

On algebraic solutions of first order Riccatti equation

Alexey Zharkov Saratov University 410028 Saratov, Russia posmaster@scnit.saratov.su

Abstract

In this paper we prove the following theorem. If the Riccatti equation $w' + w^2 = R(x)$, $R \in Q(x)$, has algebraic solutions then one can find a minimal polynomial defining such solutions whose coefficients are in a quadratic extension of the field Q.

1 Introduction

Kovacic [1] has given an algorithm for finding Liouvillian solutions of the second-order linear homogeneous differential equations

$$au'' + bu' + cu = 0 \tag{1}$$

with $a, b, c \in C(x)$, the set of complex rational functions of one complex variable x. Equation (1) can always, without altering its Liouvillian character, be written in the reduced form

$$y'' = Ry, \quad R \in C(x). \tag{2}$$

Using the classification of the differential Galois groups of equation (2) Kovacic has proved that there are precisely four cases that can occur.

Case 1. Equation (2) has a solution of the form $e^{\int w}$ where $w \in C(x)$.

Case 2. Equation (2) has a solution of the form $e^{\int w}$ where w is algebraic over C(x) of deg. 2, and case 1 does not hold.

Case 3. All solutions of equation (2) are algebraic over C(x) and cases 1 and 2 do not hold.

Case 4. Equation (2) has no Liouvillian solutions.

On account of this theorem the problem of integrating of equation (2) in Liouvillian functions is reduced to finding a minimal polynomial $P(w, x) \in C[w, x]$ for the function w = y'/y which is algebraic over C(x) and satisfies the Riccatti equation

$$w' + w^2 = R. ag{3}$$

The complete decision procedure for finding P(w, x)given by Kovacic [1] and implemented in a number of the computer algebra systems [2-4] is based on the knowledge of the even-order poles of R. This implies a complete splitting of even-order square free factors of the denominator of R and requires also that computations should be carried out in an algebraic extension of the constant field Q generated by the coefficients of R. In this paper we prove that the minimal polynomial P(w, x) (if it exists) can be alwyas chosen so that its coefficients are in a quadratic extension of Q. This enables to construct an algorithm for finding such polynomial which does not require computing the poles of R at all.

2 Proof of the theorem

Theorem. Let Q be a field of characteristic zero generated by the coefficients of R. If equation (3) has algebraic solutions then there exists a minimal polynomial P(w, x) for such solutions whose coefficients are in $Q(\sqrt{q}), \quad q \in Q$.

Proof. If equation (3) has an algebraic solution w then the following system of equations holds

$$P(w, x) = 0, \quad P_w(R - w^2) + P_x = 0.$$
 (4)

For fixed $deg_w P$ and $deg_x P$ equations (4) imply a system of polynomial equations over Q for the unknown coefficients of P(w, x). Computing the Groebner basis for these equations we immediately prove the theorem for the case when equation (3) has not more than 2 algebraic solutions.

Assume that for fixed $deg_w P$ and $deg_x P$ equation (3) has more than 2 algebraic solutions and show that in this case one can find the appropriate P(w, x) with coefficients in $Q(\sqrt{q})$. We shall give the proofs separately

Permission to copy without fee all or part of this material is granted provided that the copies are not made or distributed for direct commercial advantage, the ACM copyright notice and the title of the publication and its date appear, and notice is given that copying is by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery. To copy otherwise, or to republish, requires a fee and/or specific permission.

ACM-ISSAC '93-7/93/Kiev, Ukraine

 ¹⁹⁹³ ACM 0-89791-604-2/93/0007/0001...\$1.50

for the cases 1,2,3 listed above and use the following lemma.

Lemma. If equation (3) has 3 different solutions w_1, w_2, w_3 in some field F then $R = -(1/2) \cdot \{\sigma, x\}$ where $\{\sigma, x\} \equiv (\sigma''/\sigma')' - (1/2) \cdot (\sigma''/\sigma')^2$ is a Schwarzian derivative and $\sigma \in F$.

Proof of the lemma. The proposition of the lemma can be easily verified for $\sigma = e^{\int (w_1 - w_2)dx}$ taking into account that $e^{\int w_1}, e^{\int w_2}$ are linear independent solutions of equation (2) and $e^{\int w_3} = c_1 \cdot e^{\int w_1} + c_2 \cdot e^{\int w_2}$ where $c_1 \cdot c_2 \neq 0, \quad c_1, c_2 \in C.$

Proof of the theorem for the case 1. Assume that $R \in Q(x)$ and equation (3) has more than 2 solutions in C(x). Then according to the Lemma $R = -(1/2) \cdot \{\sigma, x\}$ where $\sigma \in C(x)$. In this case we can write a solution of (3) involving 2 arbitrary constants:

$$w = -(1/2) \cdot \theta + \pi'/\pi, \qquad (5)$$

$$\theta = \tau'/\tau, \quad \tau = u'v - v'u, \quad \pi = \alpha \cdot u + \beta \cdot v, \quad \alpha, \beta \in C, \quad u = num(\sigma), \quad v = den(\sigma), \quad gcd(u, v) = 1, \quad u, v, \tau, \pi \in C[x].$$

Choose α, β so that $gcd(\pi', \pi) = 1$ (it is always possible because gcd(u, v) = 1) and expand τ to a product of the square-free factors $\tau = \tau_1 \tau_2^2 \dots \tau_n^n$. Then substituting (5) into (3) and collecting the terms involving the secondorder poles we find that the proper rational function

$$R^* \equiv -(1/4) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n i(i+2)\tau_i'/\tau_i$$

is equal (up to an additive arbitrary constant) to the rational part of the integral $\int Rdx$. Hence $R^* \in Q(x)$. Since $i \neq j$ implies $i(i+2) \neq j(j+2)$, $\tau_i \in Q[x]$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Hence $\theta \in Q(x)$. Substituting (5) into (3) we find that the coefficients of the polynomial π can be determined from the equation

$$\pi'' + 2\theta \cdot \pi' + (\theta' + \theta^2 - R) \cdot \pi = 0$$

using linear algebra. Hence π may be chosen in Q[x]and $w \equiv -(1/2) \cdot \theta + \pi'/\pi \in Q(x)$. This proves the theorem for the case 1. \Box

Proof of the theorem for the case 2. Assume that $R \in Q(x)$, equation (3) has more than two solutions algebraic over C(x) of degree 2 and case 1 does not hold. Then at least two different minimal polynomials P(w, x) exist, $deg_w P = 2$, which define 4 different solutions of (3): $w_{1,2} = u \pm \sqrt{v}$, $w_{3,4} = g \pm \sqrt{h}$, $u, v, g, h \in C(x)$. The well-known relation for different 4 solutions of the Riccatti equation

$$\frac{w_3 - w_1}{w_4 - w_1} : \frac{w_3 - w_2}{w_4 - w_2} = const$$

implies $\sqrt{vh} \in C(x)$. Consequently

$$\sigma \equiv e^{\int (w_1 - w_2)dx} \equiv const \cdot \frac{w_2 - w_3}{w_3 - w_1} = a + \sqrt{b},$$
$$a, b \in C(x).$$

By the other hand, $\sigma'/\sigma \equiv w_1 - w_2 = 2\sqrt{v}$. Hence $(\sigma'/\sigma)^2 \in C(x)$, which is possible iff

$$(2a'b - ab') \cdot (2aa' - b') = 0$$

If 2a'b - ab' = 0 then $b = const \cdot a$ and $\sigma \in C(x)$. According to the lemma $R = -(1/2) \cdot \{\sigma, x\} \in Q(x)$ and (3) is solvable in Q(x). This contradicts our assumption that case 1 does not hold. Another possibility is 2aa' - b' = 0 which implies $b = a^2 + \alpha$, $\alpha \in C$. Hence $\sigma = a + (a^2 + \alpha)^{1/2}$ and $R = -(1/2) \cdot \{\sigma, x\}$. Taking into account the invariance of the Schwarzian derivative under the fractional - linear transformations we find a solution in C(x)

$$w = -(1/2) \cdot (\vartheta''/\vartheta') \in C(x), \quad \vartheta = \frac{\sigma - i\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sigma + i\sqrt{\alpha}}$$

Thus case 1 holds that contradicts our assumption. The theorem is proved for the case 2. \Box

Proof of the theorem for the case 3. Assume that $R \in Q(x)$ and case 3 holds. In this case the differential Galois group G of equation (2) is a finite algebraic subgroup of SL(2) and is conjugate either to the tetrahedral (ord G = 24), octahedral (ord G = 48) or icosahedral group (ord G = 120) [1]. Let G be a conjugate to the tetrahedral group which is generated by the matrices $((\xi, 0), (0, \xi^{-1})), ((\phi, \phi), (2\phi, -\phi))$ where $\phi = (2\xi - 1)/3$ and $\xi^2 - \xi + 1 = 0$. We fix a fundamental system of solutions (y_1, y_2) of equation (2) so that G coincides with the tetrahedral group. Then $deg_{C(x)}w_1 = deg_{C(x)}w_2 = 4$ where $w_1 = y'_1/y_1$, $w_2 = y'_2/y_2$ (see [1]). Let (z_1, z_2) be another fundamental system of solutions of equation (2) and let H be a subgroup of G that fixes z'_1/z_1 . Since G is finite, H is cyclic and $deg_{C(x)}z'_1/z_1 = deg_{C(x)}z'_2/z_2 =$ [G:H]. There are four 6-order cyclic subgroups of G which are generated respectively by the following matrices (1) a) (a b) 1...

$$((\xi, 0), (0, \xi^{-1})),$$

$$((\xi + 1, 1 - 2\xi), (2 - 4\xi, 2 - \xi)),$$

$$((\xi + 1, \xi + 1), (2\xi - 4, 2 - \xi)),$$

$$((\xi + 1, \xi - 2), (2\xi + 2, 2 - \xi)).$$

and there are respectively 4 families of linear independent systems of solutions of equation (2) such that their logarithmic derivatives are algebraic over C(x) of degree 4. Thus there are precisely 8 solutions of equation (3) algebraic over C(x) of degree 4. It means that only 2 different minimal polynomials P(w, x) exist such that $deg_w P = 4$. This proves the theorem for the "tetrahedral subcase" of the case 3.

To prove the theorem for the other subcases it is sufficient to check that the octahedral group has 6 cyclic subgroups of order 8 and the icosahedral group has 12 cyclic subgroups of order 10. This proves the main theorem. \Box

References

- J.J. Kovacic, An Algorithm for Solving Second Order Linear Homogeneous Differential Equations, Journal of Symbolic Computation 2, 3-43 (1986).
- B.D. Sounders, An Implementation of Kovacic's Algorithm for Solving Second Order Linear Homogeneous Differential Equations, Proc. SYMSAC'81 (P.Wang,ed.), 105-108. New York: ACM (1981).
- [3] C. Smith, A Discussion and Implementation of Kovacic's Algorithm for Ordinary Differential Equations, Res. Report CS 84-35, Dpt. of Computer Science, Univ. of Waterloo, Ontario, 1984.
- [4] A.Yu. Zharkov, An Implementation of Kovacic's Algorithm for Solving Ordinary Differential Equations in FORMAC, JINR E11-87-455, Dubna, 1987.