# TAGS: Trains, Agendas, and Gerunds 

Roger K.W. Hui<br>Morgan Stanley<br>Kenneth E. Iverson

Iverson Software

Trains, agendas, and gerunds have been available in J for some time, and do not conflict with most APL systems. In particular, since there are no noun trains, the trains in J do not conflict with the strands of APL2.
This paper reviews the definitions and uses of trains, agendas, and gerunds, and presents some new extensions that enhance their utility.

## TRAINS

The first example of a train was provided by the fork, defined by Iverson and McDonnell [1] as a formalization of the informal use in mathematics of expressions such as $f+g$ and $f-g$ to denote the sum and the difference of functions. For example: mean=. +/ \% \# Sum div by no. of items mean $a=12345$
3
norm=. ] - mean Right arg less mean
norm a
_2 _1 0 1 2
(] - +/ q \#) a
$\_^{2}$ _ $^{1} 012$
The phrase $1-+/ \%$ \# is an example of a train of more than three elements. The definition of a hook as a train of two elements provides meanings for trains of even length as well as odd. For example:
$\mathrm{pr}=+\mathrm{q} \quad$ Left plus reciprocal of right
2 pr 10
pr/a Continued fraction
1.43312
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pr/1 $1 \begin{array}{llllll} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ Approx golden mean 1.625

NORM=. - +/ \& \# Train of four items
(norm $=$ NORM) a Test functions for
$\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ equality
To make the functions more readable we will set the function display to show both the tree and boxed representations of functions. Thus:

9!:3 ] 42
mean


The utility of trains is enhanced by the $c a p$ (denoted by [: and defined on p. 70 of Iverson [2]), which causes the verb that follows it in a train to be applied monadically. For example, the squares of a normalized list and the standard deviation may be defined by single trains as follows:

```
    sqn=. [: *: ] - +/ % #
    std=. [:%:[:(+/%#)[:*:]-+/%#
    sqn 8 10 12
4 0 4
    std 8 10 12
1.63299
```


## GERUNDS

The tie conjunction (`) applied to verbs produces a noun that is called a gerund (because it carries the force of a verb). For example:
$g=.{ }^{\prime}{ }^{*}$
g/a
47
$1+2 * 3+4 * 5$
47
$x=10 \quad[\quad c=.3142$
$9 \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{g} / 3, \mathrm{x}, 1, \mathrm{x}, 4, \mathrm{x}, 2}$
2413

```
    }. , x,.c
```



```
    +`*/ }. , x,.c
2413
```

The last expression above is Horner's efficient evaluation of a polynomial with coefficients $c$.
The adverb \applied to a gerund yields the equivalent train. For example:

```
    ger=. --(+/)`\% \#
    ger\a
\(\_^{2}\) _ \(^{1} 0012\)
    (123 | ger) 1 a Equivalent to mean
3
```


## AGENDA

The agenda conjunction (@.) selects from its gerund left argument the item indexed by the result of its verb right argument. For example:
$h=.(=<$.$) * 1: + (=1) Constant fn 1:$
h 4.5 _ $^{4}$
012
q=. >.`*:`\%: @. $h$
q 4.5 Ceiling of non-integer
5
q _4 Square of negative integer

```
16
q 4 Square root of positive integer
2
```


## NEW EXTENSIONS

Gerunds are merely boxed lists, and may be formed by means other than the tie. For example:


Repeated boxing in an argument to $\backslash$ will indicate parenthesization. For this purpose we will define a
recursive indexing function such that the boxing of indices is reflected in the boxing of the result:
from=. ( $: \&.\rangle<$ ) froml @. ifopen
ifopen=. (-:>) \& f. ®
froml=. >@ (` ( @. (1\&く@\#@[)
For example:

$$
k=.\left(0 ; \_2 ; 1 ;(1 \quad 3 \quad 5 ; 3 ; 5) ; 4 ; 5 ; 6\right)
$$

k

k from g2


9!:3] 425 Display: Linear/Boxed/Tree

$q$


Comparison of the two displays of $q$ shows the relation between boxing and parenthesization. The conjunction $q$ may be used as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \text { ^ q ! } 3 \\
& 0.727302
\end{aligned}
$$

The agenda is redefined to make use of these results: $g$ @. $h$ is equivalent to ( $i$ from $g$ ) <br>, where $i$ is the result of the function $h$.
Page 70 of Iverson [2] listed twelve basic trains (bidents and tridents), two producing verbs, four producing adverbs, and six producing con-
junctions. This list is now extended to the twelve bidents and twenty-five tridents listed below. The four columns show the case, the class of result, the definition, and an informal name, using the letters $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{A}$, and C to indicate nouns, verbs, adverbs, and conjunctions. An asterisk marks cases that were previously meaningless:

| no | A1 | verb | as in APL\360 | "apply" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO | C1 | adv | NO C1 $x$ | "with" |
| vo | N1 | noun | as in APL360 | "apply" |
| vo | V1 | verb | hook | "hook" |
| V0 | A1 | verb | as in APL\360 | "apply" |
| vo | C1 | adv | vo C1 x | "with" |
| AO | V1 | *adv | ( $x$ AO) V1 |  |
| AO | A1 | adv | ( x A0) A1 "co | "compose" |
| AO | c1 | adv | $(x, A 0) C 1 \times$ | "both" |
| co | N1 | adv | x CO N1 | "with" |
| co | V1 | adv | x Co v1 | "with" |
| co | A1 | conj | (x Co y) A1 | "atop" |
| NO | V1 N2 | noun | as in APL1360 | "apply" |
| vo | V1 V2 | verb | fork | "fork" |
| vo | V1 c2 | *conj | vo V1 (x C2 y) |  |
| AO | V1 V2 | *adv | $(\mathrm{x}$ AO) V1 V2 |  |
| co | V1 V2 | *conj | (x C0 y) V1 V2 |  |
| co | V1 c2 | conj | ( $x$ CO y ) V1 ( x C2 y ) | y) "fork" |
| AO | A1 V2 | *conj |  |  |
| A0 | A1 A2 | adv | ( ( $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{A} 0)$ A1) A2 "com | compose" |
| co | A1 A2 | conj | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}\text { ( } & \mathrm{y} & \mathrm{A}\end{array}\right) \mathrm{A} 2$ |  |
| No | C1 N2 | verb | as in APL1360 | "apply" |
| NO | C1 V2 | verb | as in APL360 | "apply" |
| no | C1 A2 | * adv | NO C1 ( x A2) |  |
| No | C1 C2 | *conj | NO C1 ( x C2 2 y ) |  |
| vo | C1 N2 | verb | as in APL\360 | "apply" |
| vo | C1 V2 | verb | as in APL 360 | "apply" |
| vo | C1 A2 | *adv | vo C1 (x A2) |  |
| vo | C1 C2 | *conj | vo C1 ( x C2 y ) |  |
| A0 | C1 N2 | *adv | $(\mathrm{x}$ A0) C1 N2 |  |
| A0 | C1 V2 | *adv | (x AO) C1 V2 |  |
| AO | C1 A2 | conj | ( $x$ A0) C1 (Y A2) | "fork" |
| A. | C1 C2 | conj | $(x$ AO) C1 ( x C2 y ) |  |
| co | C1 N2 | * conj |  |  |
| CO | C1 V2 | *conj | (x CO y) C1 V2 |  |
| co | C1 A2 | conj | ( $x$ CO y) C1 (y A2) |  |
| CO | C1 C2 | conj | (x CO y) C1 (x C2 y) | y) "fork" |

In order to distinguish a noun such as ' $*$ ' from the function * in a gerund, its atomic representation must be used. The atomic representation of a noun is given by the function

$$
\text { ar=. }\left[:<\left(,^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right) \_; \quad \text {. }
$$

The new trains substantially simplify the results provided by the translators from explicit to tacit definition presented in Hui [3].

## EXAMPLES

We conclude with two further examples of the use of gerunds, in recursion and amendment.
Recursion. In the Tower of Hanoi puzzle, a set of $n$ discs (each of a different size) is to be moved from post A to post B using a third post C , under the restriction that a larger disc is never to be placed on top of a smaller.
Recursion using the gerund and agenda provides a simple definition of the sequence of moves in Hanoi:

$$
\begin{gathered}
h=\quad b^{`}(p, . q, . r) @ . c \\
c=.1:<[ \\
b=.2 \&, @[\$]
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{p}=$. <:@[ h 1: A.]
$\mathrm{q}=.1: \mathrm{h}$ ]
$r=$. <:@[ h 5: A. ]
$3 \mathrm{~h} x=.{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ABC} C^{\prime}$
AABACCA

## BCCBABB

|  | 01 | 234 | <@h"0 $1 \times$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | AAC | AABACCA | AACABBAACCBCAAC |
| B | CBB | BCCBABB | CBBCACCBBAABCBB |

In the Josephus problem analyzed in Graham et al. [4], items in a circle are eliminated at a fixed interval i until only i-1 remain; the indices of the survivors are to be determined as a function of the interval and the original list of items. This function may be expressed as a recursion in which the odd and even cases are treated differently:

```
    \(J=\). 0 : 'even`odd @. C
    even=. \(+: Q>: 0 J @<: 0-:\)
    odd=. +:@J@-:@く:
    \(c=\). * \(>\) : @ (2\& 1 )
    J"0 b=. i. 20
00202460246810121402468
    <i. 1 J" 0 b
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|llll|lllllllll|lll|}
\hline 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Amendment. If the amend adverb \} is applied to a numeric argument $m$, then $x \mathrm{~m}\}$ y yields $y$ amended by x in the positions specified by the indices in m. For example:

```
    '#*' 3 0} 'abcdefg'
*bc#efg
```

If $m$ is a gerund the possibilities are much greater: its middle element determines the numeric argument to the adverb \}, and the others modify the arguments $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$.
For example, the following functions $\mathrm{E} 1, \mathrm{e} 2$, and E 3 are the so-called elementary linear operations on a matrix, interchanging two rows of a matrix, multiplying a row by a constant, and adding a multiple of one row to another:

```
E1=. <@] C. [
E2=. f`g`[}
E3=. F`g`[}
    f=. {:@]*{.@] { [
        F=. [:+/(1:,{:@])*(}:@] { [)
    g=. {.@] { i.@$@[
M=. i. 3 3
a1=. M E1 1 2
a2=. M E2 1 10
a3=. M E3 1 2 10
```

M;a1; a2; a3

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 63 | 74 | 85 |
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

It should be noted that the function E1 uses a permutation expressed as a cycle rather than the amend adverb. However, it could also be expressed as an amendment using a gerund.
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