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#### Abstract

In this paper, we seek analytically checkable necessary and sufficient condition for copositivity of a three-dimensional symmetric tensor. We first show that for a general third order three-dimensional symmetric tensor, this means to solve a quartic equation and some quadratic equations. All of them can be solved analytically. Thus, we present an analytical way to check copositivity of a third order three dimensional symmetric tensor. Then, we consider a model of vacuum stability for $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ scalar dark matter. This is a special fourth order threedimensional symmetric tensor. We show that an analytically expressed necessary and sufficient condition for this model bounded from below can be given, by using a result given by Ulrich and Watson in 1994.
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## 1 Introduction

Checking that a scalar potential is bounded from below ( BFB ) is an ubiquitous and difficult task in particle physics. For this task, copositivity of symmetric tensors plays

[^0]an important role [5, 7, 8, 9]. Copositive tensors were introduced in 2013 [13], and studied in [16]. Motivated by the BFB study in physics [5, 7, 8, 9], and the tensor complementarity problem study in optimization [14, 15], various testing methods for detecting if a symmetric tensor is copositive or not appeared [1, 2, 3, 10, 12]. Recently, some analytical expressable sufficient conditions for copositivity of third order and fourth order three-dimensional symmetric tensors also appeared [11, 17]. However, it is still very difficult to find analytically expressable necessary and sufficient conditions for copositivity of third order and fourth order three-dimensional symmetric tensors, while such conditions are very useful in particle physics $[5,7,8,9]$.

In this paper, we seek analytically checkable necessary and sufficient condition for copositivity of an three-dimensional symmetric tensor for two problems. The first problem is to check copositivity for a general third order three-dimensional symmetric tensor. The second problem is a model of vacuum stability for $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ scalar dark matter, studied in [8]. This is to check copositivity of a special fourth order three-dimensional symmetric tensor. A theorem of Ulrich and Watson [18] is used for solve the second problem.

In the next section, preliminary knowledge on copositive tensors is presented. A theorem of Ulrich and Watson [18] in 1994 is also stated there. In Section 3, we present a necessary and sufficient condition for copositivity of an $m$ th order three-dimensional symmetric tensor. To check this condition, a one variable polynomial equation of degree $(m-1)^{2}$, and some one variable polynomial equations of degree $m-1$, need to be solved. In Section 4, we present a necessary and sufficient condition for copositivity of a third order three-dimensional symmetric tensor. By using a theorem given in [11], this result is simpler than the general case. The works need to be done is to solve a quartic equation and at most four quadratic equations. We may solve them analytically. We then present an analytically expressed necessary and sufficient condition for the vacuum stability model for $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ scalar dark matter in Section 5 .

## 2 Preliminaries

We denote the set of all $m$ th order $n$-dimensional real symmetric tensors by $S_{m, n}$, where $m$ and $n$ are positive integers, $m, n \geq 2$. For $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in S_{m, n}$, we have $i_{1}, \cdots, i_{m}=1, \cdots, n$ and $a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}$ is invariant under any index permutation. For $\mathbf{x}=$ $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)^{\top} \in \Re^{n}$,

$$
\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m}:=\sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{m}=1}^{n} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}
$$

We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is copositive if for any $\mathrm{x} \in \Re_{+}^{n}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{A} \mathrm{x}^{m} \geq 0
$$

We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is strictly copositive if for any $\mathbf{x} \in \Re_{+}^{n}, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{A} \mathrm{x}^{m}>0 .
$$

We have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{1}{m} \mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m}\right)=\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}=\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1}^{n} a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}
$$

Liu and Song [11] proved the following theorem. We will use this theorem in Section 4.

Theorem 2.1 ([11, Theorem 3.1]) Suppose that $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{i j k}\right) \in S_{3,2}$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is copositive if and only if $b_{111} \geq 0, b_{222} \geq 0$, and either
(a) $b_{112} \geq 0, b_{122} \geq 0$; or
(b) $\max \left\{b_{111}, b_{222}\right\}>0$,

$$
b_{111} b_{122}^{3}+4 b_{112}^{3} b_{222}+b_{111}^{2} b_{222}^{2}-6 b_{111} b_{112} b_{122} b_{222}-3 b_{112}^{2} b_{122}^{2} \geq 0
$$

For a quartic polynomial $g(t)$ with real coefficients,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=a t^{4}+b t^{3}+c t^{2}+d t+e \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ulrich and Watson [18] proved the following theorem. We will use this theorem in Section 5.

Theorem 2.2 ([18, Theorem 2]) Let $g(t)$ be a quartic and univariate polynomial defined by (2.1) with $a>0$ and $e>0$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =b a^{-\frac{3}{4}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \beta=c a^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \gamma=d a^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{4}} \\
\Delta & =4\left(\beta^{2}-3 \alpha \gamma+12\right)^{3}-\left(72 \beta+9 \alpha \beta \gamma-2 \beta^{3}-27 \alpha^{2}-27 \gamma^{2}\right)^{2} \\
\mu & =(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}-16(\alpha+\beta+\gamma+2) \\
\eta & =(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}-\frac{4(\beta+2)}{\sqrt{\beta-2}}(\alpha+\gamma+4 \sqrt{\beta-2})
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (i) $g(t) \geq 0$ for all $t>0$ if and only if
(1) $\beta<-2$ and $\Delta \leq 0$ and $\alpha+\gamma>0$;

$$
-2 \leq \beta \leq 6 \text { and }\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\Delta \leq 0 & \text { and } \alpha+\gamma>0  \tag{2}\\
\Delta \geq 0 & \text { or } \\
\Delta \text { and } \mu \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

(3) $\beta>6$ and $\begin{cases}\Delta \leq 0 & \text { and } \alpha+\gamma>0 \\ \alpha>0 & \text { and } \gamma>0 \\ & \text { or } \\ \Delta \geq 0 & \text { and } \eta \leq 0 .\end{cases}$
(ii) $g(t) \geq 0$ for all $t>0$ if
(1) $\alpha>-\frac{\beta+2}{2}$ and $\gamma>-\frac{\beta+2}{2}$ for $\beta \leq 6$;
(2)

$$
\alpha>-2 \sqrt{\beta-2} \text { and } \gamma>-2 \sqrt{\beta-2} \text { for } \beta>6
$$

## 3 A Necessary and Sufficient Condition

Let $A=(1,0,0), B=(0,1,0)$ and $C=(0,0,1)$. Denote the triangle $\triangle A B C$ by $S \equiv \triangle A B C=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \Re^{3}: x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=1, x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0, x_{3} \geq 0\right\}$. The three edges of $S$ are $A B, B C$ and $C A$.

For a three-dimensional symmetric tensor $\mathcal{A}$, we have the following necessary and sufficient condition for its copositivity.

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in S_{m, 3}$, where the integer $m \geq 2$. Denote

$$
\psi_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1}^{3} a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}},
$$

for $i=1,2,3$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is copositive if and only if the following five conditions are satisfied:
(1) $a_{1 \cdots 1} \geq 0, a_{2 \cdots 2} \geq 0$, and $a_{3 \cdots 3} \geq 0$;
(2) There are no $x_{1}>0$ and $x_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1,2} a_{1 i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}=\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1,2} a_{2 i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}<0, x_{1}+x_{2}=1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) There are no $x_{1}>0$ and $x_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1,3} a_{1 i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}=\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1,3} a_{3 i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}<0, x_{1}+x_{3}=1 ; \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) There are no $x_{2}>0$ and $x_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=2,3} a_{2 i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}=\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=2,3} a_{3 i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}<0, x_{2}+x_{3}=1 ; \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5) There are no $y_{1}>0$ and $y_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right)=\psi_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right)=\psi_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right)<0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the three " $\geq$ " inequalities in (1) are changed to the " $>$ " inequalities, and the four " $<$ " inequalities in (3.2-3.5) are changed to the " $\leq$ " inequalities, then we have a necessary and sufficient condition for strict copositivity.

Proof Clearly, $\mathcal{A}$ is copositive if and only if for all $\mathbf{y} \in S, \mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m} \geq 0$, i.e.,
(a) $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m} \geq 0$ if $\mathbf{y}$ is one of the vertices $A, B$ and $C$;
(b) $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m} \geq 0$ if $\mathbf{y}$ is in the relative interior of the edge $A B$;
(c) $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m} \geq 0$ if $\mathbf{y}$ is in the relative interior of the edge $C A$;
(d) $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m} \geq 0$ if $\mathbf{y}$ is in the relative interior of the edge $B C$;
(e) $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m} \geq 0$ if $\mathbf{y}$ is in the relative interior of $S$.

Clearly, condition (a) is equivalent to condition (1).
Condition (b) does not hold if and only if there is a global minimizer $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ of the following minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{m}=1}^{2} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}} y_{i_{1}} \cdots y_{i_{m}}: y_{1}+y_{2}=1, y_{1} \geq 0, y_{2} \geq 0\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $x_{1}>0, x_{2}>0$ and the global minimum of (3.6) at $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is negative. By the optimality conditions of (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1,2} a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}-\lambda & =\mu_{i}, \text { for } i=1,2, \\
x_{1}+x_{2} & =1 \\
x_{1} \geq 0, & x_{2} \geq 0 \\
\mu_{1} \geq 0, & \mu_{2} \geq 0 \\
x_{i} \mu_{i} & =0, \text { for } i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda, \mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are Langrangian multipliers. Since $x_{1}>0$ and $x_{2}>0$, we have $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1,2} a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}} & =\lambda, \text { for } i=1,2, \\
x_{1}+x_{2} & =1 \\
x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0, & x_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i} \sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1,2} a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}<0 .
$$

This shows that conditions (b) and (2) are equivalent. Similarly, conditions (c) and (3) are equivalent; conditions (d) and (4) are equivalent.

Condition (e) does not hold if and only if there is a minimizer $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ of the following minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{\frac{1}{m} \mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m}: y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}=1, y_{1} \geq 0, y_{2} \geq 0, y_{3} \geq 0\right\} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x_{1}>0, x_{2}>0$ and $x_{3}>0$, such that the minimum value is negative. By the optimality conditions of (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)-\lambda & =\mu_{i}, \text { for } i=1,2,3, \\
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} & =1, \\
x_{i} & \geq 0, \text { for } i=1,2,3, \\
\mu_{i} & \geq 0, \text { for } i=1,2,3, \\
x_{i} \mu_{i} & =0, \text { for } i=1,2,3,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{3}$ are Langrangian multipliers. Since $x_{1}>0, x_{2}>0$ and $x_{3}>0$, we have $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=0$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) & =\lambda, \text { for } i=1,2,3, \\
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} & =1, \\
x_{i} & >0, \text { for } i=1,2,3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i} \psi_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m}<0 .
$$

Let $x_{1}=y_{1} x_{3}$ and $x_{2}=y_{2} x_{3}$. We see that conditions (e) and (5) are equivalent.
The extension to strict copositivity is clear.
Condition (1) is very easy to check.
Consider condition (2). Substitute $x_{2}=1-x_{1}$ to (3.2). Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1,2} a_{1 i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}, \\
& \phi_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1,2} a_{2 i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\phi\left(x_{1}\right)=\phi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)-\phi_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) .
$$

Then, checking if condition (2) holds is equivalent to solve the one-dimensional polynomial equation

$$
\phi\left(x_{1}\right)=0
$$

where $\phi$ is a polynomial of $x_{1}$, with degree $m-1$, to confirm that $\phi$ has no root $x_{1}$ such that $0<x_{1}<1$ and $\phi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)<0$.

Conditions (3) and (4) can be checked similarly.
We now study the procedure to check condition (5) of Theorem 3.1.
Let

$$
\psi_{4}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\psi_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right)-\psi_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right), \psi_{5}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\psi_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right)-\psi_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right)
$$

Then, checking if condition (5) holds is equivalent to solve the system of polynomial equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{4}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=0, \psi_{5}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{4}$ and $\psi_{5}$ are polynomials of $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$, with degree $m-1$, to confirm that (3.8) has no solution $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ such that $y_{1}>0, y_{2}>0$ and $\psi_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right)<0$.

To solve the system (3.8), we may first regard it as a system of polynomial equations of $y_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \eta_{i} y_{2}^{m-i-1}=0, \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \tau_{i} y_{2}^{m-i-1}=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{i}$ and $\tau_{i}$ are polynomials of $y_{1}$ with degree $i$, and can be calculated by (3.8), for $i=0, \cdots m-1$. By the Sylvester theorem, system (3.9) has a solution if and only if its resultant vanishes [6]. The resultant of $(3.9)$ is a $2(m-1) \times 2(m-1)$ determinant

$$
G\left(y_{1}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\eta_{0} & \eta_{1} & \cdots & \eta_{m-2} & \eta_{m-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \eta_{0} & \cdots & \eta_{m-3} & \eta_{m-2} & \eta_{m-1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \eta_{0} & \eta_{1} & \eta_{2} & \cdots & \eta_{m-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \eta_{0} & \eta_{1} & \cdots & \eta_{m-2} & \eta_{m-1} \\
\tau_{0} & \tau_{1} & \cdots & \tau_{m-2} & \tau_{m-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \tau_{0} & \cdots & \tau_{m-3} & \tau_{m-2} & \tau_{m-1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \tau_{0} & \tau_{1} & \tau_{2} & \cdots & \tau_{m-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \tau_{0} & \tau_{1} & \cdots & \tau_{m-2} & \tau_{m-1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

which is a polynomial of $y_{1}$ with degree $(m-1)^{2}$. Find all of its roots satisfying $y_{1}>0$. Substitute such roots to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \eta_{i} y_{2}^{m-i}=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each root $\alpha$, we have a polynomial equation of $y_{2}$ with degree $m-1$. Find all of its positive solutions $\beta>0$, where $\alpha$ is the corresponding root of $G$. For all such solution pairs $(\alpha, \beta)$, check if $\psi_{1}(\alpha, \beta, 1)=\psi_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right)=\psi_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right)<0$ or not. If there is such a solution, then condition (5) of Theorem 3.1 is violated. Otherwise, condition (5) of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied.

Hence, for checking condition (5) of Theorem 3.1, we need to solve a polynomial equation of degree $(m-1)^{2}$ and at most $(m-1)^{2}$ polynomial equation of degree $m-1$. Totally, for checking conditions of Theorem 3.1, we need to solve a polynomial equation of degree $(m-1)^{2}$, and at most $(m-1)^{2}+3$ polynomial equations of degree $m-1$.

In particular, for checking copositivity of a third order three-dimensional symmetric tensor, we only need to solve a quartic equation and at most seven quadratic equations. These can be done analytically. We will study this in the next section.

## 4 Third Order Three-Dimensional Symmetric Tensors

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i j k}\right) \in S_{3,3}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ has ten independent entries $a_{111}, a_{222}, a_{333}$, $a_{112}=a_{121}=a_{211}, a_{122}=a_{212}=a_{221}, a_{113}=a_{131}=a_{311}, a_{133}=a_{313}=a_{331}$, $a_{223}=a_{232}=a_{322}, a_{233}=a_{323}=a_{332}$, and $a_{123}=a_{231}=a_{312}=a_{213}=a_{132}=a_{321}$.

By using Theorem 2.1, the following theorem is simpler than Theorem 3.1 with $m=3$.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose that $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i j k}\right) \in S_{3,3}$. Denote

$$
\psi_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\sum_{j, k=1}^{3} a_{i j k} x_{j} x_{k}
$$

for $i=1,2,3$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is copositive if and only if the following five conditions are satisfied:
(1) $a_{111} \geq 0, a_{222} \geq 0, a_{333} \geq 0$;
(2) either $a_{112} \geq 0$ and $a_{122} \geq 0$, or $\max \left\{a_{111}, a_{222}\right\}>0$ and

$$
a_{111} a_{122}^{3}+4 a_{112}^{3} a_{222}+a_{111}^{2} a_{222}^{2}-6 a_{111} a_{112} a_{122} a_{222}-3 a_{112}^{2} a_{122}^{2} \geq 0
$$

(3) either $a_{113} \geq 0$ and $a_{133} \geq 0$, or $\max \left\{a_{111}, a_{333}\right\}>0$ and

$$
a_{111} a_{133}^{3}+4 a_{113}^{3} a_{333}+a_{111}^{2} a_{333}^{2}-6 a_{111} a_{113} a_{133} a_{333}-3 a_{113}^{2} a_{133}^{2} \geq 0
$$

(4) either $a_{223} \geq 0$ and $a_{233} \geq 0$, or $\max \left\{a_{222}, a_{333}\right\}>0$ and

$$
a_{222} a_{233}^{3}+4 a_{223}^{3} a_{333}+a_{222}^{2} a_{333}^{2}-6 a_{222} a_{223} a_{233} a_{333}-3 a_{223}^{2} a_{233}^{2} \geq 0
$$

(5) There are no $y_{1}>0$ and $y_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right)=\psi_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right)=\psi_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right)<0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Conditions (1) and (5) are from Theorem 3.1. By applying Theorem 2.1 to the three edges of $\triangle A B C$, we have conditions (2), (3) and (4).

Not only condition (1), but also conditions (2), (3) and (4) are explicitly given. Thus, Theorem 4.1 is simpler than Theorem 3.1 with $m=3$.

As to condition (5) of Theorem 4.1, for $i=1,2,3$, we have

$$
\psi_{i}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 1\right)=a_{i 11} y_{1}^{2}+a_{i 22} y_{2}^{2}+2 a_{i 12} y_{1} y_{2}+2 a_{i 13} y_{1}+2 a_{i 23} y_{2}+a_{i 33}
$$

Then,

$$
\psi_{4}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\eta_{0} y_{2}^{2}+\eta_{1} y_{2}+\eta_{2}, \psi_{5}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\tau_{0} y_{2}^{2}+\tau_{1} y_{2}+\tau_{2}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta_{0}=a_{122}-a_{222}, \\
\eta_{1}=2\left[\left(a_{112}-a_{122}\right) y_{1}+a_{123}-a_{223}\right], \\
\eta_{2}=\left(a_{111}-a_{112}\right) y_{1}^{2}+2\left(a_{113}-a_{123}\right) y_{1}+a_{133}-a_{233}, \\
\tau_{0}=a_{122}-a_{223}, \\
\tau_{1}=2\left[\left(a_{112}-a_{123}\right) y_{1}+a_{123}-a_{233}\right], \\
\tau_{2}=\left(a_{111}-a_{113}\right) y_{1}^{2}+2\left(a_{112}-a_{123}\right) y_{1}+a_{133}-a_{333} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G\left(y_{1}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\eta_{0} & \eta_{1} & \eta_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \eta_{0} & \eta_{1} & \eta_{2} \\
\tau_{0} & \tau_{1} & \tau_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \tau_{0} & \tau_{1} & \tau_{2}
\end{array}\right|=\eta_{0}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\eta_{0} & \eta_{1} & \eta_{2} \\
\tau_{1} & \tau_{2} & 0 \\
\tau_{0} & \tau_{1} & \tau_{2}
\end{array}\right|+\tau_{0}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\eta_{1} & \eta_{2} & 0 \\
\eta_{0} & \eta_{1} & \eta_{2} \\
\tau_{0} & \tau_{1} & \tau_{2}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\eta_{0}^{2} \tau_{2}^{2}+\eta_{0} \eta_{2} \tau_{1}^{2}-2 \eta_{0} \eta_{2} \tau_{0} \tau_{2}-\eta_{0} \eta_{1} \tau_{1} \tau_{2}+\eta_{1}^{2} \tau_{0} \tau_{2}+\eta_{2}^{2} \tau_{0}^{2}-\eta_{1} \eta_{2} \tau_{0} \tau_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $G\left(y_{1}\right)=0$ is a quartic equation of $y_{1}$. We may write

$$
G\left(y_{1}\right)=a y_{1}^{4}+b y_{1}^{3}+c y_{1}^{2}+d y_{1}+e .
$$

If $a=b=0$, then $G\left(y_{1}\right)=0$ is a quadratic equation, or a linear equation, or a constant equation. It is easy to find its real roots.

If $a=0$ but $b \neq 0$, then $G\left(y_{1}\right)=0$ is a cubic equation. Let $y_{1}=z-\frac{c}{3 b}$. Then we may convert $G\left(y_{1}\right)=0$ to its depressed form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{3}+p z+q=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The discriminant of (4.12) is

$$
\Delta=-4 p^{3}-27 q^{2}
$$

By Cardano's formula, (4.12) always has one real root:

$$
\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{q^{2}}{4}+\frac{p^{3}}{27}}}+\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{q^{2}}{4}+\frac{p^{3}}{27}}}
$$

If $\Delta \geq 0$, then (4.12) has two more real roots (maybe multiple):

$$
\xi \sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{q^{2}}{4}+\frac{p^{3}}{27}}}+\xi^{2} \sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{q^{2}}{4}+\frac{p^{3}}{27}}}
$$

and

$$
\xi^{2} \sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{q^{2}}{4}+\frac{p^{3}}{27}}}+\xi \sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{q^{2}}{4}+\frac{p^{3}}{27}}}
$$

where

$$
\xi=\frac{-1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}, \xi^{2}=\frac{-1-\sqrt{-3}}{2} .
$$

From these, we have the roots of $G\left(y_{1}\right)=0$ by $y_{1}=z-\frac{c}{3 b}$.
If $a \neq 0$, then by letting $y_{1}=\sqrt[4]{a}\left(z-\frac{b}{4 a}\right)$, we convert $G\left(y_{1}\right)=0$ to its depressed form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{4}+p z^{2}+q y+r=0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $p$ and $q$ are different from $p$ and $q$ used before. If $p=q=r=0$, (4.13) is trivial to solve. Assume that it is not in this case. Then we may use Descartes' method in 1637 to factor $z^{4}+p z^{2}+q z+r$ [4]. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{4}+p z^{2}+q z+r=\left(z^{2}-u z+t\right)\left(z^{2}+u z+v\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $U=u^{2}$. Then we have the resolvent cubic of (4.13):

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{3}+2 p U^{2}+\left(p^{2}-4 r\right) U-q^{2} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Cardano's formula described above, we may find the roots of the resolvent cubic. Because of our assumption, at least one root is nonzero. Taking square root of such a nonzero root of the resolvent cubic, we find the solution $u$ in (4.14). Then we have

$$
t=\frac{1}{2}\left(p+u^{2}+\frac{q}{u}\right), v=\frac{1}{2}\left(p+u^{2}-\frac{q}{u}\right) .
$$

With such a factorization (4.14) and $y_{1}=\sqrt[4]{a}\left(z-\frac{b}{4 a}\right)$, we find four roots of $G\left(y_{1}\right)=0$.
For any real positive root $y_{1}=\alpha$ of $G\left(y_{1}\right)=0$, substitute it to $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$. Then solve $\psi_{4}\left(\alpha, y_{2}\right)=0$ to find its root. If $\psi_{4}\left(\alpha, y_{2}\right)=0$ has a real positive solution $\beta$, then check if $\psi_{1}(\alpha, \beta, 1)=\psi_{2}(\alpha, \beta, 1)=\psi(\alpha, \beta, 1)<0$ or not. If so, then condition (5) of Theorem 4.1 is violated. If no such pair $(\alpha, \beta)$ exists, then condition (5) of Theorem 4.1 is satisfied.

In this way, we have an analytical way to check if $\mathcal{A}$ is copositive or not.

## 5 Vacuum Stability for $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ Scalar Dark Matter

Let $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{i j k l}\right) \in S_{4,3}$ be a general fourth order three-dimensional symmetric tensor. Then $\mathcal{B}$ has fifteen independent entries $b_{1111}, b_{2222}, b_{3333}, b_{1112}, b_{1113}, b_{1222}, b_{2223}, b_{1333}$, $b_{2333}, b_{1122}, b_{1133}, b_{2233}, b_{1123}, b_{1223}$ and $b_{1233}$.

According to [8], the most general scalar potential of the Standard Model Higgs $H_{1}$, an inert doublet $H_{2}$ and a complex $S$ which is symmetric under a $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ group can be expressed as a quartic form
$f\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, s\right)=\lambda_{1} h_{1}^{4}+\lambda_{2} h_{2}^{4}+\lambda_{3} h_{1}^{2} h_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{4} \rho^{2} h_{1}^{2} h_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{S} s^{4}+\lambda_{S 1} s^{2} h_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{S 2} s^{2} h_{2}^{2}-\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho s^{2} h_{1} h_{2}$,
where $h_{1}, h_{2}$ and $s$ are physical quantities related with $H_{1}, H_{2}$ and $S, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \lambda_{S}, \lambda_{S 1}, \lambda_{S 2}, \lambda_{S 12}$ and $\rho$ are physical parameters, $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$. See (89) of [8] for their meanings.

Let $x_{1}=h_{1}, x_{2}=h_{2}, x_{3}=s, b_{1111}=\lambda_{1}, b_{2222}=\lambda_{2}, b_{3333}=\lambda_{S}, b_{1112}=b_{1113}=$ $b_{1222}=b_{2223}=b_{1333}=b_{2333}=0, b_{1122}=\frac{\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4} \rho^{2}}{6}, b_{1133}=\frac{\lambda_{S 1}}{6}, b_{2233}=\frac{\lambda_{S 2}}{6}, b_{1233}=$ $-\frac{\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho}{12}, b_{1123}=b_{1223}=0$. We have

$$
f\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, s\right) \equiv \mathcal{B} x^{4}=\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{3} b_{i j k l} x_{i} x_{j} x_{k} x_{l}
$$

Then $\mathcal{B} \in S_{4,3}$ is a sparse fourth order three-dimensional symmetric tensor. Forty eight of the eighty one entries of $\mathcal{A}$ are zero, or equivalently to say, eight of the fifteen independent entries of $\mathcal{B}$ are zero. In [8], a sufficient condition is presented.

As such a sparse fourth order three-dimensional symmetric tensor is special, its copositivity conditions are simpler than the conditions of Theorem 3.1.

In particular, in (5.16), the powers of $x_{3}=s$ only appear as $s^{2}$ and $s^{4}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, s\right)=\lambda_{4} s^{4}+\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) s^{2}+\beta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\lambda_{S 1} x_{1}^{2}-\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho x_{1} x_{2}+\lambda_{S 2} x_{2}^{2} \\
\beta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\lambda_{1} x_{1}^{4}+\left(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4} \rho^{2}\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{2} x_{2}^{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 5.1 Let $f$ be defined as above. Then $f\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, s\right) \geq 0$ for all $h_{1} \geq 0, h_{2} \geq$ $0, s \geq 0$ if and only if the following two conditions hold.
(1) $\lambda_{S} \geq 0, \lambda_{1} \geq 0, \lambda_{2} \geq 0, \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4} \rho^{2} \geq-2 \sqrt{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}, \lambda_{S 2} \geq-2 \sqrt{\lambda_{S} \lambda_{2}}$;
(2) $\alpha(1, t) \geq-2 \sqrt{\lambda_{S} \beta(1, t)}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof In (5.17), regard $f$ as a quartic polynomial of $s$, which has only the terms of $s^{2}$ and $s^{4}$. Then $f\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, s\right) \geq 0$ for all $h_{1} \geq 0, h_{2} \geq 0, s \geq 0$ if and only if the following two conditions hold.
(A) $\lambda_{S} \geq 0, \beta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \geq 0$ for all $x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0$;
(B) $\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \geq-2 \sqrt{\lambda_{S} \beta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}$ for all $x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0$.

We see that $\beta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \geq 0$ if and only if $\lambda_{1} \geq 0, \lambda_{2} \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4} \rho^{2} \geq-2 \sqrt{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}$.
Discuss condition (B) in two cases.
(B1) $x_{1}=0$. Then (B) is equivalent to $\lambda_{S 2} \geq-2 \sqrt{\lambda_{S} \lambda_{2}}$ in this case.
(B2) $x_{1}>0$. Let $t=\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}$. Then (B) is equivalent to $\alpha(1, t) \geq-2 \sqrt{\lambda_{S} \beta(1, t)}$ for all $t \geq 0$ in this case.

Hence, conditions (A) and (B1) are equivalent to condition (1); condition (B2) is equivalent to condition (2).

If $x_{1}=0$, then $(\mathrm{B})$ is equivalent to $\lambda_{S 1} \geq-2 \sqrt{\lambda_{S} \lambda_{1}}$ in this case. Now this inequality is implicitly contained in condition (2). We may add this condition to (1). The theorem is still true. We will do this in the statement of Theorem 5.2.

Condition (1) of Theorem 5.1 is explicitly given. Thus, we only need to analyze condition (2) of Theorem 5.1 further.

Now we are ready to analyze condition (2). For convenience of notation, let $g(t) \equiv$ $4 \lambda_{S} \beta(1, t)-[\alpha(1, t)]^{2}=b_{0} t^{4}+b_{1} t^{3}+b_{2} t^{2}+b_{3} t+b_{4}$.
(a) If $\alpha(1, t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, that is, $\lambda_{S 1}, \lambda_{S 2} \geq 0$ and $\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho \leq 2 \sqrt{\lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}}$. Then condition (2) holds.
(b) If $\alpha(1, t)<0$ for all $t \geq 0$, that is, $\lambda_{S 1} \leq 0$ and $\lambda_{S 2} \leq 0$, then condition (2) holds if and only if the coefficients of $g$ satisfy Theorem 2.2.
(c) Assume that $\alpha(1, t)$ is indefinite for all $t \geq 0$. That is, there are $t_{1}, t_{2} \geq 0$ such that $\alpha\left(1, t_{1}\right)>0$ and $\alpha\left(1, t_{2}\right)<0$. For such a case, there exist three subcases.
(i) $\lambda_{S 2}=0, b_{0}=4 \lambda_{S} \lambda_{1} \geq 0$ and $b_{1}=0$. Note that this subcase we must have $\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho \neq 0$. Otherwise, we must have case (a) or (b). Thus, we always have $\rho>0$ in this subcase. Furthermore, $\alpha(1, t) \leq 0$ and $\rho>0$ implies that

$$
t \geq \frac{\lambda_{S 1}}{\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho}
$$

Together with $t \geq 0$, we need $g(t) \geq 0$ for all $t$ satisfying

$$
t \geq \bar{\lambda}:=\max \left\{\frac{\lambda_{S 1}}{\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho}, 0\right\}
$$

Let $u=t-\bar{\lambda}$. Then $g_{1}(u)=g(u-\bar{\lambda})$ is a quartic polynomial of $u$. Then condition (2) holds in this subcase if and only if the coefficients of $g_{1}$ satisfy Theorem 2.2.
(ii) $\lambda_{S 2}>0$ and $\Delta=\left(\lambda_{S 12} \rho\right)^{2}-4 \lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}>0$. We need $g(t) \geq 0$ for all $t$ satisfying

$$
\max \left\{\frac{\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho-\sqrt{\left(\lambda_{S 12} \rho\right)^{2}-4 \lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}}}{2 \lambda_{S 2}}, 0\right\}:=\bar{t} \leq t \leq \tilde{t}:=\frac{\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho+\sqrt{\left(\lambda_{S 12} \rho\right)^{2}-4 \lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}}}{2 \lambda_{S 2}} .
$$

Let $u=\frac{1}{t-t}$. Then $\bar{t} \leq t \leq \tilde{t}$ is equivalent to $u \in\left[\frac{1}{\bar{t}-\bar{t}},+\infty\right)$. Let $g(t)=g\left(\frac{1}{u}+\bar{t}\right)=\frac{g_{2}(u)}{u^{4}}$. Then $g_{2}(u)$ is a quartic polynomial of $u$ and the condition that $g(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ is equivalent to that $g_{2}(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \geq \frac{1}{\bar{t}-\bar{t}}$. Let $g_{3}(u):=g_{2}\left(u-\frac{1}{\bar{t}-\bar{t}}\right)$, which is also a quartic polynomial of $u$. Then condition (2) holds in this subcase if and only if the coefficients of $g_{3}$ satisfy Theorem 2.2.
(iii) $\lambda_{S 2}<0$ and $\Delta=\left(\lambda_{S 12} \rho\right)^{2}-4 \lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}>0$. Let

$$
\bar{t}_{1}:=\frac{\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho-\sqrt{\left(\lambda_{S 12} \rho\right)^{2}-4 \lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}}}{2 \lambda_{S 2}}, \quad \bar{t}_{2}:=\frac{\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho+\sqrt{\left(\lambda_{S 12} \rho\right)^{2}-4 \lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}}}{2 \lambda_{S 2}} .
$$

If $\bar{t}_{1} \geq 0$, then we need $g(t) \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq t \leq \bar{t}_{1}$ and $t \geq \bar{t}_{2}$. For the case that $0 \leq t \leq \bar{t}_{1}$, by a transformation similar to the transformation in (ii), we have a quartic polynomial $g_{4}(u)$ such that condition (2) holds in this subcase if and only if the coefficients of $g_{4}$ satisfy Theorem 2.2. For the case that $t \geq \bar{t}_{2}$, let $g_{5}(u)=g\left(u-\bar{t}_{2}\right)$. Then condition (2) holds in this subcase if and only if the coefficients of $g_{5}$ satisfy Theorem 2.2.

If $\bar{t}_{1}<0$, then we need $g(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq \bar{t}_{2}$. For such a case, let $g_{6}(u)=g\left(u-\bar{t}_{2}\right)$. Then $g_{6}(u)$ is a quartic polynomial of $u$ and condition (2) holds in this subcase if and only if the coefficients of $g_{6}$ satisfy Theorem 2.2.

Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 5.1 can be analytically expressed. We summarize the above discussion to the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2 Let $f$ be defined as above. Let $g(t) \equiv 4 \lambda_{S} \beta(1, t)-[\alpha(1, t)]^{2}=b_{0} t^{4}+$ $b_{1} t^{3}+b_{2} t^{2}+b_{3} t+b_{4}$. Then $f\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, s\right) \geq 0$ for all $h_{1} \geq 0, h_{2} \geq 0, s \geq 0$ if and only if the following two conditions hold.
(1) $\lambda_{S} \geq 0, \lambda_{1} \geq 0, \lambda_{2} \geq 0, \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4} \rho^{2} \geq-2 \sqrt{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}, \lambda_{S 1} \geq-2 \sqrt{\lambda_{S} \lambda_{1}}, \lambda_{S 2} \geq$ $-2 \sqrt{\lambda_{S} \lambda_{2}}$;
(2) Either
(a) $\lambda_{S 1}, \lambda_{S 2} \geq 0$ and $\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho \leq 2 \sqrt{\lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}}$; or
(b) $\lambda_{S 1} \leq 0, \lambda_{S 2} \leq 0$, and the coefficients of $g$ satisfy Theorem 2.2; or
(c) $\lambda_{S 2}=0, b_{0}=4 \lambda_{S} \lambda_{1} \geq 0, b_{1}=0$, and the coefficients of $g_{1}$ satisfy Theorem 2.2, where $g_{1}(u)=g(u-\bar{\lambda})$,

$$
\bar{\lambda}:=\max \left\{\frac{\lambda_{S 1}}{\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho}, 0\right\} ;
$$

or
(d) $\lambda_{S 2}>0, \Delta=\left(\lambda_{S 12} \rho\right)^{2}-4 \lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}>0$, and the coefficients of $g_{3}$ satisfy Theorem 2.2, where $g_{3}(u):=g_{2}\left(u-\frac{1}{t-t}\right), g_{2}(u)=u^{4} g\left(\frac{1}{u}+\bar{t}\right)$,

$$
\bar{t}=\max \left\{\frac{\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho-\sqrt{\left(\lambda_{S 12} \rho\right)^{2}-4 \lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}}}{2 \lambda_{S 2}}, 0\right\}
$$

$$
\tilde{t}=\frac{\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho+\sqrt{\left(\lambda_{S 12} \rho\right)^{2}-4 \lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}}}{2 \lambda_{S 2}}
$$

or
(e) $\lambda_{S 2}<0, \Delta=\left(\lambda_{S 12} \rho\right)^{2}-4 \lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}>0, \bar{t}_{1} \geq 0$, and the coefficients of $g_{4}$ and $g_{5}$ satisfy Theorem 2.2, where $g_{4}(u):=g_{7}\left(u-\frac{1}{t_{2}-\bar{t}_{1}}\right), g_{7}(u)=u^{4} g\left(\frac{1}{u}+\bar{t}_{1}\right), g_{5}(u)=g\left(u-\bar{t}_{2}\right)$,

$$
\bar{t}_{1}:=\frac{\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho-\sqrt{\left(\lambda_{S 12} \rho\right)^{2}-4 \lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}}}{2 \lambda_{S 2}}, \quad \bar{t}_{2}:=\frac{\left|\lambda_{S 12}\right| \rho+\sqrt{\left(\lambda_{S 12} \rho\right)^{2}-4 \lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}}}{2 \lambda_{S 2}} ;
$$

or
(f) $\lambda_{S 2}<0, \Delta=\left(\lambda_{S 12} \rho\right)^{2}-4 \lambda_{S 1} \lambda_{S 2}>0, \bar{t}_{1}<0$, the coefficients of $g_{6}$ satisfy Theorem 2.2, where $g_{6}(u)=g\left(u-\bar{t}_{2}\right), \bar{t}_{1}$ and $\bar{t}_{2}$ are defined as above.
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