}}{\varepsilon|\log \varepsilon|} . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $v_{n} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ are such that

$$
\sup \left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty
$$

then there exist a subsequence $\left\{v_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ of $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n}, r_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ and $v_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{v_{n_{k}}-u_{0}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}\left|\log \varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right|}} \rightharpoonup r_{0} \quad \text { in } H^{1}(\Omega),  \tag{1.11}\\
& \frac{v_{n_{k}}-u_{0}}{\varepsilon_{n_{k}} \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right|}} \rightharpoonup v_{0} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right), \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{0}$ is the solution to (1.1).
Theorem 1.5 (1st order $\Gamma$-convergence). Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.4, the family $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$ $\Gamma$-converges in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ to the functional

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1}(v):= \begin{cases}-\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}^{2} & \text { if } v=u_{0}  \tag{1.13}\\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{i}=c_{i}\left(u_{0}\right)$ are as in Theorem 1.1. In particular, if $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ is a solution to (1.3), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)+\varepsilon|\log \varepsilon| \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)+o(\varepsilon|\log \varepsilon|) . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To characterize the second order asymptotic development by $\Gamma$-convergence of the family of functionals $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$, we introduce the auxiliary functional

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{J}_{i}(w):= & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}}|\nabla w(\boldsymbol{x})|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{0}^{1}\left(w(x, 0)^{2}-c_{i} x^{-1 / 2} w(x, 0)\right) d x  \tag{1.15}\\
& +\int_{1}^{\infty}\left(w(x, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} x^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d x
\end{align*}
$$

defined in

$$
\begin{equation*}
H:=\left\{w \in H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right): w \in H^{1}\left(B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})\right) \text { for every } R>0\right\} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(\cdot, 0)$ indicates the trace of $w$ on the positive real axis. Let ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{i} & :=\inf \left\{\mathcal{J}_{i}(w): w \in H\right\},  \tag{1.17}\\
B_{i} & :=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2}{\bar{\partial}_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right) d r_{i},  \tag{1.18}\\
C_{\varphi} & :=\frac{1}{8} \int_{\rho / 2}^{1}\left(1-\bar{\varphi}(x)^{2}\right) x^{-1} d x,  \tag{1.19}\\
\bar{\psi}_{i}\left(r_{i}\right) & :=\frac{1}{2} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2} . \tag{1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

As shown in Proposition 4.4, there exists $w_{i} \in H$ such that $\mathcal{J}_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)=A_{i}$, and thus $w_{i}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Delta w_{i} & =0 & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2},  \tag{1.21}\\
\partial_{\nu} w_{i} & =0 & & \text { on }(-\infty, 0) \times\{0\}, \\
\partial_{\nu} w_{i}+w_{i} & =\frac{c_{i}}{2} x^{-1 / 2} & & \text { on }(0, \infty) \times\{0\} .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

[^1]Observe that if $c_{i}=0$ then $\mathcal{J}_{i} \geq 0$ and so $w_{i}=0$ and $A_{i}=0$. Finally, let $u_{1} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ be the solutions to the Dirichlet-Neumann problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Delta u_{1} & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.22}\\
\partial_{\nu} u_{1} & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{N}, \\
u_{1} & =-\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0} & & \text { on } \Gamma_{D}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Theorem 1.6 (Compactness). Let $N=2, \Omega$ be as in Theorem 1.1, $f \in L^{2}(\Omega), g \in H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega), \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}, \mathcal{F}_{0}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}, \mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{J}_{i}$ be as in (1.7), (1.8), (1.10), (1.13), and (1.15), respectively, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}:=\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}-\min \mathcal{F}_{1}}{1 /|\log \varepsilon|}=\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}-\min \mathcal{F}_{0}}{\varepsilon}-|\log \varepsilon| \min \mathcal{F}_{1} . \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}, w_{n} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ are such that

$$
\sup \left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty
$$

and $W_{i, n} \in H$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{W}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right):=\bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i} \varepsilon_{n}\right) \frac{\bar{w}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i} \varepsilon_{n}, \theta_{i}\right)-\bar{u}_{0}^{(i)}\left(r_{i} \varepsilon_{n}, \theta_{i}\right)-\varepsilon_{n} \bar{u}_{1}^{(i)}\left(r_{i} \varepsilon_{n}, \theta_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}} \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)$ polar coordinates as in Theorem [1.1, then there exist a subsequence $\left\{w_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ of $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n}, w_{0} \in$ $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and $q_{0} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{w_{n_{k}}-u_{0}-\varepsilon_{n_{k}} u_{1}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}} \rightharpoonup w_{0} \quad \text { in } H^{1}(\Omega)  \tag{1.25}\\
\frac{w_{n_{k}}-u_{0}}{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}-u_{1}-\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} \psi_{i}\left[1-\chi_{B_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\right] \rightharpoonup q_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} \psi_{i} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right) \tag{1.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\psi_{i}$ is the function given in polar coordinates by (1.20) and $u_{1}$ is the solution to (1.22). Furthermore, for every $R>0$,

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\left.W_{i, n_{k}} \rightharpoonup W_{i} \quad \text { in } H^{1}\left(B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})\right), \quad \nabla W_{i, n_{k}} \rightharpoonup \nabla W_{i} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right), \\
W_{i, n_{k}}(\cdot, 0) \rightharpoonup W_{i}(\cdot, 0) & \text { in } L^{2}((0,1) \times\{0\}), \\
W_{i, n_{k}}(\cdot, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} x^{-1 / 2} \rightharpoonup W_{i}(\cdot, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} x^{-1 / 2} & \text { in } L^{2}((1, \infty) \times\{0\}), \tag{1.29}
\end{array}
$$

for some $W_{i} \in H$ such that $\mathcal{J}_{i}\left(W_{i}\right)<\infty$, where $W_{i, n_{k}}(\cdot, 0)$ and $W_{i}(\cdot, 0)$ indicate the trace of $W_{i, n_{k}}$ and $W_{i}$ on the positive real axis.
Theorem 1.7 (2nd order $\Gamma$-convergence). Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, the family $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$ $\Gamma$-converges in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ to the functional

$$
\mathcal{F}_{2}(v):= \begin{cases}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{A_{i}}{2}+B_{i} c_{i}+C_{\varphi} c_{i}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1} & \text { if } v=u_{0} \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where the numbers $A_{i}, B_{i}$, and $C_{\varphi}$ are defined in (1.17), (1.18), and (1.19), respectively. In particular, if $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ is a solution to (1.3) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)+\varepsilon|\log \varepsilon| \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)+\varepsilon \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(u_{0}\right)+o(\varepsilon) . \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence of our results, we obtain an alternative proof of the sharp estimates (1.4) for $s=0$ and (1.5) in Theorem 1.2. Indeed, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.8. Let $N=2, \Omega$ as in Theorem 1.1, $f \in L^{2}(\Omega), g \in H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$, and let $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $u_{0}$ be solutions to (1.3) and (1.1), respectively. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}-u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)} & =\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}),  \tag{1.31}\\
\left\|\nabla\left(u_{\varepsilon}-u_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} & =\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) . \tag{1.32}
\end{align*}
$$

In contrast to the work of Costabel and Dauge CD96, our results rely on the variational structure of the mixed Neumann-Robin problem (1.3), rather than the PDE. In particular, the compactness results in Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.6 are valid for energy bounded sequences and not just for minimizers, and thus are completely new. A key ingredient in the proof of compactness is the following Hardy-type inequality on balls due to Machihara, Ozawa and Wadade (see Corollary 6 in (MOW13).

Theorem 1.9. Let $B_{R}(\mathbf{0})$ be the ball of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with radius $R>0$ and center at the origin. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{h(\boldsymbol{x})^{2}}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^{2}(1+\log R-\log |\boldsymbol{x}|)^{2}} d \boldsymbol{x}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{R} & \left(\int_{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})} h(\boldsymbol{x})^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +2(1+\sqrt{2})\left(\int_{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})}\left|\frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{|\boldsymbol{x}|} \cdot \nabla h(\boldsymbol{x})\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $h \in H^{1}\left(B_{R}(\mathbf{0})\right)$.
It also important to observe that the asymptotic development by $\Gamma$-convergence leads naturally to the asymptotic expansion of the solutions $u_{\varepsilon}$ to (1.3), and does not require an a priori ansatz of this expansion. Thus it could be applied to a large class of problems, including the $p$-Laplacian mixed problem

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{0}\right) & =f \text { in } \Omega, \\
\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \partial_{\nu} u_{0} & =0 \text { on } \Gamma_{N} \\
u_{0} & =g \text { on } \Gamma_{D}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Another example is the seminal paper BCN90], where Berestycki, Caffarelli and Nirenberg considered the family of elliptic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u_{\varepsilon}=\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

to approximate (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$) a one-phase free boundary problem. Here the family $\left\{\beta_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$ is an approximate identity and the term $\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is non-zero only for values of $u_{\varepsilon}$ less than $\varepsilon$. In particular, the region $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}<\varepsilon\right\}$ can be thought of as an approximation of the free boundary of the solution to the limiting problem. One-phase free boundary problems with mixed boundary conditions are strongly related to problems arising in fluid-dynamics (see [GL18]). Our original motivation for this paper was the study of the regularized problem

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\Delta u_{\varepsilon} & =\frac{1}{2} \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) Q^{2} & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon} & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{N}, \\
\varepsilon \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon}+u_{\varepsilon} & =g & & \text { on } \Gamma_{D},
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\beta_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$ is a family of approximate identities as in (1.33) and $Q$ is a nonnegative function in $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}(\Omega)$. Solutions $u_{\varepsilon}$ of this problem converge to a solution $u$ of the one-phase free boundary problem

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\Delta u & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
u & =0,|\nabla u|=Q & & \text { on } \Omega \cap \partial\{u>0\}, \\
\partial_{\nu} u & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{N}, \\
u & =g & & \text { on } \Gamma_{D} .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

The asymptotic development by $\Gamma$-convergence of the corresponding family of functionals

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}+B_{\varepsilon}(v) Q^{2}\right) d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Gamma_{D}}(v-g)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}, \quad v \in H^{1}(\Omega)
$$

is ongoing work. Here $B_{\varepsilon}$ is a primitive of $\beta_{\varepsilon}$.
Our paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we study the minimization problem for the functional (1.3) and prove Theorem 1.3. As a consequence, in Corollary 2.4 we show that there exists a unique variational solution to the problem (1.1). Section 3 is devoted to the study of the simpler case in which $\Gamma_{D}=\partial \Omega$, so that (1.3) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Delta u_{\varepsilon} & =f \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.34}\\
\varepsilon \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon}+u_{\varepsilon} & =g \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Under suitable regularity assumptions on the set $\Omega$, we characterize the complete asymptotic expansion by $\Gamma$-convergence of $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$, still defined as in (1.7), but with $\Gamma_{D}$ replaced by $\partial \Omega$ (see Theorem 3.2, Theorem (3.4, and Theorem (3.6). In Corollary 3.5 and Corollary 3.7 we address the question of the convergence of $u_{\varepsilon}$ to $u_{0}$, i.e. the unique variational solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Delta u_{0} & =f \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.35}\\
u_{0} & =g \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

To be precise, we show that the asymptotic expansion

$$
u_{\varepsilon}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{i} u_{i}
$$

holds, where for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $u_{i}$ is a solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\Delta u_{i} & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
u_{i} & =-\partial_{\nu} u_{i-1} & & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

We remark that Corollary 3.7 fully recovers the results of Theorem 2.3 in CD96] and that the auxiliary problems for $u_{i}$ arise naturally during the study of higher order $\Gamma$-limits of $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ (see, for example, the proof of Theorem (3.4). The case of a Robin boundary condition that transforms into a Dirichlet boundary condition for Helmholtz equation was considered by Kirsch in Kir85]. In Section 4 we prove our main results. In Section 5 we recast these results in a more general framework by decoupling the different scales in the asymptotic expansion of $u_{\varepsilon}$.

## 2 Gamma-convergence of order zero and global minimizers

Throughout the section we study the mixed problem (1.3) and the associated minimization problem under the following assumptions on the set $\Omega$ and on $\Gamma_{D}$, namely the portion of the boundary where the Robin boundary condition is imposed:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) \Omega \text { is an open, bounded and connected subset of } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{0}\\
(i i) \partial \Omega \text { is Lipschitz continuous, } \\
(i i i) \Gamma_{D} \text { is a non-empty and relatively open subset of } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Furthermore, define $\Gamma_{N}:=\partial \Omega \backslash \overline{\Gamma_{D}}$. Notice that for the purposes of this section we do not assume that $\Gamma_{N} \neq \emptyset$; analogous results hold (with trivial changes) if $\Gamma_{N}=\emptyset$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Omega$ be as in ( $H_{0}$, $f \in L^{2}(\Omega), g \in L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$, and $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$. Then the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$, defined as in (1.7), admits a unique minimizer $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a weak solution to the mixed Neumann-Robin problem (1.3).

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on the following well-known result.
Lemma 2.2. Let $\Omega$ be as in $H_{0}$ and for $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}:=\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\|\cdot \mid\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}$ defines a norm on $H^{1}(\Omega)$ that is equivalent to the standard norm, i.e., there are two constants $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$, which only depend on the geometry of $\Omega$ and $\Gamma_{D}$, such that for every $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\kappa_{1}\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq \kappa_{2}\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By Hölder's inequality, we have that for every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and for every $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}-\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\frac{1}{2}\|u-g\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Young's inequality then implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u-g\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}=\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}+\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}-2 \int_{\Gamma_{D}} u g d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}-7\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus, combining the estimates (2.2) and (2.3) with Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}-\kappa_{2}\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}-\frac{7}{2}\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}
$$

In turn,

$$
\inf \left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u): \varepsilon \in(0,1), u \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\}>-\infty
$$

and for every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ is coercive. Since $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to weak convergence in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, the existence of a global minimizer $u_{\varepsilon}$ follows from the direct method in the calculus of variations and the assertion about uniqueness is a consequence of the strict convexity of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$. Moreover, one can check that $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a weak solution to (1.3) by considering variations of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$. We omit the details.

Proposition 2.3 (Compactness). Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3. if $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $u_{n}$ are such that

$$
\sup \left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty
$$

then there exist a subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}, u \in V$ and $v \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup u & \text { in } H^{1}(\Omega) \\
\varepsilon_{n_{k}}^{-1 / 2}\left(u_{n_{k}}-g\right) \rightharpoonup v & \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $M:=\sup _{n} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)$ and assume without loss of generality that $\varepsilon_{1} \leq 1$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, by Hölder's inequality we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}-\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{n}}\left\|u_{n}-g\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Young's inequality, together with the fact that $\varepsilon_{n} \leq 1$, then implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{n}}\left\|u_{n}-g\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2} & \geq \frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}-g\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon_{n}}\left\|u_{n}-g\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{8}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}-\frac{7}{4}\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon_{n}}\left\|u_{n}-g\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and thus, combining the estimates (2.4) and (2.5) with Lemma 2.2, and using the notation (2.1), we arrive at

$$
M \geq \frac{1}{8}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}-\kappa_{2}\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}-\frac{7}{4}\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon_{n}}\left\|u_{n}-g\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}
$$

Consequently, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is bounded in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ by Lemma 2.2. and furthermore $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}^{-1 / 2}\left(u_{n}-g\right)\right\}_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$. Hence there are a functions $u \in H^{1}(\Omega), v \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$ and a subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ as in the statement. To conclude we notice that $u_{n} \rightarrow g$ in $L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$, and so $u \in V$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ be a sequence of functions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. If $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)=\infty$ there is nothing to prove. Hence, up to the extraction of a subsequence (not relabeled), we can assume without loss of generality that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)<\infty
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)<\infty$ for every $n$ sufficiently large. Let $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ and $u$ be given as in Proposition 2.3, then

$$
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right) \geq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla u_{n_{k}}\right|^{2}+f u_{n_{k}}\right) d \boldsymbol{x} \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega} f u d \boldsymbol{x}=\mathcal{F}_{0}(u)
$$

On the other hand, for every $u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, the constant sequence $u_{n}=u$ is a recovery sequence. Indeed, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}_{n}}(u)=\mathcal{F}_{0}(u)$ for every $u \in V$, while if $u \notin V$ then $\mathcal{F}_{0}(u)=\infty$ and hence there is nothing to prove.

Corollary 2.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, if $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is a sequence of functions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq \inf \left\{\mathcal{F}_{0}(v): v \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

then $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ strongly in $H^{1}(\Omega)$, where $u_{0}$ is the unique global minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_{0}$. In particular, global minimizers $u_{\varepsilon_{n}}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}$ converge in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ to $u_{0}$.

Proof. Since $g \in H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$, by standard trace theorems (see Theorem 18.40 in Leo17) the space $V$ defined in (1.9) is nonempty. In turn, the strictly convex functional $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ given in (1.8) admits a unique minimizer $u_{0}$ which is a weak solution to (1.1). Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ be a sequence of functions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq \mathcal{F}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a subsequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ of $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}_{n}$, by Proposition 2.3 we can find a further subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{k_{j}}}\right\}_{j}$ and $v_{0} \in V$ such that $u_{n_{k_{j}}} \rightarrow v_{0}$. By $\Gamma$-convergence

$$
\mathcal{F}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_{k_{j}}}}\left(u_{n_{k_{j}}}\right) \geq F_{0}\left(v_{0}\right),
$$

which in turn implies that $v_{0}=u_{0}$. Hence the full sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ converges in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ to $u_{0}$. Moreover, by (2.6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) & \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+f u_{n}\right) d \boldsymbol{x} \\
& \geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega} f u_{0} d \boldsymbol{x} \geq \mathcal{F}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}
$$

By the strict convexity of the $L^{2}$-norm it follows that $\nabla u_{n} \rightarrow \nabla u_{0}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

## 3 A problem without singularities

Following Costabel and Dauge [CD96, in this section we will be concerned with the study of the easier case of the non-mixed problem (1.34); to be precise, it is assumed throughout the section that $\Gamma_{D}=\partial \Omega$. Under this additional assumption we prove asymptotic developments by $\Gamma$-convergence of all orders for the family of functionals $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$ and deduce a complete asymptotic expansion for $u_{\varepsilon}$, i.e the solution to (1.34) (see Theorem [2.1). Throughout the section, we will make the following assumptions on the set $\Omega$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) \Omega \text { is an open, bounded and connected subset of } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{j}\\
(i i) \partial \Omega \text { is of class } C^{j, 1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 3.1 The non-mixed problem: Gamma-convergence of order one

In this section we prove a first order asymptotic expansion for $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$. We begin by studying the compactness properties of sequences with bounded energy.

Proposition 3.1 (Compactness). Let $\Omega$ be as in $\left(H_{1}\right), f \in L^{2}(\Omega), g \in H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega), \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ be the functionals defined in (1.7) and (1.8) (with $\Gamma_{D}=\partial \Omega$ ), respectively, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}:=\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}-\min \mathcal{F}_{0}}{\varepsilon} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $v_{n} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ are such that

$$
\sup \left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty
$$

then $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and there exist a subsequence $\left\{v_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ of $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n}, r_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ and $v_{0} \in L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{v_{n_{k}}-u_{0}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}} \rightharpoonup r_{0} \quad \text { in } H^{1}(\Omega)  \tag{3.2}\\
& \frac{v_{n_{k}}-u_{0}}{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}} \rightharpoonup v_{0} \quad \text { in } L^{2}(\partial \Omega)
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{0}$ is the solution to (1.35).
Proof. If we let $M:=\sup \left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, then $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{n}\right) \leq \mathcal{F}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)+\varepsilon_{n} M$. On the other hand,

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right) \geq \mathcal{F}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)
$$

by Theorem 1.3, and in turn $v_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ strongly in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ by Corollary 2.4.
For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $r_{n} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ be such that $v_{n}=u_{0}+\varepsilon_{n} r_{n}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{0} \cdot \nabla r_{n}+\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{2}\left|\nabla r_{n}\right|^{2}+f r_{n}\right) d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} r_{n}^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\partial \Omega$ is of class $C^{1,1}, f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, and $g \in H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$, by standard elliptic regularity theory for (1.35), $u_{0} \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ (see Theorem 2.4.2.5 in Gri85]) and by an application of the divergence theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{0} \cdot \nabla r_{n}+f r_{n}\right) d \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_{\nu} u_{0} r_{n} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.4) into (3.3) we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
M \geq \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right) & =\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla r_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2} r_{n}^{2}+\partial_{\nu} u_{0} r_{n}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla r_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(r_{n}+\partial_{\nu} u_{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
\end{align*}
$$

and (3.2) is proved at once.
Theorem 3.2 (1st order $\Gamma$-convergence). Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, the family $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$ $\Gamma$-converges in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ to the functional

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1}(v):= \begin{cases}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} & \text { if } v=u_{0}  \tag{3.6}\\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In particular, if $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ is the solution to (1.34), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)+\varepsilon \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)+o(\varepsilon) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n}$ be a sequence of functions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right)<\infty
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right)<\infty$ for every $n$ sufficiently large. Let $\left\{v_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ be as in Proposition 3.1. Then $v_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and from (3.5) we deduce that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right) \geq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}=\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)
$$

On the other hand, for every $v \in L^{2}(\Omega) \backslash\left\{u_{0}\right\}$ the constant sequence $v_{n}=v$ is a recovery sequence. If now $v=u_{0}$, since by assumption $\partial_{\nu} u_{0} \in H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$, we can find $w \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $w=-\partial_{\nu} u_{0}$ on $\partial \Omega$, where the equality holds in the sense of traces. Set $v_{n}:=u_{0}+\varepsilon_{n} w$. Then $v_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and again from (3.5) it follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla w|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}=\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)
$$

This concludes the proof of the $\Gamma$-convergence. The energy expansion (3.7) follows from Theorem 1.2 in AB93.

### 3.2 The non-mixed problem: Gamma-convergence of order two

In this section we prove a second order asymptotic expansion for $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$. As customary, we begin by investigating the compactness properties of sequences with bounded energy.
Proposition 3.3 (Compactness). Let $\Omega$ be as in $\left(H_{1}\right), f \in L^{2}(\Omega), g \in H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega), \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}, \mathcal{F}_{0}, \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}$, and $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ be as in (1.7), (1.8), (3.1), and (3.6), respectively, and define

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}:=\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}-\min \mathcal{F}_{1}}{\varepsilon}=\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}-\min \mathcal{F}_{0}-\varepsilon \min \mathcal{F}_{1}}{\varepsilon^{2}}
$$

If $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $w_{n} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ are such that

$$
\sup \left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty
$$

then $w_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and there exist a subsequence $\left\{w_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ of $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n}, w_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ and $q_{0} \in L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{w_{n_{k}}-u_{0}}{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}} \rightharpoonup w_{0} \quad \text { in } H^{1}(\Omega), \\
& \frac{w_{n_{k}}-u_{0}+\varepsilon_{n_{k}} \partial_{\nu} u_{0}}{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}^{3 / 2}} \rightharpoonup q_{0} \quad \text { in } L^{2}(\partial \Omega),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{0}$ is the solution to (1.35). In particular, $w_{0}=-\partial_{\nu} u_{0}$ on $\partial \Omega$ in the sense of traces.
Proof. By Corollary 2.4, we deduce that $w_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $r_{n} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ be such that $w_{n}=u_{0}+\varepsilon_{n} r_{n}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla r_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{n}} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(r_{n}+\partial_{\nu} u_{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then proceed as in the proof of Proposition 2.3 with $f=0, g=-\partial_{\nu} u_{0}$ and $r_{n}$ in place of $u_{n}$.
Theorem 3.4 (2nd order $\Gamma$-convergence). Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.3, let $u_{1} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ be the unique solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlr}
\Delta u_{1}=0 & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u_{1} & =-\partial_{\nu} u_{0} & \\
\text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the family $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}\right\}_{\varepsilon} \Gamma$-converges in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ to the functional

$$
\mathcal{F}_{2}(v):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} & \text { if } v=u_{0} \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In particular, if $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ is the solution to (1.34), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)+\varepsilon \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(u_{0}\right)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n}$ be a sequence of functions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem [1.3, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)<\infty .
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)<\infty$ for every $n$ sufficiently large. Let $\left\{w_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ and $w_{0}$ be as in Proposition 3.3. Then $w_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and from (3.8) we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n_{k}}\right) & \geq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla r_{n_{k}}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla w_{0}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \\
& \geq \inf \left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla p|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}: p \in H^{1}(\Omega), p=-\partial_{\nu} u_{0} \text { on } \partial \Omega\right\}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}=\mathcal{F}_{2}\left(u_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that the function $u_{1}$ exists (and is unique) by an application of Corollary 2.4,
On the other hand, for every $w \in L^{2}(\Omega) \backslash\left\{u_{0}\right\}$ the constant sequence $w_{n}=w$ is a recovery sequence. As one can check from (3.8), $w_{n}:=u_{0}+\varepsilon_{n} u_{1}$ is a recovery sequence for $u_{0}$. This concludes the proof of the $\Gamma$-convergence. The energy expansion (3.9) follows from Theorem 1.2 in [AB93].

Corollary 3.5. Let $\Omega$ be as in $\left(H_{1}\right), f \in L^{2}(\Omega), g \in H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$, and let $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $u_{0}$ be solutions to (1.34) and (1.35), respectively. Then there exists a constant $c>0$, independent of $\varepsilon$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}-u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq c \varepsilon\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega)}\right) \\
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}-u_{0}+\varepsilon \partial_{\nu} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\partial \Omega)} \leq c \varepsilon^{3 / 2}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. If we let $w_{\varepsilon}:=u_{0}+\varepsilon u_{1}$, for $u_{1}$ as in Theorem [3.4, then

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)+\varepsilon \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(u_{0}\right)
$$

and from the minimality of $u_{\varepsilon}$ we deduce

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \mathcal{F}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)+\varepsilon \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(u_{0}\right) .
$$

Writing $r_{\varepsilon}:=\frac{u_{\varepsilon}-u_{0}}{\varepsilon}$, expanding, and rearranging the terms in the previous inequality we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla r_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(r_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon \partial_{\nu} u_{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\partial \Omega$ is of class $C^{1,1}, f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, and $g \in H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$, by standard elliptic estimates (see Theorem 2.4.2.5 in Gri85) the solution $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ to the Dirichlet problem (1.35) belongs to $H^{2}(\Omega)$ with

$$
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \leq k_{1}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{H^{3 / 2}(\Omega)}\right)
$$

In turn, by standard trace theorems (see Theorem 18.40 in [Leo17]), we have that $\partial_{\nu} u_{0} \in H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$, and so there is $z_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $z_{0}=-\partial_{\nu} u_{0}$ on $\partial \Omega$ in the sense of traces and

$$
\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq k_{2}\left\|\partial_{\nu} u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)} \leq k_{3}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \leq c\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{H^{3 / 2}(\Omega)}\right)
$$

Since $u_{1} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ is a minimizer of

$$
v \mapsto \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}
$$

over all functions $v$ with $v=-\partial_{\nu} u_{0}$ on $\partial \Omega$, we have that

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq\left\|\nabla z_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq c\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{H^{3 / 2}(\Omega)}\right) .
$$

The previous estimate, together with (3.10), gives the desired result.

### 3.3 The non-mixed problem: Gamma-convergences of all orders

In this section we prove asymptotic expansions by $\Gamma$-convergence of any order for $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ and derive asymptotic expansions for $u_{\varepsilon}$, i.e. the solution to (1.34).

Theorem 3.6. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k=2 j-1$ or $k=2 j$, $\Omega$ be as in $\left(\overline{H_{j}}\right), f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, $g \in H^{1 / 2+j}(\partial \Omega)$, and for every $m \in\{1, \ldots, j\}$ let $u_{m} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ be the solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Delta u_{m} & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.11}\\
u_{m} & =-\partial_{\nu} u_{m-1} & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $u_{0}$ is the solution to (1.35). Let $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(k+1)}$ be defined recursively by

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(k+1)}:=\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}-\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(u_{0}\right)}{\varepsilon},
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}$ is given as in (3.1) and the functionals $\mathcal{F}_{i}$, for $i \in\{1, \ldots, k+1\}$, are given by

$$
\mathcal{F}_{2 m+1}(v):= \begin{cases}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{m}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} & \text { if } v=u_{0} \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{F}_{2 m}(v):= \begin{cases}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} & \text { if } v=u_{0} \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then the family $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}\right\}_{\varepsilon} \Gamma$-converges in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ to the functional $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ for every $i \in\{2, \ldots, k+1\}$. In particular, if $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ is the solution to (1.34), then

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \varepsilon^{i} \mathcal{F}_{i}\left(u_{0}\right)+o\left(\varepsilon^{k+1}\right)
$$

Proof. Notice that for $k=1$ we have that $j=1$ and so the statement reduces to the one of Theorem 3.4. The result for $k \geq 2$ follows by induction from arguments similar to the ones of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 (depending on the parity of $k$ ). We omit the details.

Corollary 3.7. Under the assumptions of Theorem [3.6. and for an odd value of $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $u_{\varepsilon}, u_{0}, u_{i}$ be solutions to (1.34), (1.35), and (3.11), respectively. Then there exists a constant $c>0$, independent of $\varepsilon$, such that for every $j \in\{1, \ldots,(k+1) / 2\}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}-\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \varepsilon^{i} u_{i}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C \varepsilon^{j}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{H^{1 / 2+j}(\Omega)}\right), \\
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}-\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \varepsilon^{i} u_{i}+\varepsilon \partial_{\nu} u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}(\partial \Omega)} \leq C \varepsilon^{1 / 2+j}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{H^{1 / 2+j}(\Omega)}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the one of Corollary 3.5 and therefore we omit the details.

## 4 The case of mixed boundary conditions

In this section we prove our main results regarding the higher order $\Gamma$-limits for the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ defined as in (1.7).

### 4.1 Preliminary results

Throughout the section $\Omega$ is assumed to be as in the statement of Theorem 1.1. We recall that we use the following notations: given a function $v=v(\boldsymbol{x})$ where $\boldsymbol{x}=(x, y)$, we denote by $\bar{v}$ the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}(r, \theta):=v(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and with a slight abuse of notation we write $v=\bar{v}(r, \theta)$. Moreover, we denote by $\bar{v}^{(i)}$ the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right):=v\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}+r_{i}\left(\cos \theta_{i}, \sin \theta_{i}\right)\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the polar coordinates $\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)$ are as in Theorem 1.1. Furthermore, recall that $\bar{\varphi} \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty))$ is such that $\bar{\varphi} \equiv 1$ in $[0, \rho / 2]$ and $\bar{\varphi} \equiv 0$ outside $[0, \rho]$.
Proposition 4.1. Let $N=2, \Omega$ be as in Theorem 1.1, $f \in L^{2}(\Omega), g \in H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$, and let $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ be the solution to (1.1). Then

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{0} \cdot \nabla \psi+f \psi\right) d \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Gamma_{D}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0} \psi d \mathcal{H}^{1}-\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{c_{i}}{2} \int_{0}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right) d r_{i}
$$

for every $\psi \in H^{1}(\Omega)$, where $u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}, c_{i}$ and $\bar{\varphi}$ are given as in Theorem 1.1.
Proof. By Theorem 1.1, given $\psi \in H^{1}(\Omega)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{0} \cdot \nabla \psi d \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0} \cdot \nabla \psi d \boldsymbol{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho}\left(\partial_{r_{i}} \bar{S}_{i} \partial_{r_{i}} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}+r_{i}^{-2} \partial_{\theta_{i}} \bar{S}_{i} \partial_{\theta_{i}} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}\right) r_{i} d r_{i} d \theta_{i} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function $u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}$ belongs to $H^{2}(\Omega)$ and satisfies a homogenous Neumann boundary condition on $\Gamma_{N}$, the divergence theorem yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0} \cdot \nabla \psi d \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega}-\Delta u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0} \psi d \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Gamma_{D}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0} \psi d \mathcal{H}^{1} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To rewrite the second term on the right-hand side of (4.3), we consider the auxiliary function

$$
\bar{\Phi}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right):=r_{i} \partial_{r_{i}} \bar{S}_{i}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right) \bar{\psi}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)
$$

indeed, a simple computation shows that $\bar{\Phi} \in W^{1,1}((0, \rho) \times(0, \pi))$ and thus $\bar{\Phi}\left(\cdot, \theta_{i}\right)$ is absolutely continuous for $\mathcal{L}^{1}$-a.e. $\theta_{i} \in(0, \pi)$. For any such $\theta_{i}$, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\bar{\Phi}\left(\rho, \theta_{i}\right)-\bar{\Phi}\left(0, \theta_{i}\right)=\int_{0}^{\rho} \partial_{r_{i}} \bar{\Phi}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right) d r_{i}=\int_{0}^{\rho}\left(\partial_{r_{i}} \bar{S}_{i} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}+r_{i} \partial_{r_{i}}^{2} \bar{S}_{i} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}+r_{i} \partial_{r_{i}} \bar{S}_{i} \partial_{r_{i}} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}\right) d r_{i} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, noticing that the function $\bar{\Psi}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right):=r_{i}^{-1} \partial_{\theta_{i}} \bar{S}_{i}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right) \bar{\psi}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)$ belongs to the space $W^{1,1}((0, \rho) \times$ $(0, \pi))$, and reasoning as above we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)=\bar{\Psi}\left(r_{i}, \pi\right)-\bar{\Psi}\left(r_{i}, 0\right) & =\int_{0}^{\pi} \partial_{\theta_{i}} \bar{\Psi}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right) d \theta_{i} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi} r_{i}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\theta_{i}}^{2} \bar{S}_{i} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}+\partial_{\theta_{i}} \bar{S}_{i} \partial_{\theta_{i}} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}\right) d \theta_{i} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for $\mathcal{L}^{1}$-a.e. $r_{i} \in(0, \rho)$. Combining the identities (4.5) and (4.6), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho}\left(\partial_{r_{i}} \bar{S}_{i} \partial_{r_{i}} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}+r_{i}^{-2} \partial_{\theta_{i}} \bar{S}_{i} \partial_{\theta_{i}} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}\right) r_{i} d r_{i} d \theta_{i} \\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right) d r_{i}-\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}\left(\partial_{r_{i}}^{2} \bar{S}_{i}+r_{i}^{-1} \partial_{r_{i}} \bar{S}_{i}+r_{i}^{-2} \partial_{\theta_{i}}^{2} \bar{S}_{i}\right) r_{i} d r_{i} d \theta_{i} \\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \bar{\psi}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right) d r_{i}-\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho} \bar{\psi}^{(i)} \Delta_{\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)} \bar{S}_{i} r_{i} d r_{i} d \theta_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the desired formula follows from the previous equality, (4.3), (4.4), and upon noticing that

$$
\int_{\Omega} f \psi d \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \Delta u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0} \psi d \boldsymbol{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho} \bar{\psi}^{(i)} \Delta_{\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)} \bar{S}_{i} r_{i} d r_{i} d \theta_{i} .
$$

This concludes the proof.
In the following theorem we present an estimate that will prove instrumental for the proofs of our compactness results, namely Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.6.
Theorem 4.2. There exists a constant $\kappa$ such that for any $R>0$ and $h \in H^{1}\left(B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})\right)$,

$$
\int_{0}^{R} x^{-1 / 2}|h(x, 0)| d x \leq \kappa\left(R \int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})}|\nabla h(\boldsymbol{x})|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}\right)^{1 / 2}+\kappa\left(\int_{0}^{R} h(x, 0)^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $h(\cdot, 0)$ indicates the trace of $h$ on the positive real axis.
We begin by adapting Theorem 1.9 to our framework.
Lemma 4.3. There exists a constant $\bar{\kappa}$ such that for any $R>0$ and $h \in H^{1}\left(B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})\right)$,

$$
\int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{h(\boldsymbol{x})^{2}}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^{2}(1+\log R-\log |\boldsymbol{x}|)^{2}} d \boldsymbol{x} \leq \bar{\kappa}\left(\int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})}|\nabla h(\boldsymbol{x})|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{R} \int_{0}^{R} h(x, 0)^{2} d x\right),
$$

where $h(\cdot, 0)$ indicates the trace of $h$ on the positive real axis.

Proof. Since $B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})$ is an extension domain, we can find $\hat{h} \in H^{1}\left(B_{R}(\mathbf{0})\right)$ such that $\hat{h}(\boldsymbol{x})=h(\boldsymbol{x})$ for $\mathcal{L}^{2}$-a.e. $\boldsymbol{x} \in B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})$ and with the property that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\hat{h}\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{R}(\mathbf{0})\right)} \leq C_{1}\|h\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})\right)} \\
&\|\nabla \hat{h}\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{R}(\mathbf{0}) ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C_{1}\|\nabla h\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0}) ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $C_{1}>0$ independent of $R$. Theorem 1.9 applied to the function $\hat{h}$ and the previous estimates yield

$$
\int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{h(\boldsymbol{x})^{2}}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^{2}(1+\log R-\log |\boldsymbol{x}|)^{2}} d \boldsymbol{x} \leq C_{2}\left(\int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})}|\nabla h(\boldsymbol{x})|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})} h(\boldsymbol{x})^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}\right),
$$

for some constant $C_{2}>0$ independent of $h$ and $R$. By Lemma [2.2, together with a simple rescaling argument, we deduce that

$$
\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})} h(\boldsymbol{x})^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \leq C_{3}\left(\int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})}|\nabla h(\boldsymbol{x})|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{R} \int_{0}^{R} h(x, 0)^{2} d x\right)
$$

for some constant $C_{3}>0$, which is again independent of both $h$ and $R$; this concludes the proof.
Proof of Theorem 4.2. By the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$
\bar{h}(r, \theta)=\bar{h}(r, 0)+\int_{0}^{\theta} \partial_{\theta} \bar{h}(r, \alpha) d \alpha
$$

and so, multiplying both sides by $r^{-1 / 2}$ and integrating over $B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{0}^{R} r^{-1 / 2} \bar{h}(r, 0) d r & =-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{R} r^{-1 / 2} \bar{h}(r, \theta) d r d \theta+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{0}^{\theta} r^{-1 / 2} \partial_{\theta} \bar{h}(r, \alpha) d \alpha d r d \theta \\
& =-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{R} r^{-1 / 2} \bar{h}(r, \theta) d r d \theta+\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{R} \frac{(\pi-\theta)}{\pi} r^{-1 / 2} \partial_{\theta} \bar{h}(r, \theta) d r d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from Fubini's theorem. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R} r^{-1 / 2}|\bar{h}(r, 0)| d r \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{R} r^{-1 / 2}|\bar{h}(r, \theta)| d r d \theta+\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{R} r^{-1 / 2}\left|\partial_{\theta} \bar{h}(r, \theta)\right| d r d \theta \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus we proceed to estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (4.7). Passing to cartesian coordinates,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{R} r^{-1 / 2}|\bar{h}(r, \theta)| d r d \theta & =\int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{|h(\boldsymbol{x})|}{|\boldsymbol{x}|(1+\log R-\log |\boldsymbol{x}|)} \frac{(1+\log R-\log |\boldsymbol{x}|)}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^{1 / 2}} d \boldsymbol{x} \\
& \leq(5 \pi R)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{h(\boldsymbol{x})^{2}}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^{2}(1+\log R-\log |\boldsymbol{x}|)^{2}} d \boldsymbol{x}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we have used Hölder's inequality together with the fact that

$$
\int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{(1+\log R-\log |\boldsymbol{x}|)^{2}}{|\boldsymbol{x}|} d \boldsymbol{x}=\pi \int_{0}^{R}(1+\log R-\log r)^{2} d r=5 \pi R .
$$

Then, from Lemma 4.3 we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{R} r^{-1 / 2}|\bar{h}(r, \theta)| d r d \theta \leq(5 \pi \bar{\kappa})^{1 / 2}\left(R \int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})}|\nabla h(\boldsymbol{x})|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{0}^{R} h(x, 0)^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, Hölder's inequality yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{R} r^{-1 / 2}\left|\partial_{\theta} \bar{h}(r, \theta)\right| d r d \theta & \leq\left(\pi R \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{R} r^{-1}\left|\partial_{\theta} \bar{h}(r, \theta)\right|^{2} d r d \theta\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left(\pi R \int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})}|\nabla h(\boldsymbol{x})|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and so the desired inequality follows from (4.7), (4.8), and (4.9).

### 4.2 Mixed boundary conditions: Gamma-convergence of order one

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem (1.5) We recall that we use the notations (4.1) and (4.2).

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Corollary 2.4 we have that $v_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $z_{n} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ be such that $v_{n}=u_{0}+\varepsilon_{n} \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|} z_{n}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{0} \cdot \nabla z_{n}+f z_{n}\right) d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}} z_{n}^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}
$$

and an application of Proposition 4.1 yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right)= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}}\left(\int_{\Gamma_{D}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0} z_{n} d \mathcal{H}^{1}-\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{c_{i}}{2} \int_{0}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right) d r_{i}\right)  \tag{4.10}\\
& +\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}} z_{n}^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

For $n$ large enough so that $2 \varepsilon_{n} \leq \rho$, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right) d r_{i}=\int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{n}} r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right) d r_{i}+\int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right) d r_{i} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and proceed to estimate both terms on the right-hand side separately. By Theorem 4.2 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{n}} r_{i}^{-1 / 2}\left|\bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)\right| d r_{i} \leq \kappa\left(\varepsilon_{n} \int_{B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right) \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}\right)^{1 / 2}+\kappa\left(\int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{n}} \bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)^{2} d r_{i}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

while by Hölder's inequality we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2}\left|\bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)\right| d r_{i} \leq \sqrt{\log \rho+\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}\left(\int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho} \bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)^{2} d r_{i}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, from (4.10), (4.12), and (4.13) we deduce that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}-\left(\frac{\left\|\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}}{\sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}}+\frac{\left|c_{i}\right|\left(\kappa+\sqrt{\log \rho+\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}\right)}{2 \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}}\right)\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)} \\
+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}^{1 / 2} \nabla z_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}^{2}-\frac{\left|c_{i}\right| \kappa}{2 \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}^{1 / 2} \nabla z_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

and so (1.11) and (1.12) are proved at once.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Step 1: Let $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n}$ be a sequence of functions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right)<\infty
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right)<\infty$ for every $n$ sufficiently large. Let $\left\{v_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n}$ given as in Theorem 1.4 and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\xi}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}\right):=\frac{c_{i}}{2 \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.4 (see (4.10) and (4.12)) we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n_{k}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|z_{n_{k}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}-\left(\frac{\left\|\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}}{\sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right|}}+\frac{\left|c_{i}\right| \kappa}{2 \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right|}}\right)\left\|z_{n_{k}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)} \\
&-\frac{\left|c_{i}\right| \kappa}{2 \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right|}}\left\|\varepsilon_{n_{k}}^{1 / 2} \nabla z_{n_{k}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\varepsilon_{n_{k}}^{1 / 2} \nabla z_{n_{k}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}^{2} \\
&-\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{\rho} \bar{\xi}_{n_{k}}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}\right) \bar{z}_{n_{k}}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right) d r_{i} \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n_{k}}\right) & \geq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{z}_{n_{k}}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)^{2}-\bar{\xi}_{n_{k}}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}\right) \bar{z}_{n_{k}}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)\right) d r_{i} \\
& =\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{\rho}\left(\bar{z}_{n_{k}}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)-\bar{\xi}_{n_{k}}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}\right)\right)^{2} d r_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{\rho} \bar{\xi}_{n_{k}}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} d r_{i}\right] \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{\rho} \bar{\xi}_{n_{k}}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} d r_{i} \\
& =-\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}^{2} \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right|} \int_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} r_{i}^{-1} d r_{i} \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}^{2} \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right|}\left(\log \rho+\left|\log \varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right|\right)=-\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}^{2} \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the second to last step we have used (4.14).
Step 2: For every $v \in L^{2}(\Omega) \backslash\left\{u_{0}\right\}$, the constant sequence $v_{n}=v$ is a recovery sequence. Then let $v=u_{0}$ and consider the radial function $\zeta_{i, n}$ given in polar coordinates at $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\zeta}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}\right):=\bar{\xi}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}\right)\left(1-\bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_{n}} r_{i}\right)\right)=\frac{c_{i}}{2 \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right)\left(1-\bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_{n}} r_{i}\right)\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\xi}_{n}^{(i)}$ is the function defined in (4.14). We define

$$
z_{n}(\boldsymbol{x}):= \begin{cases}\zeta_{i, n}(\boldsymbol{x}) & \text { if } \boldsymbol{x} \in B_{r}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right) \cap \Omega \text { with } r<\rho  \tag{4.18}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Notice that if we let

$$
\bar{\Psi}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}\right):=\bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right)\left(1-\bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_{n}} r_{i}\right)\right)
$$

then $\bar{\Psi}_{i, n}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow[0,1]$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\bar{\Psi}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}\right)=1 & \text { if } \varepsilon_{n} \leq r_{i}<\rho / 2  \tag{4.19}\\ \bar{\Psi}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}\right)=0 & \text { if } 0 \leq r_{i} \leq \varepsilon_{n} / 2 \text { or } \rho \leq r, \\ \left|\bar{\Psi}_{i, n}^{\prime}\left(r_{i}\right)\right| \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon_{n}} & \text { if } \varepsilon_{n} / 2 \leq r_{i} \leq \varepsilon_{n} \\ \left|\bar{\Psi}_{i, n}^{\prime}\left(r_{i}\right)\right| \leq c & \text { if } \rho / 2 \leq r_{i} \leq \rho\end{cases}
$$

for some constant $c>0$ independent of $n$. Finally, set

$$
v_{n}:=u_{0}+\varepsilon_{n} \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|} z_{n} .
$$

Notice that $v_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ since the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, indeed

$$
\int_{\Omega} z_{n}^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{c_{i}^{2} \pi}{4\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|} \int_{\varepsilon_{n} / 2}^{\rho} r_{i}^{-1} d r_{i}=\frac{\pi\left(\log \rho+\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|+\log 2\right)}{4\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|} \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}^{2}
$$

Next, we claim that $\varepsilon_{n}^{1 / 2} \nabla z_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Indeed, using the notation above we have that

$$
\bar{\zeta}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}\right)=\frac{c_{i}}{2 \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}} \bar{\Psi}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} & =\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{c_{i}^{2} \pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\rho}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{i, n}^{\prime}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2}-\frac{1}{2} r_{i}^{-3 / 2} \bar{\Psi}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}\right)\right)^{2} r_{i} d r_{i} \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{c_{i}^{2} \pi}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\rho}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{i, n}^{\prime}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{4} r_{i}^{-2} \bar{\Psi}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2}\right) d r_{i} \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.19) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\rho} \bar{\Psi}_{i, n}^{\prime}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} d r_{i}=\int_{\varepsilon_{n} / 2}^{\varepsilon_{n}} \bar{\Psi}_{i, n}^{\prime}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} d r_{i}+\int_{\rho / 2}^{\rho} \bar{\Psi}_{i, n}^{\prime}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} d r_{i} \leq c^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{n}}+\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\rho} r_{i}^{-2} \bar{\Psi}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} d r_{i} \leq \int_{\varepsilon_{n} / 2}^{\rho} r_{i}^{-2} d r_{i}=\frac{2}{\varepsilon_{n}}-\frac{1}{\rho} . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.20) with the estimates (4.21) and (4.22) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{c_{i}^{2} \pi}{2}\right)\left(\frac{c^{2}}{2 \varepsilon_{n}}+\frac{c^{2} \rho}{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{n}}-\frac{1}{4 \rho}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the claim is proved. From (4.10), using (4.11), (4.12), (4.13), and (4.14) we have

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}+\left(\frac{\left\|\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}}{\sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}}+\frac{\left|c_{i}\right| \kappa}{2 \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}}\right)\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}^{1 / 2} \nabla z_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{\left|c_{i}\right| \kappa}{2 \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}^{1 / 2} \nabla z_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}-\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho} \bar{\xi}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}\right) \bar{\zeta}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}\right) d r_{i}, \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.23) we have that the second, third, and fourth member on the right-hand side of the previous inequality vanish as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_{n}} r_{i}\right)=0$ for $r_{i} \in\left[\varepsilon_{n}, \rho\right]$, by (4.14) and (4.17),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\zeta}_{i, n}=\bar{\xi}_{n}^{(i)} \quad \text { in }\left[\varepsilon_{n}, \rho\right] . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, from (4.14), (4.25), (4.18), and the fact that $\bar{\varphi} \equiv 1$ in $[0, \rho / 2]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(v_{n}\right) & \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho} \bar{\xi}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}\right) \bar{\zeta}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}\right) d r_{i}\right\} \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\zeta}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2}-\bar{\xi}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}\right) \bar{\zeta}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}\right)\right) d r_{i} \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho} \bar{\xi}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} d r_{i} \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}^{2} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}\left(\int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho / 2} r_{i}^{-1} d r_{i}+\int_{\rho / 2}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} r_{i}^{-1} d r_{i}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}^{2} \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

The energy expansion (1.14) follows from Theorem 1.2 in [AB93].

### 4.3 An auxiliary variational problem

In this section we study the functional

$$
\mathcal{J}_{i}(w):=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}}|\nabla w(\boldsymbol{x})|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{0}^{1}\left(w(x, 0)^{2}-c_{i} x^{-1 / 2} w(x, 0)\right) d x+\int_{1}^{\infty}\left(w(x, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} x^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d x
$$

defined in

$$
H:=\left\{w \in H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right): w \in H^{1}\left(B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})\right) \text { for every } R>0\right\}
$$

where $w(\cdot, 0)$ indicates the trace of $w$ on the positive real axis. This functional appears in the characterization of the second order $\Gamma$-convergence of $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ (see (1.15), (1.16), (1.17), Theorem 1.6, and Theorem (1.7).

Proposition 4.4. Let $\mathcal{J}_{i}$ and $H$ be given as above. Then $A_{i}:=\inf \left\{\mathcal{J}_{i}(w): w \in H\right\} \in \mathbb{R}$ and there exists $w_{i} \in H$ such that $\mathcal{J}_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)=A_{i}$. Furthermore, $w_{i}$ is a weak solution to the mixed problem (1.21).
Proof. Let $v$ be the function given in polar coordinates by

$$
\bar{v}(r, \theta):= \begin{cases}\frac{c_{i}}{2 \sqrt{r}} & \text { if } r>1 \text { and } 0<\theta<\pi, \\ \frac{c_{i}}{2} \sqrt{r} & \text { if } r \leq 1 \text { and } 0<\theta<\pi,\end{cases}
$$

where $(r, \theta)$ are polar coordinates centered at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and such that the set $\{(r, 0): r>0\}$ coincides with the positive real axis. Then $v \in H$ and $\mathcal{J}_{i}(v)<\infty$, indeed

$$
\mathcal{J}_{i}(v)=\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} r\left(\partial_{r} \bar{v}\right)^{2} d r d \theta+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{v}(r, 0)-c_{i} \bar{v}(r, 0)\right) d r=\frac{c_{i}^{2}(\pi-3)}{8} .
$$

In turn, this implies that $A_{i}<\infty$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.2, we see that for every $w \in H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{i}(w) \geq & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}}|\nabla w(\boldsymbol{x})|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{0}^{1} w(x, 0)^{2} d x-\left|c_{i}\right| \kappa\left(\int_{B_{1}^{+}(\mathbf{0})}|\nabla w|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad-\left|c_{i}\right| \kappa\left(\int_{0}^{1} w(x, 0)^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}+\int_{1}^{\infty}\left(w(x, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} x^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $A_{i}>-\infty$. Furthermore, we deduce that for an infimizing sequence it must be the case that (eventually extracting a subsequence which we don't relabel)

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\nabla w_{n} & \rightharpoonup \nabla w & \text { in } L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \\
w_{n}(\cdot, 0) \rightharpoonup w(\cdot, 0) & \text { in } L^{2}((0,1) \times\{0\}), \\
w_{n}(\cdot, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} x^{-1 / 2} \rightharpoonup w(\cdot, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} x^{-1 / 2} & & \text { in } L^{2}((1, \infty) \times\{0\}),
\end{array}
$$

for some $w \in H$, where $w_{n}(\cdot, 0)$ and $w(\cdot, 0)$ indicate the trace of $w_{n}$ and $w$ on the positive real axis. To conclude, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{J}_{i}$ is lower semicontinuous for sequences converging as above. The lower semicontinuity is certainly true for the nonnegative terms in $\mathcal{J}_{i}$, thanks to Fatou's lemma. In order to pass to the limit in the remaining term we can argue as follows. First, we observe that by Lemma $2.2\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n}$ in bounded in $H^{1}\left(B_{1}^{+}(\mathbf{0})\right)$ and in particular in $H^{1 / 2}((0,1) \times\{0\})$. Next, we recall that $H^{1 / 2}((0,1) \times\{0\})$ embeds continuously into $L^{p}((0,1) \times\{0\})$ for every $p \in[1, \infty)$. Consequently, up to the extraction of a further subsequence, we can assume that $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w$ in $L^{p}((0,1) \times\{0\}), p>2$. Therefore, we deduce that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1} x^{-1 / 2} w_{n}(x, 0) d x=\int_{0}^{1} x^{-1 / 2} w(x, 0) d x
$$

This proves the existence of a global minimizer of $\mathcal{J}_{i}$ in $H$. The rest of proposition follows by considering variations of the functional $\mathcal{J}_{i}$; we omit the details.

We remark that $w_{i}$ doesn't necessarily belong to the space $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)$, unless $c_{i}=0$, in which case $w_{i} \equiv 0$. In the following lemma we prove an estimate on the $L^{2}$-norm of global minimizers in an annulus that escapes to infinity. This estimate will be crucial for the construction of the recovery sequence for $u_{0}$ in the proof of Theorem 1.7.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $w_{i}$ be given as in Proposition 4.4. Then

$$
\varepsilon_{n}^{2} \int_{B_{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}}^{+}(\mathbf{0}) \backslash B_{\rho / 2 \varepsilon_{n}}^{+}(\mathbf{0})} w_{i}^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. By applying Lemma 2.2 and by a rescaling argument in $B_{1}^{+}(\mathbf{0}) \backslash B_{1 / 2}^{+}(\mathbf{0})$ we can deduce that there exists a constant $c$, independent of $n$, such that

$$
\int_{B_{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}}^{+}(\mathbf{0}) \backslash B_{\rho / 2 \varepsilon_{n}}^{+}(\mathbf{0})} w^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon_{n}^{2}}\left(\int_{B_{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}}^{+}(\mathbf{0}) \backslash B_{\rho / 2 \varepsilon_{n}}^{+}(\mathbf{0})}|\nabla w|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\varepsilon_{n} \int_{\rho / 2 \varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}} w(x, 0)^{2} d x\right)
$$

for every $w \in H^{1}\left(B_{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}}^{+}(\mathbf{0}) \backslash B_{\rho / 2 \varepsilon_{n}}^{+}(\mathbf{0})\right)$. If we apply the previous inequality to $w=\varepsilon_{n} w_{i}$ we obtain

$$
\varepsilon_{n}^{2} \int_{B_{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}}^{+}(\mathbf{0}) \backslash B_{\rho / 2 \varepsilon_{n}}^{+}(\mathbf{0})} w_{i}^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \leq c\left(\int_{B_{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}}^{+}(\mathbf{0}) \backslash B_{\rho / 2 \varepsilon_{n}}^{+}(\mathbf{0})}\left|\nabla w_{i}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\varepsilon_{n} \int_{\rho / 2 \varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}} w_{i}(x, 0)^{2} d x\right) .
$$

The first term on the right-hand side vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $\nabla w_{i} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, and the second term is shown to vanish by the following computation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{n} \int_{\rho / 2 \varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}} w(x, 0)^{2} d x & \leq 2 \varepsilon_{n} \int_{\rho / 2 \varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}}\left(w_{i}(x, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} x^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d x+2 \varepsilon_{n} \int_{\rho / 2 \varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}} \frac{c_{i}^{2}}{4 x} d x \\
& =2 \varepsilon_{n} \int_{\rho / 2 \varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}}\left(w_{i}(x, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} x^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d x+2 \varepsilon_{n} \log 2 \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $w_{i}(\cdot, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} x^{-1 / 2} \in L^{2}((1, \infty))$. This concludes the proof.

### 4.4 Mixed boundary conditions: Gamma-convergence of order two

In this section we prove Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7. We recall that we use the notations (4.1) and (4.2).

Proof of Theorem [1.6. Step 1: By Corollary [2.4 we have that $w_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $s_{n} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}=u_{0}+\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}} s_{n} . \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (1.7), (1.8), (1.10), (1.13), and (1.23), $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{0} \cdot \nabla s_{n}+f s_{n}\right) d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla s_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{n}} \int_{\Gamma_{D}} s_{n}^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}+\frac{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}^{2}
$$

and an application of Proposition 4.1 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}\left(\int_{\Gamma_{D}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0} s_{n} d \mathcal{H}^{1}-\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{c_{i}}{2} \int_{0}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \bar{s}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right) d r_{i}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla s_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{n}} \int_{\Gamma_{D}} s_{n}^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}+\frac{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that $\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|=\int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{1} r^{-1} d r$, grouping together the different contributions on $\Gamma_{D} \cap B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)$, $\Gamma_{D} \cap\left(B_{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right) \backslash B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)\right)$ and $\Gamma_{D} \backslash B_{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)$, and completing the squares we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho}\left(\frac{\bar{s}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}+{\overline{\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}}}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d r_{i}+B_{i, n} c_{i}+C_{\varphi} c_{i}^{2}\right. \\
&+\int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\frac{\left.\left.{\overline{\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}}}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right) \frac{\bar{s}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}-\frac{c_{i}}{2} r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \frac{\bar{s}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}+\frac{\bar{s}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)^{2}}{2 \varepsilon_{n}}\right) d r_{i}\right\}}{}\right. \\
&+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D} \backslash \bigcup_{i} B_{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left(\frac{s_{n}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}+\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D} \backslash \bigcup_{i} B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla s_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i, n}:=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \overline{\overline{\partial \nu}_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}}{ }^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right) d r_{i}, \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $C_{\varphi}$ is given as in (1.19). Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}:=s_{n}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}} u_{1}, \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{1}$ is the solution to (1.22), and using the fact that $u_{1}=-\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}$ on $\Gamma_{D}$ we can rewrite the previous expression as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{2} & \left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho}\left(\frac{\bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}-\frac{c_{i}}{2} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d r_{i}+B_{i, n} c_{i}+C_{\varphi} c_{i}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\frac{\bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}-c_{i} r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \frac{\bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}\right) d r_{i}\right\}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D} \backslash \cup_{i} B_{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)} \frac{z_{n}^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}} d \mathcal{H}^{1} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(z_{n}+\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}} u_{1}\right)\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} . \tag{4.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that all the terms in the previous expression are either positive or independent of $n$, with the only exception of $B_{i, n} c_{i}$, which converges to $B_{i} c_{i}$, and the fourth term on the right-hand side. However, by an application of Theorem 4.2 we get

$$
-\int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{n}} c_{i} r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \frac{\bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}} d r_{i} \geq-\left|c_{i}\right| \kappa\left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{+}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}\right)^{1 / 2}-\left|c_{i}\right| \kappa\left(\int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{n}} \frac{\bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and thus (1.25) and (1.26) are proved at once.
Step 2: Let $W_{i, n}$ be as in (1.24). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{W}_{i, n}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)=\bar{\varphi}\left(\varepsilon_{n} r_{i}\right) \bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(\varepsilon_{n} r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right) \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (4.27) and (4.29), and thus by a change of variables and the fact that $\bar{\varphi} \equiv 1$ in $[0, \rho / 2]$, if $\varepsilon_{n}<\rho / 2$,

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{W}_{i, n}(s, 0)^{2}-c_{i} s^{-1 / 2} \bar{W}_{i, n}(s, 0)\right) d s=\int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\frac{\bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}-c_{i} r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \frac{\bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}\right) d r_{i}
$$

Similarly, for every $R>1$ and for every $n$ such that $\varepsilon_{n} R<\rho / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{1}^{R}\left(\bar{W}_{i, n}(s, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} s^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d s & =\int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\varepsilon_{n} R}\left(\frac{\bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}-\frac{c_{i}}{2} r_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d r_{i} \\
\int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})}\left|\nabla W_{i, n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{y} & =\int_{B_{\varepsilon_{n} R}^{+}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, in view of (4.30)

$$
M \geq \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{W}_{i, n}(s, 0)^{2}-c_{i} s^{-1 / 2} \bar{W}_{i, n}(s, 0)\right) d s+B_{i, n} c_{i}+C_{\varphi} c_{i}^{2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{R}\left(\bar{W}_{i, n}(s, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} s^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})}\left|\nabla W_{i, n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{y}\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}+\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}} \int_{\Omega} \nabla z_{n} \cdot \nabla u_{1} d \boldsymbol{x} . \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{\nabla z_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)$ (see (1.25)), it follows that

$$
\int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})}\left|\nabla W_{i, n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{y}+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{W}_{i, n}(s, 0)^{2}-c_{i} s^{-1 / 2} \bar{W}_{i, n}(s, 0)\right) d s+\int_{1}^{R}\left(\bar{W}_{i, n}(s, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} s^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d s \leq c
$$

for some constant $c>0$ independent of $n$ and $R$. To conclude, it is enough to send $R \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof of Theorem 1.7. Step 1: Let $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n}$ be a sequence of functions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)<\infty
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)<\infty$ for every $n$ sufficiently large. Let $\left\{w_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ be the subsequence of $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n}$ given in Theorem 1.6 and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $z_{n_{k}}$ be such that $w_{n_{k}}=u_{0}+\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}} z_{n_{k}}+\varepsilon_{n_{k}} u_{1}$. Let $W_{i, n}$ be given as in (4.31), then by (4.30), taking $n=n_{k}$ in (4.32) and letting $k \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n_{k}}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2} & \left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{W}_{i}(s, 0)^{2}-c_{i} s^{-1 / 2} \bar{W}_{i}(s, 0)\right) d s+B_{i} c_{i}+C_{\varphi} c_{i}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{R}\left(\bar{W}_{i}(s, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} s^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{R}^{+}(\mathbf{0})}\left|\nabla W_{i}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{y}\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (1.27), (1.28), (1.29), and the fact that $\left\{\nabla z_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)$ (see (1.25)). By letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in the previous inequality we get

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n_{k}}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{i}\left(W_{i}\right)}{2}+B_{i} c_{i}+C_{\varphi} c_{i}^{2}\right\}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1} \geq \mathcal{F}_{2}(w)
$$

where in the last step we used the fact that $\mathcal{J}_{i}\left(W_{i}\right) \geq A_{i}$.
Step 2: For every $w \in L^{2}(\Omega) \backslash\left\{u_{0}\right\}$, the constant sequence $w_{n}=w$ is a recovery sequence. On the other hand, if $w=u_{0}$, let $w_{i} \in H$ be given as in Proposition 4.4. Let $z_{n}$ be the function defined in $B_{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right) \cap \Omega$ using polar coordinates around $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ (see (4.2)) via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right):=\bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) \bar{W}_{i}\left(\frac{r_{i}}{\varepsilon_{n}}, \theta_{i}\right) \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $z_{n}(\boldsymbol{x}):=0$ in $\Omega \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{2} B_{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)$. Set

$$
w_{n}:=u_{0}+\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}} z_{n}+\varepsilon_{n} u_{1} .
$$

We claim that $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is a recovery sequence for $u_{0}$. To prove the claim, we notice that (4.30) implies

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(w_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\{\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\frac{\bar{W}_{i}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}, 0\right)^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}-c_{i} r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \frac{w \bar{W}_{i}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}\right) d r_{i}+B_{i} c_{i}+C_{\varphi} c_{i}^{2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho} \varphi_{i}(r)^{2}\left(\frac{w_{i}\left(r / \varepsilon_{n}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}-\frac{c_{i}}{2} r^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d r\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}+\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(z_{n}+\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}} u_{1}\right)\right| d \boldsymbol{x} . \tag{4.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $r=s \varepsilon_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\frac{\bar{W}_{i}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}, 0\right)^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}-c_{i} r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \frac{\bar{W}_{i}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}\right) d r_{i}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{W}_{i}(s, 0)^{2}-c_{i} s^{-1 / 2} \bar{W}_{i}(s, 0)\right) d s, \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho} \varphi_{i}(r)^{2}\left(\frac{\bar{W}_{i}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}-\frac{c_{i}}{2} r_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d r & =\int_{1}^{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}} \varphi_{i}\left(s \varepsilon_{n}\right)^{2}\left(\bar{W}_{i}(s, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} s^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d s \\
& \leq \int_{1}^{\infty}\left(\bar{W}_{i}(s, 0)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} s^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d s \tag{4.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we compute the contribution to the energy coming from the gradient term. Since $\bar{\varphi}=0$ outside of $[0, \rho]$, by (4.33) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} & =\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{B_{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho}\left[r_{i}\left(\partial_{r_{i}}\left(\bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) \bar{W}_{i}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}, \theta_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{r_{i}} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2}\left(\partial_{\theta_{i}} \bar{W}_{i}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}, \theta_{i}\right)\right)^{2}\right] d r_{i} d \theta_{i} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We write

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho} r\left(\partial_{r}\left(\varphi_{i}(r) w_{i}\left(r / \varepsilon_{n}, \theta\right)\right)^{2} d r d \theta=\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho} r\left(\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(r) w_{i}\left(r / \varepsilon_{n}, \theta\right)+\varphi_{i}(r) \varepsilon_{n} \partial_{r} w_{i}\left(r / \varepsilon_{n}, \theta\right)\right)^{2} d r d \theta\right.
$$

Expanding the square on the right-hand side of the previous identity we obtain three terms, which we study separately. By the change of variables $s=r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho} r_{i} \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} \bar{W}_{i}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}, \theta_{i}\right)^{2} d r_{i} d \theta_{i} & =\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}} s \varepsilon_{n}^{2} \varphi_{i}^{\prime}\left(s \varepsilon_{n}\right)^{2} \bar{W}_{i}\left(s, \theta_{i}\right)^{2} d r d \theta_{i} \\
& \leq \frac{c}{\rho} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{\rho / 2 \varepsilon_{n}}^{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}} s \varepsilon_{n}^{2} \bar{W}_{i}(s, \theta)^{2} d s d \theta \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we have used Lemma 4.5. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho} r_{i} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right)^{2}\left(\partial_{r_{i}} \bar{W}_{i}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}, \theta_{i}\right)\right)^{2} d r_{i} d \theta_{i} & =\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}} s \bar{\varphi}\left(s \varepsilon_{n}\right)^{2}\left(\partial_{s} \bar{W}_{i}\left(s, \theta_{i}\right)\right)^{2} d s d \theta_{i} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}} s\left(\partial_{s} \bar{W}_{i}(s, \theta)\right)^{2} d s d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

In turn, Hölder's inequality implies that

$$
2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho} r_{i} \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(r_{i}\right) \bar{W}_{i}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}, \theta_{i}\right) \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) \partial_{r_{i}} \bar{W}_{i}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}, \theta_{i}\right) d r_{i} d \theta_{i} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The same change of variables $s=r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}$ also yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho} \frac{\bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right)}{r_{i}}\left(\partial_{\theta_{i}} \bar{W}_{i}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}, \theta_{i}\right)\right)^{2} d r_{i} d \theta_{i} & =\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}} \frac{1}{s} \bar{\varphi}\left(s \varepsilon_{n}\right)^{2}\left(\partial_{\theta_{i}} \bar{W}_{i}\left(s, \theta_{i}\right)\right)^{2} d s d \theta_{i} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho / \varepsilon_{n}} \frac{1}{s}\left(\partial_{\theta_{i}} \bar{W}_{i}(s, \theta)\right)^{2} d s d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(z_{n}+\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}} u_{1}\right)\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}}\left|\nabla W_{i}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, together with (4.34), (4.35), and (4.36), concludes the proof of the $\Gamma$-limsup inequality.
The energy expansion (1.30) follows from Theorem 1.2 in AB93.

### 4.5 Sharp estimates

Proof of Theorem [1.8. Suppose by contradiction that (1.31) is not true. Then there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon_{n}}-u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{R}\right)}>n\left(\varepsilon_{n} \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}\right) \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of (1.14), we have that

$$
\sup \left\{\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(u_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty
$$

and thus by Theorem 1.4 there exist a subsequence $\left\{u_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}\right\}_{k}$ of $\left\{u_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right\}_{n}$ and $v_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$ such that

$$
\frac{u_{\varepsilon_{n}}-u_{0}}{\varepsilon_{n} \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}} \rightharpoonup v_{0}
$$

which is a contradiction to (4.38).
The proof of (1.32) follows analogously from (1.25) and (1.30).

## 5 More general Gamma-convergence results

Our results can be recast in a more general framework by decoupling the different scales in the asymptotic expansion of $u_{\varepsilon}$. Here we present in full detail the generalizations of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.7, the results of Section 3 can be analogously reformulated. Throughout the section we assume that the domain $\Omega$ is given as in Theorem 1.1 and use the notations introduced in (4.1) and (4.2).

Theorem 5.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.4, let $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}: L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be defined via

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}(u, v):= \begin{cases}\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}(u) & \text { if } u \in H^{1}(\Omega) \text { and } \frac{u-u_{0}}{\varepsilon \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}}=v \text { on } \Gamma_{D},  \tag{5.1}\\ +\infty & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Then the family $\left\{\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}\right\}_{\varepsilon} \Gamma$-converges in $L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$ to the functional

$$
\mathcal{K}_{1}(u, v):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}} v^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}-\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}^{2} & \text { if } u=u_{0} \text { and } v \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right) \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{i}$ are as in Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Step 1: (Compactness) Let $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$ such that

$$
\sup \left\{\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty .
$$

Then by (5.1), $u_{n} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$, the function

$$
v_{n}^{*}:=\frac{u_{n}-u_{0}}{\varepsilon_{n} \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}}
$$

belongs to $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and satisfies $v_{n}^{*}=v_{n}$ on $\Gamma_{D}$ in the sense of traces. By Theorem 1.4, there exist a subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}, r \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{n_{k}}^{1 / 2} \nabla v_{n_{k}}^{*} \rightharpoonup r & \text { in } H^{1}(\Omega) \\
v_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup v & \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2: (Liminf inequality) Let $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}_{n}$ be a sequence in $L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$ such that $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow(u, v)$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)<\infty
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(1)}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)<\infty$ for every $n$ sufficiently large. Let $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ be the subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ given as in the previous step and $\xi_{n}^{i}$ be the function defined in polar coordinates as in (4.14). Then

$$
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{(1)}\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right)=\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{(1)}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)
$$

and so, reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.5 (by (4.15) and (4.16) with $v_{n_{k}}$ and $z_{n_{k}}$ replaced by $u_{n_{k}}$ and $v_{n_{k}}^{*}$, respectively), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{(1)}\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) & \geq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}} v_{n_{k}}^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}-\int_{\Gamma_{D} \backslash \cup_{i} B_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)} v_{n_{k}}\left(\xi_{n_{k}}^{1}+\xi_{n_{k}}^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1}\right\} \\
& \geq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_{D} \backslash \bigcup_{i} B_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left[\frac{1}{2} v_{n_{k}}^{2}-v_{n_{k}}\left(\xi_{n_{k}}^{1}+\xi_{n_{k}}^{2}\right)\right] d \mathcal{H}^{1} \\
& =\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D} \backslash \bigcup_{i} B_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left[\left(v_{n_{k}}-\xi_{n_{k}}^{1}-\xi_{n_{k}}^{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\xi_{n}^{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\xi_{n_{k}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] d \mathcal{H}^{1} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}} v^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}-\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}^{2}=\mathcal{K}_{1}\left(u_{0}, v\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we have used the fact that $v_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup v, \xi_{n_{k}}^{i} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$, and so

$$
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_{D} \backslash \bigcup_{i} B_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left(v_{n_{k}}-\xi_{n_{k}}^{1}-\xi_{n}^{2}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1} \geq \int_{\Gamma_{D}} v^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}
$$

Step 3: (Limsup inequality) Let $u=u_{0}$ and $v \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$. We extend $v$ to zero in $\partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma_{D}$ and assume first that $v \in H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$ (in what follows, although with a slight abuse of notation, we identify $v$ with its extension). Then there exists $v^{*} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $v^{*}=v$ on $\partial \Omega$ in the sense of traces (see Theorem 18.40 in Leo17]). Set

$$
u_{n}:=u_{0}+\varepsilon_{n} \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}\left(z_{n}+v^{*}\right)
$$

where $z_{n}$ is defined as in (4.18). As one can check (see (4.24) and (4.26)), $\left\{\left(u_{n}, z_{n}+v^{*}\right)\right\}_{n}$ is a recovery sequence for $\left(u_{0}, v\right)$.

If $v \in L^{2}(\partial \Omega) \backslash H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$ we consider a sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n}$ of functions in $H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{L^{2}(\partial \Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $v_{n}^{*} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ be such that $v_{n}^{*}=v_{n}$ on $\partial \Omega$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}^{*}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq c\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ is independent of $n$ (see Theorem 18.40 in [Leo17]). Furthermore, notice that by a standard mollification argument we can also assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varepsilon_{n}^{1 / 2} v_{n}\right\|_{H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
u_{n}:=u_{0}+\varepsilon_{n} \sqrt{\left|\log \varepsilon_{n}\right|}\left(z_{n}+v_{n}^{*}\right)
$$

and notice that by (5.3) and (5.4), $\left\|\varepsilon_{n}^{1 / 2} \nabla\left(z_{n}+v_{n}^{*}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we can proceed as in (4.24) and (4.26).

Theorem 5.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6. let

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}: L^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right) \times L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right) \times L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}
$$

be defined via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}\left(u, v_{1}, v_{2}, w\right):=\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}(u) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
\begin{cases}u-u_{0}-\varepsilon u_{1}=\sqrt{\varepsilon} V_{i, \varepsilon} & \text { in } \Omega \cap B_{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)  \tag{5.6}\\ u-u_{0}-\varepsilon u_{1}=\varepsilon w & \text { on } \Gamma_{D} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)\end{cases}
$$

where the functions $V_{i, \varepsilon}$ are defined in polar coordinates by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{V}_{i, \varepsilon}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right):=\bar{v}_{i}\left(\frac{r_{i}}{\varepsilon}, \theta_{i}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}\left(u, v_{1}, v_{2}, w\right):=+\infty$ otherwise. Then the family $\left\{\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}\right\}_{\varepsilon} \Gamma$-converges in $L^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right) \times$ $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right) \times L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$ to the functional

$$
\mathcal{K}_{2}\left(u, v_{1}, v_{2}, w\right):=\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)+B_{i} c_{i}+C_{\varphi} c_{i}^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}}\left[\left(w-\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} \psi_{i}\right)^{2}-\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right)^{2}\right] d \mathcal{H}^{1}
$$

if $u=u_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2} \in H, w-\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} \psi_{i} \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$, and $\mathcal{K}_{2}\left(u, v_{1}, v_{2}, w\right):=+\infty$ otherwise, where $B_{i}$ and $C_{\varphi}$ are defined as in (1.18) and (1.19), respectively.

Proof. Step 1: (Liminf inequality) Let $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{1, n}, v_{2, n}, w_{n}\right)\right\}_{n}$ be a sequence in $L^{2}(\Omega) \times$ $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right) \times L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right) \times L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)$ such that $\left(u_{n}, v_{1, n}, v_{2, n}, w_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u, v_{1}, v_{2}, w\right)$. Let $\boldsymbol{u}_{n}:=\left(u_{n}, v_{1, n}, v_{2, n}, w_{n}\right)$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right)<\infty
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right)<\infty$ for every $n$ sufficiently large. Let $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k}$ be the subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ given as in Theorem (1.6, By (4.30) (with $w_{n}$ replaced by $u_{n_{k}}$ ), (5.5), (5.6), and (5.7) it follows that for every $\varepsilon_{n_{k}}<\delta<\rho$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n_{k}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{2} & \left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{\delta}\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{i, n_{k}}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n_{k}}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}}-\frac{c_{i}}{2} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d r_{i}+B_{i, n_{k}} c_{i}+C_{\varphi} c_{i}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{i, n_{k}}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n_{k}}, 0\right)^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}-c_{i} r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \frac{\bar{v}_{i, n_{k}}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n_{k}}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}}\right) d r_{i}\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D} \backslash \bigcup_{i} B_{\delta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left(w_{n_{k}}-\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} \psi_{i}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{n_{k}}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n_{k}}-u_{0}\right)\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{i, n_{k}}$ is defined as in (4.28). Arguing as in the first step of the proof of Theorem 1.7, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_{n_{k}}}^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n_{k}}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2} & {\left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)+B_{i} c_{i}+C_{\varphi} c_{i}^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D} \backslash \cup_{i} B_{\delta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left(w-\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} \psi_{i}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1} } \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To conclude the proof of the liminf inequality it is enough to let $\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}$.
Step 2: (Limsup inequality) Let $\left(u_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, w\right)$ be such that $\mathcal{K}_{2}\left(u_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, w\right)<\infty$. We assume first that there exists $0<\delta<\rho / 2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \in H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{D} \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{2} \overline{B_{\delta / 4}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we extend it to a function in $H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$ (in what follows, although with a slight abuse of notation, we identify $w$ with its extension). Then there exists $w^{*} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $w^{*}=w$ on $\partial \Omega$ in the sense of traces (see Theorem 18.40 in [Leo17]). Set

$$
u_{n}:=u_{0}+\varepsilon_{n} u_{1}+\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}} Z_{n}
$$

where $Z_{n}$ is given in polar coordinate at $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ by

$$
\bar{Z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right):=\bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{2 \delta} r_{i}\right) \bar{v}_{i}\left(\frac{r_{i}}{\varepsilon_{n}}, \theta_{i}\right)+\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(1-\bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{2 \delta} r_{i}\right)\right) \overline{w^{*}(i)}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right),
$$

and $Z_{n}:=\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}} w^{*}$ in $\Omega \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{2} B_{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)$. We claim that $\left\{\boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right\}_{n}$, defined from $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ via (5.6) and (5.7), is a recovery sequence for $\left(u_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, w\right)$. Using the fact that $\bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{2 \delta} r_{i}\right)=1$ for $r_{i} \leq \delta$ and the change of variables $\varepsilon_{n} s=r_{i}$ (see also (4.35), (4.36), and (4.37)), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{J}_{i}\left(v_{i}\right) \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{B_{\delta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left|\nabla Z_{n}\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\frac{\bar{Z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}-c_{i} r_{i}^{-1 / 2} \frac{\bar{Z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}\right) d r_{i}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\delta}\left(\frac{\bar{Z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}-\frac{c_{i}}{2} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d r_{i}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In turn, it follows from (5.8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2} & \left\{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)}{2}+B_{i} c_{i}+C_{\varphi} c_{i}^{2}\right\}+\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D} \backslash \bigcup_{i} B_{\delta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left(\frac{Z_{n}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}-\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} \psi_{i}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{D}}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{\mathrm{reg}}^{0}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}+\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \backslash \bigcup_{i} B_{\delta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left|\nabla\left(Z_{n}+\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}} u_{1}\right)\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x} \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

By the convexity of the square function we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\delta}^{2 \delta}\left(\frac{\bar{Z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}-\frac{c_{i}}{2} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d r_{i} \leq \int_{\delta}^{2 \delta} \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{2 \delta} r_{i}\right)\left(\bar{v}_{i}\left(r_{i} / \varepsilon_{n}, 0\right)-\frac{c_{i}}{2} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d r_{i} \\
\\
+\int_{\delta}^{2 \delta}\left(1-\bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{2 \delta} r_{i}\right)\right)\left(w-\frac{c_{i}}{2} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d r_{i}
\end{array}
$$

and therefore, since $\mathcal{J}_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)<\infty$,

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\delta}^{2 \delta}\left(\frac{\bar{Z}_{n}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, 0\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}-\frac{c_{i}}{2} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d r_{i} \leq \int_{\delta}^{2 \delta}\left(w-\frac{c_{i}}{2} \bar{\varphi}\left(r_{i}\right) r^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} d r_{i}
$$

In addition, using the fact that $\bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\rho}{2 \delta} r_{i}\right)=0$ for $r_{i} \geq 2 \delta$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{D} \backslash \bigcup_{i} B_{2 \delta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left(\frac{Z_{n}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}}-\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} \psi_{i}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}=\int_{\Gamma_{D} \backslash \bigcup_{i} B_{2 \delta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left(w-\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} \psi_{i}\right)^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}
$$

We now observe that the result of Lemma 4.5 straightforwardly extends to every $v_{i} \in H$ such that $\mathcal{J}_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)<\infty$. Consequently, we can argue as in the second step of the proof of Theorem 1.7 to deduce that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \backslash \bigcup_{i} B_{\delta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\left|\nabla\left(Z_{n}+\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}} u_{1}\right)\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{x}=0
$$

This concludes the proof of the limsup inequality under the assumption that (5.9) is satisfied.
If on the other hand

$$
w \notin H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{D} \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{2} \overline{B_{\delta / 4}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}\right)
$$

for any $\delta>0$, we reproduce the mollification argument in (5.2) - (5.4) and proceed as before.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In what follows, given a function $v=v(\boldsymbol{x})$ where $\boldsymbol{x}=(x, y)$, we denote by $\bar{v}$ the function $\bar{v}(r, \theta):=v(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$, and with a slight abuse of notation we write $v=\bar{v}(r, \theta)$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In what follows, given a function $v=v(\boldsymbol{x})$, we denote by $\bar{v}^{i}$ the function $\bar{v}^{(i)}\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right):=v\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}+r_{i}\left(\cos \theta_{i}, \sin \theta_{i}\right)\right)$, for polar coordinates $\left(r_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)$ given as in Theorem 1.1

