



$0 \Rightarrow x_{i1} = x_{i2}$ . Therefore, from the first part of (4),  $x_{i1} = x_{i2} = y_i/2$ . The factor  $\frac{1}{2}$  need not be used because eigenvectors are obtained only up to a constant multiplier.

(ii)  $\mu_i \in \lambda(Q) \Rightarrow \mu_i = \eta_j$ , ( $j \in I2$ ),  $\Rightarrow r_i = cz_j = x_{i1} - x_{i2}$ , where  $c$  is an arbitrary constant. Therefore,  $cz_j = x_{i1} - x_{i2}$  and  $y_i = x_{i1} + x_{i2}$  give  $x_{i1} = (y_i + cz_j)/2$  and  $x_{i2} = (y_i - cz_j)/2$ . Again the constant factor need not be used.

A proof for the case  $i \in I2$  can be similarly constructed.

### 3. A Numerical Example

As an illustration of the use of Algorithm 1 we consider a  $4 \times 4$  matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 & 3.25 & -1.25 & -1.25 \\ -1.25 & 0.75 & -1.75 & 3.25 \\ -1.25 & -1.25 & 0.25 & 3.25 \\ -1.75 & 3.25 & -1.25 & 0.75 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

From (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P &= A + B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \\ Q &= A - B = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & 4.5 \\ 0.5 & -2.5 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Solving for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $P$  and  $Q$  gives  $\lambda(P) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (1, 2)$ ;  $\lambda(Q) = (\lambda_3, \lambda_4) = (\eta_3, \eta_4) = (2, -3)$ ,  $y_1' = (1, 1)$ ,  $y_2' = (\frac{2}{3}, 1)$ ,  $z_3' = (9, 1)$ , and  $z_4' = (-1, 1)$ .

By use of Algorithm 1 the eigenvector of  $S$  belonging to  $\lambda_1 = 1$  can be formed by noting that  $\lambda_1 \notin \lambda(Q)$ . Therefore,  $x_1' = (y_1', y_1') = (1, 1, 1, 1)$ . Similarly, to form  $x_2$  belonging to  $\lambda_2 = 2$  we note that  $\lambda_2 \in \lambda(Q)$ . In particular  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ . Therefore,  $x_2' = (y_2' + cz_3', y_2' - cz_3') = (\frac{2}{3} + 9c, 1 + c, \frac{2}{3} - 9c, 1 - c)$ , where  $c$  is an arbitrary constant.

*Acknowledgment.* The preparation of this paper was supported by the National Research Council of Canada Grant No. A-3135.

RECEIVED DECEMBER, 1966; REVISED MAY, 1967

### REFERENCES

1. FRIEDMAN, B. Eigenvalues of compound matrices. Research Rept. No. TW-16, Math. Res. Group, New York U., New York, 1951.
2. MARCUS, M. *Basic Theorems in Matrix Theory*. Appl. Math. Ser. 57, Nat. Bur. Standards, 1960, p. 17, Govt. Printing Office, Washington, D.C., p. 17
3. MONTGOMERY, C. G. ET AL. *Principles of Microwave Circuits*. Boston Technical Publishers, Inc., Lexington, Mass. 1964, pp. 437-452.
4. MONTROLL, E. W. Markoff chains and excluded volume effect in polymer chains. *J. Chem. Phys.* 18 (1950), 734-743.

## Algorithms

J. G. HERRIOT, Editor

### ALGORITHM 318

#### CHEBYSCHEV CURVE-FIT (REVISED) [E2]

J. BOOTHROYD (Recd. 15 May 1967)

University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tas., Australia

```
procedure chebfit(x, y, n, a, m);  value n, m;
  array x, y, a;  integer n, m;
  comment evaluates, in a[0] through a[m] of a[0:m+1], the coefficients of an mth order polynomial  $P(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m$  such that the maximum error  $\text{abs}(P(x_i) - y_i)$  is a minimum over the  $n(n > m+1)$  sample points  $x, y[1:n]$ . The  $x[i]$  must form a strictly monotonic sequence.
```

This procedure is an extensive revision of Algorithm 91 (Albert Newhouse, Chebyshev Curve-Fit, *Comm. ACM* 5 (May 1961), 281). The polynomial  $P(x)$  is a best-fit polynomial in the Chebyshev sense as described by Stiefel (*Numerical Methods of Tchebycheff Approximation*), in Langer (Ed.), *On Numerical Approximation*, U. of Wisconsin Press, 1959, pp. 217-232. Stiefel (p. 221) shows that the procedure must terminate after a finite number of steps. This is not always so with imperfect arithmetic, where roundoff errors may cause cycling of the chosen reference sets. This condition is detected by checking that the reference deviation is always raised monotonically. At exit the absolute value of  $a[m+1]$  yields the final reference deviation. Negative  $a[m+1]$  indicates that the procedure has been terminated following the detection of cycling;

```
begin
  integer i, j, k, mplus1, ri, i1, imax, rj, j1;
  real d, h, ai1, rh1, denom, ai, rhi, xj, hmax, himax, xi, hi, abshi,
    nexthi, prevh;
  integer array r[0:m+1];  array rx, rh[0:m+1];
  mplus1 := m + 1;  prevh := 0;
  comment index vector for initial reference set;
  r[0] := 1;  r[mplus1] := n;
  d := (n-1)/mplus1;  h := d;
  for i := 1 step 1 until m do
    begin r[i] := h + 1;  h := h + d end;
start: h := -1.0;
```

```
comment select  $m + 2$  reference pairs and set alternating deviation vector;
```

```
for i := 0 step 1 until mplus1 do
```

```
begin
```

```
  ri := r[i];
```

```
  rx[i] := x[ri];  ai[i] := y[ri];
```

```
  rh[i] := h := -h
```

```
end i;
```

```
comment compute  $m + 1$  leading divided differences;
```

```
for j := 0 step 1 until m do
```

```
begin
```

```
  il := mplus1;  ai1 := a[i1];
```

```
  rh1 := rh[i1];
```

```
  for i := m step -1 until j do
```

```
  begin
```

```
    denom := rx[i1] - rx[i-j];
```

```
    ai := a[i];  rhi := rh[i];
```

```
    a[i1] := (ai1 - ai)/denom;
```

(Continued on page 803)

## Index By Subject To Algorithms, 1967

A1	<u>REAL ARITHMETIC, NUMBER THEORY</u>		
A1	35 SIEVE OF ERATOSTHENES	3=61(151), 4=62(209),	F2 253 SYMMETRIC QR=EIGENVALUES
A1	35 8=62(438), 9=67(570)		F2 254 SYMMETRIC QR=EVALUES,EIVECTORS
A1	307 SYMMETRIC GROUP CHARACTERS	7=67(451)	F2 297 SYM.SYS,(A=LAMX*B)X,EIVALS=VECS.
A1	310 PRIME NUMBER GENERATOR 1	9=67(569), 9=67(570)	F2 EIGENVECTORS OF BAND MATRICES
A1	311 PRIME NUMBER GENERATOR 2	9=67(570), 9=67(570)	F2 NUM.MATH,V9(285)
A1	313 MULTI-DIMENSION PARTITION GEN.	10=67(666)	F2 EVALUES OF SYMM.TRIDIAG.MATRIX
A1	SUM OF FACTORS OF N (SUMFAC)	COMP,J.V9(416)	F2 NUM.MATH,V9(388)
A2	<u>COMPLEX ARITHMETIC</u>		
A2	ADU,SUB,MULT,DIVD=COMPLEX	COMP,J.V10(112),	F4 SIMULTANEOUS LINEAR EQUATIONS
A2	V10(208)		SYMM., AND UNSYMM., BAND EQUATIONS
A2	312 COMPLEX ABS,SQRT	10=67(665)	NUM.MATH,V9(285)
C1	<u>OPERATIONS ON POLYNOMIALS AND POWER SERIES</u>		
C1	305 SYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS	7=67(450)	G1 SIMPLE CALCULATIONS ON STATISTICAL DATA
C1	EVALUATION OF CONTINUED FRACTNS	CHIFFRES V9(327)	G1 TAIL AREA PROB.FUR 2X2 TABLE
C2	<u>ZEROS OF POLYNOMIALS</u>		G1 COMP,BULL,V9(212),V9(416)
C2	30 BAIRSTOW-NEWTON	12=60(643),	G5 RANDOM NUMBER GENERATORS
C2	30 5=61(238), 5=67(293)		G5 294 UNIFORM RANDOM
C2	BAIRSTOW	COMP,J.V10(207)	1=67(40)
C5	<u>ZEROS OF ONE OR MORE TRANSCENDENTAL EQUATIONS</u>		
C5	314 N FUNCTIONAL EQNS. IN N UNKNOWN	11=67(726)	G6 PERMUTATIONS AND COMBINATIONS
C5	315 DAMPED TAYLR SERIES-NONLIN.SYS.	11=67(726)	G6 87 PERMUTATION GENERATOR
C5	316 NON-LINEAR SYSTEM	11=67(728)	4=62(209), 8=62(440),
C6	<u>SUMMATION OF SERIES, CONVERGENCE ACCELERATION</u>		G6 87 10=62(514), 7=67(452)
C6	EPSILON ALG.=CONTINUED FRACTNS	CHIFFRES V9(327)	G6 102 PERMUTATIONS IN LEXIC. ORDER
D1	<u>QUADRATURE</u>		G6 102 10=62(514), 7=67(452)
D1	279 CHEBYSHEV QUADRATURE	4=66(270), 6=66(434),	G6 130 PERMUTE
D1	279 5=67(294), 10=67(666)		11=62(551), 7=67(452)
D1	281 ROMBERG QUADRATURE COEFFICIENTS	4=66(271), 3=67(188)	G6 202 PERMUTATIONS
D1	303 ADAPTIVE QUAD.=RANDOM PANEL SIZE	6=67(373)	9=63(517), 9=65(556),
D1	QUADRATURE BY EXTRAPOLATION	NUM.MATH,V9(274)	G6 202 7=67(452)
E2	<u>CURVE AND SURFACE FITTING</u>		G6 306 PERMUTATIONS WITH REPETITIONS
E2	28 LEAST SQUARES BY ORTHOG. POLYN.	11=60(604),	7=67(450)
E2	28 12=61(544), 5=67(293)		G6 308 PERMUT. IN PSEUDOLEXIC.ORDER
E2	91 CHEBYSHEV FIT	5=62(281), 4=63(167),	7=67(452)
E2	91 5=64(290), 12=67(803)		G6 317 PERMUTATION
E2	295 EXPUNENTIAL CURVE FIT	2=67(87)	11=67(729)
E2	296 LEAST SQ.FIT=ORTHOGR.POLYS.	2=67(87), 6=67(377)	
E2	318 CHEBYSHEV CURVE FIT (REVISED)	12=67(801)	
E4	<u>MINIMIZING OR MAXIMIZING A FUNCTION</u>		
E4	315 MINIMIZING SUM OF SQUARES	11=67(726)	H OPERATIONS RESEARCH, GRAPH STRUCTURES
E4	FIBONACCI SEARCH	COMP,BULL,V8(147),	H 258 TRANSPORT
E4	V9(105), CUMP,J.V9(414), V9(416)		H 258 7=67(453)
E4	MIN. OF UNIMODAL FCN.OF 1 VAR.	COMP,BULL,V9(104),	H 285 MUTUAL PRIMAL=DUAL METHOD
E4	CUMP,J.V9(414)		5=66(326), 7=67(453)
E4	MINIMIZING ARG.OF UNIMODAL FCN.	COMP,J.V9(415)	H 293 TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM
E4	MIN. OF A UNIMODAL FCN.OF 1 VAR.	COMP,J.V9(415)	12=66(869), 7=67(453)
F1	<u>MATRIX OPERATIONS, INCLUDING INVERSION</u>		K2 RELOCATION
F1	298 SQ.RT.OF A POS.DFINITE MATRIX	3=67(182)	K2 302 TRANSPOSE VECTOR STORED ARRAY
F1	SMITH NUMHAL FORM	BIT 1967(163)	5=67(292)
F1	PERMUTATIONS OF ROWS AND COLS.	COMP,J.V10(206)	
S	<u>APPROXIMATION OF SPECIAL FUNCTIONS...</u>		
S	FUNCTIONS ARE CLASSIFIED SO1 TO S22, FOLLOWING		
S	FLETCHER=MILLEK=ROSENHEAD, INDEX OF MATH. TABLES		
S13	SIN INTEGRAL S1(X)	NUM.MATH,V9(381)	
S13	COS INTEGRAL C1(X)	NUM.MATH,V9(382)	
S14	179 BEIA KAT10	6=63(314), 6=67(375)	
S14	309 GAMMA FCN.=ARBITRARY PRECISION	8=67(511)	
S15	123 REAL ERHUR FUNCTION, ERF(X)	9=62(483), 6=63(316),	
S15	123 10=63(618), 3=64(145), 6=67(377)		
S15	180 ERHUR FUNCTION-LARGE X	6=63(314), 6=67(377)	
S15	181 COMPLEMENTARY ERF,FCN.=LARGE X	6=63(315),	
S15	181 12=64(702), 6=67(377)		
S15	209 GAUSS	10=63(616),	
S15	209 3=64(143), 8=64(482), 6=67(377)		
S15	226 NORMAL DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION	5=64(295), 6=67(377)	
S15	272 NORMAL DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION	12=65(789), 6=67(377)	
S15	299 CHI-SQUARED INTEGRAL	4=67(243)	
S15	304 NORMAL CURVE INTEGRAL	6=67(374), 6=67(377)	
S15	NORMAL DISTRIBUTION CURVE	COMP,J.V9(322),	
S15	V10(113)		
S20	301 AIRY FUNCTIONS	5=67(291), 7=67(453)	
S20	FRELSEL INTEGRAL'S S(X),C(X)	NUM.MATH,V9(382)	
S22	300 COULOMB WAVE FUNCTIONS	4=67(244)	

*Key*—1st column: A1, B1, B3, etc. is the key to the underlined Modified Share Classification heading each group of algorithms; 2d column: number of the algorithm in *CACM*; 3d column: title of algorithm; 4th column: month, year and page (in paren) in *CACM*, or reference elsewhere. This index supplements the previously published indexes: Index by Subject to Algorithms: 1960–1963 [*CACM* 7 (Mar. 1964), 146–149]; 1964 [*CACM* 7 (Dec. 1964), 703]; 1965 [*CACM* 8 (Dec. 1965), 791]; and 1966 [*CACM* 9 (Dec. 1966), 872].

```

    rh[i1] := (rhi1-rhi)/denom;
    i1 := i; ail := ai; rhi1 := rhi
  end i
end j;
comment equate  $(m+1)$ th difference to zero to determine  $h$ ;
 $h := -a[mplus1]/rh[mplus1]$ ;
comment with  $h$  known, combine the function and deviation
differences;
for  $i := 0$  step 1 until  $mplus1$  do
   $a[i] := a[i] + rh[i] \times h$ ;
comment compute polynomial coefficients;
for  $j := m - 1$  step -1 until 0 do
begin
   $xj := rx[j]; i := j; ai := a[i]$ ;
  for  $il := j + 1$  step 1 until  $m$  do
  begin
     $ail := a[il]$ ;
     $a[i] := ai - xj \times ail$ ;
     $ai := ail; i := il$ 
  end il
end j;
comment if the reference deviation is not increasing mono-
tonically then exit;
 $hmax := abs(h)$ ;
if  $hmax \leq prevh$  then
begin  $a[mplus1] := -hmax$ ; go to fit end;
comment find the index,  $imax$ , and value,  $hmax$ , of the largest
absolute error for all sample points;
 $a[mplus1] := prevh := hmax$ ;  $imax := r[0]$ ;  $hmax := h$ ;
 $j := 0$ ;  $rz := r[j]$ ;
for  $i := 1$  step 1 until  $n$  do
  if  $i \neq rz$  then
begin
   $xi := x[i]; hi := a[m]$ ;
  for  $k := m - 1$  step -1 until 0 do
     $hi := hi \times xi + a[k]$ ;
     $hi := hi - y[i]; abshi := abs(hi)$ ;
    if  $abshi > hmax$  then
      begin  $hmax := abshi$ ;  $imax := hi$ ;  $imax := i$  end
  end
else
if  $j < mplus1$  then
begin  $j := j + 1$ ;  $rz := r[j]$  end;
comment if the maximum error occurs at a nonreference
point, exchange this point with the nearest reference point
having an error of the same sign and repeat;
if  $imax \neq r[0]$  then
begin
  for  $i := 0$  step 1 until  $mplus1$  do
    if  $imax < r[i]$  then go to swap;
     $i := mplus1$ ;
swap:  $nexthi :=$  if  $i - i \div 2 \times 2 = 0$  then  $h$  else  $-h$ ;
  if  $hmax \times nexthi \geq 0$  then  $r[i] := imax$ 
  else
    if  $imax < r[0]$  then
    begin
       $j1 := mplus1$ ;
      for  $j := m$  step -1 until 0 do
        begin  $r[j1] := r[j]; j1 := j$  end;
       $r[0] := imax$ 
    end
  else
    if  $imax > r[mplus1]$  then
    begin
       $j := 0$ ;
      for  $j1 := 1$  step 1 until  $mplus1$  do
        begin  $r[j] := r[j1]; j := j1$  end;
       $r[mplus1] := imax$ 
    end
  end
end

```

```

  end
  else  $r[i-1] := imax$ ;
  go to start
end;
fit:
end chebfit

```

## CERTIFICATION OF ALGORITHM 91 [E2]

CHEBYSHEV CURVE-FIT [Albert Newhouse *Comm. ACM* 5 (May 1962), 281; 6 (April 1963), 167; 7 (May 1964), 296]

J. BOOTHROYD (Reed. 15 May 1967 and 5 Sept. 1967)  
University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia.

In addition to the corrections noted by R. P. Hale [op. cit., April 1963] and P. Naur [op. cit., May 1964], the following changes are necessary:

1. The first statement should be  $k := entier((m-1)/(n+1))$ .
2. A semi-colon should precede label L1.

With these changes the procedure ran successfully using Elliott 503 ALGOL.

Although this procedure is an implementation of a finite algorithm, roundoff errors may give rise to cyclic changes of the reference set causing the procedure to fail to terminate.

Algorithm 318 [J. Boothroyd, Chebyshev Curve-Fit(Revised), *Comm. ACM* 10 (Dec. 1967), 801] avoids this cycling difficulty, uses less than half the auxiliary array space of Algorithm 91 and, on test, appears to be at least four times as fast.

## Deutsch and Lampson—Cont'd from p. 799

be consciously aware of them. Many small details throughout the system have been arranged to permit smooth and rapid operation.

*Acknowledgment.* The basic framework of QED was designed and the program written by Mr. Deutsch. Many people have contributed suggestions for its improvement; we are especially indebted to M. W. Pirtle and R. Morris in this respect.

RECEIVED AUGUST, 1966; REVISED AUGUST, 1967

## REFERENCES

1. CRISMAN, P. A. (Ed.). *The Compatible Time-Sharing System: A Programmer's Guide*, 2nd ed. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1965, Section AH.9.01.
2. ARANDA, S. M. Q-32 Time-sharing system user's guide, executive service: context editing (EDTXT). SDC-TM-2708/204/00, Sys. Devel. Corp., Santa Monica, Calif., Mar. 1966.
3. LAMPSON, B. W., LICHTENBERGER, W. W., AND PIRTLE, M. W. A user machine in a time-sharing system. *Proc. IEEE* 54, 12 (Dec. 1966), 1766-1774.
4. ANGLUIN, D. C., AND DEUTSCH, L. P. Reference manual: QED Time-sharing editor. Project Genie Doc. R-15, U. of California, Berkeley, Calif., Jan. 1967.
5. FARBER, D. J., GRISWOLD, R. E., AND POLONSKY, I. P. The SNOBOL3 programming language. *Bell Sys. Tech. J.* 45, 6 (July 1966), 845-944.
6. MURPHY, D. TECO. Memorandum, Bolt, Beranek and Newman, Cambridge, Mass. (Nov. 1966).