

SYNDETTIC SUBMEASURES AND PARTITIONS OF G -SPACES AND GROUPS

TARAS BANAKH, IGOR PROTASOV, SERGIY SLOBODIANIUK

ABSTRACT. We prove that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ each countable infinite group G admits a partition $G = A \cup B$ into two sets which are k -meager in the sense that for every k -element subset $K \subset G$ the sets KA and KB are not thick. The proof is based on the fact that G possesses a *syndetic submeasure*, i.e., a left-invariant submeasure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for each $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{|G|}$ and subset $A \subset G$ with $\mu(A) < 1$ there is a set $B \subset G \setminus A$ such that $\mu(B) < \varepsilon$ and $FB = G$ for some finite subset $F \subset G$.

In this paper we continue the studies [7]–[13] of combinatorial properties of partitions of G -spaces and groups.

By a G -space we understand a non-empty set X endowed with a left action of a group G . The image of a point $x \in X$ under the action of an element $g \in G$ is denoted by gx . For two subsets $F \subset G$ and $A \subset X$ we put $FA = \{fa : f \in F, a \in A\} \subset X$.

1. PRETHICK SETS IN PARTITIONS OF G -SPACES

A subset A of a G -space X is called

- *large* if $FA = X$ for some finite subset $F \subset G$;
- *thick* if for each finite subset $F \subset G$ there is a point $x \in X$ with $Fx \subset A$;
- *prethick* if KA is thick for some finite set $K \subset G$.

Now we insert number parameters in these definitions. Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$. A subset A of a G -space X is called

- *m -large* if $FA = X$ for some subset $F \subset G$ of cardinality $|F| \leq m$;
- *m -thick* if for each finite subset $F \subset G$ of cardinality $|F| \leq m$ there is a point $x \in X$ with $Fx \subset A$;
- *(k, m) -prethick* if KA is m -thick for some set $K \subset G$ of cardinality $|K| \leq k$;
- *k -prethick* if KA is thick for some set $K \subset G$ of cardinality $|K| \leq k$;
- *k -meager* if A is not k -prethick (i.e., KA is not thick for any subset $K \subset G$ of cardinality $|K| \leq k$).

In the dynamical terminology [6, 4.38], large subsets are called syndetic and prethick subsets are called piecewise syndetic. We note also that these notions can be defined in much more general context of balleanes [11], [13].

The following proposition is well-known [6, 4.41], [9, 1.3], [11, 11.2].

Proposition 1.1. *For any finite partition $X = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of a G -space X one of the cells A_i is prethick and hence k -prethick for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

For finite groups the number k in this proposition can be bounded from above by $n(\ln(\frac{|G|}{n}) + 1)$. We consider each group G as a G -space endowed the natural left action of G .

Proposition 1.2. *Let G be a finite group and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ be numbers such that $k \geq n \cdot (\ln(\frac{|G|}{n}) + 1)$. For any n -partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of G one of the cells A_i is k -large and hence k -prethick.*

Proof. One of the cells A_i of the partition has cardinality $|A_i| \geq \frac{|G|}{n}$. Then by [15] or [2, 3.2], there is a subset $B \subset G$ of cardinality $|B| \leq \frac{|G|}{|A_i|}(\ln |A_i| + 1) \leq n(\ln(\frac{|G|}{n}) + 1) \leq k$ such that $G = BA_i$. It follows that the set A_i is k -large and hence k -prethick. \square

For G -spaces we have the following quantitative version of Proposition 1.1.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 43A07, 05B40, 20F24, 28A12, 28C10, 54H11.

Key words and phrases. Subamenable groups, amenable groups, thick and prethick subsets of groups.

The first author has been partially financed by NCN grant DEC-2011/01/B/ST1/01439.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any n -partition $X = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of a G -space X one of the cells A_i is (m^{n-1}, m) -prethick in X .*

Proof. For $n = 1$ the proposition is trivial. Assume that it has been proved for some n and take any partition $X = A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of X into $(n + 1)$ pieces. If the cell A_0 is $(1, m)$ -prethick, then we are done. If not, then there is a set $F \subset G$ of cardinality $|F| \leq m$ such that $Fx \not\subset A_0$ for all $x \in X$. This implies that $x \in F^{-1}(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n)$ and then by the inductive assumption, there is an index $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that the set $F^{-1}A_i$ is (m^{n-1}, m) -prethick. The latter means that there is a subset $E \subset G$ of cardinality $|E| \leq m^{n-1}$ such that $EF^{-1}A_i$ is m -thick. Since $|EF^{-1}| \leq |E| \cdot |F| \leq m^{n-1}m = m^n$, the set A_i is (m^n, m) -prethick. \square

Looking at Proposition 1.3 it is natural to ask what happens for $m = \omega$. Is there any hope to find for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a finite number k_n such that for each n -partition $X = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ some cell A_i of the partition is k_n -prethick? In fact, G -spaces with this property do exist.

Example 1.4. *Let X be an infinite set endowed with the natural action of the group $G = S_X$ of all bijections of X . Then each subset $A \subset X$ of cardinality $|A| = |X|$ is 2-large, which implies that for each finite partition $X = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ one of the cells A_i has cardinality $|A_i| = |X|$ and hence is 2-large and 2-prethick.*

The action of the normal subgroup $FS_X \subset S_X$ consisting of all bijections $f : X \rightarrow X$ with finite support $\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq x\}$ has a similar property.

Example 1.5. *Let X be an infinite set endowed with the natural action of the group $G = FS_X$ of all finitely supported bijections of X . Then each infinite subset $A \subset X$ is thick, which implies that for each finite partition $X = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ one of the cells A_i is infinite and hence is thick and 1-prethick.*

However the G -spaces described in Examples 1.4 and 1.5 are rather pathological. In the next section we shall show that each G -space admitting a syndetic submeasure for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ can be covered by two k -meager (and hence not k -prethick) subsets. In Section 6 using syndetic submeasures we shall prove that each countable infinite group admits a partition into two k -meager subsets for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. SYNDETIC SUBMEASURES ON G -SPACES

A function $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined on the family of all subsets of a G -space X is called

- *G -invariant* if $\mu(gA) = \mu(A)$ for each $g \in G$ and a subset $A \subset X$;
- *monotone* if $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A \subset B \subset G$;
- *subadditive* if $\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any sets $A, B \subset X$;
- *additive* if $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any disjoint sets $A, B \subset X$;
- a *submeasure* if μ is monotone, subadditive, and $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, $\mu(X) = 1$;
- a *measure* if μ is an additive submeasure;
- a *syndetic submeasure* if μ is a G -invariant submeasure such that for each subset $A \subset X$ with $\mu(A) < 1$ and each $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{|X|}$ there is a large subset $L \subset X \setminus A$ of submeasure $\mu(L) < \varepsilon$.

In this definition we assume that $\frac{1}{|X|} = 0$ if the G -space X is infinite.

Proposition 2.1. *A finite G -space X possesses a syndetic submeasure if and only if X is transitive.*

Proof. If X is transitive, then the counting measure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\mu : A \mapsto |A|/|X|$, is syndetic.

Now assume conversely that a finite G -space X admits a syndetic submeasure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$. If X is a singleton, then X is transitive. So, we assume that X contains more than one point. Since the empty set $A = \emptyset$ has submeasure $\mu(A) = 0 < 1$, for the number $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{|X|-1} > \frac{1}{|X|}$ there is a large subset $L \subset X \setminus A = X$ of submeasure $\mu(L) < \varepsilon$. It follows that L , being large in X , has non-empty intersection with each orbit Gx , $x \in X$. Replacing L by a smaller subset we can assume that L meets each orbit in exactly one point. For every point $x \in L$ we can find a finite subset $F_x \subset G$ of cardinality $|Gx| - 1$ such that $F_x x = Gx \setminus \{x\}$. Then the set $F = \{1_G\} \cup \bigcup_{x \in L} F_x$ has cardinality $|F| = 1 + \sum_{x \in L} (|Gx| - 1) = 1 - |L| + \sum_{x \in L} |Gx| = 1 - |L| + |X|$ and $FL = X$. By the subadditivity and the G -invariance of the submeasure μ , we get

$$1 = \mu(FL) \leq |F| \cdot \mu(L) < |F| \cdot \varepsilon = \frac{|F|}{|X| - 1} = \frac{1 - |L| + |X|}{|X| - 1},$$

which implies $|L| = 1$. This means that X has exactly one orbit and hence is transitive. \square

For G -spaces admitting a syndetic submeasure we have the following result completing Propositions 1.1–1.3.

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a countable group and X be an infinite G -space possessing a syndetic submeasure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a partition $X = A \cup B$ of X into two k -meager subsets.*

Proof. Fix any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and choose an enumeration $(K_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of all k -element subsets of G .

Using the definition of a syndetic submeasure, we can inductively construct two sequences $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(B_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of large subsets of X satisfying the following conditions for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

- (1) $A_n \subset X \setminus \bigcup_{i < n} K_n^{-1} K_i B_i$;
- (2) $\mu(A_n) < \frac{1}{k^{2 \cdot 2^n}}$;
- (3) $B_n \subset X \setminus \bigcup_{i \leq n} K_n^{-1} K_i A_i$;
- (4) $\mu(B_n) < \frac{1}{k^{2 \cdot 2^n}}$.

At each step the choice of the set A_n is possible as

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i < n} K_n^{-1} K_i B_i\right) \leq \sum_{i < n} \sum_{x \in K_n^{-1} K_i} \mu(x B_i) = \sum_{i < n} |K_n^{-1} K_i| \cdot \mu(B_i) \leq \sum_{i < n} k^2 \frac{1}{k^{2 \cdot 2^i}} < 1$$

by the subadditivity of μ . By the same reason, the set B_n can be chosen.

After completing the inductive construction, we get the disjoint sets $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n A_n$ and $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n B_n$.

It remains to check that the sets A and $X \setminus A$ are k -meager. Given any k -element subset $K \subset G$ we need to prove that the sets KA and $K(X \setminus A)$ are not thick. Find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_n = K^{-1}$.

Since the set $K_n B_n$ is disjoint with A , the large set B_n is disjoint with $K_n^{-1} A = KA$, which implies that $X \setminus KA$ is large and KA is not thick.

Next, we show that the set $K(X \setminus A) = K_n^{-1}(X \setminus A)$ is not thick. We claim that $A_n \subset X \setminus K_n^{-1}(X \setminus A)$. Assuming the converse, we can find a point $a \in A_n \cap K_n^{-1}(X \setminus A)$. Then $K_n a$ intersects $X \setminus A$, which is not possible as $K_n a \subset K_n A_n \subset A$. So, the set $X \setminus K(X \setminus A) \supset A_n$ is large, which implies that $K(X \setminus A)$ is not thick. \square

3. TOPOSYNDETTIC SUBMEASURES ON G -SPACES

In light of Theorem 2.2 it is important to detect G -spaces possessing a syndetic submeasure. We shall find such spaces among G -spaces possessing a toposyndetic submeasure. To define such submeasures, we need to recall some information from Measure Theory.

Let $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a submeasure on a set X . A subset $A \subset X$ is called μ -measurable if $\mu(B) = \mu(B \cap A) + \mu(B \setminus A)$ for each subset $B \subset X$. By (the proof of) [4, 2.1.3], the family \mathcal{A}_μ of all μ -measurable subsets of X is an algebra (called the *measure algebra of μ*) and the restriction $\mu|_{\mathcal{A}_\mu}$ is additive in the sense that $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any disjoint μ -measurable sets $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$.

A G -invariant submeasure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a G -space X will be called *toposyndetic* if $\mathcal{A}_\mu \cap \tau$ is a base of some G -bounded G -invariant regular topology τ on X . The G -boundedness of the topology τ means that each non-empty open set $U \in \tau$ is large in X . The G -boundedness of τ implies the density of all orbits Gx , $x \in X$, in the topology τ .

Theorem 3.1. *If a G -space X admits a toposyndetic submeasure, then each non-empty G -invariant subspace $Y \subset X$ possesses a syndetic submeasure.*

Proof. Let $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a toposyndetic submeasure on X and τ be a G -bounded G -invariant Tychonoff topology on X such that $\mathcal{A}_\mu \cap \tau$ is a base of the topology τ .

Fix any non-empty G -invariant subspace $Y \subset X$. The G -boundedness of the topology τ implies that Y is dense in the topological space (X, τ) . If the regular topological space (X, τ) has an isolated point x , then by the G -boundedness of the topology τ for the open set $U = \{x\}$ there is a finite set $F \subset G$ with $X = FU \subset Gx$, which means that X is a finite transitive space. By the density of Y in X , $Y = X$ and by Proposition 2.1, Y possesses a syndetic submeasure.

So, we assume that the topological space (X, τ) has no isolated points. The G -invariant submeasure μ induces a G -invariant submeasure $\lambda : \mathcal{P}(Y) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by $\lambda(A) = \mu(\bar{A})$ for every subset $A \subset Y$, where \bar{A} is the closure of A in the topological space (X, τ) . To see that the submeasure λ is syndetic, fix any $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{|Y|} = 0$ and any subset $A \subset Y$ with $\lambda(A) < 1$. Then $\mu(\bar{A}) = \lambda(A) < 1$, which implies that $X \setminus \bar{A}$ is an

open non-empty subset of X . Since $\mathcal{A}_\mu \cap \tau$ is a base of the topology τ , there is a non-empty μ -measurable open set $U \subset X \setminus \bar{A} \subset X \setminus A$. Since the topological space (X, τ) has no isolated points, we can fix pairwise disjoint non-empty open sets $U_1, \dots, U_n \subset U$ for some integer number $n > 1/\varepsilon$. Since $\mathcal{A}_\mu \cap \tau$ is a base of the topology τ , we can additionally assume that these open sets U_1, \dots, U_n are μ -measurable, which implies that $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu(U_i) \leq 1$ and hence $\mu(U_i) \leq \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$ for some $i \leq n$. By the regularity of the topological space (X, τ) , the open set U_i contains the closure \bar{V} of some non-empty open set $V \subset X$. The G -boundedness of X guarantees that V is large in X and hence $V \cap Y$ is large in Y . Also $\lambda(V \cap Y) = \mu(\bar{V} \cap \bar{Y}) \leq \mu(\bar{V}) \subset \mu(U_i) < \varepsilon$. This means that the submeasure λ on Y is syndetic. \square

Many examples of G -spaces having a toposyndetic submeasure occur among subspaces of minimal compact measure G -spaces. By a *compact (measure) G -space* we understand a G -space X endowed with a compact Hausdorff G -invariant topology τ_X (and a G -invariant probability Borel σ -additive measure $\lambda_X : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined on the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$ of Borel subsets of X). A compact G -space X is called *minimal* if each orbit Gx , $x \in X$, is dense in X .

Theorem 3.2. *If (X, τ_X, λ_X) is a minimal compact measure G -space, then each non-empty G -invariant subspace Y of X possesses a (topo)syndetic submeasure.*

Proof. By the minimality of X , the G -invariant subspace Y is dense in X . Let $\tau = \{U \cap Y : U \in \tau_X\}$ be the induced topology on Y . The G -invariant measure $\lambda_X : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ induces a G -invariant submeasure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(Y) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by the formula $\mu(A) = \lambda_X(\bar{A})$ for $A \subset Y$, where \bar{A} denotes the closure of A in the compact space (X, τ_X) . To prove that the submeasure μ is toposyndetic, it remains to prove that the topology τ is G -bounded and $\mathcal{A}_\tau \cap \tau$ is a base of the topology τ .

Consider the algebra $\mathcal{A}_X = \{A \subset X : \lambda_X(\partial A) = 0\}$ consisting of subsets $A \subset X$ whose boundary ∂A in X have measure $\lambda_X(\partial A) = 0$, and let $\mathcal{A}_Y = \{A \cap Y : A \in \mathcal{A}_X\}$. It can be shown that each set $A \subset \mathcal{A}_Y$ is μ -measurable and $\mathcal{A}_Y \cap \tau \subset \mathcal{A}_\mu \cap \tau$ is a base of the topology τ . The G -boundedness of the topology τ on Y is proved in the following lemma. Therefore, μ is a toposyndetic submeasure on X . By the proof of Theorem 3.1, the submeasure μ is syndetic. \square

Lemma 3.3. *For each minimal compact G -space X , the induced topology on each G -invariant subspace $Y \subset X$ is G -bounded.*

Proof. To show that the induced topology on Y is G -bounded, fix any non-empty open subset $U \subset Y$. Find an open set $\tilde{U} \subset X$ such that $\tilde{U} \cap Y = U$. By the regularity of the compact Hausdorff space X , there is a non-empty open subset $V \subset X$ with $\bar{V} \subset \tilde{U}$.

By a classical Birkhoff theorem in Topological Dynamics (see e.g. Theorem 19.26 [6]), the minimal compact G -space X contains a uniformly recurrent point $y \in X$. The uniform recurrence of y means that for each open neighborhood $O_y \subset X$ of y the set $\{g \in G : gy \in O_y\}$ is large in G . By the density of the orbit Gy there is $s \in G$ with $sy \in V$. Then $s^{-1}V$ is a neighborhood of y and by the uniform recurrence of y , the set $L = \{g \in G : gy \in s^{-1}V\}$ is large in G . Consequently, we can find a finite subset $F \subset G$ such that $G = FL$. Then $Gy = FLy \subset Fs^{-1}V$, which implies that the open set $Fs^{-1}V$ is dense in X . Consequently, $X = Fs^{-1}\bar{V} \subset Fs^{-1}\tilde{U}$ and $Y = Fs^{-1}(Y \cap \tilde{U}) = Fs^{-1}U$, witnessing that the topology of Y is G -bounded. \square

4. GROUPS POSSESSING A TOPOSYNDETIC SUBMEASURE

In this section we shall detect groups possessing a toposyndetic submeasure. Each group G will be considered as a G -space endowed with the natural left action of the group G . A group G is called *amenable* if it admits a G -invariant additive measure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

We shall say that a G -space X has a *free orbit* if for some $x \in X$ the map $\alpha_x : G \rightarrow X$, $\alpha_x : g \mapsto gx$, is injective.

Theorem 4.1. *A group G admits a toposyndetic submeasure if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) *there is a minimal compact measure G -space X with a free orbit;*
- (2) *G is a subgroup of a compact topological group;*
- (3) *G is countable;*
- (4) *G is amenable.*

Proof. 1. Assume that (X, τ_X, λ_X) is a minimal compact measure G -space with a free orbit. In this case there is a point $x \in X$ for which the map $\alpha_x : G \rightarrow Gx \subset X$, $\alpha_x : g \mapsto gx$, is injective. This map allows us to define a Tychonoff G -invariant topology

$$\tau = \{\alpha_x^{-1}(U) : U \in \tau_X\}$$

on the group G . By Lemma 3.3, the topology τ is G -bounded.

Since the orbit Gx is dense in X (which follows from the minimality of X), the formula

$$\mu(A) = \lambda(\overline{Ax}) \text{ for } A \subset G$$

determines a G -invariant submeasure on G . Observe that $\mathcal{B} = \{U \in \tau_X : \lambda(\bar{U}) = \lambda(U)\}$ is a base of the topology τ_X on X and $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_x^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a base of the topology τ on G . It can be verified that each set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is μ -measurable, which implies that $\mathcal{A}_\mu \cap \tau \supset \mathcal{A}$ is a base of the topology τ . This means that the submeasure μ is toposyndetic.

2. The second statement follows immediately from the first statement and the well-known fact that each compact topological group carries an invariant probability Borel measure (namely, the Haar measure).

3. The third statement follows from the first one and a recent deep result of B. Weiss [16] stating that for each countable group G there is a compact minimal measure G -space with a free orbit.

4. The fourth statement follows from the first statement and the well-known fact [5, §449] stating for any amenable group G , each compact G -space X possesses a G -invariant probability Borel measure. \square

Problem 4.2. *Is the class of groups admitting a toposyndetic submeasure hereditary with respect to taking subgroups?*

Problem 4.3. *Has every group a toposyndetic submeasure?*

Problem 4.4. *Has the group S_X of all bijections of an infinite set X a toposyndetic submeasure?*

5. GROUPS POSSESSING A SYNDETTIC SUBMEASURE

In this section we shall detect groups possessing a syndetic submeasure. By Theorem 3.1 the class of such groups contains all groups possessing a toposyndetic submeasure, in particular, all countable groups.

Theorem 5.1. *A group G possesses a syndetic submeasure if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) *there is an infinite transitive G -space possessing a syndetic submeasure;*
- (2) *there is an infinite minimal compact measure G -space;*
- (3) *G admits a homomorphism onto an infinite group possessing a (topo)syndetic submeasure;*
- (4) *G admits a homomorphism onto a countable infinite group;*
- (5) *G contains an amenable infinite normal subgroup.*

Proof. 1. Assume that X is an infinite transitive G -space possessing a syndetic submeasure $\lambda : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Fix any point $x \in X$ and consider the map $\alpha_x : G \rightarrow X$, $\alpha_x : g \mapsto gx$, which is surjective (by the transitivity of the G -space X). One can check that the syndetic submeasure λ on X induces a syndetic submeasure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by $\mu(A) = \lambda(\alpha_x(A)) = \lambda_X(Ax)$ for $A \subset G$.

2. Let (X, τ_X, μ_X) be an infinite minimal compact measure G -space. By the minimality, the orbit Gx of any point $x \in X$ is dense in (X, τ_X) . Then the formula $\mu(A) = \mu_X(\overline{Ax})$, $A \subset G$, determines a G -invariant submeasure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on the group G . We claim that the submeasure μ is syndetic. Given any $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{|G|}$ and a set $A \subset G$ with $\mu(A) < 1$, we should find a large set $L \subset G \setminus A$ with $\mu(L) < \varepsilon$. Since $\mu_X(\overline{Ax}) = \mu(A) < 1$, the closed subset \overline{Ax} is not equal to X . By the minimality, the infinite compact G -space (X, τ_X) has no isolated points, which allows us to find an open non-empty set $U \subset X \setminus \overline{Ax}$ such that $\mu_X(\bar{U}) < \varepsilon$. By Lemma 3.3, the topology τ_X is G -bounded, which implies that the set $U \subset X$ is large in X and hence $V = \alpha_x^{-1}(U) \subset G \setminus A$ is large in G and has submeasure $\mu(V) \leq \mu_X(\bar{U}) < \varepsilon$.

3. The third statement follows from the first statement and Theorem 3.1.

4. The fourth statement follows from the third statement and Theorem 4.1(3).

5. Suppose that the group G contains a normal infinite amenable subgroup H . Denote by $P_\omega(H)$ the set of finitely supported probability measures on H . Each measure $\mu \in P_\omega(H)$ can be written as a convex

combination $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}$ of Dirac measures concentrated at points x_i of H . This allows us to identify $P_\omega(H)$ with a convex subset of the Banach space $\ell_1(H)$ endowed with the norm $\|f\| = \sum_{x \in H} |f(x)|$.

We claim that the function

$$\sigma_H : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad \sigma_H : A \mapsto \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(H)} \sup_{y \in G} \mu(Ay),$$

is a syndetic left-invariant submeasure on G .

First we prove that σ_H is left-invariant. Given any $x \in G$ and $A \subset G$ it suffices to check that $\sigma_H(xA) \leq \sigma_H(A) + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. The definition of σ_H guarantees that σ_H is right-invariant. Consequently, $\sigma_H(xA) = \sigma_H(xAx^{-1})$. By the definition of $\sigma_H(A)$, there is a finitely supported probability measure $\mu \in P_\omega(H)$ such that $\sup_{y \in G} \mu(Ay) < \sigma_H(A) + \varepsilon$. Write μ as a convex combination $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}$ of Dirac measures concentrated at points $a_1, \dots, a_n \in H$. Since H is a normal subgroup of G , the probability measure $\mu' = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{xa_i x^{-1}}$ belongs to $P_\omega(H)$. Taking into account that for every $y \in G$

$$\mu'(xAx^{-1}y) = \mu'(xAx^{-1}yxx^{-1}) = \mu(Ax^{-1}yx),$$

we conclude that

$$\sigma_H(xAx^{-1}) \leq \sup_{y \in G} \mu'(xAx^{-1}y) \leq \sup_{y \in G} \mu(Ax^{-1}yx) < \sigma_H(A) + \varepsilon.$$

So, σ_H is left-invariant.

Next, we prove that σ_H is subadditive. Given two subsets $A, B \subset G$, it suffices to check that $\sigma_H(A \cup B) \leq \sigma_H(A) + \sigma_H(B) + 3\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. By the definition of the numbers $\sigma_H(A)$ and $\sigma_H(B)$, there are finitely supported probability measures $\mu_A, \mu_B \in P_\omega(H)$ such that $\sup_{y \in G} \mu_A(Ay) < \sigma_H(A) + \varepsilon$ and $\sup_{y \in G} \mu_B(By) < \sigma_H(B) + \varepsilon$. By Emerson's characterization of amenability [3, 1.7], for the probability measures μ_A and μ_B there are probability measures $\mu'_A, \mu'_B \in P_\omega(H)$ such that

$$\sup_{C \subset H} |\mu_A * \mu'_A(C) - \mu_B * \mu'_B(C)| \leq \|\mu_A * \mu'_A - \mu_B * \mu'_B\| < \varepsilon.$$

Write the measures μ_A, μ_B, μ'_A and μ'_B as convex combinations of Dirac measures:

$$\mu_A = \sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}, \quad \mu'_A = \sum_j \alpha'_j \delta_{x'_j}, \quad \mu_B = \sum_i \beta_i \delta_{y_i}, \quad \mu'_B = \sum_j \beta'_j \delta_{y'_j}.$$

Then $\mu_A * \mu'_A = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha'_j \delta_{x_i x'_j}$ and $\mu_B * \mu'_B = \sum_{i,j} \beta_i \beta'_j \delta_{y_i y'_j}$. For every $y \in G$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A * \mu'_A(Ay) &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha'_j \delta_{x_i x'_j}(Ay) = \sum_j \alpha'_j \sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}(Ay(x'_j)^{-1}) = \\ &= \sum_j \alpha'_j \mu_A(Ay(x'_j)^{-1}) \leq \sum_j \alpha'_j \sup_{z \in G} \mu_A(Az) = \sup_{z \in G} \mu_A(Az) < \sigma_H(A) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By analogy we can prove that $\mu_B * \mu'_B(By) \leq \sigma_H(B) + \varepsilon$. Now consider the measure $\nu = \mu_A * \mu'_A$ and observe that for every $y \in B$ we get

$$\nu(By) = \mu_A * \mu'_A(By) \leq \mu_B * \mu'_B(By) + \|\mu_A * \mu'_A - \mu_B * \mu'_B\| < \sigma_H(B) + \varepsilon + \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\sigma_H(A \cup B) \leq \sup_{y \in G} \nu((A \cup B)y) \leq \sup_{y \in G} \nu(Ay) + \sup_{y \in G} \nu(By) < \sigma_H(A) + \varepsilon + \sigma_H(B) + 2\varepsilon = \sigma_H(A) + \sigma_H(B) + 3\varepsilon,$$

which proves the subadditivity of σ_H .

Finally we prove that the left-invariant submeasure σ_H on G is syndetic. Fix a subset $A \subset G$ of submeasure $\sigma_H(A) < 1$ and take an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\sigma_H(A) < 1$, there is a finitely supported measure $\mu \in P_\omega(H)$ such that $\sup_{y \in G} \mu(Ay) < 1$. Write μ as the convex combination $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}$ of Dirac measures. We can assume that each coefficient α_i is positive. Then the finite set $F = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ coincides with the support $\text{supp}(\mu)$ of the measure μ .

It follows that for every $y \in G$ we get $\mu(Ay) < 1$ and hence $F = \text{supp}(\mu) \not\subset Ay$. This ensures that the set Fy^{-1} meets the complement $X \setminus A$ and hence $y^{-1} \in F^{-1}(G \setminus A)$. So, $G = F^{-1}(G \setminus A)$ and the set $X \setminus A$ is large in G . Now take any finite subset $E \subset H$ of cardinality $|E| > 1/\varepsilon$. Using Zorn's Lemma, choose a maximal subset $B \subset G \setminus A$ which is E -separated in the sense that $Ex \cap Ey = \emptyset$ for any distinct points $x, y \in B$.

The maximality of the set B guarantees that for each $x \in G \setminus A$ the set Ex meets EB , which implies that $G \setminus A \subset E^{-1}EB$ and $G = F^{-1}(G \setminus A) = F^{-1}E^{-1}EB$. This means that the set B is large in G . We claim that $|E^{-1} \cap By| \leq 1$ for each $y \in G$. Assume conversely that $E^{-1} \cap By$ contains two distinct points by and $b'y$ with $b, b' \in B$. Then $b'b^{-1} = b'y(by)^{-1} \in E^{-1}E$ and hence $Eb' \cap Eb \neq \emptyset$, which is not possible as B is E -separated. Now consider the uniformly distributed probability measure $\nu = \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{x \in E^{-1}} \delta_x \in P_\omega(H)$ and observe that $\sigma_H(B) \leq \sup_{y \in G} \nu(By) \leq \frac{|E^{-1} \cap By|}{|E|} \leq \frac{1}{|E|} < \varepsilon$, which means that the submeasure σ_H is syndetic. \square

Remark 5.2. For an infinite amenable group G and the subgroup $H = G$ the syndetic submeasure σ_H (used in the proof of Theorem 5.1(5)) coincides with the right Solecki submeasure σ^R introduced in [14] and studied in [1].

Theorem 5.1(5) implies:

Corollary 5.3. *The group S_X of bijections of any set X possesses a syndetic submeasure.*

Proof. If X is finite, then the finite group S_X has a syndetic submeasure according to proposition 2.1. So, we assume that the set X is infinite. Observe that the subgroup FS_X of finitely supported permutations of X is locally finite and hence amenable. By Theorem 5.1(5) the group S_X admits a syndetic submeasure as it contains the infinite amenable normal subgroup FS_X . \square

Problem 5.4. *Has every group a syndetic submeasure?*

Problem 5.5. *Has the quotient group S_ω/FS_ω a syndetic submeasure?*

6. PARTITIONS OF GROUPS INTO k -MEAGER PIECES

Now we return to the problem of partitioning groups into k -meager pieces, which was posed and partly resolved in [12]. Combining Theorems 2.2 and 5.1(5), we get:

Theorem 6.1. *Each countable infinite group G for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ admits a partition into two k -meager subsets.*

This theorem admits a self-generalization.

Corollary 6.2. *If a group G has a countable infinite quotient group, then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the group G admits a partition into two k -meager subsets.*

Proof. Let $h : G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of G onto a countable infinite group H . By Theorem 6.1, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the countable group H admits a partition $H = A \cup B$ into two k -meager subsets. Then $G = h^{-1}(A) \cup h^{-1}(B)$ is a partition of the group G into two k -meager subsets. \square

Corollary 6.2 gives a partial answer to the following (still open) problem posed in and partially answered in [12].

Problem 6.3. *Is it true that each infinite group G for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ admits a partition into two k -meager sets?*

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to an anonymous referee who turned our attention to minimal measure-preserving actions of countable groups, which allowed us to prove the existence of topodynamically syndetic submeasures on countable groups and construct partitions of such groups into k -meager sets.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Banakh, *The Solecki submeasures and densities on groups*, preprint (<http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.0717>).
- [2] B. Bollobás, S. Janson, O. Riordan, *On covering by translates of a set*, Random Structures Algorithms **38**:1-2 (2011), 33–67.
- [3] W. Emerson, *Characterizations of amenable groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **241** (1978), 183–194.
- [4] H. Federer, *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.
- [5] D. Fremlin, *Measure Theory*, V.4, Torres Fremlin, Colchester, 2006.
- [6] N. Hindman, D. Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Čech compactification: Theory and Applications*, Walter de Grueter, Berlin, New York, 2011.
- [7] I. Protasov, *Partition of groups into large subsets*, Math. Notes, **73** (2003), 271–281.
- [8] I. Protasov, *Small systems of generators of groups*, Math. Notes, **76** (2004), 420–426.
- [9] I. Protasov, *Selective survey on Subset Combinatorics of Groups*, Ukr. Math. Bull., **7**(2010), 220–257.
- [10] I. Protasov, *Partition of groups into thin subsets*, Algebra Disc. Math., **11**(2011), 88–92.
- [11] I. Protasov, T. Banakh, *Ball Structures and Colorings of Groups and Graphs*, Math. Stud. Monogr. Ser., Vol. 11, VNTL Publishers, Lviv, 2003.
- [12] I. Protasov, S. Slobodyanuk, *Prethick subsets in partitions of groups*, Algebra Discr. Math. **14**:2 (2012), 267–275.
- [13] I. Protasov, M. Zarichnyi, *General Asymptology*, Math. Stud. Monogr. Ser., Vol. 12, VNTL Publishers, Lviv, 2007
- [14] S. Solecki, *Size of subsets of groups and Haar null sets*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **15** (2005), 246–273.
- [15] G. Weinstein, *Minimal complementary sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **212** (1975), 131–137.
- [16] B. Weiss, *Minimal models for free actions*, in: Dynamical Systems and Group Actions (L. Bowen, R. Grigorchuk, Ya. Vorobets eds.), Contemp. Math. **567**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, (2012), 249–264.

T.BANAKH: IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV, UKRAINE AND JAN KOCHANOWSKI UNIVERSITY IN KIELCE, POLAND.

I.PROTASOV AND S.SLOBODIANIUK: TARAS SHEVCHENKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, KYIV, UKRAINE

E-mail address: t.o.banakh@gmail.com, i.v.protasov@gmail.com, slobodianiuk@yandex.ru