# ON COMPUTING THE CLOSURES OF SOLVABLE PERMUTATION GROUPS

ILIA PONOMARENKO AND ANDREY V. VASIL'EV

ABSTRACT. Let  $m \geq 3$  be an integer. It is proved that the m-closure of a given solvable permutation group of degree n can be constructed in time  $n^{O(m)}$ . **Keywords.** Permutation group, closure, polynomial-time algorithm.

### 1. Introduction

Let m be a positive integer and let  $\Omega$  be a finite set. The m-closure  $G^{(m)}$  of  $G \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$  is the largest permutation group on  $\Omega$  having the same orbits as G in its induced action on the cartesian power  $\Omega^m$ . The m-closure of a permutation group was introduced by H. Wielandt in [22], where it was, in particular, proved that  $G^{(m)}$  can be treated as the full automorphism group of the set of all m-ary relations invariant with respect to G. Since then the theory was developed in different directions, e.g., there were studied the closures of primitive groups [13, 17, 25], the behavior of the closure with respect to permutation group operations [7, 10, 20], totally closed abstract groups [1,2,8], etc.

From the computational point of view, the m-closure problem consisting in finding the m-closure of a given permutation group is of special interest; here and below, it is assumed that permutation groups are given by generating sets, see [19]. When the number m is given as a part of input, the problem seems to be very hard even if the input group is abelian. It is quite natural therefore to restrict the m-closure problem to the case when m is fixed and the input group belongs to a certain class of groups. In this setting, polynomial-time algorithms for finding the m-closure were constructed for the groups of odd order [9] and, if m=2, also for nilpotent and supersolvable groups [15,16]. Note that the case m=1 is trivial, because the 1-closure of any permutation group G is equal to the direct product of symmetric groups acting on the orbits of G.

The goal of the present paper is to solve the m-closure problem for  $m \geq 3$  in the class of all solvable groups (note that there is an efficient algorithm testing whether or not a given permutation group is solvable).

**Theorem 1.1.** Given an integer  $m \geq 3$ , the m-closure of a solvable permutation group of degree n can be found in time  $n^{O(m)}$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 3. A starting point in our approach to the proof is the main result in [14] stating that for  $m \geq 3$  the m-closure of every solvable permutation group is solvable. To apply this result, it suffices for a given solvable group G to find a solvable overgroup and then find  $G^{(m)}$  inside it with

1

The second author was supported by the Program of Fundamental Research RAS, project FWNF-2022-0002.

the help of the Babai-Luks algorithm [3]; the latter enables, in particular, to find efficiently the relative m-closure  $G^{(m)} \cap H$  of an arbitrary group G with respect to a solvable group H.

To explain how to find the overgroup, we recall that a permutation group is said to be non-basic if it is contained in a wreath product with the product action; it is basic otherwise, see [4, Section 4.3]. A classification of the primitive solvable linear groups having a faithful regular orbit [24] implies that for a sufficiently large primitive basic solvable group G, we have  $G = G^{(m)}$  for all  $m \geq 3$ . This reduces the problem to solvable groups that are not basic, that is, to those that can be embedded in a direct or wreath product of smaller groups. In fact, we only need to test whether the corresponding embedding exists and (if so) to find it explicitly. This is a subject of Section 2.

All undefined terms can be found in [4] (for permutation groups) and [19] (for permutation group algorithms).

The authors thank S. V. Skresanov for fruitful discussions and useful comments.

#### 2. The embedding problem

Given permutation groups  $K \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Delta)$  and  $L \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Gamma)$ , we denote by  $K \times L$  (respectively,  $K \wr L$ ,  $K \uparrow L$ ) the permutation group induced by the action of direct (respectively, wreath) product of K and L on  $\Delta \cup \Gamma$  (respectively,  $\Delta \times \Gamma$ ,  $\Delta^{|\Gamma|}$ ).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $m \geq 2$  be an integer, K, L permutation groups, and  $\star \in \{\times, \wr, \uparrow\}$ . Then

$$(K \star L)^{(m)} \le K^{(m)} \star L^{(m)}$$

 $unless \star = \uparrow, m = 2, and K is 2-transitive.$ 

*Proof.* See [14, Theorems 3.1, 3.2] and [20, Theorem 1.2]. 
$$\Box$$

Theorem 2.1 is used to reduce the study of the m-closure of a group  $G \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$  to permutation groups on smaller sets. From the algorithmic point of view, we need to solve the  $\star$ -embedding problem: test whether there exists an embedding of G to  $K \star L$  for some sections  $K \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Delta)$  and  $L \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Gamma)$  of the group G, such that  $|\Delta| < |\Omega|$  and  $|\Gamma| < |\Omega|$ , and if so, then to find the embedding explicitly. By this, we mean finding a bijection f from  $\Omega$  to the underlying set of  $K \star L$ , such that

$$(1) f^{-1}Gf \le K \star L.$$

The  $\star$ -embedding problem is easy if G is intransitive and  $\star = \times$ , or imprimitive and  $\star = \wr$ . In the rest of the section, we focus on the  $\star$ -embedding problem for primitive G and  $\star = \uparrow$ .

A cartesian decomposition of  $\Omega$  is defined in [18] as a finite set  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$  of partitions of  $\Omega$  such that  $|P_i| \geq 2$  for each i and  $|\Delta_1 \cap \dots \cap \Delta_k| = 1$  for each  $\Delta_1 \in P_1, \dots, \Delta_k \in P_k$ . A cartesian decomposition  $\mathcal{P}$  is said to be trivial if  $\mathcal{P}$  contains only one partition, namely, the partition into singletons, and  $\mathcal{P}$  is said to be homogeneous if the number  $|P_i|$  does not depend on  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Every partition  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  defines a cartesian decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_{\pi}$  consisting of the meets  $P_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge P_{i_\ell}$ , where  $\{P_{i_1}, \dots, P_{i_\ell}\}$  is a class of  $\pi$ .

A group  $G \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$  preserves (respectively, stabilizes) the cartesian decomposition  $\mathcal{P}$  if any element of G permutes the  $P_i$  (respectively, leaves each  $P_i$  fixed). In this case, we say that  $\mathcal{P}$  is maximal for G if  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q}_{\pi}$  for no cartesian decomposition  $\mathcal{Q}$  preserved (respectively, stabilized) by G and no nontrivial partition  $\pi$ 

of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Note that if G preserves  $\mathcal{P}$  and the action of G on  $\mathcal{P}$  is transitive, then  $\mathcal{P}$  is homogeneous. Furthermore, if G stabilizes a nontrivial  $\mathcal{P}$ , then G cannot be primitive.

A natural example of cartesian decomposition comes from the wreath product  $G = K \uparrow L$ , where as before  $K \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Delta)$  and  $L \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Gamma)$ . The underlying set of G is equal to  $\Delta^k$ , where  $k = |\Gamma|$ , and one can define a partition  $P_i$  (i = 1, ..., k) with  $|\Delta|$  classes of the form

$$\{(\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_k)\in\Delta^k:\ \delta_i\ \text{is a fixed element of}\ \Delta\}.$$

The partitions  $P_1, \ldots, P_k$  form a cartesian decomposition  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\Omega$ , which is preserved by G and stabilized by  $K^k$ ; we say that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *standard* cartesian decomposition for G. Clearly, it can be found efficiently for any given K and L. Well-known properties of a wreath product with the product action [11] imply that if G is primitive, then the standard cartesian decomposition (a) is homogeneous, and (b) is maximal (among those that are preserved by G) if and only if K is basic.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $G \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$  be a primitive group. Then G is non-basic if and only if G preserves a nontrivial homogeneous cartesian decomposition of  $\Omega$ . Moreover, given such a decomposition, an embedding of G to a wreath product with product action can be found efficiently.

*Proof.* Let G be non-basic. Then there is an embedding of G to a group  $K \uparrow L$  for some  $K \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Delta)$  and  $L \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Gamma)$ , such that  $|\Delta| < |\Omega|$  and  $|\Gamma| < |\Omega|$ . Denote by f the corresponding bijection from  $\Omega$  to  $\Delta^{|\Gamma|}$ . Then G preserves a homogeneous nontrivial cartesian decomposition  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the standard cartesian decomposition for  $K \uparrow L$ .

Let G preserve a nontrivial homogeneous cartesian decomposition  $P_1, \ldots, P_k$  of  $\Omega$ . Denote by L (respectively, K) the permutation group induced by the action of G (respectively, the stabilizer of  $P_1$  in G) on the set  $\Gamma = \{P_1, \ldots, P_k\}$  (respectively,  $\Delta = P_1$ ). Following the proof of [18, Theorem 5.13], one can efficiently identify each  $P_i$  with  $\Delta$ . Then the bijection f from  $\Delta^k = P_1 \times \ldots \times P_k$  onto  $\Omega$  taking the cartesian product  $\Delta_1 \times \ldots \times \Delta_k \in P_1 \times \ldots \times P_k$  to the unique point in  $\Delta_1 \cap \ldots \cap \Delta_k$  can be found efficiently. Now the bijection  $f^{-1}$  moves G to a subgroup of  $K \uparrow L$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let G be a permutation group of degree n, and  $\star \in \{\times, \wr, \uparrow\}$ . Assume that G is imprimitive if  $\star = \wr$ , and primitive if  $\star = \uparrow$ . Then the  $\star$ -embedding problem for G can be solved in time poly(n).

*Proof.* Using standard permutation group algorithms [19, Section 3.1], one can solve the  $\star$ -embedding problem for  $G \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$  if  $\star = \times$  or  $\wr$ . Assume that  $\star = \uparrow$  and G is primitive. Then (again by standard permutation group algorithms), one can find in time  $\operatorname{poly}(n)$  the socle  $S = \operatorname{Soc}(G)$  of G and test whether or not S is abelian.

In the abelian case, the required statement can be proved in almost the same way as was done in [9, Section 5.1] for solvable groups. Indeed, in this case, the group S is elementary abelian of order  $n=p^k$  and can naturally be identified with  $\Omega$ , which therefore can be treated as a linear space over the field of order p. The procedure **BLOCK** described in the cited paper, efficiently finds a minimal subspace  $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$  so that  $\Omega$  is the direct sum of the subspaces belonging to the set  $\Gamma = \{\Delta^g : g \in G\}$ . Now the required embedding of G exists only if  $\Delta \neq \Omega$ , and then as L and K one

can take the group  $G^{\Gamma}$  and the restriction of its stabilizer of  $\Delta$  (as a point) to  $\Delta$  (as a set).

Let S be nonabelian. Then S is a direct product of pairwise isomorphic nonabelian simple groups. We need two auxiliary statements.

Claim 1. There is at most one maximal nontrivial cartesian decomposition  $\mathcal{P}$  stabilized by S. Moreover, one can test in time poly(n) whether  $\mathcal{P}$  does exist, and if so, then find it within the same time.

Proof. We will show that up to the language (in fact, the language of coherent configurations, see [5]) this claim is an almost direct consequence of results in [6, 12]. We start by noting that the cartesian decompositions stabilized by S are exactly the tensor decompositions of the coherent configuration  $\mathcal{X}$  associated with S (see [6] for details). Thus, in view of [6, Theorem 1], the cartesian decompositions stabilized by S are in a 1-1 (efficiently computable) correspondence with the cartesian decompositions of the coherent configuration  $\mathcal{X}$  itself. Moreover, if every subdegree of S is at least 2, i.e.,  $\mathcal{X}$  is thick in terms of [6], then there is at most one maximal nontrivial cartesian decomposition  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  [6, Theorem 2]. The polynomial-time algorithm in [6, Lemma 13] enables us to find a certificate that  $\mathcal{X}$  has only the trivial cartesian decomposition, or to construct  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Assume that at least one (nontrivial) subdegree of S is equal to 1. Since the union of singleton orbits of a one point stabilizer of S is a block of the primitive group G, this union is the whole set  $\Omega$  and the group S is regular. In this case, the coherent configuration  $\mathcal{X}$  is also regular,  $S = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ , and from the above mentioned [6, Theorem 1], it follows that the cartesian decompositions of  $\mathcal{X}$  are in a 1-1 correspondence with the direct decompositions of the group S itself. If this group is simple, then S stabilizes only the trivial cartesian decomposition. Otherwise, the decomposition of S into the direct product of pairwise isomorphic (nonabelian) simple groups gives the maximal nontrivial cartesian decomposition  $\mathcal{P}$  stabilized by S. It remains to note that  $\mathcal{P}$  can be found efficiently by the main algorithm in [12].

Claim 2. Assume that G is non-basic. Then G preserves a nontrivial homogeneous cartesian decomposition of the form  $\mathcal{P}_{\pi}$  for some partition  $\pi$  of the cartesian decomposition  $\mathcal{P}$  from Claim 1.

Proof. Since G is non-basic, we may assume that  $G \leq K \uparrow L$ , where K is basic primitive and L is transitive. Denote by Q the corresponding standard cartesian decomposition (Lemma 2.2). We may also assume that K is the permutation group induced by the action on  $P \in Q$  of the stabilizer of P in G. Then in virtue of [4, Theorem 4.7] (and the remark after it), the socle S of G is a subgroup of the base group of the wreath product  $K \uparrow L$ . It follows that S stabilizes Q. Thus, by Claim 1, there exists the unique maximal nontrivial cartesian decomposition  $\mathcal{P}$  stabilized by S and  $Q = \mathcal{P}_{\pi}$  for some partition  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Since G acts transitively on  $\mathcal{P}$ , the decomposition Q is homogeneous.  $\square$ 

Let us complete the proof. By Lemma 2.2, it suffices to test whether G preserves a nontrivial cartesian decomposition and, if so, find it efficiently. Applying the algorithm of Claim 1, we test efficiently whether S stabilizes a nontrivial cartesian decomposition. If not, then G cannot preserve a nontrivial cartesian decomposition (see Claim 2). Otherwise, we efficiently find the cartesian decomposition  $\mathcal{P}$  from

Claim 1. By Claim 2, all we need is to test whether there exist a partition  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{P}$ , such that  $\mathcal{P}_{\pi}$  is a nontrivial homogeneous cartesian decomposition preserved by G and, if so, find it efficiently. Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is nontrivial, we have  $|\mathcal{P}| \leq \log n$  and the power set  $2^{\mathcal{P}}$  has cardinality at most  $2^{\log n} = n$ . Furthermore, the cartesian decompositions  $\mathcal{P}_{\pi}$  preserved by G are in one-to-one correspondence with those subsets  $Q \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  for which

$$\{Q^g: g \in G\}$$
 is a homogeneous partition of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Since this condition can be tested only for the generators g of G, we are done.  $\square$ 

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We deduce Theorem 1.1 from a more general statement valid for any complete class of groups. A class of (abstract) groups is said to be *complete* if it is closed with respect to taking subgroups, quotients, and extensions [21, Definition 11.3]. Any complete class is obviously closed with respect to direct and wreath product and taking sections. The class of all permutation groups of degree at most n that belong to  $\mathfrak{K}$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{K}_n$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , and  $\mathfrak{K}$  a complete class of groups. Then

- (i)  $\mathfrak{K}_n$  is closed with respect to taking the m-closure if and only if  $\mathfrak{K}_n$  contains the m-closure of every primitive basic group in  $\mathfrak{K}_n$ ,
- (ii) the m-closure of any group in  $\mathfrak{K}_n$  can be found in time poly(n) by accessing oracles for finding the m-closure of every primitive basic group in  $\mathfrak{K}_n$  and the relative m-closure of every group in  $\mathfrak{K}_n$  with respect to any group in  $\mathfrak{K}_n$ .

*Proof.* The "only if" part of statement (i) is obvious. To prove the "if" part and statement (ii), we present a more or less standard recursive algorithm for finding the m-closure  $G^{(m)}$  of a group  $G \in \mathfrak{K}_n$ . At each step we will verify that  $G^{(m)} \in \mathfrak{K}_n$ .

Depending on whether  $G \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$  is intransitive, imprimitive, or primitive, we set  $\star = \times$ ,  $\wr$ , or  $\uparrow$ , respectively. Solving the  $\star$ -embedding problem for G by Theorem 2.3, one can can test in time  $\operatorname{poly}(n)$  whether there exists an embedding of G to  $K \star L$  for some sections

$$K \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Delta)$$
 and  $L \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Gamma)$ 

of G, such that the numbers  $n_K = |\Delta|$  and  $n_L = |\Gamma|$  are less than  $n = |\Omega|$ , and if so, then find the embedding explicitly. If there is no such embedding, then G is primitive basic, belongs to  $\mathfrak{K}_n$ , and the m-closure of G can be found for the cost of one call of the corresponding oracle.

Assume that G is not primitive basic and we are given a bijection f from  $\Omega$  to the underlying set of  $K \star L$ , such that equality (1) holds. Since  $f^{-1}G^{(m)}f = (f^{-1}Gf)^{(m)}$ , we may also assume that

$$G \leq K \star L$$
.

Note that  $K \in \mathfrak{K}_{n_K}$  and  $L \in \mathfrak{K}_{n_L}$ , because the class  $\mathfrak{K}$  is complete. Applying the algorithm recursively to K and L, we find the groups  $K^{(m)}$  and  $L^{(m)}$  in time  $\operatorname{poly}(n_K)$  and  $\operatorname{poly}(n_L)$ , respectively, and then the group  $K^{(m)} \star L^{(m)}$  in time  $\operatorname{poly}(n)$ . By induction,  $K^{(m)} \in \mathfrak{K}_{n_K}$  and  $L^{(m)} \in \mathfrak{K}_{n_L}$ , whence  $K^{(m)} \star L^{(m)} \in \mathfrak{K}_n$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$G^{(m)} \le (K \star L)^{(m)} \le K^{(m)} \star L^{(m)}.$$

Thus,  $G^{(m)} \in \mathfrak{K}_n$ . Accessing (one time) the oracle for finding the relative *m*-closure of G with respect to  $K^{(m)} \star L^{(m)}$ , we finally get the group  $G^{(m)}$ .

It remains to estimate the number of the oracles calls. Each recursive call divides the problem for a group of degree n to the same problem for a group K of degree  $n_K$  and for a group L of degree  $n_L$ . Moreover,

$$n = \begin{cases} n_K + n_L & \text{if } \star = \times, \\ n_K \cdot n_L & \text{if } \star = \wr, \\ n_K^{n_L} & \text{if } \star = \uparrow. \end{cases}$$

Thus the total number of recursive calls and hence the number of accessing oracles is at most n.

An obstacle in proving Theorem 3.1 for m=2 lies in the exceptional case of Theorem 2.1. Indeed, assume that the class  $\mathfrak R$  does not contain all groups. Then it cannot contain symmetric groups of arbitrarily large degree. However the 2-closure of any two-transitive group of degree n coincides with  $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak R$  cannot also contain two-transitive groups of sufficiently large degree. It seems that this restricts the class  $\mathfrak R$  essentially.

**Remark 3.2.** In fact, the proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that the following weakened version of this theorem holds true: both statements of Theorem 3.1 remain valid for m = 2 if "primitive basic groups" are replaced with "primitive groups".

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Denote by  $\mathfrak{K}$  the class of all solvable groups. This class is obviously complete. Moreover, the relative m-closure of any group of  $\mathfrak{K}_n$  with respect to any other group from  $\mathfrak{K}_n$  can be found in time  $\operatorname{poly}(n)$  in view of [3, Corollary 3.6] (see also [16, Section 6.2]). By Theorem 3.1, it suffices to verify that the 3-closure of a primitive basic group  $G \in \mathfrak{K}_n$  can be found in time  $\operatorname{poly}(n)$ ; indeed, if m > 3, then  $G^{(m)} \leq G^{(3)}$  can be found as the relative m-closure of G with respect to  $G^{(3)}$ .

First, suppose that a point stabilizer H of G has a regular orbit. Then G is 3-closed by [14, Corollary 2.5], and there is nothing to do, because  $G = G^{(3)}$ . Now, if the group H has no regular orbits and n is sufficiently large, then the number n = q is a prime power and  $H \leq \Gamma L(1,q)$ , see [24, Corollary 3.3]. In this case,  $H = H^{(2)}$  by [23, Proposition 3.1.1] and again  $G = G^{(3)}$ . In the remaining case, the degree of G is bounded by an absolute constant, say N, and the group  $G^{(3)}$  can be found by inspecting all permutations of  $\operatorname{Sym}(N)$ .

# References

- A. Abdollahi, M. Arezoomand, and G. Tracey, On finite totally 2-closed groups, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 360, 1001–1008 (2022).
- [2] M. Arezoomand, M. A. Iranmanesh, C. E. Praeger, G. Tracey, *Totally 2-closed finite groups with trivial Fitting subgroup*, arXiv:2111.02253 (2021).
- [3] L. Babai and E. M. Luks, Canonical labeling of graphs, in: Proc. 15th ACM STOC (1983), pp. 171–183.
- [4] P. J. Cameron, Permutation groups, Cambridge University Press (1999).
- [5] G. Chen and I. Ponomarenko, Coherent Configurations, Central China Normal University Press, Wuhan (2019); a draft is available at http://www.pdmi.ras.ru/~inp/ccNOTES.pdf
- [6] G. Chen and I. Ponomarenko, Tensor products of coherent configurations, Front. Math. China, 17, no. 5, 829–852 (2021).
- [7] D. Churikov, Structure of k-closures of finite nilpotent permutation groups, Algebra Logic, 60, no. 2, 154-159 (2021).

- [8] D. Churikov and C. E. Praeger, Finite totally k-closed groups. Tr. Inst. Mat. Mekh., 27, no. 1, 240-245 (2021).
- [9] S. Evdokimov and I. Ponomarenko, Two-closure of odd permutation group in polynomial time, Discrete Math., 235, no. 1-3, 221-232 (2001).
- [10] L. A. Kalužnin and M. H. Klin, On some numerical invariants of permutation groups, Latv. Mat. Ežegod., 18, no. 1, 81–99 (1976).
- [11] L. A. Kalužnin, M. H. Klin, and V. I. Suščans'kii, Exponentiation of permutation groups. I, (in Russian), Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., no. 8, 26–33 (1979).
- [12] N. Kayal and T. Nezhmetdinov, Factoring Groups Efficiently, Lect. Notes Comput. Sci., 5555, 585–596 (2009).
- [13] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger, and J. Saxl, On the 2-closures of finite permutation groups, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 37, no. 2, 241–252 (1988).
- [14] E. A. O'Brien, I. Ponomarenko, A. V. Vasil'ev, and E. Vdovin, The 3-closure of a solvable permutation group is solvable, J. Algebra, 607, part A, 618–637 (2022).
- [15] I. Ponomarenko, Graph isomorphism problem and 2-closed permutation groups, Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing, 5, 9–22 (1994).
- [16] I. Ponomarenko and A. Vasil'ev, Two-closure of supersolvable permutation group in polynomial time, Computational Complexity, 29, no. 5 (2020).
- [17] C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl, Closures of finite primitive permutation groups, Bull. London Math. Soc., 24, 251–258 (1992).
- [18] C. E. Praeger and C. Schneider, Permutation Groups and Cartesian Decompositions, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 449, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2018).
- [19] Á. Seress, Permutation group algorithms, Cambridge Univ. Press (2002).
- [20] A. V. Vasil'ev and I. N. Ponomarenko, The closures of wreath products in product action, Algebra Logic, 60, no. 3, 188–195 (2021).
- [21] H. Wielandt, Zusammengesetzte Gruppen endlicher Ordnung, Vorlesung an der Universität Tübingen im Wintersemester 1963/64. In: Huppert, B., Schneider, H. (eds.) Helmut Wielandt: Mathematical Works, Vol. 1: Group theory, pp. 607–655. De Gruyter, Berlin (1994)
- [22] H. Wielandt, Permutation groups through invariant relations and invariant functions, Lect. Notes Dept. Math. Ohio St. Univ. Columbus (1969).
- [23] J. Xu, M. Giudici, C. H. Li, and C. E. Praeger, Invariant relations and Aschbacher classes of finite linear groups, Electronic J. Combin., 18, no. 1, 1–33 (2011).
- [24] Y. Yang, Al. Vasil'ev, and E. Vdovin, Regular orbits of finite primitive solvable groups, III, J. Algebra, 590, 1–13 (2020).
- [25] X. Yu and J. Pan, 2-closures of primitive permutation groups of holomorph type, Open Math., 17, 795–801 (2019).

Steklov Institute of Mathematics at St. Petersburg, Russia

 $Email\ address: {\tt inp@pdmi.ras.ru}$ 

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA;

Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia

 $Email\ address: {\tt vasand@math.nsc.ru}$