



# Ramsey-Type Results for Geometric Graphs

Gyula Károlyi\*

Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton

János Pach<sup>†</sup>

Hungarian Academy of Sciences

Géza Tóth<sup>‡</sup>

Courant Institute, NYU

## Abstract

Given a *geometric graph*, i.e., a collection of segments (edges) between  $n$  points in the plane, does it contain a non-crossing configuration of a certain type? It is widely conjectured that all such problems are NP-hard. This has been verified in many special cases, including the existence of a non-crossing spanning tree or  $k$  disjoint segments. Here we show that these problems become computationally simpler if we are allowed to choose where to find a non-crossing spanning tree (or  $k$  disjoint edges): in the graph or in its complement. We prove that for any 2-coloring of the  $\binom{n}{2}$  segments determined by  $n$  points in the plane, at least one of the color classes contains a non-crossing spanning tree, and it can be found in  $O(n^{\log \log n + O(1)})$  time. Under the same assumptions, we also prove that there exist  $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \rfloor$  pairwise disjoint segments of the same color, and they can be found with the same efficiency. The non-algorithmic parts of the above theorems were conjectured by Bialostocki and Dierker. Improving an earlier result of Larman et al., we construct a family of  $m$  segments in the plane, which has no more than  $m^{\log 4 / \log 27}$  members that are either pairwise disjoint or pairwise crossing. Finally, we discuss some related problems and generalizations.

\*Supported by NSF grant DMS-9304580, by the Alfred Sloan Foundation, and by DIMACS under NSF grant STC-91-19999.

<sup>†</sup>Supported by NSF grant CCR-94-24398, PSC-CUNY Research Award 663472, and OTKA-4269.

<sup>‡</sup>Supported by OTKA-4269 and OTKA-14220.

Permission to make digital/hard copies of all or part of this material for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that the copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage, the copyright notice, the title of the publication and its date appear, and notice is given that copyright is by permission of the ACM, Inc. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires specific permission and/or fee.

Computational Geometry'96, Philadelphia PA, USA

© 1996 ACM 0-89791-804-5/96/05..\$3.50

## 1 Introduction

A *geometric graph* is a graph drawn in the plane so that every vertex corresponds to a point, and every edge is a closed straight-line segment connecting two vertices but not passing through a third. The  $\binom{n}{2}$  segments determined by  $n$  points in the plane, no three of which are collinear, form a *complete* geometric graph with  $n$  vertices (see [PA95]).

Does a given geometric graph contain a non-crossing subgraph of a certain type? It is conjectured that all such problems are NP-hard, regardless of the complexity of their non-geometric versions. This conjecture is supported by several striking examples. For instance, finding a largest tree or a maximum matching in a graph are easily solvable problems in polynomial time. Yet it is known that it is NP-hard to decide if a geometric graph has a non-crossing spanning tree, or to find the maximum number of disjoint edges [JW93],[KLN91],[KN90].

The aim of this paper is to show that the complexity of these problems may radically decrease if we are allowed to choose where to find a non-crossing spanning tree (or  $k$  disjoint edges): in the graph  $G$  or in its complement  $\bar{G}$ . In fact, in this case classical Ramsey-theory works to our advantage by ensuring that either  $G$  or  $\bar{G}$  contains a large clique and many other large subgraphs [B74], [GRS90]. These results can be extended to geometric graphs to yield the existence of certain non-crossing subgraphs and subgraphs satisfying some other geometric conditions.

The combinatorial parts of the first two theorems settle two problems raised by A. Bialostocki and P. Dierker [BD94].

**Theorem 1.1** *If the edges of a finite complete geometric graph are colored by two colors, there exists a non-crossing spanning tree, all of whose edges are of the same color. Moreover, this tree can be found in  $O(n^{\log \log n + O(1)})$  time.*

**Theorem 1.2** *If the edges of a complete geometric graph with  $3n - 1$  vertices are colored by two colors, there exist  $n$  pairwise disjoint edges of the same color. Moreover, these edges can be found in  $O(n^{\log \log n + O(1)})$  time.*

The analogues of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for *abstract* graphs were noticed by Erdős–Rado (see [EGP91]) and Gerencsér–Gyárfás [GG67], respectively. In fact, Gerencsér and Gyárfás proved the stronger result that for any 2-coloring of the edges of a complete graph with  $3n - 1$  vertices, there exists a monochromatic path of length  $2n - 1$ . This statement, as well as Theorem 1.2, is best possible, as is shown by the following example. Take the disjoint union of a complete graph of  $n - 1$  vertices and a complete graph of  $2n - 1$  vertices, all of whose edges are red and blue, respectively, and color all edges between the two parts red. For many interesting generalizations of these results, consult [EG95], [EGP91], [G89], [G83], [HKS87], [R78].

Theorem 1.2 also has an “asymmetric” version.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $k$  and  $l$  be positive integers,  $n = k + l + \max\{k, l\} - 1$ . If the edges of a complete geometric graph with  $n$  vertices are colored by red and blue, one can find either  $k$  disjoint red edges or  $l$  disjoint blue edges. This result cannot be improved.*

Our Theorem 4.1 (see below) gives a non-trivial upper bound for the smallest positive number  $R = R(n)$  such that every complete geometric graph of  $R$  vertices whose edges are colored by two colors contains a non-crossing monochromatic path of length  $n$ . We have been unable to determine the exact order of magnitude of  $R(n)$ .

It appears to be difficult to obtain any exact results analogous to Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 for pairwise crossing edges. It follows from [AEG94] that if we color the edges of a complete geometric graph of  $12n^2$  vertices by two colors, one can always find  $n$  pairwise crossing edges of the same color, but the assertion is probably true for much smaller graphs.

In [LMP94], the following question was discussed. What is the smallest positive number  $r = r(n)$  such that any family of  $r$  closed segments in general position in the plane has  $n$  members that are either pairwise disjoint or pairwise crossing? Our next theorem improves the lower bound for  $r(n)$ , obtained in [LMP94].

**Theorem 1.4** *For infinitely many  $n$ , there exists a family of  $n^{\log 27 / \log 4}$  segments in general position in the plane, which has at most  $n$  members that are pairwise disjoint and at most  $n$  members that are pairwise crossing.*

## 2 Proofs of Theorems 1.1–1.3

**Proof of Theorem 1.1:** Let  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  denote the vertex set of a complete geometric graph  $K_n$  whose edges are colored with red and blue. Suppose without loss of generality that no two vertices have the same  $x$ -coordinate and that the vertices are listed in increasing order of their  $x$ -coordinates. The assertion is trivial for  $n \leq 2$ . Thus, we can assume that  $n \geq 3$  and the theorem has already been proved for all complete geometric graphs having fewer than  $n$  vertices.

We can also assume that all edges along the boundary of the convex hull of  $P$  are of the same color (say, red). Indeed, if two consecutive edges of the convex hull have different colors, then remove their common endpoint from  $K_n$ . By the induction hypothesis, the remaining graph has a monochromatic non-crossing spanning tree. Depending on its color, this spanning tree can be completed to a monochromatic non-crossing spanning tree of  $K_n$ , by putting back one of the two previously deleted edges of the convex hull of  $P$ .

For every  $i$ ,  $1 < i < n$ , let  $K_i^l$  and  $K_i^r$  denote the subgraphs of  $K_n$  induced by the points  $\{p_1, \dots, p_i\}$  and  $\{p_i, \dots, p_n\}$ , respectively. By the induction hypothesis, both  $K_i^l$  and  $K_i^r$  have a monochromatic non-crossing spanning tree,  $T_i^l$  resp.  $T_i^r$ . We can assume that these two trees have different colors, otherwise their union will meet the requirements of the theorem. We can also assume that  $T_2^l$  is red and  $T_2^r$  is blue. Otherwise,  $T_2^r$  would be red, and it could be completed to a non-crossing red spanning tree of  $K_n$  by the addition of any edge of the convex hull of  $P$  incident to  $p_1$ . Similarly, we can suppose that  $T_{n-1}^l$  is blue and  $T_{n-1}^r$  is red. Hence, there exists an  $i$ ,  $1 < i < n - 1$  such that

- (a)  $T_i^l$  is red and  $T_i^r$  is blue,
- (b)  $T_{i+1}^l$  is blue and  $T_{i+1}^r$  is red.

Connecting  $T_i^l$  and  $T_{i+1}^r$  by any edge of the convex hull of  $P$  which intersects a vertical line separating  $p_i$  and  $p_{i+1}$ , we obtain a non-crossing red spanning tree of  $K_n$ , as required.

The above proof can easily be turned into an algorithm of running time  $O(n^{\log n + O(1)})$ . To reduce the running time we need a slightly modified version. It will be described recursively.

# SPANNING-TREE( $n$ )

- STEP 1. Sort the points by their  $x$ -coordinates.
- STEP 2. Construct the convex hull of the points. Check the colors of all the edges along the convex hull. If all of them are of the same color, say red, then set  $i = 1$  and go to Step 4.
- STEP 3. Choose two consecutive edges of the convex hull, whose colors are different, and remove their common endpoint. Call SPANNING-TREE( $n-1$ ) for the geometric graph spanned by the remaining points and complete the resulting tree by one of the two edges. Stop.
- STEP 4. For convenience, let us call  $T_1^l$  and  $T_n^r$  red. If  $2^i \geq n/2$ , then go to Step 5. Otherwise call SPANNING-TREE( $2^i$ ) for  $K_{2^i}^l$  and  $K_{n-2^{i-1}+1}^r$ , respectively. If either  $T_{2^i}^l$  or  $T_{n-2^{i-1}+1}^r$  is blue (say  $T_{2^i}^l$  is blue), then go to Step 6. If both trees are red, then set  $i = i+1$  and repeat Step 4.
- STEP 5. Call SPANNING-TREE( $n/2$ ) for  $K_{n/2}^l$  and for  $K_{n/2}^r$  to find  $T_{n/2}^l$  and  $T_{n/2}^r$ , respectively. If they are of the same color, then take their union and stop. If they are of different colors, then one of them, say  $T_{n/2}^l$ , is blue.
- STEP 6. There is a  $2^{i-1} \leq p < \min\{2^i, n/2\}$  so that  $T_p^l$  is red and  $T_{p+1}^l$  is blue. Find this  $p$  by a binary search on this interval.
- STEP 7. Call SPANNING-TREE( $n-p$ ) for  $K_{p+1}^r$  to obtain  $T_{p+1}^r$ . If it is blue, we join it to  $T_{p+1}^l$ , if red, we connect it with  $T_p^l$  by an edge of the convex hull, which has one endpoint in  $K_{p+1}^r$  and one in  $K_p^l$ . Stop.

Let  $f(n)$  denote the running time of SPANNING-TREE( $n$ ). Then we obtain the following recurrence relation.

$$f(n) \leq \max_{i < \log n} \left\{ \underbrace{2(f(2) + \dots + f(\min\{2^i, n/2\}))}_{\text{STEPS 4, 5}} + \underbrace{(i-1)f(\min\{2^i, n/2\})}_{\text{STEP 6}} + \underbrace{f(n-2^{i-1})}_{\text{STEPS 3, 7}} \right\} + \underbrace{O(n \log n)}_{\text{STEPS 1, 2}}$$

It follows that  $f(n) = O(n^{\log \log n + O(1)})$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2:** Let  $P$  denote the vertex set of a complete geometric graph  $K_{3n-1}$ , whose edges are colored with red and blue. Suppose for contradiction that  $K_{3n-1}$  does not contain  $n$  pairwise disjoint edges of the same color. Since the theorem is trivial for  $n = 1$ , we can assume that  $n \geq 2$  and that the statement has already been proved for every complete geometric graph with  $3k-1$  vertices, where  $1 \leq k < n$ .

An  $i$ -element subset of  $P$  is called an  $i$ -set if it can be obtained by intersecting  $P$  with an open half-plane. It is easy to see that all  $i$ -sets can be generated by the following procedure [ELS73]: Take an oriented line  $\ell$  passing through precisely one point  $p \in P$  and having  $i$  elements of  $P$  on its left side. Rotate  $\ell$  around  $p$  in the clockwise direction until it hits another point  $q \in P$ , and then continue the rotation around  $q$ , etc. Whenever  $\ell$  passes through only one element of  $P$ , the points lying on its left side form an  $i$ -set.

By the induction hypothesis, the subgraph of  $K_{3n-1}$  induced by any  $(3k-1)$ -set contains  $k$  disjoint edges of the same color ( $1 \leq k < n$ ). If these edges are red (blue), we say that the *type* of the corresponding  $(3k-1)$ -set is *red* (*blue*). Note that a set may have both types. Just like in the previous proof, we can suppose that all edges along the boundary of the convex hull of  $P$  are of the same color (say, red). In other words, for  $k = 1$ , the type of every  $(3k-1)$ -set is supposed to be red.

- Lemma 2.1** (i) For any given  $k < n$ , all  $(3k-1)$ -sets are of the same type.  
(ii) For any  $k, l > 1$  for which  $k+l = n$ , the  $(3k-1)$ -sets and the  $(3l-1)$ -sets have opposite types.  
(iii) For any  $k, l > 1$  for which  $k+l = n-1$ , either all  $(3k-1)$ -sets or all  $(3l-1)$ -sets are of blue type.

To establish (i) and (ii), consider an oriented line  $\ell$  passing through precisely one point  $p \in P$  and dividing  $P - \{p\}$  into a  $(3k-1)$ -set  $P^-(\ell)$  and a  $(3l-1)$ -set  $P^+(\ell)$ , where  $k+l = n$ . If  $P^-(\ell)$  and  $P^+(\ell)$  had the same type, then  $P$  would contain  $k+l = n$  edges of the same color, contradicting our assumption. Now rotate  $\ell$  around  $p$  in the clockwise direction until it hits another point  $q \in P$ , and let  $\ell'$  denote a line obtained by slightly continuing the rotation around  $q$ . Notice that either  $P^-(\ell) = P^-(\ell')$  or  $P^+(\ell) = P^+(\ell')$ . Since  $P^-(\ell')$  and  $P^+(\ell')$  have opposite types, we can conclude that  $P^-(\ell)$  and  $P^-(\ell')$  are of the same type. Thus, (i) follows from the fact that any  $(3k-1)$ -set can be reached from  $P^-(\ell)$  by repeating the above step a finite number of times.




Fig. 1.

To show (iii), fix a vertex  $p$  of the convex hull of  $P$ , and let  $p' \in P$  be the next vertex of the convex hull immediately after  $p$  in the clockwise order. Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  denote those elements of  $P$ , for which there are exactly  $3k - 1$  points to the left of the oriented line  $pp_1$  and exactly  $3l - 1$  points to the right of  $pp_2$ , where  $k + l = n - 1$ . That is, we have  $|P^-(pp_1)| = 3k - 1$ ,  $|P^+(pp_2)| = 3l - 1$ , and there is just one point  $p_3 \in P$  in the angular region  $p_1pp_2$ ; see Figure 1. Assume now, for contradiction, that all  $(3k - 1)$ -sets and all  $(3l - 1)$ -sets are of red type. In particular, the type of  $P^-(pp_1)$  is red, which implies that the type of  $P^+(pp_2) \cup \{p, p_2, p_3\}$  must be blue; otherwise we could find  $k + (l + 1) = n$  disjoint red edges. This, in turn, yields that  $P^-(pp_1) \cup \{p_1\} - \{p'\}$  is a  $(3k - 1)$ -element set that cannot contain  $k$  disjoint blue edges. Thus,  $P^-(pp_1) \cup \{p_1\} - \{p'\}$  has  $k$  disjoint red edges,  $P^+(pp_2)$  has  $l$  disjoint red edges, and by our assumption that every edge of the convex hull of  $P$  is red (including  $pp'$ ), we would obtain  $k + l + 1 = n$  pairwise disjoint red edges. This contradiction proves the lemma.

Now we are in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. We distinguish between two cases.

*Case 1:  $n$  is even.* Consider a line  $\ell$  passing through precisely one point of  $P$  and dividing the remaining points into two equal classes. Applying Lemma 2.1 (i) with  $k = n/2$ , we obtain that these classes are of the same type (say, red). Thus, there are  $n/2$  pairwise disjoint red edges on both sides of  $\ell$ , contradicting our assumption that there are no  $n$  disjoint edges of the same color in  $K_{3n-1}$ .

*Case 2:  $n$  is odd.* Applying part (iii) of Lemma 2.1 with  $k = (n - 1)/2$ , we obtain that all  $3(n - 1)/2 - 1$ -sets are of blue type. By part (ii), this implies that the type of all  $3(n + 1)/2 + 1$ -sets is red. Applying (iii) again, we find that all  $3(n - 3)/2 - 1$ -sets are of blue type. Proceeding like this, we conclude that the type of every 2-set is blue. In other words, every edge of the convex hull of  $P$  is blue, contradicting our assumption.

The proof of the algorithmic part of Theorem 1.2 is omitted here.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.3:** Let  $R(k, l)$  denote smallest number  $R$  with the property that in any complete geometric graph of  $R$  vertices, whose edges are colored

with red and blue, one can find either  $k$  disjoint red edges or  $l$  disjoint blue edges. It is enough to show that  $R(k, l) = 2k + l - 1$ , for every  $l, 1 \leq l \leq k$ . This is trivial for  $l = 1$ , and, according to Theorem 1.2, it also holds for  $l = k$ . To complete the proof, it is sufficient to verify that  $R(k, l) < R(k, l + 1)$ , for every  $l < k$ . Indeed, adding a new point  $p$  to any complete geometric graph which contains neither  $k$  disjoint red edges nor  $l$  disjoint blue edges, and connecting  $p$  to every other point by a blue edge, does not change the maximum number of disjoint red edges, and the maximum number of disjoint blue edges can only increase by one.  $\square$

### 3 A construction

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.4 by a construction. A family of segments is in *general position* if no three of their endpoints are collinear. Let  $\mathcal{S}_1$  denote the family of 27 segments depicted in Figure 2. Clearly,  $\mathcal{S}_1$  is in general position, and it is easy to check that it has no 5 pairwise crossing and no 5 pairwise disjoint members.


Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  be a family of segments in general position in the plane. We say that  $\mathcal{S}$  can be *flattened* if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are two discs of radius  $\varepsilon$  at unit distance from each other, and there is another family of segments  $\mathcal{S}' = \{s'_1, \dots, s'_n\}$  in general position such that  $s'_i$  and  $s'_j$  are disjoint if and only if  $s_i$  and  $s_j$  are disjoint, and every  $s_i$  connects two points belonging to different discs.

**Lemma 3.1** *Any system  $\mathcal{S}$  of segments in general position, whose endpoints form the vertex set of a convex polygon, can be flattened.*

**Proof:** Let  $p_1, \dots, p_{2n}$  denote the endpoints of the segments in counterclockwise order. Notice that moving the endpoints to any convex curve does not effect the crossing pattern of  $\mathcal{S}$ , provided that the order of the endpoints remains unchanged. Let  $p'_i = (\varepsilon/4^{i-1}, \sqrt{\varepsilon}/2^{i-1})$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ . Since all of these points are on the parabola  $y = \sqrt{x}$ , connecting the corresponding pairs by segments, we obtain a family  $\mathcal{S}'$ , which has the same crossing pattern as  $\mathcal{S}$ .

It can be shown by easy calculation that if we have two disjoint segments  $p'_i p'_j, p'_k p'_l \in \mathcal{S}'$  for some  $i < k < l < j$ , then the slope of  $p'_i p'_j$  is smaller than the slope of  $p'_k p'_l$ . Thus, extending all segments of  $\mathcal{S}'$  to the right until they hit the line  $x = 1 + \varepsilon$ , does not change the crossing pattern of the family. The lemma follows by applying

an affine transformation  $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, \delta y)$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , and moving the points into general position.  $\square$



**Fig. 2:** The family of segments  $\mathcal{S}_1$

Consider now the family  $\mathcal{S}_1$  depicted in Figure 2, and let  $\varepsilon$  denote the minimum distance between the endpoints. Replace every segment  $s \in \mathcal{S}_1$  by a suitably flattened copy of  $\mathcal{S}_1$ , consisting of 27 segments whose endpoints are closer to the endpoints of  $s$  than  $\varepsilon/2$ . Replacing every member of the resulting family  $\mathcal{S}_2$  by a (very) flattened copy of  $\mathcal{S}_1$ , we obtain  $\mathcal{S}_3$ , etc. In this manner, for every  $k$ , we construct a family  $\mathcal{S}_k$  of  $27^k$  segments in general position, which has at most  $4^k$  pairwise disjoint members and at most  $4^k$  pairwise crossing members. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

## 4 Related Problems and Generalizations

**Geometric Ramsey Numbers.** Let  $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_k$  be not necessarily different classes of geometric graphs. Let  $R(\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_k)$  denote the smallest positive number  $R$  with the property that any complete geometric graph of  $R$  vertices whose edges are colored with  $k$  colors ( $1, \dots, k$ , say) contains, for some  $i$ , an  $i$ -colored subgraph belonging to  $\mathcal{G}_i$ . If  $\mathcal{G}_1 = \dots = \mathcal{G}_k = \mathcal{G}$ , we write  $R(\mathcal{G}; k)$  instead of  $R(\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_k)$ . If  $k = 2$ , for the sake of simplicity, let  $R(\mathcal{G})$  stand for  $R(\mathcal{G}; 2)$ .

In Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we determined  $R(\mathcal{G})$  in the special case when  $\mathcal{G}$  is the class of all non-crossing trees of  $n$  vertices and the class of all geometric graphs having  $n$  disjoint edges, respectively. Theorem 1.3 gives the exact value of  $R(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2)$ , when  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2$  denote the classes of all geometric graphs consisting of  $k$  disjoint edges and  $l$  disjoint edges, respectively.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 can be easily generalized to give an upper bound for  $R(\mathcal{H})$ , when  $\mathcal{H}$  is e.g. the class of all geometric graphs consisting of  $n$  pairwise disjoint triangles. More generally, for any class of geometric graphs  $\mathcal{G}$  and for any positive integer  $n$ , let  $n\mathcal{G}$  denote the class of all geometric graphs that can be obtained by taking the union of  $n$  pairwise disjoint members of  $\mathcal{G}$ , any two of which can be separated by a straight line.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be any class of geometric graphs, and let  $n$  be a power of 2. Then*

$$R(n\mathcal{G}) \leq (R(\mathcal{G}) + 1)n - 1.$$

In particular, if  $\mathcal{G}$  is the class of triangles, we have  $R(\mathcal{G}) = 6$ . Moreover, in this case Theorem 4.1 cannot be improved. We will return to these questions in a forthcoming paper.

**Non-Selfintersecting Paths.** The *length* of a path is the number of its edges. Let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  denote the class of all non-crossing paths of length  $n$ .

To give a non-trivial upper bound on  $R(\mathcal{P}_k, \mathcal{P}_l)$ , we recall the following wellknown (and very easy) lemma of Dilworth [D50].

**Lemma 4.2** *Any partially ordered set of size  $kl + 1$  either has a totally ordered subset of size  $k + 1$  or contains  $l + 1$  pairwise incomparable elements.*

**Theorem 4.3** *If the edges of a complete geometric graph of  $kl + 1$  vertices are colored by red and blue, one can find either a non-crossing red path of length  $k$  or a non-crossing blue path of length  $l$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $p_i (0 \leq i \leq kl)$  denote the vertices of a complete geometric graph. Suppose that they are listed in increasing order of their  $x$ -coordinates, which are all distinct. Define a partial ordering of the vertices, as follows. Let  $p_i < p_j$  if  $i < j$  and there is an  $x$ -monotone red path connecting  $p_i$  to  $p_j$ . By Lemma 4.2, one can find either  $k + 1$  elements that form a totally ordered subset  $Q \subset P$ , or  $l + 1$  elements that are pairwise incomparable. In the first case, there is an  $x$ -monotone red path visiting every vertex of  $Q$ . In the second case, there is an  $x$ -monotone blue path of length  $l$ , because any two incomparable elements are connected by a blue edge. Since an  $x$ -monotone path cannot intersect itself, the proof is complete.  $\square$

Using the notation introduced above, Theorem 4.3 implies that  $R(\mathcal{P}_n) = O(n^2)$ , but the best lower bound we are aware of is linear in  $n$ .

**Constructive Vertex and Edge Ramsey Numbers.** Given a class of geometric graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , let  $R_v(\mathcal{G})$  denote the smallest number  $R$  such that *there exists* a (complete) geometric graph of  $R$  vertices with the property that for any 2-coloring of its edges, it has a monochromatic subgraph belonging to  $\mathcal{G}$ . Similarly, let  $R_e(\mathcal{G})$  denote the minimum number of *edges* of a geometric graph with this property.  $R_v(\mathcal{G})$  and  $R_e(\mathcal{G})$  are called the *vertex* and *edge Ramsey number* of  $\mathcal{G}$ , respectively. Clearly, we have

$$R_v(\mathcal{G}) \leq R(\mathcal{G}),$$

$$R_e(\mathcal{G}) \leq \binom{R(\mathcal{G})}{2}.$$

(For abstract graphs, a similar notion is discussed in [EFR78] and [B83].)

It follows from the previous subsection that for  $\mathcal{P}_n$ , the class of non-crossing paths of length  $n$ ,  $R_v(\mathcal{P}_n) = O(n^2)$  and  $R_e(\mathcal{P}_n) = O(n^4)$ . It is not difficult to improve the latter bound, as follows.

**Proposition 4.4**  $R_e(\mathcal{P}_n) = O(n^2)$ .

**Proof:** Construct a geometric graph  $G$  on the vertex set  $P = \{(i, j) | 0 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  by connecting every  $(i, j)$  to the points  $(i+1, j)$ ,  $(i, j+1)$ , and  $(i+1, j+1)$  (provided that they belong to  $P$ ). For any coloring of the edges of  $G$  with red and blue, color every triangle of  $G$  red (blue) if at least two of its sides are red (blue). Notice that any two vertices of a red (blue) triangle can be joined in  $G$  by a red (blue) path of length at most two. Thus, any two vertices belonging to the same connected component of the closure of the union of the red (blue) triangles can be joined by a red (blue) path in  $G$ . The result now follows from the fact that one can always find a pair of vertices lying on opposite sides of the square  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | 0 \leq x, y \leq n\}$ , which belong to the same connected component of the closure of the union of the red triangles or the closure of the union of the blue triangles.  $\square$

**Covering with Non-Selfintersecting Monochromatic Paths.** Is it true that for every  $k$  there exists an integer  $C(k)$  such that the vertex set of every complete geometric graph whose edges are colored by  $k$  colors can be covered by  $C(k)$  non-crossing monochromatic paths? We cannot even decide the following weaker question for  $k = 2$ : Does there exist a positive  $\varepsilon$  such that every complete geometric graph  $G$  whose edges are colored by  $k$  colors contains a non-crossing monochromatic path of length  $\varepsilon|V(G)|$ ?

**Acknowledgement.** We are grateful to Gábor Tardos for his valuable remarks.

## References

- [AEG94] B. Aronov, P. Erdős, W. Goddard, D. Kleitman, M. Klugerman, J. Pach, and L. Schulman, Crossing families, *Combinatorica* **14** (1994), 127–134.
- [B83] J. Beck, On size Ramsey number of paths, trees, and circuits, I., *Journal of Graph Theory* **7** (1983), 115–129.
- [BD91] A. Bialostocki and P. Dierker, On simple Hamiltonian cycles in a 2-colored complete graph, *Ars Combinatoria* **32** (1991), 13–16.
- [BD94] A. Bialostocki and P. Dierker, Personal communication.
- [B74] S.A. Burr, Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs – a survey, in: *Graphs and Combinatorics (R. Bari and F. Harary, eds.)*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **406**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974, 52–75.
- [BES75] S.A. Burr, P. Erdős, and J.H. Spencer, Ramsey theorems for multiple copies of graphs, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **209** (1975), 87–99.
- [D50] R.P. Dilworth, A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets, *Annals of Mathematics* **51** (1950), 161–166.
- [EFR78] P. Erdős, R.J. Faudree, C.C. Rousseau, and R.H. Schelp, The size Ramsey number, *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica* **9** (1978), 145–161.
- [EG95] P. Erdős and A. Gyárfás, Vertex covering with monochromatic paths, *Mathematica Pannonica* **6** (1995), 7–10.
- [EGP91] P. Erdős, A. Gyárfás, and L. Pyber, Vertex coverings by monochromatic cycles and trees, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* **51** (1991), 90–95.
- [ELS73] P. Erdős, L. Lovász, A. Simmons, and E.G. Straus, Dissection graphs of planar point sets, in: *A Survey of Combinatorial Theory (G. Srivastava, ed.)*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973, 139–149.
- [GG67] L. Gerencsér and A. Gyárfás, On Ramsey-type problems, *Annales Universitatis Scientiarum Budapestinensis Roland Eötvös, Sectio Mathematica* **X** (1967), 167–170.

- [GRS90] R.L. Graham, B.L. Rothschild, and J.H. Spencer, *Ramsey Theory, 2nd ed.*, John Wiley, New York, 1990.
- [G83] A. Gyárfás, Vertex coverings by monochromatic paths and cycles, *Journal of Graph Theory* **7** (1983), 131–135.
- [G89] A. Gyárfás, Covering complete graphs by monochromatic paths, in: *Irregularities of Partitions (G. Halász and V.T. Sós, eds.)*, *Algorithms and Combinatorics* **8**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1989, 89–91.
- [HKS87] A. Hajnal, P. Komjáth, L. Soukup, and I. Szalkai, Decompositions of edge colored infinite complete graphs, in: *Combinatorics, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai* **52** (1987), 277–280.
- [JW93] K. Jansen and G. J. Woeginger, The complexity of detecting crossingfree configurations in the plane, *BIT* **33** (1993), no. 4, 580–595.
- [KLN91] J. Kratochvíl, A. Lubiw, and J. Nešetřil, Non-crossing subgraphs in topological layouts, *SIAM J. Discrete Mathematics* **4** (1991), 223–244.
- [KN90] J. Kratochvíl and J. Nešetřil, Independent set and clique problems in intersection-defined classes of graphs, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **31** (1990), 85–93.
- [LMP94] D.G. Larman, J. Matoušek, J. Pach, and J. Töröcsik, A Ramsey-type result for planar convex sets, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* **26** (1994), 132–136.
- [PA95] J. Pach and P.K. Agarwal, *Combinatorial Geometry*, John Wiley, New York, 1995.
- [R78] R. Rado, Monochromatic paths in graphs, in: *Advances in Graph Theory (B. Bollobás, ed.)*, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics* **3**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978, 191–194.