A Three-Step Filtering Mechanism

Masashi Uyama¹ **FRIEND21** Research Center Institute for Personalized Information Environment 1-17-1 Toranomon, Minato-ku, Tokvo 105, Japan +81-3-3507-0791

ABSTRACT

Computer systems should help users find useful software services and integrate such services into their tasks. The three-step filtering mechanism selects services that trustworthy colleagues have recommended. It then selects services specific to the context of the user's task executions. Finally, the mechanism discloses the selected services to the user dynamically and unobtrusively. This contextsensitive disclosure allows users to try out new services in their own task context. The disclosure is unobtrusive since users can ignore the disclosure and continue with their tasks. With the task-associated press, users can reflectively learn such ignored services.

KEYWORDS:

Innovation-decision process, collaborative filtering, context sensitivity, trialability, intelligent interface, reflective learning.

INTRODUCTION

In open network environments, software developers are continually releasing new software products. These products provide many services to support users' task executions. But, when and how do users become aware of the software products? How do they decide whether the advantages of a new software package justify the time and effort of learning it? Who provides effective clues from which users can quickly calculate the cost-benefit tradeoffs?

We think that computer systems should help users find useful software services and integrate such services into their tasks. In other words, computer systems should support the entire innovation-decision process [1] related to software products.

This video demonstrates a computer system that screens and selects really useful services. The system provides users with effective clues to calculate cost-benefit tradeoffs and helps users learn new usage patterns.

THREE-STEP FILTERING

Our three-step filtering mechanism [2,3] provides support for a user as the user moves through the mental process from first awareness-knowledge of software services to a decision about adopting or rejecting them. Figure 1 gives an overview of the mechanism. Numbers in parentheses shall be referred in this text.

Task descriptions are semi-structured descriptions that prescribe how users can use the available software services. These task descriptions are provided either by software developers or by users. Software developers anticipate how their product will be used, and specify task descriptions on this basis (1). Early adopters of new software can customize developers' task descriptions and disseminate their favorite usage patterns to their colleagues, along with usage recommendations (2). Early adopters acting as volunteer consultants for their colleagues can assign recommendation ratings to the software.

The filtering mechanism filters such disseminated task descriptions(a) in three steps. First, the credibility-based selection (3) filters task descriptions with credibility ratings. These credibility ratings(b) are the degrees to which other users or developers are perceived as trustworthy and knowledgeable. Each user can set credibility ratings for other users or developers, and can thus focus on the type of usage that trustworthy colleagues have recommended.

Second, the context-sensitive selection(4) filters task descriptions with context information. Context information(c) is a representation of the current user's task execution context. By comparing context information and task descriptions that the user has not yet tried out, the contextsensitive selection mechanism can select task descriptions specific to the current task context.

Third, the context-sensitive disclosure(5) dynamically and unobtrusively discloses new software services to the user as specified in the selected task descriptions (5-1). The user tries out these services (5-2), and then decides whether or not to adopt them (5-3). Finally, the adopted task descriptions are added to the current user's task model (5-4).

Permission to copy without fee all or part of this material is granted provided that the copies are not made or distributed for direct commercial advantage, the ACM copyright notice and the title of the publication and its date appear, and notice is given that copying is by permission of ACM. To copy otherwise, or to republish, requires a fee and/or specific permission. CHI' Companion 95, Denver, Colorado, USA © 1995 ACM 0-89791-755-3/95/0005...\$3.50

¹ Presently, the author is with Fujitsu Laboratories LTD. His current address is uyama@flab.fujitsu.co.jp.



Figure 1. Overview of the filtering mechanism

CONTEXT-SENSITIVE DISCLOSURE

The last step, context-sensitive disclosure, is the only part of the filtering mechanism visible to the user. When the user performs an operation that matches a usage pattern selected in the two previous steps, the *dialog manager* dynamically discloses the new usage pattern by opening the window shown in Figure 2.

The main components of the window are the developer's catchphrase(1), a list of colleagues who recommended the usage(2), and a dialog manager icon(3). The user can read comments about the new usage pattern by clicking on the name of the colleague who recommended it. The dialog manager icon provides the user with trialability. By clicking this icon, the user can try out the usage pattern in a real work setting. These three pieces of information allow the user to evaluate the tradeoffs involved in adopting a new usage pattern.



Figure 2. What a disclosure window provides

TASK-ASSOCIATED PRESS

The context-sensitive disclosure is unobtrusive. Users can ignore any disclosure and continue with their current tasks without trying any of the new features. The system informs users of a list of new usage patterns related to the task executed previously. This listing is called a *task-associated press*.

When the user selects an article from the list, the taskassociated press reproduces the context that applied to the new usage, and simulates the context. With the taskassociated press, users with spare time can learn new features reflectively.

CONCLUSION

With this filtering mechanism, users can screen and select really useful services, and can then integrate these services into their tasks with little effort.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was performed under the management of the Institute for Personalized Information Environment as part of Future Personal Information Environment Development: FRIEND21 by the Ministry of International Trade and Industry. This video uses news articles that were retrieved from the NIFTY-Serve by permission.

REFERENCES

- 1. Everett M. Rogers, *Diffusion of Innovations*, The Free Press, New York, Third Edition, 1983.
- 2. Masashi Uyama, "A Blackboard-based Architecture for Filtering New Software Features," in *Proceedings of ACM COOCS'93 Conference on Organizational Computing Systems*, pp. 210-215, 1993.
- 3. Masashi Uyama, "Filtering Software Products and Advertising Software Products: Two Sides of the Same Coin?," in *Proceedings of '94 International Symposium on Next Generation Human Interface*, Institute for Personalized Information Environment, Tokyo, 1994.