LARGE GLOBAL SOLUTIONS FOR ENERGY-CRITICAL NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

RUOBING BAI, JIA SHEN, AND YIFEI WU

ABSTRACT. In this work, we consider the 3D defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = |u|^4 u, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Applying the outgoing and incoming decomposition presented in the recent work [2], we prove that any radial function f with $\chi_{\leq 1} f \in H^1$ and $\chi_{\geq 1} f \in H^{s_0}$ with $\frac{5}{6} < s_0 < 1$, there exists an outgoing component f_+ (or incoming component f_-) of f, such that when the initial data is f_+, then the corresponding solution is globally well-posed and scatters forward in time; when the initial data is f_-, then the corresponding solution is globally well-posed and scatters backward in time.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) in 3 spatial dimensions (3D)

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = \mu|u|^4 u, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

with $\mu = \pm 1$. Here $u = u(t,x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$ is a complex-valued function. The case $\mu = 1$ is referred to the defocusing case, and the case $\mu = -1$ is referred to the focusing case.

The solution satisfies the conservation of mass and energy, defined respectively by

$$M\big(u(t)\big) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t,x)|^2 dx = M(u_0), \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$E\big(u(t)\big) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 dx + \frac{\mu}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t,x)|^6 dx = E(u_0). \tag{1.3}$$

The general form of the equation (1.1) is the following

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = \mu|u|^p u, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d}, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

The class of solutions to equation (1.4) is invariant under scaling

$$u(t,x) \to u_\lambda(t,x) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{p}} u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \quad \lambda > 0,$$

which maps the initial data

$$u(0) \to u_\lambda(0) := \lambda^{\frac{2}{p}} u_0(\lambda x).$$

Denote

$$s_c = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2}{p},$$

then the scaling leaves $\dot{H}^{s_c}$ norm invariant, that is,

$$\|u(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}} = \|u_\lambda(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}}.$$

The well-posedness and scattering theory for the equation (1.4) has been widely studied. For the local well-posedness, Cazenave and Weissler [11] used the standard fixed point argument, and proved the equation (1.4) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $s \geq s_c$. Note that in the case of $s = s_c$ (critical regime), the time of existence depends on the profile of initial data rather than simply its norm. The fixed point argument can also be applied directly to prove the global well-posedness and scattering for the equation (1.4) with small initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $s \geq s_c$.

Next, let us briefly review the large data global well-posedness and scattering theory for energy-critical NLS (1.4). Bourgain [6] firstly obtained such result for the 3D and 4D defocusing energy critical NLS with radial data in $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by introducing the induction on energy method and spatial localized Morawetz estimate. Moreover, Grillakis [20] provided a different proof for the global well-posedness part of the result by Bourgain [6]. Tao [30] later generalized the results in [6, 20] to general dimensions with radially symmetric data. For non-radial problem, a major breakthrough was made by Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka, and Tao in [12], where they obtained the related result for the 3D energy-critical defocusing NLS for general large data in $\dot{H}^1$. Then, the result was generalized by Ryckman and Visan [27] in dimension $d = 4$ and Visan [33] for higher dimensions. In the focusing case, Kenig and Merle [21] introduced the concentration compactness method, and obtained the global well-posedness and scattering in $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($d = 3, 4, 5$) for the energy-critical NLS with radial initial data below the energy of ground state. Killip and Visan [23] later obtained the related result for dimensions $d \geq 5$ without the radial assumption. Then, Donson [14] solved the 4D non-radial problem. Here, we only mention the papers for energy critical equations (1.4), and some other results for (1.4) can be found in [1, 3, 15–18, 22, 26, 28, 29] and the references therein.

Although the equation (1.4) is ill-posed in super-critical spaces, there are still some methods to study the well-posedness for a class of such data. The ill-posedness in some cases can be circumvented by an appropriate probabilistic method. Bourgain [4, 5] obtained the first almost sure local and global well-posedness results, which are based on the invariance of Gibbs measure associated to NLS on torus in one and two space dimensions. The random data approach has been further developed for the nonlinear dispersive equations, see for example [7–9, 13, 19, 24] and the references therein.

1.1. **Main result.** This paper aims to consider the global-wellposedness and scattering of the energy-critical NLS with rough and determined initial data. This is a continuing work of [2], where the authors constructed the incoming and outgoing waves for the linear Schrödinger flow and obtained global well-posedness and scattering in the inter-critical case with suitable rough data (the part near the origin of the initial data belongs to H^1, and the part away from the origin is rough).

Next, we recall the the definitions of the incoming and outgoing components of functions introduced in [2].

Definition 1.1 (Deformed Fourier transformation). *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $|x|^\beta f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Define*

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} |x|^\beta f(x) dx.$$

Definition 1.2 (Definitions of outgoing and incoming components). *Let $\alpha < 3$, $\beta > -3$, and the function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is radial. Define the outgoing component of f as*

$$f_{out}(r) = r^{-\beta} \int_0^{+\infty} \big(J(\rho r) - K(\rho r)\big) \rho^{-\alpha+2} \mathcal{F}f(\rho) d\rho,$$

the incoming component of f as

$$f_{in}(r) = r^{-\beta} \int_0^{+\infty} \big(J(-\rho r) + K(\rho r)\big) \rho^{-\alpha+2} \mathcal{F}f(\rho) d\rho,$$

where

$$J(r) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{2\pi i r \sin\theta} \cos\theta d\theta,$$

and

$$K(r) = \chi_{\geq 2}(r) \Big[-\frac{1}{2\pi i r} + \frac{d-3}{(2\pi i r)^3} \Big], \quad d = 3, 4, 5.$$

Definition 1.3 (Definitions of modified outgoing and incoming components). *Let the radial function $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Define the modified outgoing component of f as*

$$f_+ = \frac{1}{2} P_{\leq 1} f + \frac{1}{2} P_{\geq 1} \chi_{\leq \varepsilon_0} f + (P_{\geq 1} \chi_{\geq \varepsilon_0} f)_{out};$$

the modified incoming component of f as

$$f_- = \frac{1}{2} P_{\leq 1} f + \frac{1}{2} P_{\geq 1} \chi_{\leq \varepsilon_0} f + (P_{\geq 1} \chi_{\geq \varepsilon_0} f)_{in}.$$

The main observation in [2] is that the decomposition allows us to cut the linear flow $e^{it\Delta} f$ into $e^{it\Delta} f_+$ and $e^{it\Delta} f_-$ such that up to a smooth part, the former moves forward in time and the latter moves backward in time, and the speed depends on the frequency which is faster for rougher data. By using the decomposition, the authors obtained positively (or negatively) global when the initial data is f_+ (or f_-). From the definitions, we have $f = f_+ + f_-$. Since f is rough, at least one of f_+ and f_- is rough. Therefore, the authors obtained the global solutions for the defocusing energy-subcritical NLS, $p < \frac{4}{d-2}$, with a class of initial data in the supercritical space in dimensions $d = 3, 4, 5$.

In this paper, we further consider the energy-critical case when $p = \frac{4}{d-2}$. This is a more complex scenario, since the local lifespan depends on the profile of initial data, and the new difficulty is how to extend the solution globally. Moreover, we only consider the 3D case, and the calculation is similar for other dimensional cases.

The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $s_0 \in (\frac{5}{6}, 1)$. Suppose that f is a radial function and there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\chi_{\leq \varepsilon_0} f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad (1 - \chi_{\leq \varepsilon_0}) f \in H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Then the solution u to the equation (1.1) *with the initial data*

$$u_0 = f_+ \quad (or \quad u_0 = f_-)$$

is global forward (or backward) in time. Moreover, there exists $u_+ \in H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (or $u_- \in H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)$), such that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta} u_+\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \to 0 \quad (or \lim_{t \to -\infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta} u_-\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \to 0).$$

We make several remarks regarding the above statements.

Remark 1.5.   (1) Note that $s_0 < 1$, we are able to construct the global solutions for 3D defocusing energy-critical NLS with a class of data in the supercritical space. Moreover, there is no size restriction for the initial data.
  (2) By rescaling, it suffices to prove Theorem 1.4 when $\varepsilon_0 = 1$.

1.2. **The key ingredients in the proofs.** In this subsection, we describe the key ingredients of the proof for Theorem 1.4.

  • *A conditional perturbation theory.* We consider the perturbation equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t w + \Delta w = |v + w|^4 (v + w), \\ w(0, x) = w_0(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3). \end{cases}$$

Given the maximal lifespan $[0, T^*)$, under the hypothesis of

$$\text{(a): } v \in S(I); \quad \text{(b): } w \in L_t^\infty([0, T^*); H^1),$$

where $S([0, T^*))$ is some suitably defined spacetime norm at $\dot{H}^1$ level, see (3.2) below, we establish the spacetime estimate that

$$\|w\|_{S([0,T^*))} < +\infty.$$

Here the bound is independent of T^*. This is a general theory on the scattering of the solution to a perturbation equation, which is available in our case by splitting u into a linear part v and a solution w of a perturbation equation.

To prove the general theory, we will adopt the perturbation theory to approximate w by the solution of the original energy-critical NLS, which is inspired by [9, 19, 31]. The key ingredient of perturbation theory is to construct a suitable auxiliary space $S(I)$ that can close the estimates for nonlinear interaction, and meanwhile it matches the smooth effect of the linear flow benefited from the incoming/outgoing decomposition.

Based on the perturbation theory, it reduces to check the two hypotheses, namely to prove some required spacetime estimates of the linear flow, and the uniform bound of perturbation equation's energy norm.

  • *Supercritical spacetime estimates of the linear flow.* In this part, we check the hypothesis (a) above. Noting that u_0 merely belongs to H^{s_0}, $\frac{5}{6} < s_0 < 1$, the $S(I)$-estimates for linear solution are supercritical. Hence, we need to obtain enough smoothing effect from the incoming and outgoing decomposition by the delicate phase-space analysis method in [2]. However, the estimates presented in [2] is not sufficient in our case. Thus, we prove some

finer estimates for the spacetime norm. In particular, these estimates imply that the solution becomes better when the time is away from the origin, which is crucial in the proof of the a priori estimate.

● *A priori estimate.* In this step, we shall obtain the a priori estimate of the solution w to the perturbation equation in H^1 while the initial data is only in H^{s_0}, $\frac{5}{6} < s_0 < 1$. We first make a decomposition of initial data, such that w_0 is in H_x^1. Then, the proof of the a priori estimate is based on the Morawetz estimates, energy estimates and bootstrap argument. In the last step, we can obtain the $\|\nabla v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty}$-estimate for the linear flow v, which is sufficient for controlling the energy increment. However, such estimate is only available when t is away from the origin. Therefore, we consider the short time and long time cases, separately.

As for the short time interval, the estimates for linear solution $e^{it\Delta} u_0$ is not smooth enough, but it still enables some $\dot{H}_x^1$-critical spacetime estimates while the initial data u_0 itself is below the energy regularity. Thus, applying the local theory, we expect that the original solution u also has some $\dot{H}_x^1$ level estimates, and then the energy increment can be bounded suitably. Finally, we remark that the lower bound $\frac{5}{6}$ of the regularity condition is to ensure that the local $\dot{H}_x^1$-critical estimates hold.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some basic notations and lemmas that will be used throughout this paper. In Section 3, we give a general theory about the existence of the solution to a perturbation equation under suitable a priori hypothesis. In Section 4, we give the framework for proof of Theorem 1.4. In Section 5, We obtain the uniform bounded of linear solution v in the auxiliary space $Y(I)$. In Section 6, we prove the a priori estimate of solution w to the perturbation equation in H^1.

2. Preliminary

2.1. **Notations.** We write $X \lesssim Y$ or $Y \gtrsim X$ to denote the estimate $X \le CY$ for some constant $C > 0$. Throughout the whole paper, the letter C will denote different positive constants which are not important in our analysis and may vary line by line. If C depends upon some additional parameters, we will indicate this with subscripts; for example, $X \lesssim_a Y$ denotes the $X \le C(a)Y$ assertion for some $C(a)$ depending on a. The notation $a+$ denotes $a + \epsilon$ for some small ϵ. We use the following norms to denote the mixed spaces $L_t^q L_x^r$, that is

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} = \Big(\int \|u\|_{L_x^r}^q dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

When $q = r$ we abbreviate $L_t^q L_x^q$ as $L_{t,x}^q$. We use $\chi_{\le a}$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ to be the smooth function

$$\chi_{\le a}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, |x| \le a, \\ 0, |x| \ge \dfrac{11}{10}a. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we denote $\chi_{\ge a} = 1 - \chi_{\le a}$ and $\chi_{a \le \cdot \le b} = \chi_{\le b} - \chi_{\le a}$. We denote $\chi_a = \chi_{\le 2a} - \chi_{\le a}$ for short.

For each number $N > 0$, we define the Fourier multipliers $P_{\le N}, P_{>N}, P_N$ as

$$\widehat{P_{\le N} f}(\xi) := \chi_{\le N}(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi),$$

$$\widehat{P_{>N} f}(\xi) := \chi_{>N}(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi),$$

$$\widehat{P_N f}(\xi) := \chi_N(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi),$$

and similarly $P_{\geq N}, P_{<N}$. These multipliers are usually used when N are *dyadic numbers* (that is, of the form 2^k for some integer k).

Moreover, we denote the Strichartz norm by

$$\|u\|_{S^0(I)} := \sup\left\{\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} : \frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{r} = \frac{3}{2}, 2 \leq q \leq \infty, 2 \leq r \leq 6\right\},$$

and the dual space of $S^0(I)$ by $N^0(I)$ and the corresponding norm is

$$\|u\|_{N^0(I)} := \inf\left\{\|u\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{r'}(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} : \frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{r} = \frac{3}{2}, 2 \leq q \leq \infty, 2 \leq r \leq 6\right\}.$$

2.2. Basic lemmas.
In this section, we state some useful lemmas which will be used in our later sections. Firstly, we recall the well-known Strichartz estimates.

Lemma 2.1. *(Strichartz's estimates, see [10]) Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a time interval. For all admissible pairs $(q_j, r_j), j = 1, 2$, satisfying*

$$2 \leq q_j, r_j \leq \infty, \quad \frac{2}{q_j} + \frac{d}{r_j} = \frac{d}{2}, \quad and \quad (q, r, d) \neq (2, \infty, 2),$$

then the following statements hold:

$$\|e^{it\Delta}f\|_{L_t^{q_j} L_x^{r_j}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}; \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\left\|\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}F(s)ds\right\|_{L_t^{q_1} L_x^{r_1}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_t^{q_2'} L_x^{r_2'}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{q_2'} = \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{1}{r_2'} = 1$.

We also need the following radial Sobolev inequality.

Lemma 2.2. *(see [32])Let α, p, q, s be the parameters which satisfy*

$$\alpha > -\frac{d}{q}, \quad \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{q} + s, \quad 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, \quad 0 < s < d$$

with

$$\alpha + s = d\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

Moreover, at most one of the equalities holds:

$$p = 1, \quad p = \infty, \quad q = 1, \quad q = \infty, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + s.$$

Then for any radial function u,

$$\left\||x|^\alpha u\right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \left\||\nabla|^s u\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

The following result is the Hardy inequality.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 < p < d$. Then,*

$$\left\||x|^{-1}u\right\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

3. A GENERAL PERTURBATION THEORY

In this section, we set up a general theory to give a sufficient condition for the existence of the solution to the following perturbation equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t w + \Delta w = |w + v|^4 (w + v), \\ w(0, x) = w_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Before giving the main results, we need some auxiliary spaces. We denote the space $S(I)$ with the corresponding norm as follows

$$\|u\|_{S(I)} := \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6 (I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u\|_{L_t^8 L_x^{12} (I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{3.2}$$

In this section, our main result is as follows.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $0 \in I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ and suppose that there exists a solution $w \in C(I; \dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ of (3.1). Assume that there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$, such that*

$$\|v\|_{S(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq C_0, \tag{3.3}$$

and there exists a constant $E_0 > 0$, such that

$$\sup_{t \in I} \|w(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq E_0. \tag{3.4}$$

Then, there exists some $C = C(C_0, E_0) > 0$ (independent of I) such that

$$\|w\|_{S(I)} \leq C(C_0, E_0).$$

To prove Proposition 3.1, we shall use the perturbation theory, which shows that the solution w of equation (3.1) can stay close to the solution $\widetilde{w}$ of the original energy critical equation:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \widetilde{w} + \Delta \widetilde{w} = |\widetilde{w}|^4 \widetilde{w}, \\ \widetilde{w}(0, x) = \widetilde{w}_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

where $\widetilde{w} = \widetilde{w}(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$ is a complex-valued function. From the result in [12], we have that if $\widetilde{w}_0 \in \dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then the equation (3.5) is globally well-posed and scatters, and $\widetilde{w}$ satisfies

$$\|\nabla \widetilde{w}\|_{S^0(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|\widetilde{w}\|_{S(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq C(\|\widetilde{w}_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}). \tag{3.6}$$

Let $g(t, x) := w(t, x) - \widetilde{w}(t, x)$, then g satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t g + \Delta g = F(g + v, \widetilde{w}), \\ g(0, x) = w_0(x) - \widetilde{w}_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Where we denote

$$F(g + v, \widetilde{w}) = |g + v + \widetilde{w}|^4 (g + v + \widetilde{w}) - |\widetilde{w}|^4 \widetilde{w}.$$

Then our perturbation result regarding to g is as follows.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, $0 \in I$ and $E_0 > 0$. Let $\widetilde{w} \in C(I; \dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ be the solution of (3.5) on I with*

$$\widetilde{w}_0 = w_0.$$

Then, there exists $\eta_1 = \eta_1(E_0)$ with the following properties. Assume that

$$\|v\|_{S(I)} \leq \eta_1, \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\|w_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq E_0, \tag{3.9}$$

then there exists a solution $g \in C(I; \dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ *of* (3.1) *with initial data* $g_0 = 0$ *satisfying*

$$\|g\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{S(I)} \leq C(E_0, \eta_1)\eta_1.$$

To prove this lemma, we first derive the nonlinear estimates.

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ *be some compact interval and* $0 \in I$. *Then*

$$\|\nabla F(g + v, \widetilde{w})\|_{N^0(I)} \lesssim (\|g\|_{S(I)} + \|v\|_{S(I)})(\|g\|_{S(I)}^4 + \|v\|_{S(I)}^4 + \|\widetilde{w}\|_{S(I)}^4)$$
$$+ \|\widetilde{w}\|_{S(I)}(\|g\|_{S(I)}^4 + \|v\|_{S(I)}^4).$$

Proof. By the definition of $N^0(I)$ and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\|\nabla u_1 u_2^4\|_{N^0(I)} \lesssim \|\nabla u_1 u_2^4\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$\lesssim \|\nabla u_1\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|u_2\|_{L_t^8 L_x^{12}(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^4$$
$$\lesssim \|u_1\|_{S(I)} \|u_2\|_{S(I)}^4.$$

Moreover, for the term $F(g + v, \widetilde{w})$, we have the pointwise estimates,

$$|\nabla F(g + v, \widetilde{w})| = |\nabla(|g + v + \widetilde{w}|^4(g + v + \widetilde{w}) - |\widetilde{w}|^4 \widetilde{w})|$$
$$\lesssim (|\nabla g| + |\nabla v|)(|g|^4 + |v|^4 + |\widetilde{w}|^4) + |\nabla \widetilde{w}|(|g|^4 + |v|^4).$$

Hence, this lemma follows by combining the above estimates.   □

Next, we give the proof of the perturbation theory.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. By the assumption of (3.9), and (3.6), we have

$$\|\widetilde{w}\|_{S(I)} \leq C(E_0). \tag{3.10}$$

Fix some absolutely small $0 < \eta_2 \ll 1$, then we can split $I = \cup_{j=1}^J I_j$, $I_j = [t_{j-1}, t_j]$, $t_0 = 0$, such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\eta_2 \leq \|\widetilde{w}\|_{S(I_j)} \leq \eta_2. \tag{3.11}$$

Then $J = J(E_0, \eta_2)$ is finite. We also take some $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2$ that will be decided later. The proof of this lemma will now be accomplished in two steps.

Step 1. In this step, we are going to prove that under the assumption that for any $j \geq 1$,

$$\|g(t_{j-1})\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \eta_2, \tag{3.12}$$

then there exists some suitable constant $B_0 > 1$ independent of j, η_1, and η_2, such that

$$\|g\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1(I_j \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{S(I_j)} \leq B_0(\|g(t_{j-1})\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \eta_1). \tag{3.13}$$

To prove (3.13), first recall the Duhamel formula to the equation (3.7),

$$g(t) = e^{i(t-t_{j-1})\Delta} g(t_{j-1}) - i \int_{t_{j-1}}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F(g + v, \widetilde{w})(s) ds. \tag{3.14}$$

Using Duhamel formula (3.14), Lemma 2.1, and Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\|g\|_{L_t^\infty \dot H_x^1(I_j \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{S(I_j)} \lesssim \|g(t_{j-1})\|_{\dot H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| \int_{t_{j-1}}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \nabla F(g+v, \widetilde w)(s)ds \right\|_{S^0(I_j)}$$

$$\lesssim \|g(t_{j-1})\|_{\dot H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| \nabla F(g+v, \widetilde w) \right\|_{N^0(I_j)}. \tag{3.15}$$

Moreover, by the Lemma 3.3, (3.8), and (3.11), we have

$$\left\| \nabla F(g+v, \widetilde w) \right\|_{N^0(I_j)} \lesssim (\|g\|_{S(I_j)} + \eta_1)(\|g\|_{S(I_j)}^4 + \eta_1^4 + \eta_2^4) + \eta_2(\|g\|_{S(I_j)}^4 + \eta_1^4)$$

$$\lesssim (\eta_2^4 + \|g\|_{S(I_j)}^4)\|g\|_{S(I_j)} + \eta_1 \eta_2^4.$$

Further by (3.15) implies that

$$\|g\|_{L_t^\infty \dot H_x^1(I_j \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{S(I_j)} \lesssim \|g(t_{j-1})\|_{\dot H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + (\eta_1^4 + \|g\|_{S(I_j)}^4)\|g\|_{S(I_j)} + \eta_1. \tag{3.16}$$

Noting the assumptions of smallness conditions (3.12), by (3.16) and the bootstrap method, we can obtain

$$\|g\|_{L_t^\infty \dot H_x^1(I_j \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{S(I_j)} \lesssim \|g(t_{j-1})\|_{\dot H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \eta_1. \tag{3.17}$$

Hence, we can choose suitable constant $B_0 > 1$, such that (3.13) holds.

Step 2. Next, we shall to get the desired results through induction. To start with, we take the parameter η_1 such that

$$J B_0^J \eta_1 \le \eta_2. \tag{3.18}$$

Therefore, η_1 depends only on E_0 and the absolute small constant η_2.

First, we consider the subinterval $I_1 = [0, t_1]$. In this case, $g_0 = w_0 - \widetilde w_0 = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\|g_0\|_{\dot H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0.$$

By the first step, we get the existence of g on I_1, and

$$\|g\|_{L_t^\infty \dot H_x^1(I_1 \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{S(I_1)} \le B_0 \eta_1. \tag{3.19}$$

Secondly, we consider the subinterval $I_2 = [t_1, t_2]$. In this case, by (3.19) and (3.18),

$$\|g(t_1)\|_{\dot H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le B_0 \eta_1 \le \eta_2.$$

The above estimate satisfies the assumption (3.12). Thus, by *Step* 1, we have

$$\|g\|_{L_t^\infty \dot H_x^1(I_2 \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{S(I_2)} \le B_0(B_0 \eta_1 + \eta_1) \le 2 B_0^2 \eta_1. \tag{3.20}$$

Now we start the induction procedure from I_2. We aim to prove that for any $j = 1, 2, \ldots, J$,

$$\|g\|_{L_t^\infty \dot H_x^1(I_j \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{S(I_j)} \le j B_0^j \eta_1. \tag{3.21}$$

For $j = 2$ case, we have that the above estimate holds. Next, for $j = k$ case, we suppose the above estimate (3.21) holds, that is

$$\|g\|_{L_t^\infty \dot H_x^1(I_k \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{S(I_k)} \le k B_0^k \eta_1. \tag{3.22}$$

Hence, it suffices to prove the $j = k+1$ case. Using (3.22) and (3.18), we obtain

$$\|g(t_k)\|_{\dot H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le k B_0^k \eta_1 \le J B_0^J \eta_1 \le \eta_2. \tag{3.23}$$

By *Step* 1, we obtain the existence of g on I_{k+1}, and

$$\|g\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1(I_{k+1} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{S(I_{k+1})} \le B_0(kB_0^k\eta_1 + \eta_1) \le (k+1)B_0^{k+1}\eta_1. \tag{3.24}$$

Hence, by induction, we have (3.21) holds for any $j = 1, 2, \ldots, J$.

Then, we have the existence of g on the whole interval I, and for any j,

$$\|g\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1(I_j \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{S(I_j)} \le jB_0^j\eta_1 \le \eta_2.$$

Summing this over all subintervals I_j, we complete the proof of this lemma. □

Finally, we are in a position to prove Proposition 3.1. Although the perturbation theory is a local result, we can apply it by iteration to proving that the global spacetime norms of w is uniformly bounded.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. For any fixed $t_0 \in I$, we consider the following equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \widetilde{w} + \Delta \widetilde{w} = |\widetilde{w}|^4 \widetilde{w}, \\ \widetilde{w}(t_0, x) = w(t_0, x). \end{cases} \tag{3.25}$$

By (3.6), we have that there exists a global solution $\widetilde{w}(t, x) = \widetilde{w}^{(t_0)}(t, x)$ of the equation (3.25) with

$$\|\widetilde{w}^{(t_0)}\|_{S(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le C(\|w(t_0)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}). \tag{3.26}$$

Now, let $\eta_1 = \eta_1(E_0)$ be defined as in Lemma 3.2. By the assumption (3.3), we can split $I = \cup_{l=1}^L \widetilde{I}_l$, $\widetilde{I}_l = [\tau_{l-1}, \tau_l]$, $\tau_0 = 0$, such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\eta_1 \le \|v\|_{S(\widetilde{I}_l)} \le \eta_1.$$

Then $L(C_0, \eta_1)$ is finite. We consider subinterval $\widetilde{I}_1$ firstly. By (3.4), we can take $t_0 = \tau_0 = 0$ for the equation (3.25), and clearly have

$$\|w_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le E_0.$$

Then, using Lemma 3.2 on $\widetilde{I}_1$, we obtain the existence of $w \in C(\widetilde{I}_1; \dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

Similarly as above, for $\widetilde{I}_2$, we can take $t_0 = \tau_1$ for the equation (3.25). Using (3.4) again,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \tau_1]} \|w(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le E_0.$$

Particularly,

$$\|\widetilde{w}(\tau_1)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \|w(\tau_1)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le E_0.$$

Then, we can apply Lemma 3.2 on $\widetilde{I}_2$ after translation in t from the starting point τ_1, and obtained the well-posedness on $\widetilde{I}_2$.

Inductively, under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, we can obtain the existence of $w \in C(\widetilde{I}_l; \dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $l = 1, 2, \ldots, L$. Moreover, from Lemma 3.2 and (3.6), we have

$$\|w\|_{S(I)} \le C(C_0, E_0). \tag{3.27}$$

Hence, we finish the proof of the proposition. □

4. FRAMEWORK OF THE PROOF

4.1. Linear and nonlinear decomposition.

Now, we turn to the proof of Theorem 1.4. We consider the energy critical NLS (1.1) with the initial data $u_0 = f_+$. Fixing $\delta_0 > 0$, we take $N = N(\delta_0) > 0$, such that

$$\|P_{\geq N}\chi_{\geq 1}f\|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \delta_0. \tag{4.1}$$

Denote $v_0 := (P_{\geq N}\chi_{\geq 1}f)_{out}$, and $w_0 := \frac{1}{2}P_{\leq 1}f + \frac{1}{2}P_{\geq 1}\chi_{\leq 1}f + (P_{1 \leq \cdot \leq N}\chi_{\geq 1}f)_{out}$. Then we split the solution u of (1.1) as $u = v + w$, where

$$v = e^{it\Delta}v_0,$$

and w satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t w + \Delta w = |w+v|^4(w+v), \\ w(0,x) = w_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Now we need the following two hypotheses: assume that there exist constants $C_0, E_0 > 0$, (H1)

$$\|v\|_{S(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq C_0,$$

(H2) For any $0 \in I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, if $w \in C(I; \dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$, then

$$\sup_{t \in I}\|w(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq E_0.$$

Then, under the above two hypotheses, the general theory in the third section is available for our case, we can obtain that the solution w to the above perturbation equation is global in $\dot{H}^1$. Hence, it suffices to verify the above two hypotheses (H1) and (H2).

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4 under the hypotheses (H1) and (H2).

We are now in a position to give the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof. First of all, by Proposition 3.1, under the hypotheses (H1) and (H2), we obtain the global existence of w in the forward time and

$$\|w\|_{S(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq C(C_0, E_0). \tag{4.3}$$

Next, we prove the scattering statement in Theorem 1.4. Set

$$u_+ = f_+ - i\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-is\Delta}(|u|^4 u)(s)ds.$$

Then we have

$$u(t) - e^{it\Delta}u_+ = i\int_t^{+\infty} e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(|u|^4 u)(s)ds.$$

Now, we claim that $u_+ - f_+ \in H^1$ and

$$\|u(t) - e^{it\Delta}u_+\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \to 0, \quad as \quad t \to +\infty.$$

Indeed, it reduced to prove that

$$\left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(|u|^4 u)(s)ds \right\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} < +\infty. \tag{4.4}$$

First, by Strichartz's estimate (2.2) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(|u|^4 u)(s)ds \right\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla(|u|^4 u)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{L_t^8 L_x^{12}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^4. \qquad (4.5)$$

Moreover, by (H1) and (4.3), we have

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u\|_{L_t^8 L_x^{12}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla w\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|w\|_{L_t^8 L_x^{12}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v\|_{S(\mathbb{R}^+)}$$

$$\leq C(C_0, E_0). \qquad (4.6)$$

Combining (4.5) with (4.6), we get

$$\left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(|u|^4 u)(s)ds \right\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} < +\infty.$$

Next, similarly as above, we have

$$\left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(|u|^4 u)(s)ds \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \left\| |u|^4 u \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^8 L_x^{12}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^4 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$$

$$< +\infty.$$

Hence, we obtain (4.4). This proves the scattering statement and thus finishes the proof of Theorem 1.4. $\qquad \square$

5. Linear estimates

In this section, we give the proof of the validity of hypothesis (H1).

Proposition 5.1. *Let $s_0 \in (\frac{5}{6}, 1)$. Then there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$, such that*

$$\|v\|_{S(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq C_0.$$

First of all, we need the frequency restricted incoming component of f as follows, for any fixed integer k

$$f_{in,k}(r) = r^{-\beta} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(J(-\rho r) + K(\rho r) \right) \chi_{2^k}(\rho) \rho^{-\alpha+2} \mathcal{F}f(\rho)d\rho;$$

correspondingly, the frequency restricted outgoing component of f as follows

$$f_{out,k}(r) = r^{-\beta} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(J(-\rho r) - K(\rho r) \right) \chi_{2^k}(\rho) \rho^{-\alpha+2} \mathcal{F}f(\rho)d\rho.$$

5.1. **Known results from [2].** Next, we recall some useful lemmas, see Proposition 3.11, Lemma 3.12, Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.3 in [2] for the proof.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then*

$$\|f_{out/in}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

The next lemma shows that if a function f has high frequency $f = P_{2^k}f$, then its incoming/outgoing component will have almost the same frequency plus a smooth perturbation.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Suppose that $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\text{supp} f \subset \{x : |x| \geq 1\}$, then*

$$(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out/in} = (P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out/in,k-1\leq\cdot\leq k+1} + h_k,$$

where h_k satisfies the following estimate,

$$\|h_k\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 2^{-10k}\|P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Moreover,

$$\|\chi_{\leq\frac{1}{4}}(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out/in,k-1\leq\cdot\leq k+1}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 2^{-2k}\|P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

The following result is the incoming/outgoing linear flow's estimate related to the inside region.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Then there exists $\delta > 0$, such that for any triple (γ, q, r) satisfying that*

$$q \geq 2, \quad r > 2, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{5}{r} < \frac{5}{2},$$

the following estimates holds,

$$\left\||\nabla|^{\gamma}[\chi_{\leq\delta(1+2^k t)}e^{it\Delta}(\chi_{\geq\frac{1}{4}}(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out,k-1\leq\cdot\leq k+1})]\right\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$\lesssim 2^{-(2-(\gamma-\frac{2}{q}-\frac{3}{r}))k}\|P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

The same estimate holds when $e^{it\Delta}$ and $_{out}$ are replaced by $e^{-it\Delta}$ and $_{in}$, respectively.

The following result is the incoming/outgoing linear flow's estimate related to the outside region.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Moreover, let r, γ_1, γ_2, s be the parameters satisfying*

$$r > 2, \quad \gamma_1 > 2, \quad \gamma_2 \geq 0, \quad s + \frac{1}{r} \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \gamma_1 + s = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{r}.$$

Then for any $t > 0$,

$$\left\||\nabla|^{\gamma_2}[\chi_{\geq\delta(1+2^k t)}e^{it\Delta}(\chi_{\geq\frac{1}{4}}(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out,k-1\leq\cdot\leq k+1})]\right\|_{L_x^r(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$\lesssim (1+2^k t)^{-\gamma_1}2^{(\gamma_2+s+)k}\|P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

The same estimate holds when $e^{it\Delta}$ and $_{out}$ are replaced by $e^{-it\Delta}$ and $_{in}$, respectively.

5.2. **Further estimates.** Now, we can obtain the following space-time estimates based on the above lemma.

Corollary 5.6. *Let (γ, q, r) be the triple satisfying*

$$\gamma \geq 0, \quad q \geq 1, \quad r > 2, \quad \frac{1}{q} < 1 - \frac{2}{r},$$

then the following estimate holds,

$$\left\||\nabla|^{\gamma}[\chi_{\geq\delta(1+2^k t)}e^{it\Delta}(\chi_{\geq\frac{1}{4}}(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out,k-1\leq\cdot\leq k+1})]\right\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$\lesssim 2^{(-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{2}+\gamma+)k}\|P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{5.1}$$

Moreover, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\left\| |\nabla|^\gamma [\chi_{\geq \delta(1+2^k t)} e^{it\Delta} (\chi_{\geq \frac{1}{4}} (P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1} f))_{out, k-1 \leq \cdot \leq k+1})] \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([\delta, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$\lesssim_\delta 2^{(-\frac{1}{2}+\gamma+)k} \| P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1} f) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{5.2}$$

The same estimate holds when $e^{it\Delta}$ and $_{out}$ are replaced by $e^{-it\Delta}$ and $_{in}$, respectively.

Proof. (5.1) was proved by Corollary 4.4 in [2]. In addition, we also need the result (5.2).

From Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\left\| |\nabla|^\gamma [\chi_{\geq \delta(1+2^k t)} e^{it\Delta} (\chi_{\geq \frac{1}{4}} (P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1} f))_{out, k-1 \leq \cdot \leq k+1})] \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([\delta, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$\lesssim 2^{(\gamma+\frac{1}{2}+)k} \| (1+2^k t)^{-1} \|_{L_t^2([\delta, +\infty))} \| P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1} f) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\| (1+2^k t)^{-1} \|_{L_t^2([\delta, +\infty))} \lesssim_\delta 2^{-k}.$$

Combining the above two estimates, we prove the corollary. □

Next, we gather some space-time norms that will be used below. Define the $Y(I)$ space by its norm

$$\|v\|_{Y(I)} := N^{s_0 - \frac{5}{6}-} \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + N^{s_0 - \frac{7}{24}-} \|v\|_{L_t^8 L_x^{12}(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$+ N^{s_0 - \frac{1}{3}-} \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + N^{s_0-} \|v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Then, by the above Lemmas and Corollary, we have

Lemma 5.7. *Let $N \geq 1$, $\frac{5}{6} < s_0 < 1$, then the following estimates hold,*

$$\|v\|_{Y(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \| P_{\geq N} \chi_{\geq 1} f \|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Moreover, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([\delta, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{-s_0 + \frac{1}{2}+} \| P_{\geq N} \chi_{\geq 1} f \|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Proof. The estimates above v on $\mathbb{R}^+$ was proved by Proposition 4.5 in [2], we only sketch the proof for completeness, and prove the spacetime estimate of v on $[\delta, +\infty)$. Let $N = 2^{k_0}$

for some $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 5.3, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
v =& e^{it\Delta}(P_{\geq N}\chi_{\geq 1}f)_{out} \\
=& \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta}(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out} \\
=& \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta}(\chi_{\leq \frac{1}{4}}(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out,k-1\leq\cdot\leq k+1}) + \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta}h_k \\
&+ \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta}(\chi_{\geq \frac{1}{4}}(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out,k-1\leq\cdot\leq k+1}) \\
=& \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta}(\chi_{\leq \frac{1}{4}}(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out,k-1\leq\cdot\leq k+1}) + \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} e^{it\Delta}h_k \\
&+ \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \chi_{\leq \delta(1+2^k t)}e^{it\Delta}(\chi_{\geq \frac{1}{4}}(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out,k-1\leq\cdot\leq k+1}) \\
&+ \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \chi_{\geq \delta(1+2^k t)}e^{it\Delta}(\chi_{\geq \frac{1}{4}}(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out,k-1\leq\cdot\leq k+1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 5.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \big\| e^{it\Delta}|\nabla|(\chi_{\leq \frac{1}{4}}(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out,k-1\leq\cdot\leq k+1}) \big\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \big\| \chi_{\leq \frac{1}{4}}(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out,k-1\leq\cdot\leq k+1} \big\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{-2k}\|P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim N^{-2-s_0}\|P_{\geq N}\chi_{\geq 1}f\|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.3}
$$

In the same way as above, we can also obtain

$$
\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \|e^{it\Delta}|\nabla|h_k\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{-2-s_0}\|P_{\geq N}\chi_{\geq 1}f\|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
\tag{5.4}
$$

Next, by Lemma 5.4, noting that $\frac{5}{6} < s_0 < 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \big\| |\nabla|\big[\chi_{\leq \delta(1+2^k t)}e^{it\Delta}(\chi_{\geq \frac{1}{4}}(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f))_{out,k-1\leq\cdot\leq k+1})\big] \big\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{-(2-(1-\frac{2}{2}-\frac{3}{6}))k}\|P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1}f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim N^{-s_0-\frac{5}{2}}\|P_{\geq N}\chi_{\geq 1}f\|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.5}
$$

Finally, from Corollary 5.6, noting that $\frac{5}{6} < s_0 < 1$, we obtain that

$$
\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \left\| |\nabla| \big[\chi_{\geq \delta(1+2^k t)} e^{it\Delta} (\chi_{\geq \frac{1}{4}} (P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1} f))_{out, k-1 \leq \cdot \leq k+1}) \big] \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)}
$$
$$
\lesssim \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2} + 1 +)k} \| P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1} f) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}
$$
$$
\lesssim N^{-(s_0 - \frac{5}{6})+} \| P_{\geq N} \chi_{\geq 1} f \|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{5.6}
$$

Then collecting the estimates (5.3)-(5.6), we get

$$
\| \nabla v \|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{-s_0 + \frac{5}{6}+} \| P_{\geq N} \chi_{\geq 1} f \|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
$$

Similarly as above, by Lemma 2.1, Lemma 5.3, Lemma 5.4, Corollary 5.6, and Sobolev inequality, we can obtain

$$
\| v \|_{L_t^8 L_x^{12}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{-s_0 + \frac{7}{24}+} \| P_{\geq N} \chi_{\geq 1} f \|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)},
$$

$$
\| v \|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{-s_0 + \frac{1}{3}+} \| P_{\geq N} \chi_{\geq 1} f \|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)},
$$

and

$$
\| v \|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{-s_0+} \| P_{\geq N} \chi_{\geq 1} f \|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
$$

Next, we will estimate the term $\| \nabla v \|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([\delta, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$, the proof is similar as above. By Lemma 2.1, Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4, we have

$$
\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \left\| e^{it\Delta} |\nabla| (\chi_{\leq \frac{1}{4}} (P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1} f))_{out, k-1 \leq \cdot \leq k+1}) \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([\delta, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}
$$
$$
+ \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \left\| |\nabla| \big[\chi_{\leq \delta(1+2^k t)} e^{it\Delta} (\chi_{\geq \frac{1}{4}} (P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1} f))_{out, k-1 \leq \cdot \leq k+1}) \big] \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([\delta, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}
$$
$$
+ \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \left\| e^{it\Delta} |\nabla| h_k \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([\delta, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}
$$
$$
\lesssim N^{-2-s_0} \| P_{\geq N} \chi_{\geq 1} f \|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
$$

Furthermore, by Corollary 5.6, we obtain

$$
\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \left\| |\nabla| \big[\chi_{\geq \delta(1+2^k t)} e^{it\Delta} (\chi_{\geq \frac{1}{4}} (P_{2^k}(\chi_{\geq 1} f))_{out, k-1 \leq \cdot \leq k+1}) \big] \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([\delta, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}
$$
$$
\lesssim N^{-s_0 + \frac{1}{2}+} \| P_{\geq N} \chi_{\geq 1} f \|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
$$

Hence, by the above two estimates, we obtain

$$
\| \nabla v \|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([\delta, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{-s_0 + \frac{1}{2}+} \| P_{\geq N} \chi_{\geq 1} f \|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
$$

Thus, we get the desired estimates and complete the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Hence, by Lemma 5.7, we can obtain Proposition 5.1 by choosing a suitable constant $C_0 > 0$.

6. A PRIORI ESTIMATE

In this section, we give the proof of the validity of a priori assumptions (H2). To this end, we define the working space $X_N(I)$ for $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ by its norm

$$\|h\|_{X_N(I)} = N^{3(s_0-1)}\|h\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} + N^{\frac{9}{8}(s_0-1)}\|h\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Then we have

$$\|h\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \le N^{3(1-s_0)}\|h\|_{X_N(I)},$$
$$\|h\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \le N^{\frac{9}{8}(1-s_0)}\|h\|_{X_N(I)}. \tag{6.1}$$

The following is the main result in this section.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $s_0 \in (\frac{5}{6}, 1)$. Let $w \in C(I; \dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ be the solution of the equation (3.1). Then there exists a constant $E_0 = E_0(N) > 0$, such that*

$$\sup_{t\in I}\|w(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le E_0.$$

To prove Proposition 6.1, we need some spacetime norms of w are uniformly bounded. First of all, we have the initial data w_0 is in $\dot{H}^1$. Indeed, by Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\|P_{\le 1}f + P_{\ge 1}\chi_{\le 1}f\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\chi_{\le 1}f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\chi_{\ge 1}f\|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Moreover, by Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3, we have

$$\|(P_{1\le\cdot\le N}\chi_{\ge 1}f)_{out}\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\|(P_{2^k}(\chi_{\ge 1}f))_{out,k-1\le\cdot\le k+1}\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|h_k\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\right)$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(2^k\|P_{2^k}(\chi_{\ge 1}f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 2^{-10k}\|P_{2^k}(\chi_{\ge 1}f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\right)$$
$$\lesssim N^{1-s_0}\|\chi_{\ge 1}f\|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\chi_{\ge 1}f\|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$\lesssim N^{1-s_0}.$$

Hence, combining the above two estimates, we have

$$\|w_0\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{1-s_0}. \tag{6.2}$$

Next, we have that the $L_t^\infty L_x^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ norm of w is uniformly bounded. In fact, by the conservation of mass (1.2) and Lemma 5.2 , we obtain

$$\|w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$\lesssim \|f_+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|f\|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$\lesssim \|f\|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{6.3}$$

Now, we start with the Morawetz estimates.

6.1. Morawetz estimates.
In this subsection, we consider the Morawetz-type estimate by Lin-Strauss [25].

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\frac{5}{6} < s_0 < 1$ and $\|w\|_{X_N(I)} \ge 1$, then*

$$\int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|w(t,x)|^6}{|x|}dxdt \lesssim N^{3(1-s_0)}(\|w\|_{X_N(I)} + \delta_0\|w\|_{X_N(I)}^5).$$

Proof. Let

$$M(t) = \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla w(t,x) \overline{w}(t,x) dx.$$

By integration-by-parts, we have

$$M'(t) = \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot (\nabla w_t \overline{w} + \nabla w \overline{w_t}) dx$$

$$= (-1)\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\frac{2}{|x|} w_t \overline{w} + \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla \overline{w} w_t) dx + \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla w \overline{w_t} dx$$

$$= 2\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla w + \frac{1}{|x|} w) \overline{w_t} dx.$$

By the equation (4.2)

$$\overline{w_t} = -i\Delta \overline{w} + i|u|^4 \overline{u}$$

$$= -i\Delta \overline{w} + i|w|^4 \overline{w} + i|u|^4 \overline{u} - i|w|^4 \overline{w}.$$

From the above two equalities, we have

$$M'(t) = 2\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla w + \frac{1}{|x|} w)(-i\Delta \overline{w}) dx$$

$$+ 2\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla w + \frac{1}{|x|} w)(i|w|^4 \overline{w}) dx$$

$$+ 2\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla w + \frac{1}{|x|} w)(i|u|^4 \overline{u} - i|w|^4 \overline{w}) dx$$

$$:= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \tag{6.4}$$

For I_1, by integration-by-parts, we have

$$I_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|x|} \left(|\nabla w|^2 - \left| \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla w \right|^2 \right) dx + 2\pi |w(t,0)|^2 \geq 0.$$

For I_2, by integration-by-parts again, we have

$$I_2 = \frac{2}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|w(t,x)|^6}{|x|} dx.$$

For I_3, by Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$|I_3| \lesssim \left| \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla w + \frac{1}{|x|} w)(i|u|^4 \overline{u} - i|w|^4 \overline{w}) dx \right|$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla w\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \||u|^4 u - |w|^4 w\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Hence, by the above three estimates and integrating in time in (6.4), we can obtain

$$\int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|w(t,x)|^6}{|x|} dx dt \lesssim \sup_{t \in I} M(t) + \|\nabla w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \||u|^4 u - |w|^4 w\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{6.5}$$

For the first term, by (6.1), (6.3) and Hölder's inequality

$$\sup_{t \in I} M(t) \lesssim \|w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|w\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{3(1-s_0)} \|w\|_{X_N(I)}. \tag{6.6}$$

Next, for the term $\big\||u|^4 u - |w|^4 w\big\|_{L^1_t L^2_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}$, noting that $u = w + v$, by Hölder's inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big\||u|^4 u - |w|^4 w\big\|_{L^1_t L^2_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim \big\||u + w|^4 |u - w|\big\|_{L^1_t L^2_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim \big\|(|w|^4 + |v|^4)|v|\big\|_{L^1_t L^2_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|^5_{L^5_t L^{10}_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v\|_{L^2_t L^\infty_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}\|w\|^4_{L^8_{t,x}(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the estimates about v, by Lemma 5.7, interpolation inequality and (4.1), we have

$$
\|v\|_{L^2_t L^\infty_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{-s_0+}\delta_0,
$$

and

$$
\|v\|_{L^5_t L^{10}_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|v\|^{\frac{2}{5}}_{L^2_t L^\infty_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}\|v\|^{\frac{3}{5}}_{L^\infty_t L^6_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{-s_0+\frac{1}{5}+}\delta_0.
$$

Further, by (6.1) and the above three estimates, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big\||u|^4 u - |w|^4 w\big\|_{L^1_t L^2_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim N^{-5s_0+1+}\delta_0^5 + N^{-s_0+}\delta_0 N^{\frac{9}{2}(1-s_0)}\|w\|^4_{X_N(I)} \\
&\lesssim N^{-\frac{11}{2}s_0+\frac{9}{2}+}\delta_0\|w\|^4_{X_N(I)} \\
&\lesssim \delta_0\|w\|^4_{X_N(I)}. \tag{6.7}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (6.1), (6.5), (6.6) and (6.7), we have

$$
\int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|w(t,x)|^6}{|x|}\,dx\,dt \lesssim N^{3(1-s_0)}(\|w\|_{X_N(I)} + \delta_0\|w\|^5_{X_N(I)}).
$$

This finishes the proof. $\qquad\square$

From the above lemma we have the following result.

Corollary 6.3. *Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 6.2, then*

$$
\|w\|_{L^8_{t,x}(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{\frac{9}{8}(1-s_0)}\big(\|w\|^{\frac{3}{8}}_{X_N(I)} + \delta_0^{\frac{1}{8}}\|w\|^{\frac{7}{8}}_{X_N(I)}\big).
$$

Proof. By Hölder's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w(t,x)|^8\,dx\,dt &= \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|w|^6}{|x|}|x||w|^2\,dx\,dt \\
&\lesssim \big\||x|^{\frac{1}{2}}w\big\|^2_{L^\infty_{t,x}(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|w(t,x)|^6}{|x|}\,dx\,dt.
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (6.1), we have

$$
\big\||x|^{\frac{1}{2}}w\big\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|w\|_{L^\infty_t \dot{H}^1_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{3(1-s_0)}\|w\|_{X_N(I)}.
$$

Hence, by Lemma 6.2 and the above estimates, we obtain

$$
\int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w(t,x)|^8\,dx\,dt \lesssim N^{9(1-s_0)}(\|w\|^3_{X_N(I)} + \delta_0\|w\|^7_{X_N(I)}),
$$

which gives the desired estimate. $\qquad\square$

6.2. Energy estimate.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\frac{5}{6} < s_0 < 1$ and $\|w\|_{X_N(I)} \geq 1$, then*

$$\|w\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{3(1-s_0)} \big(1 + \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_{X_N(I)}^{\frac{5}{2}} \big).$$

Proof. For simplicity, we denote $I = [0, T)$ and for any $t \in I$, let

$$\widetilde{E}(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w(t,x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t,x)|^6 dx.$$

Taking product with w_t on the equation (3.1) and integration-by-parts, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \widetilde{E}(t) = \mathrm{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^4 u \overline{v_t} dx.$$

Since v is a linear solution, we further obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \widetilde{E}(t) = \mathrm{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^4 u \Delta \bar{v} dx.$$

Integrating the above equality in time from t_0 to t, we get

$$\widetilde{E}(t) = \widetilde{E}(t_0) + \mathrm{Im} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^4 u \Delta \bar{v} dx dt', \tag{6.8}$$

where t_0 will be determined later.

For the first term $\widetilde{E}(t_0)$ in (6.8), by Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\widetilde{E}(t_0) \lesssim \|w(t_0)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|u(t_0)\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^6$$
$$\lesssim \|\nabla w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^6 + \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^6. \tag{6.9}$$

Now, we estimate the term $\|\nabla w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$. First of all, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 5.7, we have

$$\|\nabla e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla e^{it\Delta}(P_{\leq 1} f + P_{\geq 1} \chi_{\leq 1} f)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla e^{it\Delta}(P_{\geq 1} \chi_{\geq 1} f)_{out}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$\lesssim \|P_{\leq 1} f + P_{\geq 1} \chi_{\leq 1} f\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|P_{\geq 1} \chi_{\geq 1} f\|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$\lesssim \|\chi_{\leq 1} f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\chi_{\geq 1} f\|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Hence, given small constant $\widetilde{\delta}_0 > 0$, by choosing $t_0 = t_0(u_0, \|\chi_{\leq 1} f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\chi_{\geq 1} f\|_{H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}) > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\|\nabla e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \widetilde{\delta}_0.$$

Then using the standard fixed point argument, we can obtain

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \widetilde{\delta}_0. \tag{6.10}$$

By (4.1), (6.10), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 5.7, we have

$$\|\nabla w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|w_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla(|u|^4 u)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{6}{5}}([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$\lesssim N^{1-s_0} + \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^4$$
$$\lesssim N^{1-s_0} + \widetilde{\delta}_0 \big(\|\nabla w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^4 + \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^4 \big)$$
$$\lesssim N^{1-s_0} + \widetilde{\delta}_0 \|\nabla w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^4 + \widetilde{\delta}_0 N^{-4s_0 + \frac{4}{3}} + \delta_0^4$$
$$\lesssim N^{1-s_0} + \widetilde{\delta}_0 \|\nabla w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^4.$$

Further, by the bootstrap argument,

$$\|\nabla w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,t_0]\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{1-s_0}. \tag{6.11}$$

Hence, by (6.9), (6.11) and Lemma 5.7, we obtain

$$\widetilde{E}(t_0) \lesssim N^{2(1-s_0)} + N^{6(1-s_0)} + N^{-6s_0+2+}\delta_0^6$$
$$\lesssim N^{6(1-s_0)}. \tag{6.12}$$

Next, we consider the second term in (6.8), by integration-by-parts, we have

$$\text{Im}\int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^4 u\Delta\bar{v}dxdt' \lesssim \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^4|\nabla u||\nabla v|dxdt'$$
$$\lesssim \int_{t_0}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^4|\nabla w||\nabla v|dxdt' + \int_{t_0}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^4|\nabla v|^2dxdt'$$
$$:= I_1 + I_2. \tag{6.13}$$

We consider the term I_1 firstly. By (4.1), Lemma 5.7 and interpolation inequality, we have

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([t_0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{-s_0+\frac{1}{2}+}\delta_0, \tag{6.14}$$

and

$$\|v\|_{L_{t,x}^8([t_0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} \lesssim N^{-s_0+\frac{1}{4}+}\delta_0. \tag{6.15}$$

Further, by using (6.1), (6.14) and (6.15), we obtain

$$I_1 \lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([t_0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)}\|\nabla w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^4$$
$$\lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([t_0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)}\|\nabla w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}\big(\|w\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^4 + \|v\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^4\big)$$
$$\lesssim N^{-s_0+\frac{1}{2}+}\delta_0 \cdot N^{3(1-s_0)}\|w\|_{X_N(I)} \cdot \big(N^{\frac{9}{2}(1-s_0)}\|w\|_{X_N(I)}^4 + N^{-4s_0+1+}\delta_0^4\big)$$
$$\lesssim N^{-s_0+\frac{1}{2}+}\delta_0 \cdot N^{3(1-s_0)}\|w\|_{X_N(I)} \cdot N^{\frac{9}{2}(1-s_0)}\|w\|_{X_N(I)}^4$$
$$\lesssim N^{-\frac{17}{2}s_0+8+}\delta_0\|w\|_{X_N(I)}^5$$
$$\lesssim N^{6(1-s_0)}\delta_0\|w\|_{X_N(I)}^5. \tag{6.16}$$

Next, we consider the term I_2. By (1.2), (4.1), (6.14) and Lemma 5.7, we obtain

$$I_2 \lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([t_0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^2\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^3$$
$$\lesssim N^{-2s_0+1+}\delta_0^2 \cdot \big(N^{9(1-s_0)}\|w\|_{X_N(I)}^3 + N^{-3s_0+1+}\delta_0^3\big)$$
$$\lesssim N^{-11s_0+10+}\delta_0^2\|w\|_{X_N(I)}^3$$
$$\lesssim N^{6(1-s_0)}\delta_0^2\|w\|_{X_N(I)}^3. \tag{6.17}$$

Hence, combining (6.8), (6.12), (6.13), (6.16) with (6.17), we can obtain

$$\sup_{t\in I}\widetilde{E}(t) \lesssim N^{6(1-s_0)}\big(1 + \delta_0\|w\|_{X_N(I)}^5 + \delta_0^2\|w\|_{X_N(I)}^3\big)$$
$$\lesssim N^{6(1-s_0)}\big(1 + \delta_0\|w\|_{X_N(I)}^5\big).$$

Further, from the definition of $\widetilde{E}(t)$, we have

$$\|w\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \big(\sup_{t \in I} \widetilde{E}(t)\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\lesssim N^{3(1-s_0)} \big(1 + \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_{X_N(I)}^{\frac{5}{2}}\big).$$

This completes the proof of this lemma. $\qquad\square$

Now, we aim to prove Proposition 6.1, which shows the assumption (H2) is valid.

Proof of Proposition 6.1. First, we show that for any I such that $0 \in I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\|w\|_{X_N(I)} \lesssim 1. \tag{6.18}$$

Indeed, if $\|w\|_{X_N(I)} \leq 1$, then (6.18) already holds. Therefore, we can assume $\|w\|_{X_N(I)} \geq 1$. Using Corollary 6.3, Lemma 6.4, and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\|w\|_{X_N(I)} = N^{-3(1-s_0)} \|w\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + N^{-\frac{9}{8}(1-s_0)} \|w\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$$
$$\leq C\big(1 + \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_{X_N(I)}^{\frac{5}{2}} + \|w\|_{X_N(I)}^{\frac{3}{8}} + \delta_0^{\frac{1}{8}} \|w\|_{X_N(I)}^{\frac{7}{8}}\big)$$
$$\leq C + C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_{X_N(I)}^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{1}{4} \|w\|_{X_N(I)} + \delta_0^{\frac{1}{7}} \|w\|_{X_N(I)}$$
$$\leq C + C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_{X_N(I)}^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_{X_N(I)}.$$

Then, we get

$$\|w\|_{X_N(I)} \lesssim 1 + \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_{X_N(I)}^{\frac{5}{2}}.$$

By the usual bootstrap argument, we obtain (6.18). Hence, we finish the proof of Proposition 6.1. $\qquad\square$

REFERENCES

[1] M. Beceanu, Q. Deng, A. Soffer and Y. Wu, Large global solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations I, mass-subcritical cases, Adv. Math., 391 (2021), Paper No. 107973.

[2] M. Beceanu, Q. Deng, A. Soffer and Y. Wu, Large global solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations II, mass-supercritical, energy-subcritical cases, Commu. Math. Phy., 382 (1), 2021, 173-237.

[3] M. Beceanu, Q. Deng, A. Soffer and Y. Wu, Large global solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations III, energy-supercritical cases, arXiv:1901.07709.

[4] J. Bourgain, Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation and invariant measures, Comm. Math. Phys., 166 (1), 1994, 1-26.

[5] J. Bourgain, Invariant measures for the 2D-defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Comm. Math. Phys., 176 (2), 1996 , 421-445.

[6] J. Bourgain, Global wellposedness of defocusing critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the radial case, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 12 (1), 1999, 145-171.

[7] N. Burq and N. Tzvetkov, Random data Cauchy theory for supercritical wave equations. I. Local theory, Invent. Math., 173 (3), 2008, 449-475.

[8] N. Burq and N. Tzvetkov, Random data Cauchy theory for supercritical wave equations. II. A global existence result, Invent. Math., 173 (3), 2008, 477-496.

[9] Á. Bényi, T. Oh and O. Pocovnicu, On the probabilistic Cauchy theory of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 2 (2015), 1-50.

[10] T. Cazenave, Semilinear Schrödinger Equations, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 10, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[11] T. Cazenave and F. Weissler, The Cauchy problem for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in H^s, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications, 14(10), 1990, 807-836.

[12] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka and T. Tao, Global well-posedness and scattering for the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Ann. of Math. (2), 167(3), 2008, 767-865.

[13] J. Colliander and T. Oh, Almost sure well-posedness of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation below $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, Duke Math. J., 161 (3), 2012, 367-414.

[14] B. Dodson, Global well-posedness and scattering for the focusing, cubic Schrödinger equation in dimension $d = 4$, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér (4), 52 (1), 2019, 139-180.

[15] B. Dodson, Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, L^2-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $d \geq 3$, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 25 (2), 2012, 429-463.

[16] B. Dodson, Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, L^2 critical, nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $d = 1$, Amer. J. Math., 138 (2), 2016, 531-569.

[17] B. Dodson, Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, L^2-critical, nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $d = 2$, Duke Math. J., 165 (18), 2016, 3435-3516.

[18] B. Dodson, C. Miao, J. Murphy and J. Zheng, The defocusing quintic NLS in four space dimensions, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 34 (3), 2017, 759-787.

[19] B. Dodson, J. Lührmann and D. Mendelson, Almost sure local well-posedness and scattering for the 4D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Adv. Math., 347 (2019), 619-676.

[20] M. Grillakis, On nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 25 (9-10), 2000, 1827-1844.

[21] C. Kenig and F. Merle, Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical, focusing, non-linear Schrödinger equation in the radial case, Invent. Math., 166 (3), 2006, 645-675.

[22] C. Kenig and F. Merle, Scattering for $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ bounded solutions to the cubic, defocusing NLS in 3 dimensions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 362 (4), 2010, 1937-1962.

[23] R. Killip and M. Visan, The focusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in dimensions five and higher, Amer. J. Math., 132 (2), 2010, 361-424.

[24] R. Killip, J. Murphy and M. Visan, Almost sure scattering for the energy-critical NLS with radial data below $H^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 44 (1), 2019, 51-71.

[25] J. Lin and W. Strauss, Decay and scattering of solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Functional Analysis, 30 (2), 1978, 245-263.

[26] C. Miao, J. Murphy and J. Zheng, The defocusing energy-supercritical NLS in four space dimensions, J. Funct. Anal., 267 (6), 2014, 1662-1724.

[27] E. Ryckman and M. Visan, Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^{1+4}$, Amer. J. Math., 129 (1), 2007, 1-60.

[28] J. Shen, A. Soffer and Y. Wu, Local and global solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in Fourier-Lebesgue spaces, in preparation.

[29] J. Shen, A. Soffer and Y. Wu, The 3D defocusing energy critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in Fourier-Lebesgue spaces, in preparation.

[30] T. Tao, Global well-posedness and scattering for the higher-dimensional energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation for radial data, New York J. Math., 11 (2005), 57-80.

[31] T. Tao, M. Visan and X. Zhang, The nonlinear Schrödinger equation with combined power-type non-linearities, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 32 (7-9), 2007, 1281-1343.

[32] T. Tao, M. Visan and X. Zhang, Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing mass-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation for radial data in high dimensions, Duke Math. J., 140 (1), 2007, 165-202.

[33] M. Visan, The defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in higher dimensions, Duke Math. J., 138 (2), 2007, 281-374.

Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

Email address: baimaths@hotmail.com

Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

Email address: shenjia@tju.edu.cn

Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

Email address: yerfmath@gmail.com