

A Fortran 90-Based Multiprecision System

DAVID H. BAILEY NASA Ames Research Center

A new version of a Fortran multiprecision computation system, based on the Fortran 90 language, is described. With this new approach, a translator program is not required—translation of Fortran code for multiprecision is accomplished by merely utilizing advanced features of Fortran 90, such as derived data types and operator extensions. This approach results in more-reliable translation and permits programmers of multiprecision applications to utilize the full power of Fortran 90. Three multiprecision data types are supported in this system: multiprecision integer, real, and complex. All the usual Fortran conventions for mixed-mode operations are supported, and many of the Fortran intrinsics, such as SIN, EXP, and MOD, are supported with multiprecision arguments. An interesting application of this software, wherein new number-theoretic identities have been discovered by means of multiprecision computations, is included also.

Categories and Subject Descriptors: D.3.2 [**Programming Languages**]: Language Classifications—*Fortran* 90; D.3.4 [**Programming Languages**]: Processors; G.1.0 [**Numerical Analysis**]: General; G.1.2 [**Numerical Analysis**]: Approximation

General Terms: Languages, Performance

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Arithmetic, Fortran 90, multiprecision

1. INTRODUCTION

Readers may be familiar with the author's previous multiprecision system [Bailey 1993], which consists of the TRANSMP translator program and the MPFUN package of multiprecision (MP) computation routines. Together they permit one to write straightforward Fortran 77 code that can be executed using an arbitrarily high level of numeric precision.

From its inception, the TRANSMP program was intended only as an interim tool until Fortran 90 was available. This is because advanced Fortran 90 features such as derived data types and operator extensions permit one to implement multiprecision translation in a much more natural way. Now that day has arrived—Fortran 90 is currently available on several computer systems, and it soon will be available from all major vendors of scientific computers. Accordingly, the author has written a set of Fortran 90 modules that permit the user to handle MP data like any other Fortran data type.

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. 21, No. 4, December 1995, Pages 379-387

Author's address: NAS Scientific Computation Branch, NASA Ames Research Center, Mail Stop T27A-1, Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000; email: dbailey@nas.nasa.gov.

Permission to make digital/hard copy of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage, the copyright notice, the title of the publication, and its date appear, and notice is given that copying is by permission of ACM, Inc. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. © 1995 ACM 0098-3500/95/1200-0379 \$03.50

380 • David H. Bailey

With the new Fortran 90-based system, one declares variables to be of type MP integer, MP real, or MP complex using Fortran 90 type statements. With a few exceptions, one can then write ordinary Fortran 90 code involving these variables. In particular, arithmetic operations involving these variables are performed with a numeric precision level that can be set to an arbitrarily high level. Also, most of the Fortran intrinsic functions, such as SIN, EXP, and MOD, are defined with MP arguments.

In comparison to the TRANSMP approach, there are a few disappointments. To begin with, one has to give up the ability to run MP source code, without change, as a standard single-precision or double-precision program. Also, features such as read/write statements are not as elegant in the new system—subroutines must now be called for formatted MP read and write.

On the other hand, features such as generic functions work much better in the Fortran 90 version. Also, the coverage of Fortran features is more complete with the Fortran 90 version than with TRANSMP—programmers can now utilize the full power of the Fortran 90 language in an MP application. Another important advantage of the Fortran 90 approach is that a very reliable translation is produced, since the process of translation is performed by the Fortran 90 compiler itself, rather than by the TRANSMP program.

This article gives an overview of this new software, including a brief summary of the instructions for usage. It also describes an interesting application of this software to mathematical number theory, showing how MP calculations can be used to discover new mathematical identities.

This software is available by sending electronic mail to mp-request@ nas.nasa.gov. Include send index as either the subject line or the text of the first message to this address. It is also available by using Mosaic software at the address http://www.nas.nasa.gov/RNR/software.html.

2. THE FORTRAN 90 MP TRANSLATION MODULES

The new MP translator is a set of Fortran 90 modules. These translation modules serve as a link between the user's program and MPFUN: the library of MP computation routines. To utilize the MP translation facility, one inserts the following line in the main program of the user's application code, as well as in any subprogram that performs MP operations:

USE MPMODULE

This line must be placed after the PROGRAM, SUBROUTINE, FUNCTION, or MODULE statement, but before any implicit or type statements. This USE statement connects the subprogram with the Fortran 90 translation modules that define the MP data types and operator extensions.

At the beginning of the executable portion of the user's main program, even if the main program itself performs no MP operations, one inserts the following line:

CALL MPINIT

The routine MPINIT sets MPFUN library parameters, such as the precision level, and precomputes constants needed in transcendental function routines (see Section 4).

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. 21, No 4, December 1995

Three derived types are defined in the translation modules: MP_INTEGER, MP_REAL, and MP_COMPLEX. In an application program, one may explicitly specify MP variables using Fortran 90 type statements, such as:

TYPE (MP_INTEGER) IA, IB, IC TYPE (MP_REAL) A, B, C, D, E TYPE (MP_COMPLEX) Z

Alternatively, one may implicitly declare variables to be of one of the three MP types by using an IMPLICIT statement, such as:

```
IMPLICIT TYPE (MP_REAL) (A-H, O-Z)
```

MP constants are handled a bit differently than with TRANSMP. These are now specified as literal constants, i.e., '1.23456789'. One may directly assign an MP constant to an MP variable, but if an MP constant appears in an expression, it must be as the argument to MPINT, MPREAL, or MPCMPL, depending on whether it is to be treated as MP integer, MP real, or MP complex. For example:

IA = '333333333333333333333333333333 A = '1.4142135623 7309504880 168872420 E-10' B = MPREAL ('1.25') / N Z = 2 * MPCMPL ('1.2345', '6.7890')

Note that without the quotes to indicate an MP constant, the integer constant in the first line would overflow, and the floating constant in the second line would not be converted with full MP accuracy.

Quotes are not really required in the third line, since 1.25 can be converted exactly with ordinary arithmetic. However, note that simply writing B = 1.25 / N would not give a fully accurate result if, for example, N is an ordinary integer with the value 7 (although it would be fine if N is 8). This is because the division operation would be performed using ordinary single-precision arithmetic, and the inaccurate result would then be converted to MP and stored in B. The usage of the function MPREAL in the third line insures that the division is performed with MP arithmetic. This is an example of the care one must exercise in programming to insure that intermediate calculations are performed with MP arithmetic when required. In this respect, the new Fortran 90 translation system is like the FAST option of the TRANSMP program.

The expressions in lines three and four are examples of mixed-mode operations. Virtually all such operations are allowed, and the result is of the type that one would expect. For example, the product of an MP real variable and an integer constant is of type MP real, and the sum of a complex variable and an MP real variable is of type MP complex. The only combinations that are not currently allowed are some exponentiations involving MP complex entities—these are defined only when the exponent is an integer.

Unformatted read and write statements with MP variables in the I/O list, such as WRITE (11) A, B, are handled as expected. But formatted and list-directed read and write statements, i.e., WRITE (6, *) A, B, will not produce the expected results for MP variables. These operations must now be

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol 21, No 4, December 1995.

382 • David H. Bailey

handled using the special subroutines MPREAD and MPWRITE. The first argument of either routine is the unit number. Arguments 2–10 are the list of MP variables to be input or output. Within a single call to either routine, the MP variables in the list must all be the same type, either MP integer, MP real, or MP complex. Examples are:

CALL MPREAD (5, IA) CALL MPWRITE (6, A, B, C, D, E)

An example of the format for input or output of MP numbers is

 $10^{40} \times -3.1415926535897932384626433832795028841971$,

On input, the exponent field is optional, and blanks may appear anywhere; but a comma must appear at the end of the last line of mantissa digits.

By default, only the first 56 mantissa digits of an MP number are output by MPWRITE, so that the output is contained on a single line. This output precision level can be changed by the user, either as a default setting or dynamically during execution (see Section 4).

It should be noted that the Fortran 90 translation modules generate calls to the standard arithmetic routines of the MPFUN library. If one wishes to utilize the "advanced" routines, which are intended for precision levels about 1000 digits (see Section 5), contact the author.

3. MULTIPRECISION FUNCTIONS

The functions MPINT, MPREAL, and MPCMPL were mentioned in the previous section in the context of MP constants. These three functions are actually defined for all numeric argument types, ordinary and MP. The result is MP integer, MP real, or MP complex, respectively, no matter what the type of the argument. Thus one may use MPREAL (A) to convert the ordinary floating-point variable A to MP real.

The corresponding Fortran type conversion functions INT, REAL, DBLE, CMPLX, and DCMPLX have also been extended to accept MP arguments. The result, in accordance with Fortran language conventions, is of type default integer, real, double precision, complex, and double complex, respectively. Note that REAL (IA), where IA is an MP integer, is not of type MP real—if that is required, then MPREAL should be used instead.

Many of the other common Fortran intrinsics have been extended to accept MP arguments, and they return true MP values as appropriate. A complete list of the Fortran intrinsic functions that have been extended to MP is given in Table I. In this table, the abbreviations I, R, D, C, DC, MPI, MPR, MPC denote default integer, real, double precision, double complex, MP integer, MP real, and MP complex, respectively.

Some additional MP functions and subroutines that users may find useful are demonstrated in the following examples. Here N is an ordinary integer variable, and A, B, and C are MP real.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{A} = \mathsf{MPRANF} () \\ \mathsf{B} = \mathsf{MPNRTF} (\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{N}) \\ \mathsf{CALL} \ \mathsf{MPCSSNF} (\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}, \mathsf{C}) \\ \mathsf{CALL} \ \mathsf{MPCSSHF} (\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}, \mathsf{C}) \end{array}$

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software. Vol 21, No 4, December 1995

Table 1 MP Extensions of Fortran Intrinsic Functions							
Function	Arg.	Arg.		Function	Arg.	Arg.	
Name	1	2	Result	Name	1	2	Result
ABS	MPI		MPI	INT	MPI		Ι
	MPR		MPR		MPR		I
	MPC		MPR		MPC		I
ACOS	MPR		MPR	LOG	MPR		MPR
AIMAG	MPC		MPR		MPC		MPC
AINT	MPR		MPR	LOG10	MPR		MPR
ANINT	MPR		MPR	MAX	MPI	MPI	MPI
ASIN	MPR		MPR		MPR	MPR	MPR
ATAN	MPR		MPR	MIN	MPI	MPI	MPI
ATAN2	MPR	MPR	MPR		MPR	MPR	MPR
CMPLX	MPI	MPI	С	MOD	MPI	MPI	MPI
	MPR	MPR	С		MPR	MPR	MPR
	MPC		С	NINT	MPR		MPI
CONJG	MPC		MPC	REAL	MPI		R
COS	MPR		MPR		MPR		R
	MPC		MPC		MPC		R
COSH	MPR		MPR	SIGN	MPI	MPI	MPI
DBLE	MPI		D		MPR	MPR	MPR
	MPR		D	SIN	MPR		MPR
	MPC		D		MPC		MPC
DCMPLX	MPI	MPI	DC	SINH	MPR		MPR
	MPR	MPR	DC	SQRT	MPR		MPR
	MPC		DC		MPC		MPC
EXP	MPR		MPR	TAN	MPR		MPR
	MPC		MPC	TANH	MPR		MPR

Table I MP Extensions of Fortran Intrinsic Functions

These calls produce a pseudorandom number in (0, 1), the Nth root of A, both the cos and sin of A, and both the cosh and sinh of A, respectively. The above call to MPNRTF is equivalent to, but significantly faster than, the expression A ** (MPREAL (1) / N). This is because the latter expression requires log and exp calculations, whereas MPNRTF uses an efficient Newton iteration scheme. Similarly, the above call to MPCSSNF executes faster than B = COS (A) and C = SIN (A), although the results are the same. A similar comment applies to MPCSSHF.

4. GLOBAL VARIABLES

There are a number of Fortran 90 global variables defined in the MP translation modules and in the MPFUN package. These variables, which are listed in Table II, can be accessed by any user subprogram that includes a USE MPMODULE statement. The entries in the column labeled "Dynam. change" indicate whether the values of these variables may be dynamically changed by the user during execution of the program.

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. 21, No 4, December 1995.

Variable		Dynam.	Initial	
Name	Type	Change	Value	Description
MPIPL	Integer	No	User sets	Initial (and maximum) precision, in digits
MPIOU	Integer	No	User sets	Initial output precision, in digits.
MPIEP	Integer	No	User sets	log ₁₀ of initial MP epsilon
MPWDS	Integer	No	See text	Initial (and maximum) precision, in words.
MPOUD	Integer	Yes	MPIOU	Current output precision, in digits.
MPEPS	MP real	Yes	10 ^{mpiep}	Current MP epsilon value.
MPL02	MP real	No	log, 2	
MPL10	MP real	No	log, 10	
MPPIC	MP real	No	π	
MPNW	Integer	Yes	MPWDS	Current precision level, in words.
MPIDB	Integer	Yes	0	MPFUN debug level.
MPLDB	Integer	Yes	6	Logical unit for debug output.
MPNDB	Integer	Yes	22	No. of words in debug output
MPIER	Integer	Yes	0	MPFUN error indicator
MPMCR	Integer	Yes	7	Cross-over point for advanced routines
MPIRD	Integer	Yes	1	MPFUN rounding option
MPKER	Integer	Yes	0	Array of error options.

Table II. Global Variables

The first three global variables listed in Table II are set by the user in PARAMETER statements at the beginning of the file containing the MP translation modules. MPIPL is the initial precision level, in digits, and is often the only parameter that needs to be changed. MPIOU, the initial output precision level, is ordinarily set to 56, although it may be set to as high as MPIPL if desired. The parameter MPIEP, the initial MP "epsilon" level, is typically set to 10 – MPIPL or so.

The call to MPINIT at the start of the user's main program sets initial values for the next six variables in the list. The final eight global variables in Table II are used in the MPFUN package and assume the values as shown. See Bailey [1990b] for additional details on the definition and usage of these variables.

As noted in Table II, MPNW is the current numeric precision level, measured in machine words. On IEEE and most other systems, the approximate corresponding number of digits is given by $(MPNW - 1) \times 24 \log_{10} 2$. If one wishes to perform the same computation with a variety of precision levels without recompiling the translation modules, or if one needs to change the working precision level dynamically during the course of a calculation, this may be done by directly modifying the parameter MPNW in the user program, as in the following:

MPNW = 127

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol 21, No 4, December 1995

But be careful not to change MPNW to a value larger than MPWDS, the initial precision level in words; otherwise array overwrite errors will occur. MPWDS is computed from MPIPL, the user-defined initial precision level in digits, using the expression int[MPIPL/($24 \log_{10} 2$) + 1], where int denotes greatest integer. Because of possible numerical differences, it is recommended that users reference the system's value of MPWDS, rather than attempt to recalculate this value using the above formula. On Cray vector systems, the constant $24 \log_{10} 2 = 7.22472 \cdots$ in the above discussion should be replaced by $22 \log_{10} 2 = 6.62266 \cdots$.

With regards to the MP epsilon MPEPS, quotes should be used when changing the value of this variable, as in the following example:

MPEPS = '1E-500'

The quotes here insure that the constant is converted with full multiple precision. Without quotes, the constant will not be accurately converted, and in fact a constant of such a small size would result in an underflow condition on IEEE arithmetic systems.

5. THE FORTRAN 90 MPFUN PACKAGE

The new Fortran 90 translation modules, like the older TRANSMP program, generate calls to the MPFUN library, which contains all of the subroutines that perform MP operations. With the advent of Fortran 90, the MPFUN library has also been updated to use some of the advanced features of this language. Among the changes in the new MPFUN package are the elimination of common blocks and the dynamic allocation of scratch space. Thus the user never needs to worry about "insufficient scratch space" error messages.

One important algorithmic improvement introduced in the Fortran 90 version of the MPFUN library is the utilization of a faster scheme for multiple-precision division, due to David M. Smith. The gist of this scheme is that it is not necessary to normalize the individual machine words of the trial quotient at every step of the division process. Instead, the normalization operation may be performed only occasionally. See Smith [1995] for details. On an IBM RS6000/590 workstation, this new division routine is as much as four times faster than the previous routine. One of the author's applications runs nearly twice as fast as a result, although a savings of 10% is more typical since relatively few applications are divide intensive. This improvement only affects the standard division routine. The advanced division routine, which is used for precision levels about 1000 digits, is not affected.

Another algorithmic change is the utilization of an improved fast Fourier transform (FFT) algorithm [Bailey 1990a], which is used by the advanced MP multiplication routine of MPFUN. This new FFT algorithm, which is variously called the "factored" or "four-step" FFT, exhibits significantly improved performance on computers that employ cache memory systems.

That this new FFT scheme is significantly more efficient on modern RISC systems can be seen from Table III, which compares the performance of the new Fortran 90 MPFUN with the author's previous Fortran 77 MPFUN.

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. 21, No. 4, December 1995.

386 • David H Bailey

	Prec. Level	CPU Time		
m	(Digits)	Old MPFUN	New MPFUN	
4	115	0.0039	$0\ 0035$	
5	231	0.0077	0 0068	
6	462	$0\ 0183$	0.0160	
7	924	0 0494	0.0440	
8	1849	$0\ 1250$	0.0840	
9	3699	0.3090	0.2640	
10	7398	0.6670	0.6150	
11	14796	$1 \ 4610$	1.3900	
12	29592	3.2860	$3\ 1600$	
13	59184	13.3900	7.3500	
1-1	118369	55.1200	16.7700	
15	236739	150.3900	37.0400	
16	473479	393.6800	83.4100	

Table III. Time to Compute π on an IBM RS6000/590 Workstation

These timings were performed on an IBM RS6000/590 workstation and compared the run time required to compute the constant π to the specified precision levels (excluding binary-to-decimal conversion). The numbers of digits shown in the second column correspond to 2^m numbers of words, which are convenient precision levels for the FFT-based multiplication routine. Note that the new MPFUN package is up to 4.8 times faster than the old on this computation, even though the FFT routine only constitutes part of the operations being performed.

A third algorithmic change introduced in the Fortran 90 MPFUN package is the substitution of the author's PSLQ integer-relation-finding algorithm [Bailey et al. 1994; Ferguson and Bailey 1991] for the HJLS algorithm [Hastad et al. 1988] that was used in subroutine MPINRL of the Fortran 77 MPFUN. The PSLQ algorithm does not exhibit the catastrophic numerical instabilities that are a characteristic of the HJLS algorithm. With PSLQ, integer relations can be reliably detected when the precision level is set to only slightly higher than that of the input data.

One addition to the Fortran 90 MPFUN package is a routine to perform binary-to-decimal string conversion for extra-high-precision arguments. This routine, named MPOUTX, employs a divide-and-conquer scheme, which together with the extra-high-precision multiplication and division routines, permits rapid conversion of MP numbers whose precision ranges from roughly 1000 digits to millions of digits. MPOUTX uses the same calling sequence as the existing routine MPOUTC, which suffices for more-modest precision levels. Extra scratch space is required for MPOUTX, but this space is automatically allocated by the routine when required.

6. AN APPLICATION OF THE FORTRAN 90 MULTIPRECISION SYSTEM

In April, 1993, Enrico Au-Yeung, an undergraduate at the University of Waterloo, brought to the attention of the author's colleague Jonathan Bor-

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol 21, No 4, December 1995

wein the curious fact that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k}\right)^2 k^{-2} = 4.59987 \dots \approx \frac{17}{4} \zeta(4) = \frac{17\pi^4}{360}$$

based on a computation to 500,000 terms. Borwein's reaction was to compute the value of this constant to a higher level of precision in order to dispel this conjecture. Surprisingly, his computation to 30 digits affirmed it. The present author then computed this constant to 100 decimal digits, and the above equality was still affirmed.

Intrigued by this result, the author developed computer programs, using the software described in this article, to compute sums of this sort to high accuracy and to test if the numerical values satisfy simple formulae involving basic mathematical constants. Numerous experimental identities of this sort have now been obtained, and some of these have subsequently been established by rigorous proof. See Bailey et al. [1994] for details.

REFERENCES

BAILEY, D. H. 1990a. FFTs in external or hierarchical memory. J. Supercomput. 4, 1 (Mar.), 23-35.

BAILEY, D. H. 1990b. A portable high performance multiprecision package. NASA Ames RNR Tech. Rep. RNR-90-022, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, Calif.

BAILEY, D. H. 1993. Multiprecision translation and execution of Fortran programs. ACM Trans. Math. Softw. 19, 3 (Sept.), 288-319.

BAILEY, D. H., BORWEIN, J. M., AND GIRGENSOHN, R. 1994. Experimental evaluation of Euler sums. *Exper. Math.* 3, 1, 17-30.

FERGUSON, H. R. P. AND BAILEY, D. H. 1991. A polynomial time, numerically stable integer relation algorithm. NASA Ames RNR Tech. Rep. RNR-91-032, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, Calif.

HASTAD, J., JUST, B., LAGARIAS, J. C., AND SCHNORR, C. 1988. Polynomial time algorithms for finding integer relations among real numbers. SIAM J. Comput. 18, 859-881.

SMITH, D. M. 1995. A multiple precision division algorithm. Math. Comput. To be published.

Received September 1994; revised October 1994 and January 1995; accepted January 1995

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. 21, No. 4, December 1995