AspectMMKG: A Multi-modal Knowledge Graph with Aspect-aware Entities

Jingdan Zhang Shanghai Key Laboratory of Data Science, School of Computer Science, Fudan University Shanghai, China zhangjd22@m.fudan.edu.cn Jiaan Wang School of Computer Science and Technology, Soochow University Suzhou, China jawang1@stu.suda.edu.cn Xiaodan Wang

Shanghai Key Laboratory of Data Science, School of Computer Science, Fudan University Shanghai, China xiaodanwang20@fudan.edu.cn

Zhixu Li* Shanghai Key Laboratory of Data Science, School of Computer Science, Fudan University Shanghai, China zhixuli@fudan.edu.cn

ABSTRACT

Multi-modal knowledge graphs (MMKGs) combine different modal data (e.g., text and image) for a comprehensive understanding of entities. Despite the recent progress of large-scale MMKGs, existing MMKGs neglect the multi-aspect nature of entities, limiting the ability to comprehend entities from various perspectives. In this paper, we construct AspectMMKG, the first MMKG with aspect-related images by matching images to different entity aspects. Specifically, we collect aspect-related images from a knowledge base, and further extract aspect-related sentences from the knowledge base as queries to retrieve a large number of aspect-related images via an online image search engine. Finally, AspectMMKG contains 2,380 entities, 18,139 entity aspects, and 645,383 aspect-related images. We demonstrate the usability of AspectMMKG in entity aspect linking (EAL) downstream task and show that previous EAL models achieve a new state-of-the-art performance with the help of AspectMMKG. To facilitate the research on aspect-related MMKG, we further propose an aspect-related image retrieval (AIR) model, that aims to correct and expand aspect-related images in AspectMMKG. We train an AIR model to learn the relationship between entity image and entity aspect-related images by incorporating entity image, aspect, and aspect image information. Experimental results indicate that the AIR model could retrieve suitable images for a given entity w.r.t different aspects.1

*Corresponding authors.

CIKM '23, October 21-25, 2023, Birmingham, United Kingdom

Yanghua Xiao*

Shanghai Key Laboratory of Data Science, School of Computer Science, Fudan University Shanghai, China shawyh@fudan.edu.cn

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computing methodologies \rightarrow Semantic networks; Information extraction; • Information systems \rightarrow Image search.

KEYWORDS

Knowledge Graph, Multi-modal Knowledge Graph, Image Retrieval

ACM Reference Format:

Jingdan Zhang, Jiaan Wang, Xiaodan Wang, Zhixu Li, and Yanghua Xiao. 2023. AspectMMKG: A Multi-modal Knowledge Graph with Aspect-aware Entities. In Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM '23), October 21–25, 2023, Birmingham, United Kingdom. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 10 pages. https: //doi.org/10.1145/3583780.3614782

1 INTRODUCTION

Multi-Modal Knowledge Graphs (MMKGs) use multi-modal data as their source, and describe entities as well as relationships from multiple perspectives [32]. Compared with traditional textual knowledge graphs, MMKGs combine multi-modal information of entities organically, thus providing a comprehensive understanding of entities and facilitating the research on multi-modal intelligence.

Though great successes of MMKGs have been achieved in multiple downstream tasks (*e.g.*, image-text matching and visual question answering), existing MMKGs [6, 11, 13, 29–31] typically attribute a set of indiscriminate images for each entity, which might limit the precise expression of entity images within a specific context. For example, the first image that comes into our mind for the entity "California" might be a landscape photo. However, when we talk about its location, it could be better to show a map image with its neighboring states. In addition, when we talk about infrastructure in California, we would like to get some images related to its highways, water conservancy, wind turbines, etc. Thus, an entity should correspond to different images given different contexts due to its multiple-aspect nature in real applications, where a set of indiscriminate images cannot precisely express its aspect-aware information.

¹The data is publicly available at https://github.com/theZJD/AspectMMKG

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

^{© 2023} Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0124-5/23/10...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/3583780.3614782

CIKM '23, October 21-25, 2023, Birmingham, United Kingdom

Jingdan Zhang, Jiaan Wang, Xiaodan Wang, Zhixu Li, & Yanghua Xiao



Figure 1: The comparisons between (a) previous MMKG and (b) our AspectMMKG. AsepctMMKG can provide aspect-related images which are highly relevant to the given context.

In this paper, we propose a multi-modal knowledge graph with aspect-related entity images, named AspectMMKG, to solve the above issue. AspectMMKG aims to provide entities with fine-grained aspects and links each entity to different images given different aspects (i.e., aspect-related entity images). In this manner, for a given context, the visual information of an entity can be specified with the help of fine-grained aspects. Figure 1, takes the California entity as an example to show the difference between AspectMMKG and previous MMKG. In detail, previous MMKG provides images for the entity only with a single relation (i.e., HasImage) while Aspect-MMKG links different images to the entity with fine-grained aspect labels (e.g., culture, geography, and history). When facing a given context in downstream tasks, previous MMKG cannot effectively help downstream models comprehend the core entity due to the inaccurate or even wrong visual information of matched images. Our AspectMMKG could help downstream models by providing aspect-related images which are highly relevant to the context.

It is non-trivial to construct AspectMMKG due to the difficulties of obtaining aspect-related images for a tremendous number of entities. Following previous work [12, 16, 21], we collect entities and their aspect labels from Wikipedia by regarding the section names in each entity's Wikipedia page as its aspect labels. However, the corresponding images for most entities in Wikipedia suffer from sparsity and incompleteness, making it difficult to obtain aspectrelated entity images only from Wikipedia. Therefore, we decide to utilize both Wikipedia and an external image search engine as sources to construct AspectMMKG. Specifically, we first predefine 15 common entity types and extract 2,380 entities with the most views in Wikipedia from these types. Then, we determine the granularity of the entity aspects by using the image-text model (*e.g.*, CLIP[15]) to score the relevance between entity images and different aspects in Wikipedia pages. Next, to enrich the AspectMMKG, for each entity, we extract its aspect-related sentences from Wikipedia as queries to retrieve the aspect-related entity image through an Internet image search engine. Since the images retrieved from the Internet might involve noise, we further train a discriminative model that can select aspect-related images with high accuracy from the original retrieved results. Finally, our AspectMMKG contains 2,380 entities, 18,139 entity aspects, as well as 645,383 aspect-related images, where a linkage between an entity and an aspect-related image has an entity aspect label.

We conduct experiments on the entity aspect linking (EAL) downstream task to verify the effectiveness of the constructed Aspect-MMKG. Experimental results on widely-used eal-dataset-2020 [16] show that with the help of our AspectMMKG, the original EAL model can achieve a new state-of-the-art performance. Particularly, the fewer text features, the more helpful the images in Aspect-MMKG are. When there are only two features, the MAP is increased by 34.6%.

In this paper, our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to construct a multi-modal knowledge graph with aspect-related entity images (*i.e.*, AspectMMKG). Our AspectMMKG contains 2,380 entities, 18,139 entity aspects, and 645,383 aspect-related entity images.
- To enrich the aspect-related images automatically, we train a discriminative model that can select aspect-related images with high accuracy from the original retrieved results. Compared with

the CLIP model, our discriminative model improves recall@10 by 4.4%.

• The experimental results on the entity aspect linking (EAL) downstream task show the constructed AspectMMKG can help the EAL baseline achieve a new state-of-the-art performance, indicating its usability and effectiveness.

2 DATA CONSTRUCTION

We construct AspectMMKG based on Wikipedia and an online image search engine with the three-step process shown in Figure 2. We first obtain 2,380 popular entities from the 15 pre-defined common entity types through Wikidata SPARQL Query (§ 2.1). Then, we extract aspect labels of all these entities from Wikipedia pages (§ 2.2). After that, to enrich the aspect-related images in AspectMMKG, we extract aspect-related sentences from Wikipedia as queries to retrieve aspect-related images via an online image search engine (§ 2.3). Lastly, we give data statistics (§ 2.4) and quality analysis on AspectMMKG (§ 2.5).

2.1 Entity Collection

Following previous work [16] and the preliminary observation on Wikipedia, we pre-define 15 entity types which tend to have plenty of aspects. Table 1 shows all these types, corresponding descriptions, and example entities. Specifically, these types are *Capital and Country, Company, War, Holiday, Human (Canada), Human (China), Human (French), Human (UK), Sovereign State, State (US), University (Canada), University (UK), University (US), Film (En), Series (En).*

According to the pre-defined 15 entity types, we next extract popular entities with high pageviews in the following two steps: (1) We first obtain all entities of these types by leveraging SPARQL statements to retrieve Wikidata Query Service². (2) We next get the pageview of each entity via Wikitech API³ (which can get pageview trends of specific articles or projects) and select popular entities with the top-200 pageviews for each type. Eventually, we obtain 2,380 entities as the basis of construction AspectMMKG. Note that some entity types, *e.g.*, *State (US)*, might involve less than 200 entities, thus, the total number of collect entities is less than 3000 (15×200).

2.2 Aspect Collection

After collecting entities, we next derive aspect labels of each entity from Wikipedia. Specifically, given an entity, we extract its aspect labels from the content in its Wikipedia page via a heuristic rulebased method (*i.e.*, parsing HTMLs). It is worth noting that the content in Wikipedia has a hierarchical structure, leading to the hierarchical aspect labels we collected. For example, an aspect under the entity "California" is "Geography", and there are also finergrained aspects such as "Regions" and "Rivers" under "Geography".

We find that the above-collected entities have some meaningless aspects, such as "Notes", "External links", "References", and "See also". Since these aspects do not contain actual semantic information and cannot reflect information related to entities in specific contexts, we discard these irrelevant aspects.

²https://query.wikidata.org/ ³https://wikitech.wikimedia.org/wiki/Analytics/AQS/Pageviews

2.3 Aspect-related Image Collection

We decide to collect aspect-related images from both Wikipedia and an online image search engine (*i.e.*, Google) due to the following reasons: (1) Although Wikipedia has high-quality section-related images, the number of images is too limited, according to a comprehensive MMKG survey [32], nearly 80% of English Wikipedia articles have no corresponding images, and only 8.6% of articles have more than two images; (2) Previous MMKGs typically adopt multi-source data to collect images, for example, the combination of knowledge base and online search engine.

2.3.1 Collecting Aspect-related Images from Wikipedia. Given an entity as well as an aspect, we collect the aspect-related images by extracting images belonging to the content in the aspect section. Generally, an image appears in a specific aspect section, the image has a strong relevance to the aspect.

2.3.2 *Collecting Aspect-related Images from Google.* For an entity, we first extract aspect-related sentences from its Wikipedia page as queries and then use the queries to retrieve images from the Google image search engine.

To extract aspect-related sentences, we locate the aspect with the corresponding section on the entity's Wikipedia page. For each aspect of the entity, we extract the sentences from the corresponding section as queries once the sentences contain the entity name.

To collect images from Google, we input each query to the Google image search engine via a python script to retrieve the images and save the first five results (typically, the top result images have a high probability of being images related to the corresponding aspect). Then, all collected aspect-related images make up our AspectMMKG which contains a total of 2,380 entities, 18,139 entity aspects, and 645,383 aspect-related images.

2.4 Data Statistics

As shown in Table 2, compared with the existing mainstream MMKGs [1, 6, 11, 13, 27], our AspectMMKG is the only MMKG with fine-grained aspect information. Though the number of entities in AspectMMKG is less than others, in this paper, we primarily focus on matching the entities with aspect-related images. Thus, we pay more attention to aspects and the enrichment of aspect-related entity images. From this perspective, the average number of images per entity in our AspectMMKG is significantly higher than any other MMKGs (271.2 vs. <100), and there are 7.62 aspects per entity on average, facilitating future research with aspect-related MMKGs.

In more detail, the average number of images associated with each entity is 271.2. There is a certain imbalance in the number of each entity. A small number of long-tail entity images are less than other entities. The dataset contains a total of 4,775 aspect labels. These labels can be used To perform knowledge graph reasoning, different aspects of the entity will have a small number of repeated images, which are considered to be error graphs under this aspect, and can be filtered by the graph correction model.

2.5 Data Quality

The quality of AspectMMKG is measured by human evaluators. In detail, we randomly select 5 entity types from 15 pre-defined entity types and randomly select an entity from each entity type for

Jingdan Zhang, Jiaan Wang, Xiaodan Wang, Zhixu Li, & Yanghua Xiao



Figure 2: Construction route.

Entity Type	Explanation	Example Entities
Capital and Country	Country and Country's capital.	Tokyo, Paris, France
Company	Company or brand	Apple Inc, SpaceX, Walmart
War	War	Vietnam War, Korean War, Gulf War
Holiday	Holiday	Halloween, Valentine's Day, Thanksgiving
Human (Canada)	People whose nationality is Canadian.	Elon Musk, Justin Bieber, James Cameron
Human (China)	People whose nationality is Chinese.	Yao Ming, Jack Ma, Simu Liu
Human (French)	People whose nationality is French.	Coco Chanel, Napoleon, Marie Curie
Human (UK)	People whose nationality is British.	Elizabeth II, Elton John, Emma Watson
Sovereign State	State that has the highest authority over a territory.	Australia, Switzerland, France
State (US)	US states	California, Texas, Florida
University (Canada)	Canadian universities	McGill University, University of Toronto, Ryerson University
University (UK)	British universities	University of Oxford, University of Cambridge, University of London
University (US)	American universities	Harvard University, Stanford University, Columbia University
Film (En)	English movies	Avengers: Endgame, No Time to Die, Spider-Man: No Way Home
Series (En)	English TV series	WandaVision, Avatar: The Last Airbender, Roman Empire

Table 1: Entity types with the corresponding explanation and example entities.

MMKG	Candidate Images	# Entity	Images / Entity	Aspect
IMGpedia[6]	Wikimedia Commons	2.6M	>5.6	×
ImageGraph[13]	Search engine	15K	55.8	×
MMKG[11]	Search engine	15K	55.8	×
Richpedia[27]	Search engine, Wikipedia	29,985	99.2	×
VisualSem[1]	Wikipedia, ImageNet	89.9K	10.4	X
AspectMMKG	Search engine, Wikipedia	2,380	271.2	~

Table 2: Data statistics of AspectMMKG and previous MMKGs. "# Entity" denotes the number of entities. "Images / Entity" indicates the average number of images per entity. "Aspect" represents whether the MMKG provides aspect information.

AspectMMKG: A Multi-modal Knowledge Graph with Aspect-aware Enti	itie
--	------

Entity	Accuracy (%)
Dog	72.3
Apple Inc	83.3
Ed Sheeran	83.8
Harvard University	86.1
Dragon Boat Festival	64.1

 Table 3: Human-evaluated accuracy of aspect-related images in AspectMMKG.



Figure 3: An example of EAL, the green text represents for aspect, and blue and red text represent two entities.

evaluation. Then we manually judge whether each aspect-related image is in line with the corresponding aspect, and compute the overall accuracy. We employ three graduate students as evaluators to make the judgments, and the Fless's Kappa score [8] of the evaluation results is 0.52, indicating a good inter-agreement among our evaluators. As shown in Table 3, we find that the aspect-related images of most entities have a decent accuracy (note that there are hundreds of images for an entity), showing the high relevance between aspect-related images and the corresponding aspects in our AspectMMKG. We also find that the accuracy of "Dog" and "Dragon Boat Festival" is slightly worse than others. The "Dog" entity is a high-level abstract entity and could cover a large number of real-world entities. Thus, the retrieved aspect-related images might be inaccurate. The image granularity in the Google image search engine of the "Dragon Boat Festival" entity is relatively coarse, and there are not enough aspect-related images that reflect the specific semantics of the entity.

3 DOWNSTREAM PERFORMANCE

We apply AspectMMKG to the entity aspect linking (EAL) downstream task to evaluate the effectiveness of AspectMMKG.

3.1 Task Definition

Given a context sentence $S = (s_1, s_2, ..., s_{|S|})$ (s_i denotes the *i*-th token in *S*) and the involved entity set $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}$, entity aspect linking [12] aims to identify aspect $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ for each entity $e_i \in \mathcal{E}$ mentioned in the context, where \mathcal{A} denotes pre-defined aspect set. Figure 3 shows an EAL example, where EAL models should predict the aspect (*i.e.*, "2016 presidential election") for the given two entities (*i.e.*, "Trump" and "Clinton").

CIKM '23, October 21-25, 2023, Birmingham, United Kingdom

entities	intersection
1000	13
106333	1046
1000	7
1000	9
	entities 1000 106333 1000 1000

Table 4: Our entities and EAL dataset entity analysis.

3.2 EAL Framework

The general framework of EAL models first use a text feature extractor to extract the text features of both the input context *S* and the aspect sets \mathcal{E} :

$$h_s = \text{TextFeature}(S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots s_{|S|}\})$$
(1)

$$h_a = \text{TextFeature}(\mathcal{E} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots a_{|\mathbf{a}|}\})$$
(2)

and then calculate the similarity between the context feature and each aspect feature using different similarity functions:

$$t_{s_1}, t_{s_2}, \dots, t_{s_n} \leftarrow \operatorname{Sim}_1(\mathbf{h}_s, \mathbf{h}_a), \operatorname{Sim}_2(\mathbf{h}_s, \mathbf{h}_a), \dots, \operatorname{Sim}_n(\mathbf{h}_s, \mathbf{h}_a)$$
 (3)

where Sim_i denotes a similarity function, and there are *n* functions in total.

After obtaining multiple similarity scores, we input them into a learning-to-rank model to obtain the final EAL prediction.

$$P = \{p_{a_1}, p_{a_2}, \dots, p_{a_{\lfloor \mathcal{A} \rfloor}}\} \leftarrow \text{Learning2Rank}(t_{s_1}, t_{s_2}, \dots, t_{s_n}) \quad (4)$$

where p_{a_i} represents the prediction probability of aspect $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$. The aspect with the highest probability makes the final prediction.

3.3 Methods

Baseline. The baseline model is proposed by Ramsdell et al. [16]. Specifically, the model computes multiple text similarity scores between context and different entity aspects, and then fed the similarity scores into a list-wise learning-to-rank model to link the entity mentioned in sentence context to its corresponding aspect. The following similarity features are adopted in the baseline model:

- BM25: Using sentence context as a query and each aspect as a document, the model uses BM25 with default parameters as a ranking model.
- TFIDF: Cosine TF-IDF score between sentence context and aspect part. The baseline uses the TF-IDF variant with TF log normalization and smoothed inverse document frequency.
- OVERLAP: Number of unique words/entities shared between sentence context and each aspect (no normalization).
- WORD2VEC: Word embedding similarity between sentence context and each aspect. Word vectors are weighted by their TF-IDF weight. The pre-trained word embeddings are taken from word2vecslim⁴, a reduced version of the Google News word2vec model.

Our approach. To incorporate the images from AspectMMKG, for an entity and an aspect, considering the balance of aspect image data (there are only about five aspect images with a small number

⁴https://github.com/eyaler/word2vec-slim

Jingdan Zhang, Jiaan Wang, Xiaodan Wang, Zhixu Li, & Yanghua Xiao



Figure 4: Top five images under the aspect "Literature" of entity "Harvard University" selected by CLIP.

of entities), and the top five images are often more related to aspect tags, we take the images with the top-5 CLIP scores between the images and the aspect to obtain high-relevant images. A retrieval example is shown in Figure 4. Then, for an aspect, we use its highrelevant images to calculate the EAL prediction score. In detail, we use the CLIP text encoder model to encode the sentence context and use the CLIP image encoder to encode the candidate aspect-related images. After that, we calculate the similarity scores between the encoded sentence context and images, and the average similarity score of the five images is used as the EAL score of the given aspect, also named *image feature*.

3.4 Implementation Details

We use the machine learning tool Rank-lips⁵ from Ramsdell et al. [16] to combine information from multiple features. Rank-lips is a List-wise learning-to-rank toolkit with mini-batched training, using coordinate ascent to optimize for mean-average precision. Mini-batches of 1000 instances are iterated until the training MAP score changes by less than 1%. To avoid local optima, 20 restarts are used per fold or subset. Z-score normalization is activated.

3.5 Experimental Setup

Dataset. We use the EAL dataset⁶ provided by Ramsdell et al. [16] in the experiment. Since the EAL dataset only contains the first-level aspect, considering all entities have first-level aspects but not all of them have second-level, or higher-level aspects (*e.g.*, California/Geography and California/Geography/Rivers), we need to unify the granularity of aspects in AspectMMKG. Therefore, we move the images from the higher-level aspect to the first-level aspect. Besides, the EAL dataset contains a total of 109,392 entities while our AspectMMKG has a total of 2,380 entities, and the overlap between the EAL dataset and AspectMMKG has 1,075 entities, involving 44,574 EAL instances. In our experiment, we use four subsets of the EAL dataset, *i.e.*, the Test dataset, Validation dataset, Train-Small dataset, and Train-remaining dataset. We do not use the remaining two subsets due to the extremely small number of entities involved.

⁶http://www.cs.unh.edu/~dietz/eal-dataset-2020/

Aspect	BM25	TFIDF	Overlap	W2Vec
Aspect Name	~	~		~
Aspect Content	~	~	~	~

Table 5: The used similarity features in EAL experiments. "Aspect" indicates the aspect feature used to calculate similarity is based on aspect name or aspect content.

# Feature method	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
w/o images	26.4 41.3	26.7 61.2	47.8	60.6 65.1	63.5 65	65.4 65.5	66.8 66.9
Δ	14.9 [‡]	34.5 [‡]	16.5 [‡]	4.5 [‡]	1.5 [‡]	0.1^{\dagger}	0.1^{\dagger}

Table 6: EAL performance in terms of MAP ("# Feature" denotes the number of text features inputted to models). [‡] and [†] denote that "w/ images" method statistically significant better than the "w/o images" method with t-test p < 0.01 and p < 0.05, respectively.

The number of intersection entities between our AspectMMKG and these datasets is shown in Table 4.

Text Features. Following Ramsdell et al. [16], there are two aspect features can be used to calculate the similarity between the context and aspect: (a) only the aspect name; (b) the aspect content which is a description text containing aspect name provided by the EAL dataset. The similarity features combination we use is depicted in Table 5. Three text similarity features (*i.e.*, BM25, TFIDF and W2Vec.) are used between context and aspect name (since the aspect name might not appear in the context, there is no feature OVERLAP). Four text similarity features (*i.e.*, BM25, TFIDF, Overlap and W2Vec.) are used between context and aspect content. In the end, we use a total of 7 text similarity features. To explore the effect of the image feature conditioned on the different numbers of text features, in addition to selecting all text features, we also randomly select parts of (*i.e.*, 1-6) text features along with the image feature to conduct experiments.

Metric. We use Mean Average Precision (MAP) as the measurement indicator in our experiments, and the MAP score comprehensively calculates the weighted average accuracy rate of all categories.

3.6 Results & Analyses

The results are shown in Table 6. The horizontal axis represents the number of features input to the ranking model, and the vertical axis represents whether to use AspectMMKG as an auxiliary image feature. With the help of AspectMMKG, the EAL model achieve new state-of-the-art performance in all scenes, verifying the effectiveness of AspectMMKG. In addition, it can be seen that the more text features, the less the improvement brought by the images. Compared with the model involving one text feature, the improvement (*i.e.*, 14.9 MAP score) brought by the image feature is slightly lower than the counterpart (*i.e.*, 34.5 MAP score) of the model involving two text features. This is because the EAL task is a textual task, images are only used to assist text features to perform EAL, rather

⁵http://www.cs.unh.edu/~dietz/rank-lips/

AspectMMKG: A Multi-modal Knowledge Graph with Aspect-aware Entities



Figure 5: Concept of Aspect-related Image Retrieval

than relying solely on the image feature to do this task. The more features, the higher the accuracy of EAL prediction, which indicates EAL is a complex task and requires multiple features to participate in the learning-to-rank prediction model.

4 ASPECT-RELATED IMAGE RETRIEVAL

To further facilitate the research on aspect-related MMKG, in this section, we propose an aspect-related image retrieval model to retrieve aspect-related images based on the overall entity images. In detail, for a given entity e, the model utilizes its overall image I_o and an aspect label $a \in \mathcal{A}$, to retrieve the aspect-related images from a large number of candidate images $\{I_{c_1}, I_{c_2}, ..., I_{c_k}\}$ (c.f. Figure 5).

At present, there are many image-text contrast learning models, but there is no image contrast learning model. We first introduce the application cases of the aspect-related image retrieval model (§ 4.1), and then show the model architecture (§ 4.2). We next discuss the data used to train the model (§ 4.3) and analyze the model performance (§ 4.4). Finally, we also conduct experiments on the EAL downstream task to show the effectiveness of the retrieval model (§ 4.5).

4.1 Application Cases

The aspect-related image retrieval model can be used to (1) Aspect-MMKG correction: based on existing AspectMMKG, the model can remove incorrect aspect-related images. (2) AspectMMKG expansion: the model can also be used to expand AspectMMKG with more entities as well as aspect-related images in the following situations: i) For the entities already in AspectMMKG, we can continue to collect some images about the entities via an online image search engine or a knowledge base, and use the model to score the images and divide the collected images into the most suitable aspect nodes; ii) For entities that are not included in AspectMMKG but have aspects in Wikipedia, we still use our method of building AspectMMKG to obtain aspect-related images, and then use the model to filter irrelevant images. iii) For entities that are not included in AspectMMKG and have no aspect in Wikipedia (e.g., long-tail entities), we can search for related images of the entity through an online search engine, but there is no way for these images to know the corresponding aspect labels. We can calculate the similarity between an entity image and different aspects in the AspectMMKG, and use the aspect with the highest similarity as the aspect.

4.2 Model Architecture

We use a CLIP-based model for this cross-modal retrieval task. Figure 6 illustrates the architecture of the model. The model first leverages the CLIP text encoder to encode the aspect label *a*, and

	CIKM '	23,	October	21 - 25,	2023,	Birmingham,	United	Kingdom
--	--------	-----	---------	----------	-------	-------------	--------	---------

Model	Recall@3	Recall@5	Recall@10
CLIP	16.2	26.7	52.7
AIR	19.1	30.5	57.1
Δ	2.9	3.8	4.4

Table 7: Aspect-related image retrieval results in terms of Recall@k ($k \in \{3, 5, 10\}$)

the CLIP image encoder to encode the overall entity image I_o as well as a candidate aspect-related image I_{c_i} .

$$H_a \leftarrow CLIPTextEncoder(a)$$
 (5)

 $H_{I_o}, H_{I_{c_i}} \leftarrow CLIPImageEncoder(I_o), CLIPImageEncoder(I_{c_i})$ (6)

Then we concatenate entity image features (H_{I_o}) and a spect features (H_a)), and then project to image feature space:

$$H_I = W_I(H_{I_o} \oplus H_a) \tag{7}$$

where \oplus means concatenation and W_I denotes trainable parameters. Next, we use H_I (represents the information of overall image

and a spect) and ${\rm H}_{I_{c_i}}$ (represents the information of the candidate image) to train the model in a contrastive learning manner:

$$\ell_{i} = -\log \frac{e^{\sin(\mathbf{H}_{I}, \mathbf{H}^{+}_{I_{c_{i}}})/\tau}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\sin(\mathbf{H}_{I}, \mathbf{H}^{+}_{I_{c_{i}}})/\tau}}$$
(8)

where τ is a temperature hyperparameter, and N represents the size of a batch.

4.3 Retrieval Data

The structure of the training sample is a triplet of (overall entity image, aspect, aspect-related image). We collect such training samples from AspectMMKG. Given an entity, to collect the overall image, we use the CLIP model to score relevance between each image w.r.t the entity from AspectMMKG and the entity name, and take the image with the highest relevance as its overall image. To further collect the aspect-related images, we score the relevance between each aspect-related image from AspectMMKG and the aspect label, and then use the images with the top-3 relevances as the ground truth of the aspect-related images.

Finally, we collect 46,779 aspect-related image retrieval samples, and divide them into the training set, the validation set and the test set with the proportion of 8 (37453) : 1 (4663) : 1 (4663).

4.4 Results & Analyses

We evaluate the aspect-related image retrieval model via (1) automatic evaluation and (2) human evaluation.

Automaic Evaluation. For an aspect (*e.g.*, urban structure) of an entity (*e.g.*, Sydney), we use all the images under the entity from the test set as candidate aspect-related images, and sort them via the aspect-related image retrieval model, and evaluate the results in terms of recall@3, recall@5 and recall@10.⁷ We compare our model with vanilla CLIP, the experimental results are shown in Table 7, where we can find that our model can better rank the correct

⁷Note that the value of Hits@k is equal to Recall@k under our setting.

Jingdan Zhang, Jiaan Wang, Xiaodan Wang, Zhixu Li, & Yanghua Xiao



Figure 6: The architecture of the aspect-related image retrieval model.

Rank	CLIP	AIR
1	Notable people	Notable people
2	Geography	Sports
3	Sports	Education
4	History	Notable people
5	Government	Media
6	Demographics	Government
7	Economy	History
8	History	Sports
9	Government	Media
10	Notable people	Parks and recreation

 Table 8: A retrieval instance on the test set, the bold text is

 the distribution of ground truth.

aspect-related images among the whole entity images. Besides, the model has the ability to recognize multi-modal information with fine-grained semantics "aspect". Additionally, we show a case from the test set in Table 8, with the aspect labels of retrieval images of our model as well as vanilla CLIP on the aspect "Notable people" of the entity "Las Vegas".

Human Evaluation. Based on the AspectMMKG we built, given a certain entity and an aspect, we use our model to calculate the probability of each aspect-related image from AspectMMKG is in line with the aspect label to see if the model can predict the correct image with a high probability, and wrong images with a low probability. We randomly select 20 entities and evaluate the model by employing three graduate students as evaluators to score the retrieved top-k images for each entity, and calculate the proportion (denotes as P@k) of correct images in the top-k retrieval results.

The human evaluation results are shown in Table 9. We can see that because the overall quality of AspectMMKG is relatively high when doing retrieval tasks on it, there are more correct images in

Model	P@5	P@10	P@15	P@20
CLIP	76.4	80.8	83.3	84.3
AIR	84.2	86.9	88.9	88.6

Table 9: The proportion of correct images among the top-Kretrieved images.

the top-K images. Compared with the CLIP model, our retrieval model achieves better performance in terms of all metrics.

4.5 EAL Performance

The effectiveness of the EAL downstream task could also verify the effectiveness of our model, and we leverage the aspect-related image retrieval model to conduct experiments on EAL downstream tasks as described in Section 3. To adapt our model to EAL, we first use our text encoder to encode the aspect, and use our image encoder to encode the candidate aspect-related images. Then, we calculate the similarity scores between aspect labels and candidate aspect-related images, and take the first five images as the EAL aspect-related images. Next, we use the CLIP text encoder and image encoder to encode the sentence context and the EAL aspect-related images respectively. We calculate the similarity score between the context and each EAL aspect-related image. Finally, we regard the average of the five similarity scores as the prediction probability of the entity aspect described in the context. Table 10 shows the results, our model is better at capturing aspect images than the baseline model (i.e., vanilla CLIP), indicating its superiority.

5 RELATED WORK

5.1 Multi-modal Knowledge Graph

The existing multi-modal knowledge graphs are listed as follows: (1) IMGPEDIA [6] is a vast linked dataset that combines visual information from the WIKIMEDIA COMMONS dataset. It includes

AspectMMKG: A Multi-modal Knowledge Graph with Aspect-aware Entities

Туре	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
w/o images	26.4	26.7	47.8	60.6	63.5	65.4	66.8
w images	44.4	61.3	64.3	65.2	65.4	66.7	67.1
Δ	18.0	34.6	16.5	4.6	1.9	1.3	0.3

 Table 10: EAL performance in terms of MAP by using our aspect-related image retrieval model.

descriptors for the visual content of 15 million images, 450 million visual-similarity relations between these images, links to image metadata from DBPEDIA COMMONS, and connections to DBPE-DIA resources associated with each image. (2) ImageGraph [13] is a visual-relational knowledge graph (KG) that features a multirelational graph where entities are linked to images. It contains 1,330 relation types, 14,870 entities, and 829,931 images collected from the web. (3) MMKG [11] is a collection of three knowledge graphs that incorporate both numerical features and image links for all entities. It also includes alignments between pairs of KGs, providing a comprehensive resource for various tasks. (4) Richpedia [27] aims to create a comprehensive multi-modal knowledge graph by associating diverse images with textual entities in Wikidata. It establishes RDF links (visual semantic relations) between image entities based on hyperlinks and descriptions in Wikipedia. Richpedia offers a web-accessible faceted query endpoint and serves as a valuable resource for knowledge graph and computer vision tasks, such as link prediction and visual relation detection. (5) VisualSem [1] is a high-quality knowledge graph (KG) that includes nodes with multilingual glosses, multiple illustrative images, and visually relevant relations. It also provides a neural multi-modal retrieval model capable of using images or sentences as inputs to retrieve entities in the KG. This retrieval model can be seamlessly integrated into any neural network-based model pipeline. (6) PKG [10] is an MMKG for classical Chinese poetry. This MMKG first collects poetry data from Online resources. Then, inspired by the promising performances of large language models [19, 22-26], PKG uses a text-to-image generative model to collect images.

Different from previous MMKGs, we propose AspectMMKG with aspect-related entity images, and an entity in AspectMMKG is linked to different images given different aspects.

5.2 Aspect Information in KG

In recent years, researchers propose the definition of entity aspects and propose some methods to identify, extract and utilize the entity aspects to support tasks such as search, classification, and recommendation of more accurate and fine-grained entities. Fetahu et al. [7] is the first to formalize the research on entities. Their work uses news articles to enrich Wikipedia chapters. Although the word "aspect" is not explicitly used in their paper, they believe that each part represents a different topic. But it only focuses on the most salient entities in the text. On the contrary, Nanni et al. [12] build a system that works for any type of entity mentioned in context. And under the condition that entities have different aspects, the task of entity aspect linking is proposed and solved, i.e., given a context paragraph and a mentioned entity, the task of entity linking is refined according to the aspects of the entity it refers to. Banerjee et al. [5] and Sauper and Barzilay[18] use a combination of retrieval and abstract summarization to predict content to populate new Wikipedia pages. Their focus is to generate content suitable for new pages. Reinanda et al. [17] introduce the definition of entity "aspect", using the aspect catalog of entity types, and using aspect features to identify related documents of long-tail entities. Arnold et al. [2, 3] use chapters of Wikipedia articles to learn how to segment articles into different topics and identify answer paragraphs to biomedical questions. Balasubramanian and Cucerzan [4] build topic pages for popular person entities and use query logs to derive aspects of the entities. In addition, some researchers [9, 14, 17, 20, 28] extract information from large-scale query logs or perform entity analysis to generate salient content about entities. However, these methods are often dependent on the corpus involved in the study and cannot be effectively extended to rare long-tail entities. Ramsdell et al [16]. use the aspect catalog to define aspects for each entity instance. Unlike previous work, we use sections from Wikipedia as sources for aspects instead of query logs. The aspect table of contents is defined using Wikipedia's chapter hierarchy, where the names of the aspects are derived from the chapter titles. Complementing the work of Nanni et al [12]., Ramsdell et al [16]. provide a largescale test set and provide strong baseline results, and a fine-grained feature set to stimulate more research in this area.

We follow the approach of Ramsdell et al [16]., using Wikipedia's chapter hierarchy to define the aspect table of contents, where the names of the aspects are derived from the chapter titles. But the difference is that we have derived multiple levels of aspects. For aspects of different granularities, we use the file system hierarchy to save aspects. Different from previous work on entity aspect linking of plain text features, we employ features that incorporate multimodal information. It is used to assist text features to complete the task of linking entities.

6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we construct an MMKG, named AspectMMKG, with a fine-grained semantic "aspect" of Entities. We verify the effectiveness of AspectMMKG on the entity aspect linking downstream task. To facilitate the research on aspect-related MMKG, we further train an aspect-related image retrieval model that can be used to correct and expand AspectMMKG.

7 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.62072323), Shanghai Science and Technology Innovation Action Plan (No. 22511105902, 22511104700), Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Major Project (No.2021 SHZDZX0103), and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality Grant (No. 22511105902).

REFERENCES

- Houda Alberts, Teresa Huang, Yash Deshpande, Yibo Liu, Kyunghyun Cho, Clara Vania, and Iacer Calixto. 2020. Visualsem: a high-quality knowledge graph for vision and language. arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.09150 (2020).
- [2] Sebastian Arnold, Rudolf Schneider, Philippe Cudré-Mauroux, Felix A Gers, and Alexander Löser. 2019. Sector: A neural model for coherent topic segmentation and classification. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 7 (2019), 169–184.

Jingdan Zhang, Jiaan Wang, Xiaodan Wang, Zhixu Li, & Yanghua Xiao

- [3] Sebastian Arnold, Betty van Aken, Paul Grundmann, Felix A Gers, and Alexander Löser. 2020. Learning contextualized document representations for healthcare answer retrieval. In *Proceedings of The Web Conference 2020*, 1332–1343.
- [4] Niranjan Balasubramanian and Silviu Cucerzan. 2009. Automatic generation of topic pages using query-based aspect models. In Proceedings of the 18th ACM conference on Information and knowledge management. 2049–2052.
- [5] Siddhartha Banerjee and Prasenjit Mitra. 2015. Wikikreator: Improving wikipedia stubs automatically. In Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers). 867–877.
- [6] Sebastián Ferrada, Benjamin Bustos, and Aidan Hogan. 2017. IMGpedia: a linked dataset with content-based analysis of Wikimedia images. In *The Semantic Web– ISWC 2017: 16th International Semantic Web Conference, Vienna, Austria, October* 21-25, 2017, Proceedings, Part II 16. Springer, 84–93.
- [7] Besnik Fetahu, Katja Markert, and Avishek Anand. 2015. Automated news suggestions for populating wikipedia entity pages. In Proceedings of the 24th ACM International on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management. 323–332.
- [8] Joseph L Fleiss. 1971. Measuring nominal scale agreement among many raters. Psychological bulletin 76, 5 (1971), 378.
- [9] Peng Li, Yinglin Wang, Wei Gao, and Jing Jiang. 2011. Generating aspect-oriented multi-document summarization with event-aspect model. In Proceedings of the 2011 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing. 1137–1146.
- [10] Yuqing Li, Yuxin Zhang, Bin Wu, Ji-Rong Wen, Ruihua Song, and Ting Bai. 2022. A Multi-Modal Knowledge Graph for Classical Chinese Poetry. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, 2318–2326. https: //doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.findings-emnlp.171
- [11] Ye Liu, Hui Li, Alberto Garcia-Duran, Mathias Niepert, Daniel Onoro-Rubio, and David S Rosenblum. 2019. MMKG: multi-modal knowledge graphs. In The Semantic Web: 16th International Conference, ESWC 2019, Portorož, Slovenia, June 2–6, 2019, Proceedings 16. Springer, 459–474.
- [12] Federico Nanni, Simone Paolo Ponzetto, and Laura Dietz. 2018. Entity-aspect linking: providing fine-grained semantics of entities in context. In Proceedings of the 18th ACM/IEEE on Joint Conference on Digital Libraries. 49–58.
- [13] Daniel Oñoro-Rubio, Mathias Niepert, Alberto García-Durán, Roberto González, and Roberto J López-Sastre. 2017. Answering visual-relational queries in webextracted knowledge graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.02314 (2017).
- [14] Patrick Pantel, Thomas Lin, and Michael Gamon. 2012. Mining entity types from query logs via user intent modeling. In Proceedings of the 50th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers). 563-571.
- [15] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. 2021. Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision. arXiv:2103.00020 [cs.CV]
- [16] Jordan S. Ramsdell and Laura Dietz. 2020. A Large Test Collection for Entity Aspect Linking. Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management (2020).
- [17] Ridho Reinanda, Edgar Meij, and Maarten de Rijke. 2015. Mining, ranking and recommending entity aspects. In *Proceedings of the 38th international acm sigir* conference on research and development in information retrieval. 263–272.
- [18] Christina Sauper and Regina Barzilay. 2009. Automatically generating wikipedia articles: A structure-aware approach. In Proceedings of the Joint Conference of

the 47th Annual Meeting of the ACL and the 4th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing of the AFNLP. 208–216.

- [19] Haoxiang Shi, Rongsheng Zhang, Jiaan Wang, Cen Wang, Yinhe Zheng, and Tetsuya Sakai. 2022. LayerConnect: Hypernetwork-Assisted Inter-Layer Connector to Enhance Parameter Efficiency. In Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Computational Linguistics. 3120–3126.
- [20] Bilyana Taneva and Gerhard Weikum. 2013. Gem-based entity-knowledge maintenance. In Proceedings of the 22nd ACM international conference on Information & Knowledge Management. 149–158.
- [21] Jiaan Wang, Zhixu Li, Tingyi Zhang, Duo Zheng, Jianfeng Qu, An Liu, Lei Zhao, and Zhigang Chen. 2022. Knowledge enhanced sports game summarization. In Proceedings of the Fifteenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. 1045–1053.
- [22] Jiaan Wang, Yunlong Liang, Fandong Meng, Haoxiang Shi, Zhixu Li, Jinan Xu, Jianfeng Qu, and Jie Zhou. 2023. Is chatgpt a good nlg evaluator? a preliminary study. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.04048 (2023).
- [23] Jiaan Wang, Yunlong Liang, Fandong Meng, Beiqi Zou, Zhixu Li, Jianfeng Qu, and Jie Zhou. 2023. Zero-Shot cross-lingual summarization via large language models.
- [24] Jiaan Wang, Fandong Meng, Ziyao Lu, Duo Zheng, Zhixu Li, Jianfeng Qu, and Jie Zhou. 2022. ClidSum: A Benchmark Dataset for Cross-Lingual Dialogue Summarization. In Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing. 7716–7729.
- [25] Jiaan Wang, Fandong Meng, Duo Zheng, Yunlong Liang, Zhixu Li, Jianfeng Qu, and Jie Zhou. 2022. A survey on cross-lingual summarization. Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics 10 (2022), 1304–1323.
- [26] Jiaan Wang, Fandong Meng, Duo Zheng, Yunlong Liang, Zhixu Li, Jianfeng Qu, and Jie Zhou. 2023. Towards Unifying Multi-Lingual and Cross-Lingual Summarization. In Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers). Association for Computational Linguistics, Toronto, Canada, 15127–15143. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.acllong.843
- [27] Meng Wang, Guilin Qi, HaoFen Wang, and Qiushuo Zheng. 2020. Richpedia: a comprehensive multi-modal knowledge graph. In Semantic Technology: 9th Joint International Conference, JIST 2019, Hangzhou, China, November 25–27, 2019, Proceedings 9. Springer, 130–145.
- [28] Xiaoxin Yin and Sarthak Shah. 2010. Building taxonomy of web search intents for name entity queries. In Proceedings of the 19th international conference on World wide web. 1001–1010.
- [29] Xiaoming Zhang, Xin Liu, Xin Li, and Dongyu Pan. 2017. MMKG: An approach to generate metallic materials knowledge graph based on DBpedia and Wikipedia. *Computer Physics Communications* 211 (2017), 98–112.
- [30] Xiaoming Zhang, Xiaoling Sun, Chunjie Xie, and Bing Lun. 2019. From vision to content: Construction of domain-specific multi-modal knowledge graph. *IEEE Access* 7 (2019), 108278–108294.
- [31] Wentian Zhao, Yao Hu, Heda Wang, Xinxiao Wu, and Jiebo Luo. 2021. Boosting entity-aware image captioning with multi-modal knowledge graph. arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.11970 (2021).
- [32] Xiangru Zhu, Zhixu Li, Xiaodan Wang, Xueyao Jiang, Penglei Sun, Xuwu Wang, Yanghua Xiao, and Nicholas Jing Yuan. 2022. Multi-modal knowledge graph construction and application: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* (2022).