



STRUCTURED ASSEMBLY LANGUAGE PROGRAMMING

Dr. Robert N. Cook
Univ. of Southern Colorado
Pueblo, CO 81001

Introduction

For those of us who are essentially high level programmers, the intricacies and lack of structure in assembly language programs are often an insurmountable barrier to effective assembly language programming. This paper attempts to show a way to overcome this barrier. Structured pseudocode is used to solve the problem just as if the solution were to be coded in PL/I, PASCAL, ADA, or some other structured high level language. Then the structured pseudocode is "compiled" into assembly language using appropriate labels to show the structure of the assembly language program. Formal roles for the "compilation" are not given in the paper. Instead, the various control structures are demonstrated in BAL along with the corresponding pseudocode. Branch instructions abound, of course, as they must in any assembly language program. The readability of the program, though, is immensely increased by good statement labels. By using a header, and perhaps even including the pseudocode in the program through comments, the program can be made readable even to non-assembly language programmers. Assignment statements in the assembly language are commented to show the equivalent high level statement of the pseudocode. Then most, if not all, statements in the assembly language are made readable.

IBM BAL is used to demonstrate the concepts in the paper; however, the techniques are adaptable to any assembly language. The remainder of the paper details the technique for the fundamental control structures, other control structures, and assignment statements. Other documentation techniques to increase readability are discussed, and a complete program is given to document the techniques. All techniques discussed are those taught to students in CST 210--Assembly Language Programming, at the University of Southern Colorado.

Permission to copy without fee all or part of this material is granted provided that the copies are not made or distributed for direct commercial advantage, the ACM copyright notice and the title of the publication and its date appear, and notice is given that copying is by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery. To copy otherwise, or to republish, requires a fee and/or specific permission.

Fundamental Control Structures

The three fundamental control structures of sequential execution, alternation, and looping are described here using pseudocode and BAL. One of the easier looping structures to implement in BAL is the DO WHILE DATA AVAILABLE. Pseudocode for this structure is

```
DO WHILE DATA AVAILABLE
  GET A,B,C,D
  .
  . } PROCESS DATA
  .
  .
  PUT A,B,C,D,X...
END DO.
```

As the usual two GET statements are not necessary, in BAL, they are not used in the pseudocode. To implement this structure, these BAL statements may be used

IN	DTFCD	DEVADDR=SYSRDR,
OUT	DTFPR	EOFADDR=ENDDO,...
		DEVADDR=SYSLST,...
		.
		.
DOWHILE	GET	IN, INAREA GET A,B,C,D
	.	.
	.	.
	.	PROCESS DATA
	.	.
	PUT	OUT, OUTAREA PUT A,B,C,D,X
	B	DOWHILE
ENDDO	NOP	ENDDO
	.	.
	.	.

For those not familiar with the details of BAL, a few remarks on this example are in order. Note first that the structure of the pseudocode is clearly visible in the BAL statement. Contrast this with the usual method employed, where the GET would be labeled LOOP. This certainly shows the beginning of the loop; but where is the end of the loop? The B DOWHILE is the statement that creates

the loop. An unconditional branch to DOWHILE occurs whenever this statement is reached. But what stops the loop? The DTFC macro entry EOFADDR=ENDDO specifies the address to which the program branches when an end of file condition occurs on file IN. Details of the storage associated with the GET and PUT macros may be seen in the program example in the Appendix.

Another familiar DO WHILE statement in pseudocode form is

```
DO WHILE A < B
.
.
. } BODY OF LOOP
.
END DO.
```

Assuming that A and B contain packed decimal numbers, this pseudocode may be implemented in BAL using the Compare Packed instruction.

```
DOWHILE    CP    A,B      A < B
           BH
           .
           . } BODY OF LOOP
           .
           .
           B    DOWHILE
ENDDO      NOP    ENDDO
```

The BH instruction branches to ENDDO if A > B. Again, notice the readability of the structure. The No Operation may appear somewhat strange in the previous two BAL segments. Even though it does not generate any executable code, it must have an operand field to avoid a syntax error. The statement label (ENDDO) of the NOP instruction provides this operand to avoid the syntax error.

Alternation may be accomplished in BAL using similar techniques. For example, the pseudocode

```
IF X = Y
THEN
.
.
.
ELSE
.
.
.
ENDIF
```

assuming that X and Y contain packed decimal numbers, may be implemented in BAL as

```
IF        CP    X,Y      X = Y
          BE
          B
THEN      .
          . } X = Y CLAUSE
          .
          .
          B    ENDIF
ELSE      .
          . } X ≠ Y CLAUSE
          .
          .
          NOP
ENDIF     NOP    ENDIF.
```

Notice the B ENDIF statement at the end of the THEN clause. This statement insures that the THEN and ELSE clauses are not both executed by branching over the ELSE clause to ENDIF when the THEN clause is reached.

An objection to this implementation might be that it uses one more branch instruction than the "unstructured" BAL program would. A shorter implementation is then

```
IF        CP    X,Y      X = Y
          BNE
          B
THEN      .
          . } X = Y CLAUSE
          .
          .
          B    ENDIF
ELSE      .
          . } X ≠ Y CLAUSE
          .
          .
          NOP
ENDIF     NOP    ENDIF.
```

This implementation is no longer than the unstructured version except for the NOP instruction. As with the ENDDO, this ENDIF label serves to delimit the control structure and greatly increase the readability of the BAL program.

If the ELSE clause is not present, the BAL implementation becomes

```
IF        CP    X,Y      X = Y
          BNE
          B
THEN      .
          .
          .
ENDIF     NOP    ENDIF
```

or

```
IF        CP    X,Y      X = Y
          BE
          B
THEN      .
          .
          .
ENDIF     NOP    ENDIF
```

The choice between the above forms is left to the student. The two branch method is easier for most students to understand. For those who think that the two branch method is inefficient, the single branch method is available. In either case, the resulting code is readable.

What happens when more than one IF THEN ELSE ENDIF structure appears in a program? Certainly, other labels are needed to avoid duplicate statement labels. What about nested IF THEN structures? This pseudocode

```

IF      A < B
THEN    IF B < C
        THEN
            .
            .
            .
        ELSE
            .
            .
            .
ELSE    ENDIF
        IF C < D
        THEN
            .
            .
            .
        ELSE
            .
            .
            .
ENDIF
ENDIF

```

may be implemented in BAL as

```

IF      CP      A,B      A < B
THEN    BNH      ELSE
IF1     CP      B,C
        BNH      ELSE1    B < C
        .
        .
        .
        .
        .
        .
ELSE1   B      ENDIF1
        .
        .
        .
        .
        .
ENDIF1  NOP      ENDIF1
        B      ENDIF
ELSE    CP      C,D      C < D
IF2     BNH      ENDIF2
THEN2   .
        .
        .
        .
        .
ELSE2   B      ENDIF2
        .
        .
        .
        .
        .
ENDIF2  NOP      ENDIF2
ENDIF  NOP      ENDIF.

```

Certainly, this BAL is more difficult to follow than previous examples, but the high level control structures are still visible. Contrast this example with this equivalent BAL code

```

CP      A,B
BNH      X
CP      B,C
BNH      Y
.
.
.
B      P
Y      .
.
.
B      P
X      CP      C,D
        BNH      P
        .
        .
        .
B      P
        .
        .
        .
P

```

Which of the last two examples do you think a student is more likely to get working? While the first example may be tedious to follow, the second equivalent example is certainly more difficult to follow.

Assignment statements need only be properly commented to assure their readability. For example, the pseudocode

```
X = (A + B) * C/D
```

may be written in BAL

```

ZAP      X,A      X = A
AP       X,B      X = A + B
MP       X,C      X = (A + B) * C
DP       X,D      X = (A + B) * C/D

```

The evolving assignment statement is shown in the comment field to completely document the BAL statements. Details of the Define Constant statements associated with the packed decimal instructions may be seen in the program in the Appendix.

Other Control Structures

While the set of control structures shown so far is a sufficient set to write any program, other control structures are useful. The REPEAT UNTIL, CASE, and iterated DO are shown here. The PASCAL-like REPEAT UNTIL structure in pseudocode form is

```

REPEAT
.
.
.
UNTIL A = B

```

```

REPEAT      NOP      REPEAT
            .         |
            .         } BODY OF LOOP
            .         |
            .         |
            CP        A,B
UNTIL       BNE      REPEAT    A = B.

```

A CASE structure is shown by the pseudocode

In BAL we might have this implementation

The iterated DO structure is also easily implemented in BAL. For example, if the pseudocode is

The BAL implementation might be

An advantage of the pseudocode approach is that any known high level structure may be used in pseudocode. Whatever structures are preferred may be implemented in BAL. Thus, in problem solving students are not limited to the structures of any past, present, or future high level language. For example, the structure below could well replace the case structure of a previous example

The BAL implementation is straightforward

This is easier for some students to follow than the case structure. Thus, absolutely any useful structure can be used in problem solving and then implemented in a readable way in BAL or another assembly language. In addition to control structures, other documentation standards are used to improve readability.

Other Documentation Standards

A most important inclusion in any program is a header to explain the purpose of the program. Simple programs only require a line or two to briefly describe what the program does. The pseudocode itself may be included as comments at the top of the program in order to document the program. Both the input and output formats may be described. An appropriate place to describe these formats is where they are declared. Interior comments in the program are, for the most part, unnecessary. The structure stands out enough to make the program readable without separate comment lines.

All of these documentation techniques are illustrated in the sample program included in the Appendix. This program is self-documenting. Its purpose and flow of control are easy to follow even for readers who do not know BAL.

Summary and Conclusions

By solving the problem first using structured pseudocode and then "compiling" the pseudocode into assembly language, students can write readable self-documenting programs beginning with their first simple assignments. As the problems become more complex, the advantages of the approach described here become even more obvious. A side benefit is the availability of absolutely any control structure in the pseudocode. Students are not restricted to the structures of any single high level language.

Previous to taking assembly language, it is extremely useful, but not absolutely necessary, if the students have taken an introductory course using the pseudocode approach to problem solving. If they have never seen any of the pseudocode structures before, they must learn pseudocode and BAL at the same time. Most students do, in fact, accept this approach readily, perhaps because it makes it much easier for me and the consultants to help them debug their programs. This approach minimizes the number of runs it takes to produce a working program. In addition to assembly language this approach of pseudocoding the solution and then manufacturing appropriate control structures can be applied to any high level language such as FORTRAN or BASIC that lacks some useful control structures (for example see reference 3).

In conclusion, I would urge prospective authors and editors of BAL textbooks to consider using this approach in your books. The resulting books will be greatly enhanced and perhaps even more marketable.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my students for their feedback while I have been evolving this approach to teaching assembly language. In particular I would like to thank Ms. Kris Payte, who wrote the sample BAL program in the Appendix. Comments of the reviewers of this paper are gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. IBM Corporation, "System 370 Principles of Operation."
2. Yarmish and Yarmish, Assembly Language Fundamentals, Addison-Wesley, 1979.
3. Cook, Robert N., "Structured Programming Using BASIC," SIGCSE Bulletin, Vol. 12, No. 1, (Presented at Eleventh Annual SIGCSE Symposium).

ADDR 2	STMT	SOURCE STATEMENT
000000	000000	000000
000001	000001	000001
000002	000002	000002
000003	000003	000003
000004	000004	000004
000005	000005	000005
000006	000006	000006
000007	000007	000007
000008	000008	000008
000009	000009	000009
000010	000010	000010
000011	000011	000011
000012	000012	000012
000013	000013	000013
000014	000014	000014
000015	000015	000015
000016	000016	000016
000017	000017	000017
000018	000018	000018
000019	000019	000019
000020	000020	000020
000021	000021	000021
000022	000022	000022
000023	000023	000023
000024	000024	000024
000025	000025	000025
000026	000026	000026
000027	000027	000027
000028	000028	000028
000029	000029	000029
000030	000030	000030
000031	000031	000031
000032	000032	000032
000033	000033	000033
000034	000034	000034
000035	000035	000035
000036	000036	000036
000037	000037	000037
000038	000038	000038
000039	000039	000039
000040	000040	000040
000041	000041	000041
000042	000042	000042
000043	000043	000043
000044	000044	000044
000045	000045	000045
000046	000046	000046
000047	000047	000047
000048	000048	000048
000049	000049	000049
000050	000050	000050
000051	000051	000051
000052	000052	000052
000053	000053	000053
000054	000054	000054
000055	000055	000055
000056	000056	000056
000057	000057	000057
000058	000058	000058
000059	000059	000059
000060	000060	000060
000061	000061	000061
000062	000062	000062
000063	000063	000063
000064	000064	000064
000065	000065	000065
000066	000066	000066
000067	000067	000067
000068	000068	000068
000069	000069	000069
000070	000070	000070
000071	000071	000071
000072	000072	000072
000073	000073	000073
000074	000074	000074
000075	000075	000075
000076	000076	000076
000077	000077	000077
000078	000078	000078
000079	000079	000079
000080	000080	000080
000081	000081	000081
000082	000082	000082
000083	000083	000083
000084	000084	000084
000085	000085	000085
000086	000086	000086
000087	000087	000087
000088	000088	000088
000089	000089	000089
000090	000090	000090
000091	000091	000091
000092	000092	000092
000093	000093	000093

DTS/VSE ASSEMBLY 12.14 8

```

1 *****
2 * THIS IS THE THIRD PROGRAMME DUE IN OCT 210, FALL OF 1981
3 * IT IS A PROGRAMME TO CALCULATE SALARY FOR SALESMEN DEPENDING
4 * ON THEIR SALARY BASE AND BONUS, IF ANY. WRITTEN BY KATSPR
5 *****
6 * PSEUDOCODE
7 *****
8 *
9 * OPEN FILES
10 * PRINT HEADERS
11 * DOWHILE DATA EXISTS
12 *   GET SALNO,SALARY, SALE AMOUNT
13 *   IF SALES>=5000
14 *   THEN BONUS='YES'
15 *       SALARY=SALARY+200
16 *   ELSE IF SALES>=1000
17 *   THEN BONUS='YES'
18 *       SALARY=SALARY+100
19 *   ELSE BONUS=' NO'
20 *   ENDF
21 * ENDDO
22 * PRINT SALNO,SALARY,AMOUNT,BONUS
23 * CLOSE FILES
24 * END
25 *
26 *
27 * PRINT NOCFN
28 * START
29 *
30 * BEGIN BALR 11,0 SET UP BASE REGISTER
31 * USING *,11
32 *
33 * OPEN IN,CUT OPEN FILES
34 * PUT OUT,BLANKS PRINT BLANK LINE
35 * PUT OUT,BLANKS PRINT BLANK LINE
36 * PUT OUT,BLANKS PRINT BLANK LINE
37 * PUT OUT,HEADER1 PRINT HEADER
38 * PUT OUT,BLANKS PRINT BLANK LINE
39 * PUT OUT,BLANKS PRINT BLANK LINE
40 * PUT OUT,HEADER2 PRINT COLUMN HEADER
41 * PUT OUT,HEADER3 PRINT COLUMN HEADER
42 * PUT OUT,BLANKS PRINT BLANK LINE
43 *
44 * DOWHILE GET IN,INAREA SALNO,SAL,SALE AMT
45 * MVC DSALNO,SALNO MOVE SALNO TO PRINT
46 * PSAL,SAL PACK SALARY AMOUNT
47 * PAMT,AMT PACK AMOUNT OF SALE
48 * PPSALE,PSALE PPSALE=P*5000
49 * PPSALE,P*1000 PPSALE=P*1000
50 * IF CP PAMT,PPSALE SALES=5000
51 * BL ELSE
52 * THEN PACK PPSALE,BBON BBON=P*200
53 * AP PPSALE,PSAL SALARY=SALARY+200
54 * UNPK OPAY,PPBON
55 * MVZ OPAY+3(1),OPAY+2 FIX LAST DIGIT
56 * MVC QAMT,AMT MOVE QAMT TO PRINT
57 * MVC QACK,YES BONUS='YES'
58 * B ENDF
59 * ELSE NOP ELSE
60 * IF1 CP PAMT,PPSALE SALES=1000
61 * BL ELSE1 SALES LESS THAN 1000
62 * PACK PSBON,PSBON PSBON=P*100
63 * AP PSBON,PSAL SALARY=SALARY+100
64 * UNPK OPAY,PSBON
65 * MVZ OPAY+3(1),OPAY+2 FIX LAST DIGIT
66 * MVC QAMT,AMT MOVE QAMT TO PRINT
67 * MVC QACK,YES BONUS='YES'
68 * B ENDF
69 * ELSE1 MVC QAMT,AMT MOVE QAMT TO PRINT
70 * MVC OPAY,SAL MOVE OPAY TO PRINT
71 * MVC QACK,NO BONUS=' NO'
72 * ENDF1 NOP ENDF1
73 * ENDF PUT OUT,OUTAREA PRINT OUTPUT
74 * R DOWHILE
75 *
76 * ENDDO CLOSE IN,CUT CLOSE THE FILES
77 * EQU END OF JOB

```

ADDR2 STMT SOURCE STATEMENT

DOS/VSE ASSEMBLER 12.14 8

```

152 *
153 *****
154 * INPUT LENGTH DEFINITIONS
155 *****
156 *
157 IN      DTFCO  DEVADDR=SYSRDR,
          ICAREAL=INA,
          EOFADDR=ENDCO,
          BLKSIZE=80,
          WORKA=YES,
          RECFORM=FIXUNB
          CL80
178 INA      DS
179 *
180 INAREA  DS    0CL80
181 *
182 SALNO    DS    CL1
183 SAL      DS    CL4
184 AMT      DS    CL3
185 *
186 *
187 *****
188 * OUTPUT LENGTH DEFINITIONS
189 *****
190 *
191 OUT      DTFCR  DEVADDR=SYSLST,
          ICAREAL=OUTA,
          BLKSIZE=132,
          WORKA=YES,
          RECFORM=FIXUNB
          CL132
212 OUTA    DS
213 *
214 OUTAREA  DS    0CL132
215 *
216 OSALNO   DS    CL4
217 *
218 OPAY      DS    CL4
219 *
220 OAMT      DS    CL5
221 *
222 OACK      DS    CL4
223 *
224 *
225 *****
226 * HEADER LINES
227 *****
228 *
229 HEADER1  DC    CL132'  SALESMAN SALARY AND BONUS-
230 HEADER2  DC    CL132'  SALESMAN   AMOUNT
231 HEADER3  DC    CL132'  NUMBER    SALARY   SOLD  BONUS
232 *
233 *****
234 * PACKED AREA DEFINITIONS
235 *****
236 *
237 PBSALE   DS    PL4          BIG BONUS SALE OVER 5000
238 PBSALE   DS    PL4          BONUS SALE OVER 1000
239 PSAL     DS    PL3          SALESMAN'S SALARY
240 PAMT     DS    PL3          AMOUNT OF SALES
241 PSBON    DS    PL4          SMALL BONUS =$100
242 PSBON    DS    PL4          BIG BONUS  =$200
243 *
244 *****
245 * SPACE DEFINITIONS FOR OTHER VARIABLES
246 *****
247 *
248 PBSALE   DC    C'5000'
249 PBSALE   DC    C'1000'
250 PSBON    DC    C'0200'
251 PSBON    DC    C'0100'
252 YES      DC    C'YES'
253 NO       DC    C'NO'
254 BLANKS   DC    CL132'
255 *
256 *
257 *
258 *
259 *
260 *

```

00000

DIAGNOSTICS AND STATISTICS

NO ERRORS FOUND

THE FOLLOWING MACRO NAMES HAVE BEEN FOUND IN MACRO INSTRUCTIONS
OPEN PUT GET CLOSE FOR DTFCD DTFPR

OPTIONS FOR THIS ASSEMBLY - ALIGN, LIST, NOXREF, LINK, NORLD, NOCTCK, NOEDCK

THE ASSEMBLER WAS RUN IN 65416 BYTES
END OF ASSEMBLY

SALESMAN SALARY AND BONUS

SALESMAN NUMBER	SALARY	AMOUNT SOLD	BONUS
1234	800	500	NO
1235	600	600	NO
1236	400	800	NO
1237	1000	2000	YES
1238	1099	4000	YES
9964	0525	8000	YES