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#### Abstract

In this article the concept of $\mu$ - monotonic property of interval valued function in higher dimension is introduced. Expansion of interval valued function in higher dimension is developed using this property. Generalized Hukuhara differentiability is used to derive the theoretical results. Several examples are provided to justify the theoretical developments. keywords Interval function; $\mu$ monotonic property; generalized Hukuhara derivative.


## 1 Introduction

Importance of the study of uncertainty theory from theoretical point of view has been increased in recent years due to its application in several issues of image processing, control theory, decision making, dynamic economy, optimization theory etc. Due to the increasing in complexity of environment, change of climate and inherent nature of human thought, crisp values are insufficient to make real life decision making problems. In these uncertain environments, parameters of the mathematical models are accepted as uncertain, which are usually considered in linguistic sense or in probabilistic sense. However, it is not always convenient to build appropriate membership function and probability distribution function to handle the linguistic parameters and probabilistic parameters respectively. To avoid this difficulty, in recent times, the uncertain parameters are considered as intervals, where the upper and lower bounds of the parameters are estimated from the historical data. In that case, the functions involved in the model have bounded parameters and known as interval valued functions. Interval analysis plays an important role to handle these functions. Calculus of set valued function is based on generalized Hukuhara difference(gH-difference) which is explored in Refs.[1-7]. Since the interval valued function is a particular case of set valued function, so gH difference $\left(\ominus_{g H}\right)$ is defined for two intervals and used in uncertainty theory including interval analysis, fuzzy set theory, interval optimization, interval differential equations etc.(see Refs. [8-14]). So far, calculus of interval valued function
is widely studied and applied in different types of mathematical models, but expansion of interval valued function remains an untouched area of research. The present contribution has addressed this gap to some extent. Rall [15] developed interval version of mean value theorem and Taylor's theorem using interval inclusion property and Gateaux type derivative. In this article, generalized Hukuhara difference is used to study the expansion of interval valued functions from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to the set of intervals with the help of $\mu$ monotonic property.
An interval valued function $\hat{f}$ may be treated either as the image extension of a real valued function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, represented by $\hat{f}(\hat{A})=\{f(x): x \in \hat{A}, \hat{A}$ is a closed interval vector $\}$ or as a function from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to the set of intervals, whose parameters are intervals and arguments are real. For example, image extension of a real valued function $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=2 x_{1}+3 x_{2}$ over an interval vector $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=([1,3],[0,2])$ is $\hat{f}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=2[1,3]+3[0,2]$, where as an example of the second category interval function may be $\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=[1,4] x_{1}^{2}+[0,1] x_{2}$. Several numerical algorithms are designed using the concept of image extension of real valued functions to compute the rigorous bounds of approximate errors while solving system of equations, determining the bounds for exact value of integrals, and other scientific computations. For the existing literature in this area the readers may see Refs.[15-20]. This article has focused on the second type interval valued functions ( $\hat{f}$ from $R^{n}$ to the set of closed intervals), whose arguments are real variables and parameters are intervals.

Contribution of the paper is explored in different sections. Some notations and preliminaries on interval analysis are discussed in Section 2. $\mu$ - monotonic property of interval valued function of single variable is developed in the existing theory of interval analysis [21]. Using this concept, $\mu$ - monotonicity of interval valued function over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is introduced in Section 3 and calculus of interval valued function over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is revisited. In Section 4, expansion of interval valued functions in higher dimension is developed using the concept of previous section. Numerical examples are provided for the justification of the theoretical developments.

## 2 Some notations and preliminaries

Let $I(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all closed intervals on the real line $\mathbb{R} . \hat{a} \in I(\mathbb{R})$ is the closed interval of the form $[\underline{a}, \bar{a}]$ where $\underline{a} \leq \bar{a}$. Spread of the interval $\hat{a}$ is denoted by $\mu(\hat{a})$, where $\mu(\hat{a})=\bar{a}-\underline{a}$. For two points $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, (not necessarily $a_{1} \leq a_{2}$ ), $\hat{a}$ can be written as $\hat{a}=\left[a_{1} \vee a_{2}\right]=\left[\min \left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}, \max \left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}\right]$. Any real number $x$ can be expressed as a degenerate interval denoted by $\hat{x}, \hat{x}=[x, x]$ or $x . \hat{I}$, where $\hat{I}=[1,1]$. $\hat{0}=[0,0]=0 . \hat{I}$ denotes the null interval.
Algebraic operation between two intervals $\hat{a}, \hat{b}$ is defined as $\hat{a} \circledast \hat{b}=\{a * b \mid a \in \hat{a}, b \in \hat{b}\}$, where $* \in\{+,-, \cdot, /\}$. Additive inverse in $\langle I(\mathbb{R}), \oplus, \odot\rangle$ may not exist, that is, $\hat{a} \ominus \hat{a}$ is not necessarily $\hat{0}$ according to this approach. To overcome this difficulty, the $g H$ difference
between two intervals is defined by $\mathbf{L}$. Stefanini [14]. For $\hat{a}, \hat{b} \in I(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\hat{a} \ominus_{g H} \hat{b}=[\min \{\underline{a}-\underline{b}, \bar{a}-\bar{b}\}, \max \{\underline{a}-\underline{b}, \bar{a}-\bar{b}\}] .
$$

This is the most generalized concept of interval difference used in interval calculus so far. As per this difference, for the intervals $\hat{a}, \hat{b}$ and $\hat{c}, \ominus_{g H} \hat{a}=\hat{0} \ominus_{g H} \hat{a}=(-1) \odot \hat{a}$ and

$$
\hat{a} \ominus_{g H} \hat{b}=\hat{c} \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{a}=\hat{b} \oplus \hat{c}  \tag{1}\\
\text { or } \\
\hat{a} \oplus(-1) \hat{c}=\hat{b}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Product of an interval with a real number, product of an interval vector with a real vector and product of a real matrix with an interval vector are defined as follows which are used throughout the article.

1. For $a \in \mathbb{R}, \hat{b}=[\underline{b}, \bar{b}] \in I(\mathbb{R}), a \hat{b}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}{[a \underline{b}, a \bar{b}] \text { if } a \geq 0} \\ {[a \bar{b}, a \underline{b}] \text { if } a \leq 0}\end{array}\right.$.
2. For $p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\hat{q}=\left(\hat{q}_{1}, \hat{q}_{2}, \cdots, \hat{q}_{n}\right)^{T} \in(I(\mathbb{R}))^{n}, p^{T} \hat{q}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \hat{q}_{i}$.
3. For a real matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{n \times m} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and an interval vector $\hat{q}=\left(\hat{q}_{1}, \hat{q}_{2}, \cdots, \hat{q}_{n}\right)^{T} \in$ $(I(\mathbb{R}))^{n}$,
$A^{T} \hat{q}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i 1} \hat{q}_{i}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i 2} \hat{q}_{i}, \quad \cdots, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i m} \hat{q}_{i}\right)^{T}$
An interval valued function $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ can be expressed in the form $\hat{f}(x)=[\underline{f}(x), \bar{f}(x)]$, where $\underline{f}(x) \leq \bar{f}(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \underline{f}, \bar{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
Spread of $\hat{f}(x)$ is denoted by $\mu_{\hat{f}}(x) \triangleq \bar{f}(x)-\underline{f}(x)$.

Some existing results on $g H$-differentiability (which are based on $g H$-difference) are provided in this section for the interval valued function $\hat{f}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Limit and continuity of an interval valued function are understood in the sense of metric structure of $g H$ difference using Hausdorff distance between intervals as discussed in Ref. [14].

Definition 1 (Stefanini and Bede [14]). Generalized Hukuhara derivative of $\hat{f}:\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \subseteq$ $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ at $x_{0} \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ is defined as $\hat{f}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{f}\left(x_{0}+h\right) \ominus_{g H} \hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right)}{h}$.

Definition 2 (R Osuna-Gómez et. al[22]). For an interval valued function $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$, if $\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h_{i}}\left(\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots x_{i}+h_{i}, \cdots x_{n}\right) \ominus_{g H} \hat{f}(x)\right)$ exists, then we say that the partial derivative of $\hat{f}$ with respect to $x_{i}$ exists and the limiting value is denoted by $\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$.

Definition 3 (S. Markov [21]). $\hat{f}: \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be $\mu$-increasing in $\Omega$ if $\mu_{\hat{f}}(x)$ is increasing in $\Omega$, that is, $\mu_{\hat{f}}\left(x_{1}\right) \leq \mu_{\hat{f}}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \Omega$, satisfying $x_{1}<x_{2}$, otherwise $\hat{f}$ is called $\mu$-decreasing in $\Omega . \hat{f}$ is said to be monotonic in $\Omega$ if it is either $\mu$-increasing or $\mu$-decreasing in $\Omega$.
$\ominus_{g H} \hat{f}(x)=\hat{0} \ominus_{g H} \hat{f}(x)=(-1) \hat{f}(x)$. So $\mu_{\left(\ominus_{g H} \hat{f}\right)}(x)=\mu_{\hat{f}}(x)$. Hence, $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ is $\mu$ increasing implies $\ominus_{g H} \hat{f}$ is also $\mu$-increasing. An interval valued function may be neither $\mu$-increasing nor $\mu$-decreasing in $\mathbb{R}$. (Example $\hat{f}(x)=[1,3] x^{2}, x \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

## 3 Calculus of $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ using $\mu$ monotonic property

$\mu$-monotonic property of an interval valued function plays an important role while developing calculus of interval valued function in higher dimension. In the light of $\mu$ monotonic property of interval valued function in single variable in [21], we first focus on $\mu$-monotonicity in higher dimension.

Consider $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R}), \hat{f}(x)=[\underline{f}(x), \bar{f}(x)], \underline{f}, \bar{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Denote $\Lambda_{n} \triangleq\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ and $\left(x: i h_{i}\right) \triangleq\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{i}+h_{i}, \ldots x_{n}\right) . \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Definition 4 (Component-wise $\mu$-monotonic property). $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be

- $\mu$-increasing in $\Omega$ with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component if $\mu_{\hat{f}}(x) \leq \mu_{\hat{f}}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)$ whenever $x_{i}<x_{i}+h_{i}$, $\forall x,\left(x: i h_{i}\right) \in \Omega$,
- $\mu$-decreasing in $\Omega$ with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component if $\left.\mu_{\hat{f}}(x)\right) \geq \mu_{\hat{f}}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)$ whenever $x_{i}<x_{i}+h_{i}, \forall x,\left(x: i h_{i}\right) \in \Omega$,
- $\mu$-monotonic with respect to $x_{i}$ if it is either $\mu$-increasing or $\mu$-decreasing with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component,
- strictly $\mu$-increasing (decreasing) in $\Omega$ with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component if $\mu_{\hat{f}}(x)<(>$ ) $\mu_{\hat{f}}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)$ whenever $x_{i}<x_{i}+h_{i}, \forall x,\left(x: i h_{i}\right) \in \Omega$, (In a similar way other strictly $\mu$ monotonic properties can be defined.)
- non $\mu$-monotonic with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component if either $\hat{f}$ is $\mu$-increasing with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component when $x_{i}+h_{i}<x_{i}, h_{i}<0$ and $\mu$-decreasing with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component when $x_{i}<x_{i}+h_{i}, h_{i}>0$, or $\mu$-decreasing with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component when $x_{i}+h_{i}<x_{i}, h_{i}<0$ and $\mu$-increasing with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component when $x_{i}<x_{i}+h_{i}, h_{i}>0$.

Using this definition it is easy to show that if $\mu_{\hat{f}}$ is differentiable at $x$ (that is $\underline{f}$ and $\bar{f}$ are differentiable at $x$ ), then $\hat{f}$ is $\mu$-increasing or $\mu$-decreasing at $x$ with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component if $\frac{\partial \mu_{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}} \geq 0$ or $\frac{\partial \mu_{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}} \leq 0$ respectively.
Note 1. From Definition 2, one may note that existence of partial derivative of an interval valued function at a point may not guarantee the existence of partial derivatives of the lower and upper bound functions at that point.
Consider $\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\hat{a} x_{1} \oplus \hat{b} x_{2}^{2}$ for $\hat{a}, \hat{b} \in I(\mathbb{R})$, where $\mu(\hat{a})>0$. Therefore $\underline{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=$ $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\underline{a} x_{1}+\underline{b} x_{2}^{2} & \text { if } x_{1} \geq 0 \\ \bar{a} x_{1}+\underline{b} x_{2}^{2} & \text { if } x_{1}<0\end{array}\right.$.
$\bar{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\bar{a} x_{1}+\bar{b} x_{2}^{2} & \text { if } x_{1} \geq 0 \\ \underline{a} x_{1}+\bar{b} x_{2}^{2} & \text { if } x_{1}<0\end{array}\right.$.
One can easily check that $\frac{\partial \hat{f}(0,0)}{\partial x_{1}}=\hat{a}$, where as $\frac{\partial \underline{f}(0,0)}{\partial x_{1}}$ and $\frac{\partial \bar{f}(0,0)}{\partial x_{1}}$ do not exist.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\Omega$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, $\hat{f}: \Omega \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ be $\hat{f}(x)=[\underline{f}(x), \bar{f}(x)]$.

1. If $\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ and $\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ exist, then $\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ exists and $\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}=\left[\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}} \vee \frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right]$.
2. Suppose $\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ exists.
(a) If $\hat{f}$ is non $\mu$-monotonic with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component in $n b d(x)$ and if the lateral partial derivatives of $\underline{f}$ and $\bar{f}$ respectively with respect to $x_{i}$ i.e. $\left(\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-},\left(\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+}$ and $\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-},\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+}$exist, then $\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-}=\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+} ;\left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+}=\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-}$ hold and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}} & =\left[\min \left\{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-},\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-}\right\}, \max \left\{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-},\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-}\right\}\right] \\
& =\left[\min \left\{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+},\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+}\right\}, \max \left\{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+},\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+}\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) If $\hat{f}$ is $\mu$-monotonic with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component in $\operatorname{nbd}(x)$ then

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right]} & \text { if } \hat{f} \text { is } \mu \text {-increasing } \\
{\left[\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right]} & \text { if } \hat{f} \text { is } \mu \text {-decreasing }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Proof. 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}} & =\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h_{i}}\left(\hat{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right) \ominus_{g H} \hat{f}(x)\right) \\
& =\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h_{i}}\left(\left[\underline{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right), \bar{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)\right] \ominus_{g H}[\underline{f}(x), \bar{f}(x)]\right) \\
& =\left[\left(\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0} \underline{f(x: i h)-\underline{f}(x)} h_{i}\right) \vee\left(\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\bar{f}(x: i h)-\bar{f}(x)}{h_{i}}\right)\right] \\
& =\left[\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}} \vee \frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right]\left(\text { Since } \frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}} \text { and } \frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right. \text { exist.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ exists.
2. (a) Suppose $\hat{f}$ is non $\mu$-monotonic with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component. Then $\hat{f}$ is $\mu$ increasing
( $\mu$-decreasing) when $x_{i}+h_{i}<x_{i}, h_{i}<0$ and $\mu$-decreasing ( $\mu$-increasing) when $x_{i}<x_{i}+h_{i}, h_{i}>0$.
That is, $\mu_{\hat{f}}\left(x: i h_{i}\right) \leq(\geq) \mu_{\hat{f}}(x)$ whenever $x_{i}+h_{i}<x_{i}, h_{i}<0$ and $\mu_{\hat{f}}\left(x: i h_{i}\right) \leq(\geq) \mu_{\hat{f}}(x)$ whenever $x_{i}<x_{i}+h_{i}, h_{i}>0$. Hence

$$
\bar{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\bar{f}(x) \leq(\geq) \underline{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\underline{f}(x) \text { whenever } x_{i}+h_{i}<x_{i}, h_{i}<0
$$

and

$$
\bar{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\bar{f}(x) \leq(\geq) \underline{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\underline{f}(x) \text { whenever } x_{i}<x_{i}+h_{i}, h_{i}>0 .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\underline{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\underline{f}(x)}{h_{i}} \leq(\geq) \frac{\bar{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\bar{f}(x)}{h_{i}} & \text { whenever } x_{i}+h_{i}<x_{i}, h_{i}<0 \\
\frac{\bar{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\bar{f}(x)}{h_{i}} \leq(\geq) \frac{\underline{f\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\underline{f}(x)}}{h_{i}} & \text { whenever } x_{i}<x_{i}+h_{i}, h_{i}>0 \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

Since $\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ exists, so

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}=\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0^{-}} & \frac{1}{h_{i}}\left(\hat{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right) \ominus_{g H} \hat{f}(x)\right)=\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{h_{i}}\left(\hat{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right) \ominus_{g H} \hat{f}(x)\right)  \tag{4}\\
\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}} & =\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0^{-}} \frac{1}{h_{i}}\left(\hat{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right) \ominus_{g H} \hat{f}(x)\right) \\
& =\left[\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0^{-}} \frac{f\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\underline{f}(x)}{h_{i}} \vee \lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0^{-}} \frac{\bar{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\bar{f}(x)}{h_{i}}\right] \\
& =\left[\left(\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-} \vee\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

From (2)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}= \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-},\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-}\right]_{\text {if } \hat{f}} \text { is } \mu \text { increasing whenever } x_{i}+h_{i}<x_{i}, h_{i}<0} \\
\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-},\left(\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-} \\
\text {if } \hat{f} \text { is } \mu \text { decreasing whenever } x_{i}+h_{i}<x_{i}, h_{i}<0
\end{array}\right. \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly from (3), it is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}} \\
& =\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{h_{i}}\left(\hat{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right) \ominus_{g H} \hat{f}(x)\right) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+},\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+}\right]} \\
-\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(x)\right. \\
\text { if } \left.\hat{f} \text { is } \mu \text { decreasing whenever } x_{i}<\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+}\right] \\
\text {if } \hat{f} \text { is } \mu \text { increasing whenever } x_{i}<h_{i}, h_{i}>0
\end{array}\right. \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\hat{f}$ is non $\mu$ monotonic with respect to $x_{i}$ and $\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ exist, from (5) and (6), $\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-}=\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+}$and $\left(\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+}=\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-}$hold.

Combining (4), (5) and (6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}} & =\left[\min \left\{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-},\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-}\right\}, \max \left\{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-},\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{-}\right\}\right] \\
& =\left[\min \left\{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+},\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+}\right\}, \max \left\{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+},\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{+}\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) If $\hat{f}$ is $\mu$-increasing with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component in $n b d(x)$ then $\mu_{\hat{f}}(x) \leq \mu_{\hat{f}}\left(x: i h_{i}\right) \quad$ for $x_{i}<x_{i}+h_{i}$. Hence

$$
\frac{\underline{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\underline{f}(x)}{h_{i}} \leq \frac{\bar{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\bar{f}(x)}{h_{i}}
$$

Similarly, if $\hat{f}$ is $\mu$-decreasing with respect to $i^{\text {th }}$ component then

$$
\frac{\bar{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\bar{f}(x)}{h_{i}} \leq \frac{f(x: i h)-\underline{f}(x)}{h_{i}}
$$

Since $\hat{f}$ is $\mu$ monotonic and $\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ exists, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}} \\
& =\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h_{i}}\left(\hat{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right) \ominus_{g H} \hat{f}(x)\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}{\left[\left(\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\underline{f\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-f}{ }^{-f}(x)}{h_{i}}\right),\left(\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\overline{f\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\bar{f}(x)}}{h_{i}}\right)\right]} & \text { if } \hat{f} \text { is } \mu-\text { increasing in } n b d(x) \\
\left.-\left(\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\overline{\bar{f}}\left(x: h_{i}\right)-\bar{f}(x)}{h_{i}}\right),\left(\lim _{h_{i} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\underline{f}\left(x: i h_{i}\right)-\underline{f}(x)}{h_{i}}\right)\right] & \text { if } \hat{f} \text { is } \mu-\text { decreasing in } n b d(x)\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}{\left[\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right.} & \text { if } \hat{f} \text { is } \mu-\text { increasing in } \Omega \\
\left.\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right] & \text { if } \hat{f} \text { is } \mu-\text { decreasing in } \Omega\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the theorem follows.
Gradient of interval valued function at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an interval vector and is denoted by

$$
\nabla \hat{f}(x) \triangleq\left(\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{2}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{n}}\right)^{T}
$$

Following result is from Ref. [22], the $g H$ - differentiability of $\hat{f}$ over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Definition 5 (R Osuna-Gómez et. al[22]). If all the partial derivatives of $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ exist and continuous in the neighbourhood of $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then $\hat{f}$ is $g H$-differentiable at $x$.

Following this definition, in the light of calculus of real valued function of several variables, the gH differentiability of $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ may be restated in terms of interval valued error function. For a gH differentiable function $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$, partial derivatives of $\hat{f}$ exists and there exists an interval valued error function
$\hat{E}_{x}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$, satisfying $\lim _{\|h\| \rightarrow 0} \hat{E}_{x}(h)=\hat{0}$ such that
$\hat{w}\left(\hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right) ; h\right) \ominus_{g H} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i} \frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=\left(\|h\| \hat{E}_{x}(h)\right)$ hold. Using $g H$-difference (1), this concept can be stated in following form.
An interval valued function $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ is gH differentiable at $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ if $\nabla \hat{f}(x) \in(I(\mathbb{R}))^{n}$ exists and there exists an interval valued error function $\hat{E}_{x}(h) \in I(\mathbb{R}), h \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{w}(\hat{f}(x) ; h)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i} \frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \oplus\left(\|h\| \hat{E}_{x}(h)\right)  \tag{7}\\
\text { or } \\
\hat{w}(\hat{f}(x) ; h) \oplus(-1)\left(\|h\| \hat{E}_{x}(h)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i} \frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

hold for $\|h\|<\delta$ for some $\delta>0$ with $\lim _{\|h\| \rightarrow 0} \hat{E}_{x}(h)=\hat{0}$. This form will be useful to study the differentiability of composite interval valued function in next theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$, denoted by $\hat{f}(x) \triangleq \hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$, is an interval valued $g H$ differentiable function at $x_{0}$ and $u: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ denoted by $u(t) \triangleq\left(u_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{m}\right) \quad u_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{m}\right)\right.$ is differentiable at ' $a$ ' with Jacobian matrix $D u(a)$ of order $n \times m$. If $x_{0}=u(a)$ then the composite function $\hat{G} \triangleq \hat{f} \circ u: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ is $g H$ differentiable at $a$, and $\nabla \hat{G}(a)=$ $D u(a)^{T} \nabla \hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. Since $x_{0}=u(a)$, the composition function $\hat{\Phi}:=\hat{f} \circ u: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ is defined in the neighbourhood of $a$. For sufficiently small $\|h\|$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{w}(\hat{G}(a) ; h)=\hat{G}(a+h) \ominus_{g H} \hat{G}(a) & =\hat{f}(u(a+h)) \ominus_{g H} \hat{f}(u(a)) \\
& =\hat{f}\left(x_{0}+v\right) \ominus_{g H} \hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right), \text { where } v=u(a+h)-x_{0} \\
& =\hat{w}\left(\hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right) ; v\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\hat{f}$ is $g H$-differentiable, from (7) and (8) there exists an error function $\hat{E}_{x_{0}}(h)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hat{w}\left(\hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right) ; v\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \frac{\partial \hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \oplus\|v\| \hat{E}_{x_{0}}(v) \\
\text { or } \\
\hat{w}\left(\hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right) ; v\right) \oplus(-1)\|v\| \hat{E}_{x_{0}}(v)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \frac{\partial \hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

hold for $\|v\|<\delta^{\prime}$ with $\delta^{\prime}>0$ where $\lim _{\|v\| \rightarrow 0} \hat{E}_{x_{0}}(v)=\hat{0}$. Using Taylor's expansion for $u$ at $a$,

$$
\begin{align*}
v & =u(a+h)-x_{0}=D u(a) h+\|h\| E_{a}(h)  \tag{12}\\
\text { for }\|h\| & <\delta \text { with } \delta>0 \text { where } \lim _{\|h\| \rightarrow 0} E_{a}(h)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Ignoring the error term, $v \approx D u(a) h$. From (10) and (11),

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hat{w}\left(\hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right) ; v\right) \approx(D u(a) h)^{T} \nabla \hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right) \oplus\|h\| \frac{\|v\|}{\|h\|} \hat{E}_{x_{0}}(v) \\
\quad \text { or } \\
\hat{w}\left(\hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right) ; v\right) \oplus(-1)\|h\| \frac{\|v\|}{\|h\|} \hat{E}_{x_{0}}(v) \approx(D u(a) h)^{T} \nabla \hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{14}
\end{array}
$$

hold. From (12), $\|h\| \rightarrow 0$ implies $\|v\| \rightarrow 0 . \frac{\|v\|}{\|h\|}$ remains bounded as $\|h\| \rightarrow 0$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v\| & \leq\|D u(a) h\|+\|h\|\left\|E_{a}(h)\right\| \\
& \leq\|h\|\left(M+\left\|E_{a}(h)\right\|\right) \text { where } M \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla u_{i}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $h \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, D u(a) h=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{j} \frac{\partial u_{i}(a)}{\partial t_{j}}\right)_{n \times 1} \forall i=1,2, \cdots, n$. Therefore $v_{i} \approx \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{j} \frac{\partial u_{i}(a)}{\partial t_{j}} \forall i=1,2, \cdots, n$.
From (9), (13) and (14),

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hat{w}(\hat{G}(a) ; h)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{j} \frac{\partial u_{i}(a)}{\partial t_{j}}\right) \frac{\partial \hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \oplus\|h\| \hat{E}(h) \\
\hat{o}(\hat{G}(a) ; h) \oplus(-1)\|h\| \hat{E}(h)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{j} \frac{\partial u_{i}(a)}{\partial t_{j}}\right) \frac{\partial \hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

hold where $\hat{E}(h)=\frac{\|v\|}{\|h\|} \hat{E}_{x_{0}}(v)$ and $\hat{E}(h) \rightarrow \hat{0}$ as $\|h\| \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\hat{G}$ is gH differentiable at $a$ and from the above expression, $\nabla \hat{G}(a)=D u(a)^{T} \nabla \hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Corollary 3.3. In particular for $m=1$ (i.e. for $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ ), the composite function $\hat{g} \triangleq$ $\hat{f} \circ u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ is $g H$ differentiable at a, and $\hat{g}^{\prime}(a)=D u(a)^{T} \nabla \hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}^{\prime}(a) \frac{\partial \hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{i}}$ where $D u(a)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}u_{1}^{\prime}(a) & u_{2}^{\prime}(a) & \cdots & u_{n}^{\prime}(a)\end{array}\right)^{T}$.
Proof of this result is straight forward from the above theorem.
Note 2. From Corollary 3.3, one may observe that the expression for $\underline{g}^{\prime}(a)$ and $\bar{g}^{\prime}(a)$ may not coincide with either the expression $D u(a)^{T} \nabla \underline{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$ or $D u(a)^{T} \nabla \bar{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$ in general. Under certain restrictions this condition may hold, which is discussed below.

1. If $\hat{f}$ is $\mu$ increasing (decreasing) with respect to $x_{i}$ at $x_{0} \forall i$ and $u_{i}$ is monotonically increasing (decreasing) at $a \forall i$, then $\underline{g}^{\prime}(a)=D u(a)^{T} \nabla \underline{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\bar{g}^{\prime}(a)=$ $D u(a)^{T} \nabla \bar{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$.
2. If $\hat{f}$ is $\mu$ decreasing (increasing) with respect to $x_{i}$ at $x_{0} \forall i$ and $u_{i}$ is monotonically increasing (decreasing) at a $\forall i$, then $\underline{g}^{\prime}(a)=D u(a)^{T} \nabla \bar{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\bar{g}^{\prime}(a)=$ $D u(a)^{T} \nabla \underline{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

The basic idea in Theorem 3.1 can be extended to study the higher order partial derivative of interval valued function.

Proposition 1. 1. If the partial derivatives of $\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ and $\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ exist with respect to $x_{j}$, then the partial derivative of $\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ also exists with respect to $x_{j}$ and

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}=\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}} \vee \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}\right]
$$

2. If $\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}$ exists and $\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ is $\mu$ monotonic with respect to $x_{j}$, then the partial derivatives of $\frac{\partial \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ and $\frac{\partial \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ also exist with respect to $x_{j}$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}{\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{f}(x)}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{f}(x)}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}\right]}\end{array}\right]$ if $\frac{\partial \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}$ is $\mu$ increasing with respect to $x_{j}$.
Proof. The proof of this proposition directly follows from proof of Theorem 3.1.
The Hessian of $\hat{f}(x)$ is an $n \times n$ interval matrix denoted by $\nabla^{2} \hat{f}(x)$ whose $(i j)^{t h}$ component is an interval $\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{f}(x)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}$.

Example 1. $\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=[1,2] x_{1}^{3} e^{[1,2] x_{2}}$. Then
$\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}{\left[x_{1}^{3} e^{x_{2}}, 2 x_{1}^{3} e^{2 x_{2}}\right]} & \text { when } x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0 \\ {\left[2 x_{1}^{3} e^{2 x_{2}}, x_{1}^{3} e^{x_{2}}\right]} & \text { when } x_{1} \leq 0, x_{2} \geq 0 \\ {\left[2 x_{1}^{3} e^{x_{2}}, x_{1}^{3} e^{2 x_{2}}\right]} & \text { when } x_{1} \leq 0, x_{2} \leq 0 \\ {\left[x_{1}^{3} e^{2 x_{2}}, 2 x_{1}^{3} e^{x_{2}}\right]} & \text { when } x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \leq 0\end{array}\right.$.
Consider $\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left[2 x_{1}^{3} e^{x_{2}}, x_{1}^{3} e^{2 x_{2}}\right]$ for $x_{1} \leq 0, x_{2} \leq 0$. $\mu_{\hat{f}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{3} e^{2 x_{2}}-2 x_{1}^{3} e^{x_{2}} \cdot \hat{f}$ is $\mu$ decreasing with respect to $x_{1}$ and $\mu$ increasing with respect to $x_{2}$.
Therefore $\frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial x_{1}}=\left[3 x_{1}^{2} e^{2 x_{2}}, 6 x_{1}^{2} e^{x_{2}}\right], \frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial x_{2}}=\left[2 x_{1}^{3} e^{x_{2}}, 2 x_{1}^{3} e^{2 x_{2}}\right]$.
$\frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial x_{1}}$ and $\frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial x_{2}}$, both are $\mu$ decreasing with respect to $x_{1}$ and $\mu$ increasing with respect to $x_{2}$. Therefore $\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{f}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}=\left[12 x_{1} e^{x_{2}}, 6 x_{1} e^{2 x_{2}}\right], \frac{\partial^{2} \hat{f}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}=\left[2 x_{1}^{3} e^{x_{2}}, 4 x_{1}^{3} e^{2 x_{2}}\right], \frac{\partial^{2} \hat{f}}{\partial x_{1} x_{2}}=\left[6 x_{1}^{2} e^{2 x_{2}}, 6 x_{1}^{2} e^{x_{2}}\right]=$ $\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{f}}{\partial x_{2} x_{1}}$.
Hence Hessian of $\hat{f}$ at $(-1,-1)$ becomes $\nabla^{2} \hat{f}(-1,-1)=\left(\begin{array}{c}{\left[-12 e^{-1},-6 e^{-2}\right]} \\ {\left[6 e^{-2}, 6 e^{-1}\right]}\end{array} \quad\left[6 e^{-2}, 6 e^{-1}\right] \quad\left[-2 e^{-1},-4 e^{-2}\right]\right)$.

## 4 Expansion of interval valued function

### 4.1 Expansion of interval valued function over $\mathbb{R}$

From Definition 1, one may conclude that $\hat{f}$ is n times gH differentiable at $x$ if $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{f}^{(n-1)}(x+h) \theta_{g H} \hat{f}^{(n-1)}(x)}{h}$ exists. The limiting value is called the $n^{\text {th }}$ order gH derivative of $\hat{f}$ at $x$ and denoted by $\hat{f}^{n}(x)$.

Proposition 2. Suppose $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real valued differentiable function and $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $I(\mathbb{R})$ be first order $g H$ differentiable and $\mu$ monotonic function. Then $(g \hat{f})$ is $g H$ differentiable and $(g \hat{f})^{\prime}(x)=\left[(g \underline{f})^{\prime}(x) \vee(g \bar{f})^{\prime}(x)\right]$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{gathered}
(g \hat{f})^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(g \hat{f})(x+h) \ominus_{g H}(g \hat{f})(x)}{h} \\
=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x+h)[\underline{f}(x+h), \bar{f}(x+h)] \ominus_{g H} g(x)[\underline{f}(x), \bar{f}(x)]}{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $g$ is differentiable, for sufficiently small $h, g(x+h)$ and $g(x)$ are of same sign.

$$
(g \hat{f})^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left[\frac{g(x+h) \underline{f}(x+h)-g(x) \underline{f}(x)}{h} \vee \frac{g(x+h) \bar{f}(x+h)-g(x) \bar{f}(x)}{h}\right]
$$

Since $\hat{f}$ is gH differentiable and $\mu$-monotonic and $g$ is differentiable, $g \underline{f}$ and $g \bar{f}$ are differentiable. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x+h) \underline{f}(x+h)-g(x) \underline{f}(x)}{h} \\
= & \lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x+h)-g(x)}{h} \underline{f}(x)+\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} g(x+h) \underline{\underline{f}(x+h)-\underline{f}(x)} \\
= & g^{\prime}(x) \underline{f}(x)+g(x) \underline{f^{\prime}}(x)=(g \underline{f})^{\prime}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar way, it is easy to verify that $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x+h) \bar{f}(x+h)-g(x) \bar{f}(x)}{h}=(g \bar{f})^{\prime}(x)$. Hence $(g \hat{f})^{\prime}(x)=\left[(g \underline{f})^{\prime}(x) \vee(g \bar{f})^{\prime}(x)\right]$.

Chalco et. al. [2] justified that the concept of $g H$ difference is same as Markov difference $\left(\ominus_{M}\right)$, introduced by S. Markov [23] in case of compact set of intervals. Therefore Theorem 7 and Theorem 9 from Ref. [21] can be restated in terms of gH difference as in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 below. Theorem 4.1 is same as Theorem 7 of Ref. [21] and Theorem 4.2 is same as Theorem 9 of Ref. [21], obtained by replacing Markov difference with gH difference. Hence the proofs are omitted. These two results are used for the theoretical developments in future part of this article.

Theorem 4.1 (S. Markov [21]). Suppose $\hat{f}, \hat{g}: \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ are $\mu$-monotonic and $g H$ differentiable in $\Omega$.
(i) If $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{g}$ are equally $\mu$-monotonic (both are $\mu$-increasing or $\mu$-decreasing) then $(\hat{f} \oplus$ $\hat{g})^{\prime}=\hat{f}^{\prime} \oplus \hat{g}^{\prime}$ and $\left(\hat{f} \ominus_{g H} \hat{g}\right)^{\prime}=\hat{f}^{\prime} \ominus_{g H} \hat{g}^{\prime} ;$
(ii) If $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{g}$ are differently $\mu$-monotonic(one is $\mu$-increasing and the other is $\mu$-decreasing) then $(\hat{f} \oplus \hat{g})^{\prime}=\hat{f}^{\prime} \ominus_{g H}\left(\ominus_{g H} \hat{g}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\hat{f} \ominus_{g H} \hat{g}\right)^{\prime}=\hat{f}^{\prime} \oplus\left(\ominus_{g H} \hat{g}^{\prime}\right)$.

Theorem 4.2 (S. Markov [21]). If $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ is continuous in $\Delta$, where $\Delta=[\alpha, \beta]$ and $g H$ differentiable in $(\alpha, \beta)$, then $\hat{f}(\beta) \ominus_{g H} \hat{f}(\alpha) \subset \hat{f}^{\prime}(\Delta)(\beta-\alpha)$, where $\hat{f}^{\prime}(\Delta)=\cup_{\xi \in \Delta} \hat{f}^{\prime}(\xi)$.

Theorem 4.3 (Expansion theorem of single variable interval valued function). Let $\hat{f}$ : $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\hat{f}^{\prime}, \hat{f}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots, \hat{f}^{n}$ exist and $\mu$-monotonic over $\Delta$, where $\Delta=[a, x]$. Moreover consider an interval valued function $\hat{\Phi}: \Delta \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ as $\hat{\Phi}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\phi}_{i}(t)$, where $\hat{\phi}_{i}(t)=\alpha_{i}(t) \hat{f}^{(i-1)}(t)$ with $\alpha_{i}(t)=\frac{(x-t)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}$ such that $\hat{\phi}_{i}$ is $\mu$ monotonic for each $i$. Then for any $x \in(a, x]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{f}(x) \ominus_{g H}\left\{\hat{f}(a) \oplus(x-a) \hat{f}^{\prime}(a) \oplus\right. & \left.\frac{(x-a)^{2}}{2!} \hat{f}^{\prime \prime}(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{(x-a)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \hat{f}^{n-1}(a)\right\}  \tag{15}\\
& \subset \cup_{\theta \in[0,1]} \frac{(x-a)^{n}(1-\theta)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \hat{f}^{n}(a+\theta(x-a))
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. In explicit form, $\hat{\Phi}(t)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Phi}(t)=\hat{f}(t) \oplus(x-t) \hat{f}^{\prime}(t) \oplus \frac{(x-t)^{2}}{2!} \hat{f}^{\prime \prime}(t) \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{(x-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \hat{f}^{n-1}(t) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\hat{f}, \hat{f}^{\prime}, \cdots, \hat{f}^{n-1}, \hat{f}^{n}$ exist and $\mu$ monotonic over $\Delta . \alpha_{i}(t)$ is differentiable in $\Delta$, so using Proposition 2, $\hat{\phi}_{i}(t)$ is differentiable for each i. Hence differentiability of $\hat{\Phi}$ in $n b d(a)$ follows from Theorem 4.1. Here two possible cases may arise.
Case 1. Suppose for each $i, \hat{\phi}_{i}(t)$ are equally $\mu-$ monotonic in $\Delta$. Assume that each $\hat{\phi}_{i}(t)$ is $\mu$ increasing. In particular let $n$ be even and $n=2$. From (16), $\hat{\Phi}(t)=\hat{f}(t) \oplus(x-t) \hat{f}^{\prime}(t)$. From Proposition 2 and Theorem 4.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Phi}^{\prime}(t) & =\left[\underline{f^{\prime}}(t), \underline{f^{\prime}}(t)\right] \oplus\left[(x-t) \underline{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\underline{f}^{\prime}(t),(x-t) \bar{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\bar{f}^{\prime}(t)\right] \\
& =\left[(x-t) \underline{f^{\prime \prime}}(t),(x-t) \bar{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right] \\
& =\frac{(x-t)^{2-1}}{(2-1)!} \hat{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $n$ be odd and $n=3$. From (16), $\hat{\Phi}(t)=\hat{f}(t) \oplus(x-t) \hat{f}^{\prime}(t) \oplus \frac{(x-t)^{2}}{2!} \hat{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)$.
From Proposition 2 and Theorem 4.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Phi}^{\prime}(t) & =\left[\underline{f}^{\prime}(t), \underline{f}^{\prime}(t)\right] \oplus\left[(x-t) \underline{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\underline{f}^{\prime}(t),(x-t) \bar{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\bar{f}^{\prime}(t)\right] \\
& \oplus\left[\frac{(x-t)^{2}}{2!} \underline{f}^{(3)}(t)-(x-t) \underline{f}^{\prime \prime}(t), \frac{(x-t)^{2}}{2!} \bar{f}^{(3)}(t)-(x-t) \bar{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right] \\
& =\frac{(x-t)^{(3-1)}}{(3-1)!} \hat{f}^{(3)}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, $\hat{\Phi}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{(x-t)^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} \hat{f}^{(n)}(t)$.
Similar result can be derived if all $\hat{\phi}_{i}(t)$ are equally $\mu$ decreasing.
Case-2 Assume that $\hat{\phi}_{i}(t)$ s are differently $\mu$ monotonic. In that case for at least two consecutive $\hat{\phi}_{i}(t)$ s, one is $\mu$ decreasing and another is $\mu$ increasing. Let $n=2$ and $\hat{\phi}_{1}(t)$ is $\mu$ increasing and $\hat{\phi}_{2}(t)$ is $\mu$ decreasing. Then from Proposition 2 and Theorem 4.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Phi}^{\prime}(t) & =\left[\underline{f}^{\prime}(t), \underline{f}^{\prime}(t)\right] \ominus_{g H}\left\{\ominus_{g H}\left[(x-t) \bar{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\bar{f}^{\prime}(t),(x-t) \underline{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\underline{f}^{\prime}(t)\right]\right\} \\
& =\left[\underline{f^{\prime}}(t), \underline{f}^{\prime}(t)\right] \ominus_{g H}\left[\underline{f}^{\prime}(t)-(x-t) \underline{f}^{\prime \prime}(t), \bar{f}^{\prime}(t)-(x-t) \bar{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right] \\
& =\left[(x-t) \bar{f}^{\prime \prime}(t),(x-t) \underline{f^{\prime \prime}}(t)\right] \\
& =(x-t) \hat{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $n=3$ and $\hat{\phi}_{1}(t), \hat{\phi}_{3}(t)$ are $\mu$ increasing and $\hat{\phi}_{2}(t)$ is $\mu$ decreasing. Then using Proposition 2 and Theorem 4.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Phi}^{\prime}(t) & =\left[\underline{f}^{\prime}(t), \underline{f}^{\prime}(t)\right] \ominus_{g H}\left\{\ominus_{g H}\left[(x-t) \bar{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\bar{f}^{\prime}(t),(x-t) \underline{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\underline{f}^{\prime}(t)\right]\right\} \\
& \oplus\left[\frac{(x-t)^{2}}{2!} \underline{f}^{(3)}(t)-(x-t) \underline{f}^{\prime \prime}(t), \frac{(x-t)^{2}}{2!} \bar{f}^{(3)}(t)-(x-t) \bar{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right] \\
& =\frac{(x-t)^{(3-1)}}{(3-1)!} \hat{f}^{(3)}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, one can write, $\hat{\Phi}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{(x-t)^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} \hat{f}^{(n)}(t)$. From Theorem 4.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Phi}(x) \ominus_{g H} \hat{\Phi}(a) \subset(x-a) \cup_{t \in \Delta} \hat{\Phi}^{\prime}(t)=\cup_{\theta \in[0,1]} \frac{(1-\theta)^{n-1}(x-a)^{n}}{(n-1)!} \hat{f}^{n}(a+\theta(x-a)) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}(x) \ominus_{g H}\left\{\hat{f}(a) \oplus(x-a) \hat{f}^{\prime}(a) \oplus\right. & \left.\frac{(x-a)^{2}}{2!} \hat{f}^{\prime \prime}(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{(x-a)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \hat{f}^{n-1}(a)\right\} \\
& \subset \cup_{\theta \in[0,1]} \frac{(x-a)^{n}(1-\theta)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \hat{f}^{n}(a+\theta(x-a))
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the theorem.
Corollary 4.4. Suppose there exists $k>0$ and $M>0$, such that for $n$ sufficiently large, $\left\|\hat{f}^{(n)}(x)\right\|<k M^{n} \forall x \in \operatorname{nbd}(a)$. Then $\left(\frac{(x-a)^{n}(1-\theta)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right) \cup_{\theta \in[0,1]} \hat{f}^{n}(a+\theta(x-a)) \rightarrow \hat{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. $\left\|\left(\frac{(x-a)^{n}(1-\theta)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right) \hat{f}^{n}(\xi)\right\| \leqslant \frac{|x-a|^{n}(1-\theta)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} k M^{n}$ holds for any $\xi \in n b d(a)$.
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^{n-1}|x-a|^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(1-\theta)^{n-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0 & \theta \neq 0 \\ 1 & \theta=0\end{array}\right.$.
This implies $\left(\frac{(x-a)^{n}(1-\theta)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right) \hat{f}^{n}(\xi) \rightarrow \hat{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $\xi \in n b d(a)$ and hence $\left(\frac{(x-a)^{n}(1-\theta)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right) \cup_{\theta \in[0,1]} \hat{f}^{n}(a+\theta(x-a)) \rightarrow \hat{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
If the condition of Corollary 4.4 is satisfied in Theorem 4.3 for sufficiently large $n$, then

$$
\hat{f}(x) \ominus_{g H}\left\{\hat{f}(a) \oplus(x-a) \hat{f}^{\prime}(a) \oplus \frac{(x-a)^{2}}{2!} \hat{f}^{\prime \prime}(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{(x-a)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \hat{f}^{n-1}(a)\right\} \rightarrow \hat{0}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(x) \approx \hat{f}(a) \oplus(x-a) \hat{f}^{\prime}(a) \oplus \frac{(x-a)^{2}}{2!} \hat{f}^{\prime \prime}(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{(x-a)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \hat{f}^{n-1}(a) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2. Consider the expansion of $\hat{f}(x)=e^{[-1,2] x}= \begin{cases}{[\exp (-x), \exp (2 x)],} & \text { if } x \geqslant 0 \\ {[\exp (2 x), \exp (-x)],} & \text { if } x<0\end{cases}$ about $a=1$.
For $x \geq 0, \mu_{\hat{f}(x)}=\exp (2 x)-\exp (-x) . \mu_{\hat{f}}^{\prime}(x)=2 \exp (2 x)+\exp (-x)>0 \quad \forall x$.
Therefore $\hat{f}(x)$ is $\mu$-increasing and also differentiable and $\hat{f}^{\prime}(x)=\left[\underline{f^{\prime}}(x), \bar{f}^{\prime}(x)\right]=[-\exp (-x), 2 \exp (2 x)]$. $\mu_{\hat{f}^{\prime}}^{\prime}(x)=2^{2} \exp (2 x)-\exp (-x)>0$ for $x \geq 0$.
Therefore $\hat{f}^{\prime}(x)$ is $\mu$-increasing and also differentiable and $\hat{f}^{\prime \prime}(x)=[\exp (-x), 4 \exp (2 x)]$.
Proceeding in a similar way $\hat{f}^{(n)}(x)=\left[(-1)^{n} \exp (-x), 2^{n} \exp (2 x)\right]$ which is $\mu$-increasing $\forall n$.
For $\xi \in[1, x],\left\|\hat{f}^{(n)}(\xi)\right\| \leq 2^{n} \exp (2 x)$. Now $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(x-1)^{n} 2^{n}}{(n-1)!}=0$. Hence $\left\|\hat{f}^{(n)}(\xi)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore all conditions of Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 hold at $a=1$. Hence expansion of $\hat{f}(x)$ in (18) about $a=1$ becomes
$[\exp (-x), \exp (2 x)] \approx[\exp (-1), \exp (2)] \oplus(x-1)[-\exp (-1), 2 \exp (2)] \oplus \frac{(x-1)^{2}}{2}[\exp (-1), 4 \exp (2)]$.

### 4.2 Expansion of interval valued function over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

Theorem 4.5 (Expansion theorem of n variable for interval valued function). Let $\hat{f}: \Omega \subseteq$ $\mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ be $g H$ differentiable up to order $s$ on open convex subset $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\hat{f}$ and
all the partial derivatives of $\hat{f}$ up to order s are component-wise $\mu$-monotonic over $\Omega$. Moreover for any $\xi \in[0,1]$ if there exists an interval valued function $\hat{\Psi}:[0,1] \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ as $\hat{\Psi}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\psi}_{i}(t)$, where $\hat{\psi}_{i}(t)=\frac{(\xi-t)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \hat{g}^{(i-1)}(t), \hat{g}(t)=\hat{f}(\gamma(t))$ with $\gamma(t)=a+t v, v=$ $x-a$ for $a, x \in \Omega, t \in[0,1]$ such that $\hat{\psi}_{i}$ is $\mu$ monotonic for each $i$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{f}(x) \ominus_{g H}\left\{\hat{f}(a) \oplus\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \hat{f}(a)}{\partial x_{i}}\left(x_{i}-a_{i}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\frac{1}{2!} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \hat{f}(a)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\left(x_{i}-a_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-a_{j}\right)\right) \oplus \cdots\right. \\
\left.\oplus \cdots\left(\frac{1}{(s-1)!} \sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{s-1} \hat{f}(a)}{\partial x_{i 1} \ldots \partial i_{s-1}}\left(x_{i 1}-a_{i 1}\right) \ldots\left(x_{i s-1}-a_{i s-1}\right)\right)\right\} \\
\subset \cup_{c \in L . S\{a, x\}} \sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(s-1)!} \frac{\partial^{s} \hat{f}(c)}{\partial x_{i 1} \ldots \partial x_{i s}}\left(x_{i 1}-a_{i 1}\right) \ldots\left(x_{i s}-a_{i s}\right), \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

where L.S $\{a, x\}$ is the line segment joining $a$ and $x$.
Proof. $\hat{f}: \Omega \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ and $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \Omega$. Since $\Omega$ is a convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, for $a, b \in \Omega$, $a+t(b-a)$ with $t \in[0,1]$ must belongs to $\Omega$. $\hat{g}:[0,1] \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by $\hat{g}(t)=$ $\hat{f}\left(\gamma_{1}(t), \gamma_{2}(t), \cdots \gamma_{n}(t)\right)$, where $\gamma_{i}(t)=a_{i}+t\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right), \forall i=1,2, \cdots, n, t \in[0,1]$. By Corollary $3.3, \hat{g}$ is differentiable. Since $\hat{f} \mathrm{gH}$ differentiable up to order $s$ so $\hat{g}$ is also differentiable up to order $s$. Hence $\hat{\Psi}(t)$ exists. Therefore
$\hat{g}^{\prime}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}^{\prime}(t) \frac{\partial \hat{f}(\gamma(t))}{\partial x_{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right) \frac{\partial \hat{f}(\gamma(t))}{\partial x_{i}}=\nabla \hat{f}(\gamma(t))^{T} v$. Hence $\hat{g}^{\prime \prime}(t)=v^{T} \nabla^{2}(\hat{f}(\gamma(t)) v$.
By induction, $\hat{g}^{(s)}(t)=\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{s}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{\hat{f}}(\gamma(t))}{\partial x_{i 1} \partial x_{i 2} \cdots \partial x_{i s}}\left(x_{i 1}-a_{i 1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{i s}-a_{i s}\right)$
From the assumptions of the theorem, $\hat{\Psi}$ satisfies all the condition of Theorem 4.3. Using the expression of Theorem 4.3 about ' 0 ',

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{g}(t) \ominus_{g H}\left\{\hat{g}(0) \oplus t \hat{g}^{\prime}(0) \oplus \frac{t^{2}}{2!} \hat{g}^{\prime \prime}(0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{t^{(s-1)}}{(s-1)!} \hat{g}^{(s-1)}(0)\right\} \subset \cup_{\theta \in[0,1]} \frac{t^{s}}{(s-1)!} \hat{g}^{(s)}(\theta), \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular for $\mathrm{t}=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{g}(1) \ominus_{g H}\left\{\hat{g}(0) \oplus \hat{g}^{\prime}(0) \oplus \frac{1}{2!} \hat{g}^{\prime \prime}(0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \oplus \frac{1}{(s-1)!} \hat{g}^{(s-1)}(0)\right\} \subset \cup_{\theta \in[0,1]} \frac{1}{(s-1)!} \hat{g}^{(s)}(\theta) . \\
& \hat{g}(1)=\hat{f}(x), \hat{g}(0)=\hat{f}(a), \hat{g}^{\prime}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \hat{f}(a)}{\partial x_{i}}\left(x_{i}-a_{i}\right), \hat{g}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \hat{f}(a)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\left(x_{i}-a_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-a_{j}\right), \\
& \text { etc.. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(19) follows after substituting these values in (21).

Corollary 4.6. Suppose there exist $k>0$ and $M>0$, such that for sufficiently large $n$, $\left\|\frac{\partial^{s} \hat{f}(c)}{\partial x_{i 1} \ldots \partial x_{i s}}\right\|<k M^{s} \forall c \in L . S\{a, x\}$. Then

$$
\cup \sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{(s-1)!}\right) \frac{\partial^{s} \hat{f}(c)}{\partial x_{i 1} \ldots \partial x_{i s}}\left(x_{i 1}-a_{i 1}\right) \ldots\left(x_{i s}-a_{i s}\right) \rightarrow \hat{0} \quad \text { as } \quad s \rightarrow \infty
$$

Proof. For any c $\in L . S\{a, x\},\left\|\frac{\partial^{s} \hat{f}(c)}{\partial x_{i 1} \ldots \partial x_{i s}}\right\|=\max \left\{\left|\frac{\partial^{s} \underline{f}(c)}{\partial x_{i 1} \ldots \partial x_{i s}}\right|,\left|\frac{\partial^{s} \bar{f}(c)}{\partial x_{i 1} \ldots \partial x_{i s}}\right|\right\}$.
From Corollary 4.4,

$$
\left(\frac{1}{(s-1)!}\right) \sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{s} \hat{f}(c)}{\partial x_{i 1} \ldots \partial x_{i s}}\left(x_{i 1}-a_{i 1}\right) \ldots\left(x_{i s}-a_{i s}\right) \rightarrow \hat{0} \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty
$$

Therefore $\cup\left(\frac{1}{(s-1)!}\right) \sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{s} \hat{f}(c)}{\partial x_{i 1} \ldots \partial x_{i s}}\left(x_{i 1}-a_{i 1}\right) \ldots\left(x_{i s}-a_{i s}\right) \rightarrow \hat{0} \quad$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.
Following result holds as a consequence of (19) and Corollary 4.6.

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{f}(x) \approx \hat{f}(a) \oplus & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \hat{f}(a)}{\partial x_{i}}\left(x_{i}-a_{i}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\frac{1}{2!} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \hat{f}(a)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\left(x_{i}-a_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-a_{j}\right)\right) \oplus \cdots \\
& \oplus \cdots\left(\frac{1}{(s-1)!} \sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{s-1} \hat{f}(a)}{\partial x_{i 1} \ldots \partial x i_{s-1}}\left(x_{i 1}-a_{i 1}\right) \ldots\left(x_{i s-1}-a_{i s-1}\right)\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 3. Consider $\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=[-2,3] x_{1} e^{[-1,2] x_{2}}$.
$\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left[\underline{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}{\left[-2 x_{1} e^{2 x_{2}}, 3 x_{1} e^{2 x_{2}}\right],} & \text { if } x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0 \\ {\left[3 x_{1} e^{2 x_{2}},-2 x_{1} e^{2 x_{2}}\right],} & \text { if } x_{1} \leq 0, x_{2} \geq 0 \\ {\left[3 x_{1} e^{-x_{2}},-2 x_{1} e^{-x_{2}}\right],} & \text { if } x_{1} \leq 0, x_{2} \leq 0 \\ {\left[-2 x_{1} e^{-x_{2}}, 3 x_{1} e^{-x_{2}}\right]} & \text { if } x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \leq 0\end{array}\right.\right.\right.$.
Consider the quadratic expansion of $\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left[-2 x_{1} e^{2 x_{2}}, 3 x_{1} e^{2 x_{2}}\right], x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0$ about $a=(2,2)$.
$\mu_{\hat{f}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=3 x_{1} e^{2 x_{2}}+2 x_{1} e^{2 x_{2}}$. From the derivative of $\mu_{\hat{f}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ it can be easily verified
that
(i) $\hat{f}$ is $\mu$-increasing with respect to $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ both,
(ii) $\frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial x_{1}}$ is $\mu$-increasing with respect to $x_{2}$ and, $\frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial x_{2}}$ is $\mu$-increasing with respect to $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ both.
Using (22), quadratic expansion of $\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ about $(2,2)$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \approx & {\left[-4 e^{4}, 6 e^{4}\right] \oplus\left\{\left(x_{1}-2\right)\left[-2 e^{4}, 3 e^{4}\right] \oplus\left(x_{2}-2\right)\left[-8 e^{4}, 12 e^{4}\right]\right\} } \\
& \oplus\left\{\left(x_{1}-2\right)\left(x_{2}-2\right)\left[-4 e^{4}, 6 e^{4}\right] \oplus \frac{\left(x_{2}-2\right)^{2}}{2}\left[-16 e^{4}, 24 e^{4}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5 Conclusion and future scope

In this article calculus of interval valued function is discussed using $\mu$-monotonic property and composite mapping of interval valued function and real valued function is studied. Expansions of interval valued function over $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are developed using composite mapping and gH diffentiability. This expansion can provide a powerful tool for developing algorithms for solution of system of equation, least mean square problems with interval parameters, which may be considered as the future scope of the present contribution.

## Bibliography

[1] JP Aubin and A Cellina, Differential Inclusions. (Springer-Verlag. Springer, 1984).
[2] Yurilev Chalco-Cano, Heriberto Román-Flores and María-Dolores Jiménez-Gamero, Generalized derivative and $\pi$-derivative for set-valued functions, Information Sciences. 181 (2011) 2177-2188.
[3] FS De Blasi, V Lakshmikantham and T Gnana Bhaskar, An existence theorem for set differential inclusions in a semilinear metric space, Control and Cybernetics 36(2007) 571.
[4] GN Galanis, T Gnana Bhaskar, V Lakshmikantham and PK Palamides, Set valued functions in fréchet spaces: Continuity, hukuhara differentiability and applications to set differential equations, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods E Applications 61(2005) 559-575.
[5] AG M Ibrahim, On the differentiability of set-valued functions defined on a banach space and mean value theorem, Applied mathematics and computation 74(1996) 79-94.
[6] SJ Li, KW Meng and J-P Penot, Calculus rules for derivatives of multimaps, Set-Valued and Variational Analysis 17(2009) 21-39.
[7] Nguyen Ngoc Tu and Tran Thanh Tung, Stability of set differential equations and applications, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods \& Applications, 71(2009) 1526-1533.
[8] Barnabás Bede and Luciano Stefanini, Generalized differentiability of fuzzy-valued functions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 230(2013) 119-141.
[9] Yurilev Chalco-Cano, Antonio Rufián-Lizana, Heriberto Román-Flores, and María-Dolores Jiménez-Gamero, Calculus for interval-valued functions using generalized hukuhara derivative and applications, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 219(2013) 49-67.
[10] TM Costa, Yurilev Chalco-Cano, Weldon A Lodwick and Geraldo Nunes Silva, Generalized interval vector spaces and interval optimization, Information Sciences311(2015) 74-85.
[11] Vasile Lupulescu, Hukuhara differentiability of interval-valued functions and interval differential equations on time scales, Information Sciences 248(2013) 50-67.
[12] M.T. Malinowski, Interval differential equations with a second type hukuhara derivative, $A p$ plied Mathematics Letters. 24(2011) 2118-2123.
[13] Luciano Stefanini, A generalization of hukuhara difference for interval and fuzzy arithmetic, Soft Methods for Handling Variability and Imprecision, Series on Advances in Soft Computing. Vol. 48 (2008).
[14] Luciano Stefanini and Barnabas Bede, Generalized hukuhara differentiability of interval-valued functions and interval differential equations, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods \& Applications. 71(2009) 1311-1328.
[15] Louis B Rall, Mean value and taylor forms in interval analysis, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis.14(1983) 223-238.
[16] Ole Caprani and Kaj Madsen, Mean value forms in interval analysis, Computing. 25(1980) 147-154.
[17] Eldon Hansen and G William Walster, Global optimization using interval analysis: revised and expanded. Vol. 264 (CRC Press, 2003).
[18] R Baker Kearfott and Vladik Kreinovich, Applications of interval computations. Vol. 3 (Springer Science \& Business Media, 2013).
[19] Ramon E Moore, R Baker Kearfott and Michael J Cloud. Introduction to interval analysis, (Siam, 2009).
[20] Arnold Neumaier, Interval methods for systems of equations, Vol. 37. (Cambridge university press, 1990).
[21] Svetoslav Markov, Calculus for interval functions of a real variable, Computing, 22(1979) 325337.
[22] R Osuna-Gómez, Yurilev Chalco-Cano, Beatriz Hernández-Jiménez and G Ruiz-Garzón. Optimality conditions for generalized differentiable interval-valued functions, Information Sciences, 321(2015) 136-146.
[23] SM Markov, Extended interval arithmetic, CR Acad. Bulgare Sci, 30(1977) 1239-1242.

