

## ALGORITHM 314

FINDING A SOLUTION OF $N$ FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS IN $N$ UNKNOWNS [C5]
D. B. Dulley and M. L. V. Pitteway (Recd. 7 Apr. 1966, 19 Oct. 1966 and 5 July 1967)
Cripps Computing Centre, University of Nottingham, England
procedure ndinvt (funciions, initstep, error, cycles, $x, f$, accest, $n$ ); value $n$; procedure functions; real initstep, error; integer cycles, $n$; array $x, f$, accest;
comment This procedure performs inverse interpolation in $n$ dimensions, i.e., it will find a set of values for $n$ variables $x$, such that $n$ functions $f(x)$ are zero. A more sophisticated technique, suitable for large values of $n$, has been developed by S. M. Robinson (Interpolative Solution of Systems of Nonlinear Equations, SIAM Journal of Numerical Analysis, 3 (1966), $650-658$ ). It can also be used to fit a curve with $n$ arbitrary parameters to a set of points, the $n$ functions being formed, in this case, by equating to zero the differential of the sum of the squares of the residues with respect to each parameter in turn.
The functions required are specified by a procedure of the form functions ( $f, x$ ) where $f$ and $x$ are declared as arrays from 1 to $n$. This procedure should calculate the $n$ functions from a set of values given in $x$, placing the results in $f$. The first step is made by forming partial derivatives over an interval initstep. $1_{10}-6$ should be suitable for values of $x$ of the order 1 to 10 . Exit from the procedure will occur if:
(i) the root sum square of the $x$ increments is less than error. If error is negative, this condition must be satisfied for | error |, and in addition this process is continued until the root sum square of the incrementsfails to decrease
or (ii) the number of iterations is greater than cycles, implying that too much accuracy has been requested
or (iii) the specified equations are singular. In this case exit is by a jump to a label fails.
On entry, the array $x$ should contain the starting values. On exit, the array $x$ will contain the accurate root, $f$ the residues and accest the last increments made to $x$ as a measure of the accuracy.

This procedure calls on a global procedure eqnsolve ( $A, b, n, l a b e l$ ), which solves $n$ linear simultaneous equations in $n$ unknowns $A x=b$, placing the result in $b$. If $A$ is singular, it is assumed that an exit is made by a jump to label;

## begin

real work, sumsqres, prevres;
integer $i, j$, count;
Boolean switch;
array $\operatorname{prevf}[1: n]$, copydelf $[1: n, 1: n]$, $\operatorname{delx}$, $\operatorname{delf}[1: n, 1: n+1]$;
functions(prevf, $x$ );
for $i:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do
begin
$x[i]:=x[i]+$ initstep;
functions ( $f, x$ );
for $j:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do
begin
$\operatorname{delf}[i, j]:=f[j]-\operatorname{prevf}[j] ;$
delx $[i, j]:=0 ;$
end differencing initial point;
delx $[i, i]:=$ initstep;
$x[i]:=x[i]-$ initstep ;
end setting up the initial matrix of points;
sumsqres : $=1_{1030}$;
count :=0;
iterate:
switch := true;
prevres := sumsqres;
tryagain:
for $i:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do
begin
$f[i]:=\operatorname{prevf}[i] ;$
for $j:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do copydelf $[i, j]:=\operatorname{delf}[i, j]$
end copying delf for destructive use in procedure eqnsolve;
eqnsolve (copydelf, $f, n$, inline);
sumsqres $:=0$;
for := 1 step 1 until $n$ do
begin
work $:=0$;
for $j:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do work $:=$ work $-\operatorname{delx}[i, j] \times f[j]$;
accest $[i]:=$ work;
$x[i]:=x[i]+$ work ;
sumsqres $:=$ sumsqres + work $\times$ work
end calculation of next point;
count $:=$ count +1 ;
functions ( $f, x$ );
if count $>$ cycles $\vee$ sumsqres < error $\times$ error $\wedge$ (error $>0 \vee$ sumsqres $>$ prevres) then go to exit;
for $i:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do
begin
work $:=f[i]-\operatorname{prevf}[i]$;
prevf[i]:=f[i];
for $j:=n$ step - 1 until 1 do
begin
$\operatorname{delx}[i, j+1]:=\operatorname{delx}[i, j]-\operatorname{accest}[i] ;$
$\operatorname{delf}[i, j+1]:=\operatorname{delf}[i, j]-$ work
end calculation of new differences;
$\operatorname{delx}[i, 1]:=-\operatorname{accest}[i]$;
delf $[i, 1]:=-$ work
end moving points up one place in tables;
go to iterate;
inline:
for $i:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do
begin
$\operatorname{del} x[i, n]:=\operatorname{del} x[i, n+1]$;
$\operatorname{delf}[i, n]:=\operatorname{delf}[i, n+1]$
end discarding alternative point;
switch := ᄀ switch;
if switch then go to fails else go to tryagain;
exit:
end ndinvt
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THE DAMPED TAYLOR'S SERIES METHOD FOR MINIMIZING A SUM OF SQUARES AND FOR sOLVING SYsTEMS OF NONLINEAR EQUATIONS [E4, C5]
H. Späth (Recd. 25 Oct. 1966 and 19 June 1967)

Institut für Neutronenphysik und Reaktortechnik
Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Germany
procedure $T A Y L O R(n, m, x, h, f, i t m a x, e p s 1, e p s 2, d e r, S, K E N N$, EXIT);
value $n, m$, eps1, eps 2 ; integer $n, m, i t m a x, K E N N$; real eps1, eps2,S;

Boolean der; array $x, h, f$; label $E X I T$; comment

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}^{2}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \quad(m \geqq n) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the function to be minimized. Such functions always appear if you apply the method of least squares to estimate nonlinear parameters. The following sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{(k+1)}=x^{(k)}-\beta \Delta x^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\beta\left(F_{x(k)}^{\prime T} F_{x(k)}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} F_{x(k)}^{\prime T} F\left(x^{(k)}\right) \\
& F=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{m}\right), \quad F_{x}^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) i=1, \cdots, m, j=1, \cdots, n \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta$, which is always possible, is chosen to be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(x^{(k)}-\beta \Delta x^{(k)}\right) \leqslant(1-\beta \lambda) S\left(x^{(k)}\right) \quad(0<\lambda<1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is known to converge [1] for any $x^{(0)}$ to a stationary point of $S\left(\operatorname{grad} S=2 F_{x}^{\prime}{ }^{T} F(x)=0\right)$, if on the carrying out of the iteration the matrix $F_{x}^{\prime}{ }^{T} F_{x}^{\prime}$ does not become singular.
For $m=n$ you have $\Delta x=F_{x}^{\prime-1} F(x)$ and (2) becomes a damped version of Newton's method for solving the system of nonlinear equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

All zeros of (4) are stationary points of (1). Thus we are able to generate a sequence which converges for any $x^{(0)}$ to a stationary point of (1) and the possible divergence of Newton's method ( $\beta=1$ ) is avoided. It is not assured, however, that the method will always converge to a solution of (4). Numerical experience has shown that though Newton's method ( $\beta=1$ ) diverges for a certain $x^{(0)}$ the damped sequence converges to a solution of (4) for the same $x^{(0)}$.

In the program we have chosen $\lambda=.2$. At each iteration we set first $\beta=1$ and then, if (3) is not valid, $\beta=2^{-j}(j=1,2, \ldots, 16)$. If $j$ is greater than 16 then $\beta<.00002$ and we assume to have reached a stationary point of $S$.
Meaning of the formal parameters:
$n \quad$ the number of variables $x_{i}$
$m \quad$ the number of functions $f_{i}$
$x \quad$ the array $x[1: n]$ which must first contain a starting value $x^{(0)}$ and finally will contain a stationary point of $S$, if $F_{x}^{\prime}{ }^{T} F_{x}^{\prime}$ or for $m=n F_{x}^{\prime}$, respectively, has not become singular
$h \quad h[1: n]$ is a step size vector for the approximation of $F_{x}^{\prime}$ (see below)
$f \quad$ the array $f[1: m]$ will contain the function values at the last $x$ calculated in TAYLOR
itmax must initially contain the maximum number of iterations to be performed. Leaving TAYLOR regularly, itmax contains the actual number of performed iterations
eps $1 \quad$ the iteration is stopped when $S<e p s 1$
eps2 the iteration is discontinued when $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\Delta x_{i}^{(k)}\right|<$ eps $2 \times \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}^{(k+1)}\right|$
der $\quad$ if der $=$ true the matrix $F_{x}^{\prime}$ must be produced by a global procedure named $\operatorname{DERIVE}(x, d f d x)$ which adjoins to the vector $x[1: n]$ the array $d f d x[1: m, 1: n]$. In this case the array $h$ can be loaded by an arbitrary vector, for instance $x$.
if $d e r=$ false the matrix $F_{x}^{\prime}$ is approximated by

$$
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}=\frac{f_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}+h_{j}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)-f_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}-h_{j}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{2 h_{j}}
$$

where $h$ is a given step size vector. With a suitable choice of the $h_{j}$ the convergence behavior of the sequence (2) is not destroyed. DERIVE $(x, d f d x)$ must be formally declared outside of TA YLOR in this case.
[In some cases, particularly when solving nonlinear equations, the extra accuracy achieved by using central differences to estimate the derivatives is not necessary. A considerable saving in execution time can be obtained by using one-sided differences which means only minor changes in the program below. -Ref.]
$S$
should initially contain the greatest positive number that the employed computer can store. Finally $S$ contains $S=S\left(x^{(i t m a x)}\right)$, if TAYLOR is regularly left.
KENN if after having called TAYLOR
$K E N N=0$ then one of the above interruptions applies (eps1, eps2),
$K E N N=1$ then itmax iterations were carried out and TAYLOR is left,
KENN $=-1$ then $\beta=2^{-17}$ and TAYLOR is left.
EX1T TAYLOR goes to this global label if i encounters a singular matrix.
Further two global procedures must be made available to TA YLOR:
i) FUNCTION $(x, f)$ which is able to calculate for a given vector $x[1: n]$ the function values $f[1: m]$
ii) $G A U S S(n, A, b, x, E X I T)$ which solves the linear system of $n$ equations $A x=b$ for $x$. If $A$ is singular then GAUSS returns to the global label EXIT. Any linear equation solver may be used for GAUSS;
begin integer $i, j, k, z, l$; real $h f, h l, h s, h z$;
array $f p, f m[1: m], b, d x[1: n], d f d x[1: m, 1: n], a a[1: n, 1: n]$;
$h s:=S ;$ KENN $:=z:=0 ;$
ITERATION: $z:=z+1$;
if $z>$ itmax then begin $K E N N:=1$; go to $E N D E$ end; $l:=0 ; h l:=1.0 ;$
DAMP: $l:=l+1$;
if $1>16$ then begin $K E N N:=-1$; go to $E N D E$ end;
FUNCTION $(x, f)$; $h f:=0$;
for $i:=1$ step 1 until $m$ do $h f:=h f+f[i] \times f[i]$;
if $h f>h s \times(1.0-.2 \times h l)$ then
begin $h l:=h l \times .5$;
for $k:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do $x[k]:=x[k]+h l \times d x[k]$; go to $D A M P$
end;
$h s:=h f$; if $h s<e p s 1$ then go to $E N D E$;
if $d e r$ then $D E R I V E(x, d f d x)$ else
begin
for $i:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do
begin $h f:=h[i] ; h z:=2.0 \times h f$;
$x[i]:=x[i]+h f ;$ FUNCTION $(x, f p)$;
$x[i]:=x[i]-h z ;$ FUNCTION $(x, f m)$;
$x[i]:=x[i]+h f ; h z:=1.0 / h z$;
for $k:=1$ step 1 until $m$ do
$d f d x[k, i]:=h z \times(f p[k]-f m[k])$
end
end;
if $m=n$ then $\operatorname{GAUSS}(n, d f d x, f, d x, E X I T)$ else
begin
for $i:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do
begin $h f:=0$;
for $k:=1$ step 1 until $m$ do
$h f:=h f+d f d x[k, i] \times f[k] ; \quad b[i]:=h f ;$
for $k:=i$ step 1 until $n$ do
begin $h f:=0$;
for $j:=1$ step 1 until $m$ do
$h f:=h f+d f d x[j, i] \times d f d x[j, k] ;$
$a a[i, k]:=a a[k, i]:=h f$
end
end;
$\operatorname{GAUSS}(n, a a, b, d x, E X I T)$
end;

```
    \(h z:=h f:=0\);
    for \(i:=1\) step 1 until \(n\) do
    begin
        \(x[i]:=x[i]-d x \mid i] ; h z:=h z+a b s(x[i]) ;\)
        \(h f:=h f+a b s(d x[i])\)
    end;
    if \(h f \geq e p s 2 \times h z\) then go to ITERATION;
ENDE: FUNCTION \((x, f) ; \quad S:=0 ;\) itmax \(:=z\);
    for \(i:=1\) step 1 until \(m\) do \(S:=S+f[i] \times f[i]\)
end TAYLOR
```

    Reference:
    [1] Braess, D. Über Dämpfung bei Minimalisierungsverfahren.
Computing 1 (1966), 264-272.

ALGORITHM 316
SOLUTION OF SIMULTANEOUS NON-LINEAR EQUATIONS [C5]
K. M. Brown (Reed. 27 Oct. 1966, 31 Mar. 1967, 17 July 1967, and 26 July 1967)
Department of Computer Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York
procedure nonlinearsystem ( $n$, maxit, numsig, singular, $x$ ); value $n$, $n$ umsig; integer $n$, maxit, numsig, $\operatorname{singular;~array~} x$; comment This procedure solves a system of $n$ simultaneous nonlinear equations. The method is roughly quadratically convergent and requires only $\left(\left(n^{2} / 2\right)+(3 n / 2)\right)$ function evaluations per iterative step as compared with $\left(n^{2}+n\right)$ evaluations for Newton's Method. This results in a savings of computational effort for sufficiently complicated functions. A detailed description of the general method and proof of convergence are included in [1]. Basically the technique consists in expanding the first equation in a Taylor series about the starting guess, retaining only linear terms, equating to zero and solving for one variable, say $x_{k}$, as a linear combination of the remaining $n-1$ variables. In the second equation, $x_{k}$ is eliminated by replacing it with its linear representation found above, and again the process of expanding through linear terms, equating to zero and solving for one variable in terms of the now remaining $n-2$ variables is performed. One continues in this fashion, eliminating one variable per equation, until for the $n$th equation, we are left with one equation in one unknown. A single Newton step is now performed, followed by back-substitution in the triangularized linear system generated for the $x_{i}$ 's. A pivoting effect is achieved by choosing for elimination at any step that variable having a partial derivative of largest absolute value. The pivoting is done without physical interchange of rows or columns.

The vector of initial guesses $x$, the number of significant digits desired numsig, the maximum number of iterations to be used, maxit, and the number of equations $n$, should be set up prior to the procedure call which activates nonlinearsystem. After execution of the procedure, the vector $x$ is the solution of the system (or best approximation thereto), maxit is now the number of iterations used and singular $=0$ is an indication that a Jaco-bian-related matrix was singular-indicative of the process "blowing-up," whereas singular $=1$ is an indication that no such difficulty occurred. Storage space may be saved by implementing the algorithm in a way which takes advantage of the fact that the strict lower triangle of the array pointer and the same number of positions in the array coe are not used;
begin integer converge, $m, j, k, i$, jsub, itemp, kmax, kplus, tally; real $f$, hold, $h$, fplus, dermax, lest, factor, relconvg;
integer array pointer $[1: n, 1: n]$, isub $[1: n-1]$;
array temp, part $[1: n]$, coe $[1: n, 1: n+1]$;
procedure backsubstitution ( $k, n, x$, isub, coe, pointer);
value $k$, $n$;
integer $k$, $n$; integer array $i s u b$, pointer; array $x$, coe;
comment This procedure back-solves a triangular linear system for improved $x[i]$ values in terms of old ones;
begin integer $k m, k m a x, j s u b$;
for $k m:=k$ step -1 until 2 do
begin $k \max :=i s u b[k m-1] ; x[k m a x]:=0$; for $j:=k r n$ step 1 until $n$ do begin $j s u b:=$ pointer $[k m, j]$;
$x[k \max ]::=x[k \max ]+\operatorname{coe}[k m-1, j s u b] \times x[j s u b]$ end; $x[k \max ]:=x[k \max ]+\operatorname{coe}[k m-1, n+1]$
end;
end backsubstitution;
procedure evaluatekthfunction $(x, y, k)$;
integer $k$; real $y$; array $x$;
begin comment the body of this procedure must be provided by the user. One call of the procedure should cause the value of the $k$ th function at the current value of the vector $x$ to be placed in $y$;
end evaluatekthfunction;
converge $:=1 ;$ singular $:=1$; relconvg $:=10 \uparrow$ (-numsig);
for $m:=1$ step 1 until maxit do
begin
comment An intermediate output statement may be inserted at this point in the procedure to print the successive approximation vectors $x$ generated by each complete iterative step;
for $j:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do pointer $[1, j]:=j$;
for $k:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do
begin if $k>1$ then backsubstitution ( $k, n, x$, isub, coe, pointer); evaluatekthfunction $(x, f, k)$; factor $:=.001$;
AAA: $\quad$ tally $:=0 ;$ for $i:=k$ step 1 until $n$ do begin item $p:=$ pointer $[k, i] ;$ hold $:=x[$ item $p]$;
$h:=$ factor $\times$ hold; if $h=0$ then $h:=.001$;
$x[$ itemp $]:=$ hold $+h$;
if $k>1$ then backsubstitution ( $k, n, x, i s u b$, coe, pointer); evaluatekthfunction ( $x$, fplus, $k$ );
$\operatorname{part}[i t e m p]:=(f p l u s-f) / h ;$
$x[$ itemp $]:=$ hold ; if $(a b s(\operatorname{part}[$ itemp $])=0) \mathrm{V}$
$\left(a b s(f /\right.$ part $[$ item $\left.p])>1.0_{10} 20\right)$ then tally $:=$ tally $+1 ;$ end;
if tally $\leqq n-k$ then go to $A A ;$ factor $:=$ factor $\times 10.0$;
if factor $>.5$ then go to $S I N G$; go to $A A A$;
$A A:$ if $k<n$ then go to $A$; if abs $(\operatorname{part}[i t e m p])=0$ then go to $S I N G$;
$\operatorname{coe}[k, n+1]:=0 ; k \max :=$ itemp; go to $E N D K$;
$A: \quad k \max :=\operatorname{pointer}[k, k] ;$ dermax $:=\operatorname{abs}(\operatorname{part}[k m a x])$;
kplus $:=k+1$;
for $i:=k p l u s$ step 1 until $n$ do
begin $j s u b:=\operatorname{pointer}[k, i]$; test $:=\operatorname{abs}(\operatorname{part}[j s u b])$;
if test < dermax then go to $B$; dermax $:=$ test;
pointer [l;plus, i] $:=k$ max; kmax $:=j s u b$;
go to ENDI;
B: $\quad$ pointer $[k p l u s, i]:=j s u b ;$
ENDI:
end;
if $a b s($ part $[k \max ])=0$ then go to $S I N G ; \quad i s u b[k]:=k m a x ;$
coe $[k, n+1]:=0$;
for $j:=k p l u s$ step 1 until $n$ do
begin $j s u b:=$ pointer $[k p l u s, j]$;
$\operatorname{coe}[k, j s u b]:=-\operatorname{part}[j s u b] / \operatorname{part}[k m a x] ;$
$\operatorname{coe}[k, n+1]:=\operatorname{coe}[k, n+1]+\operatorname{part}[j s u b] \times x[j s u b]$
end;
ENDK:
$\operatorname{coe}[k, n+1]:=(\operatorname{coe}[k, n+1]-f) / \operatorname{part}[k m a x]+x[k m a x]$
end $k$;
$x[k \max ]:=\operatorname{coe}[n, n+1]$;
if $n>1$ then backsubstitution ( $n, n, x$, isub, coe, pointer);
if $m=1$ then go to $D$;
for $i:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do
if $a b s((t e m p[i]-x[i]) / x[i])>$ relconvg then go to $C$;

```
        converge \(:=\) converge +1 ;
        if converge \(\geqq 3\) then go to \(T E R M I N A T E\) else go to \(D\);
\(C\) : converge \(:=1\);
\(D: \quad\) for \(i:=1\) step 1 until \(n\) do \(\operatorname{temp}[i]:=x[i]\)
    end \(m\);
    go to THROUGH;
TERMINATE:
    maxit \(:=m\); go to THROUGH;
SING:
    singular \(:=0\);
THROUGH:
    end nonlinearsystem
```


## APPENDIX

We include a sample procedure evaluatekthfunction for the $2 \times 2$ system:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(1-\frac{1}{4 \pi}\right)\left(e^{2 x_{1}}-e\right)+\frac{e}{\pi} x_{2}-2 e x_{1}=0 \\
\frac{1}{2} \sin \left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)-\frac{x_{2}}{4 \pi}-\frac{x_{1}}{2}=0,
\end{array}
$$

one solution of which is $(.5, \pi)$ see [2]
procedure evaluatelthfunction ( $x, y, k$ );
integer $k$; real $y$; array $x$;
begin switch functionnumber $:=F 1, F 2$;
go to functionnumber [k];
F1: $y:=2.71828183 \times(.920422528 \times(\exp (2 \times x[1]-1)-1)+$
$x[2] / 3.14159265-2 \times x[1])$;
go to RETURN;
$F 2: y:=.5 \times \sin (x[1] \times x[2])-x[2] / 12.5663706-x[1] / 2$;
RETURN:
end evaluatekthfunction;
References:

1. Brown, K. M. A quadratically convergent method for solving simultaneous non-linear equations. Doctoral Thesis, Dept. Computer Sciences, Purdue U., Lafayette, Ind., Aug., 1966.
2. Brown, K. M., and Conte, S. D. The solution of simultaneous nonlinear equations. Proc. ACM 22nd Nat. Conf., pp 111-114.
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PERMUTATION [G6]
Charles L. Robinson (Reed. 12 Apr. 1967, 2 May 1967 and 10 July 1967)
Institute for Computer Research, U. of Chicago, Chicago, Ill.

* This work was supported by AEC Contract no. AT (11-1)-614.
procedure permute $(n, k, v)$; value $n, k$; integer array $v$; integer $n, k$;
comment This procedure produces in the vector $v$ the $k$ th permutation on $n$ variables. When $k=0, v$ takes on the value $1,2,3,4, \cdots, n$. This algorithm is not as efficient as previously published algorithms [1], [2], [3] for generating a complete set of permutations but it is significantly better for generating a random permutation, a property useful in certain simulation applications. Any non-negative value of $k$ will produce a valid permutation. To generate a random permutation, $k$ should be chosen from the uniform distribution over the integers from 0 to $n!-1$ inclusive;
begin integer $i, q, r, x, j$;
for $i:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do $v[i]:=0$;
for $i:=n$ step -1 until 1 do
begin
$q:=k \div i ; r:=k-q \times i ; x:=0 ; j:=n ;$
$n o:$ if $v[j]=0$ then
begin
if $x=r$ then go to it else $x:=x+1$
end;
$j:=j-1$; go to $n o$;
$i t: v[j]:=i ; k:=q$;
end
end
References:

1. Coveyou, R. R., and Sullivan, J. G. Algorithm 71, Permutation. Comm. ACM 4 (Nov. 1961), 497.
2. Peck, J. E. L., and Schrace, G. F. Algorithm 86, Permute. Comm. ACM 5 (Apr. 1962), 208.
3. Trotter, H. F. Algorithm 115, Perm. Comm. ACM 5 (Aug. 1962), 434.
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A contribution to the Algorithms Department should be in the form of an algorithm, a certification, or a remark. Contributionsshould be sent in duplicate to the editor, typewritten double spaced. Authors should carefully follow the style of this department with especial attention to indentation and completeness of references.

An algorithm must normally be written in the ALGOL 60 Reference Language [Comm. ACM 6 (Jan. 1963), 1-17] or in ASA Standard FORTRAN or Basic FORTRAN [Comm. ACM 7 (Oct. 1964), 590-625]. Consideration will be given to algorithms written in other languages provided the language has been fully documented in the open literature and provided the author presents convincing arguments that his algorithm is best described in the chosen language and cannot be adequately described in either ALGOL 60 or FORTRAN.

An algorithm written in ALGOL 60 normally consists of a commented procedure declaration. It should be typewritten double spaced in capital and lower-case letters. Material to appear in boldface type should be underlined in black. Blue underlining may be used to indicate italic type, but this is usually best left to the Editor. An algorithm written in FORTRAN normally consists of a commented subprogram. It should be typewritten double spaced in the form normally used for FORTRAN or it should be in the form of a listing of a FORTRAN card deck together with a copy of the card deck. Each algorithm must be accompanied by a complete driver program in its language which generates test data, calls the procedure, and produces test answers. Moreover, selected previously obtained test answers should be given in comments in either the driver program or the algorithm. The driver program may be publishedwith the algorithm if it would be of major assistance to a user.

For ALGOL 60 programs, input and output should be achieved by procedure statements, using any of the following eleven procedures (whose body is not specified in ALGOL) [See "Report on Input-Output Procedures for ALGOL 60," Comm. ACM 7 (Oct. 1964), 628-629]:

| insymbol | inreal | outarray | ininteger |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| outsymbol | outreal | outboolean | outinteger |
| length | inarray | outstring |  |

If only one channel is used by the program for output, it should be designated by 1 and similarly a single input channel should be designated by 2. Examples:
outstring ( 1, ' $x=$ '); outreal ( $1, x$ );
for $\boldsymbol{i}:=1$ step 1 until $n$ do outreal ( $1, A[i]$ ); ininteger (2, digit [17]):
For FORTRAN programs, input and output should be achieved as described in the ASA preliminary report on FORTRAN and Basic FORTRAN.

It is intended that each published algorithm be well organized, clearly commented, syntactically correct, and a substantial contribution to the literature of Algorithms. It is necessary but not sufficient that a published algorithm operate on some machine and give correct answers. It must also communicate a method to the reader in a clear and unambiguous manner. All contributions will be refereed both by human beings and by an appropriate compiler. Authors should pay considerable attention to the correctness of their programs, since referees cannot be expected to debug them

Certifications and remarke should add new information to that already published. Readers are especially encouraged to test and certify previously uncertified algorithms. Rewritten versions of previously published algorithms will be refereed as new contributions and should not be imbedded in certifications or remarks.

Galley proofs will be sent to authors; obviously rapid and careful proofreading is of paramount importance.

Although each algorithm has been tested by its author, no liability is assumed by the contributor, the editor, or the Association for Computing Machinery in connection therewith.

The reproduction of algorithms appearing in this department is explicitly permitted without any charge. When reproduction is for publication purposes, reference must be made to the algorithm author and to the Communications issue bearing the algorithm.-J.G.Herriot

