Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume XIV, Number 1, January 1973 NDJFAM

THE COSUBSTITUTION CONDITION

J. C. MUZIO

1. Introduction. Let n be a natural number, $n \ge 2$, and $N = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Martin [3] showed in 1954 that necessary conditions for a two-place functor to be a Sheffer function are that it should possess none of the properties of proper closure, t-closure, proper substitution or cosubstitution. He proved these conditions sufficient in the 3-valued case. Foxley [1] demonstrated that, in the 3-valued case, any function which possessed the cosubstitution property must also possess at least one of the properties of proper closure, proper substitution or t-closure. We shall establish the corresponding result for *n*-valued logic. Initially we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for a function to be t-closing. By investigating the conditions implied by the cosubstitution property it will follow that if Fpossesses the cosubstitution property for a decomposition of the n truth values into less than n classes then it will also possess the proper substitution property for the same decomposition. In the remaining case of a decomposition of the n truth values into exactly n classes it will be shown that F will possess at least one of the properties of proper closure, proper substitution or *t*-closure if it possesses the cosubstitution property for such a decomposition.

Before proceeding any further we will introduce definitions of these terms as given by Martin [3]. Suppose we have a decomposition of the *n* marks into two or more disjoint, non-empty classes. If $a, b \in N$ we write $a \sim b$ to indicate that a and b are elements of the same class. Let a', b',c', d', e', f' be logical constants taking the truth values a, b, c, d, e, frespectively $(a, b, c, d, e, f \in N)$. A binary functor F satisfies the substitution law if, for any a, b, c, d, whenever $a \sim c$ and $b \sim d$ then $e \sim f$ where $Fa'b' =_T e'$ and $Fc'd' =_T f'$. If F is a binary functor such that whenever $e \sim f$ and $Fa'b' =_T e', Fc'd' =_T f'$ then either $a \sim c$ or $b \sim d$ then F satisfies the cosubstitution law. We say F has the proper substitution property if there is a decomposition of the n truth values into less than n classes for which F satisfies the substitution law. Similarly F has the cosubstitution property if there is a decomposition of the n truth values for which Fsatisfies the cosubstitution law. A one-place functor T is a *t*-functor if the following hold.

(I) $T^n a' =_T a'$ for all $a \in N$

(II) for every i $(1 \le i \le n - 1)$ and $a(a \in N) T^i a' \ne_T a'$

F is said to be *t*-closing if there is some *t*-functor *F* such that for every *i*, *j* there is a *k* such that $FT^ipT^jp =_T T^kp$. Finally *F* has the *proper closure* property if some non-empty proper subset of the *n* marks is closed under *F*.

2. Notation and Definitions. We use the notation

$$\{A_1\}, \{A_2\}, \ldots, \{A_g\} \subseteq \{B_1\}, \{B_2\}, \ldots, \{B_h\}$$

where A_i , B_j $(1 \le i \le g; 1 \le j \le h)$ represent sequences of symbols denoting truth values, and for any sequence C, $\{C\}$ is the class of truth values denoted by the elements of C, to mean that there exists an integer j (= j(i))such that $\{A_i\} c$ $\{B_j\}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, g$. If the values $j(1), j(2), \ldots, j(g)$ are all necessarily distinct we shall write

$$\{A_1; A_2; \ldots; A_g\} \subseteq \{B_1; B_2; \ldots; B_h\}.$$

If we have g^2 sequences $A_{i_1i_2}$ $(1 \le i_1, i_2 \le g)$ then

$$\{A_{11}: A_{12}: \ldots: A_{1g}; A_{21}: A_{22}: \ldots: A_{2g}; \ldots; A_{g1}: A_{g2}: \ldots: A_{gg}\} \subseteq \{B_1; B_2; \ldots; B_h\}$$

is used to mean that there exists an integer $j (= j(i_1))$ such that, for all $i_2 (1 \le i_2 \le g), \{A_{i_1i_2}\} \subseteq \{B_i\}$ with $j(1), j(2), \ldots, j(g)$ necessarily all distinct.

Consider a decomposition D of the n truth values $1, 2, \ldots, n$ into m non-empty classes $(1 \le m \le n)$ where the *i*th class contains s_i truth values $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$. If a', b', c', d', e', f' are logical constants assuming the truth values a, b, c, d, e, f respectively $(a, b, c, d, e, f \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\})$ such that $Fa'b' =_T e'$ and $Fc'd' =_T f'$ and the corresponding truth values e, f are such that $e \sim f$ in the decomposition D then we write $Fa'b' \sim Fc'd'$.

The m classes of truth values will be denoted by

$$\{a_{11}, a_{12}, \ldots, a_{1s_1}\}, \{a_{21}, a_{22}, \ldots, a_{2s_2}\}, \ldots, \{a_{m1}, a_{m2}, \ldots, a_{ms_m}\}$$

where $a_{11}, a_{12}, \ldots, \ldots, a_{ms_m}$ is some rearrangement of $1, 2, \ldots, n$. $\{A_i\}$ is used to denote $\{a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \ldots, a_{is_i}\}$ $(1 \le i \le m)$. We shall write $\{B_{ij}\}$ $(1 \le i, j \le m)$ to denote

$$\{Fb_{i1} b_{i1}, Fb_{i1} b_{i2}, \ldots, Fb_{i1} b_{isi}, Fb_{i2} b_{i1}, Fb_{i2} b_{i2}, \ldots, Fb_{isi} b_{isi}\}$$

where $b_{11}, b_{12}, \ldots, b_{ms_m}$ are logical constants taking truth values $a_{11}, a_{12}, \ldots, a_{ms_m}$ respectively.

If Fb_{ik} $b_{jl} =_T c_{kl}^{ij}$ where $1 \le i, j \le m$; $1 \le k \le s_i$; $1 \le l \le s_j$ and $c_{kl}^{ij} \in \{b_{11}, b_{12}, \ldots, b_{msm}\}$ then we use $\{C_{ij}\}$ to denote

 $\{c_{11}^{ij}, c_{12}^{ij}, \ldots, c_{1s}^{ij}, c_{21}^{ij}, c_{22}^{ij}, \ldots, \ldots, c_{s_is_j}^{ij}\}$

and $\{D_{ij}\}$ to denote the class of truth values corresponding to the elements of $\{C_{ij}\}$.

We write $\{D_{ij}\} \sim \{D_{i'j'}\}$ to mean that there exists an integer k $(k = k(i, j), 1 \le k \le m)$ such that $\{D_{ij}\}, \{D_{i'j'}\} \subseteq \{A_k\}$. A number of truth values

are described as "similar" if they belong to the same class of the decomposition. For i = 1, 2, ..., m the *i*th "block row" is defined to be $\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \{D_{ij}\}$. Similarly for j = 1, 2, ..., m the jth "block column" is $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \{D_{ij}\}$.

3. Conditions for t-closure. If Tp is a t-function we define $T^{0}p = p$ and $T^{k+1}p = TT^{k}p$ (k = 0, 1, 2, ...).

The following theorem is stated in two forms, the proof of the second following from that of the first by interchanging rows and columns in the argument.

Theorem 3.1. (A) A functor F is t-closing if and only if there exist integers $i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}$ such that, for each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, $FpT^jp =_T T^{ij}p$ where $0 \le i_j \le n-1$.

(B) A functor F is t-closing if and only if there exist integers i_0 , i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1} such that, for each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, $FT^jpp =_T T^{ij}p$ where $0 \le i_j \le n-1$.

We prove the result in form A. Necessity of the condition follows from the definition of *t*-closure. For sufficiency we must show, for all values of $k (0 \le k \le n - 1)$ and for all values of $l(0 \le l \le n - 1)$, that for some value of $j = j(k, l) FT^k pT^l p =_T T^j p$.

(a) We have $FT^kpT^kp = T^{i_0}T^kp =_T T^{i_0+k}p$ for each value of $k, 0 \le k \le n-1$. (b) Consider FT^kpT^lp , with $k \ne l$ and $0 \le k, l \le n-1$; define $j \equiv n+l-k$ (modulo n), $0 \le j \le n-1$. Then either (I) j + k = l or (II) j + k = n + l. If (I) then $FT^kpT^lp =_T FT^kpT^{j+k}p$

$$=_{T} T^{ij}T^{k}p$$

$$=_{T} T^{ij+k}p.$$

If (II) then $FT^{k}pT^{l}p =_{T} FT^{k}pT^{n}T^{l}p$
$$=_{T} FT^{k}pT^{j+k}p$$

$$=_{T} T^{ij+k}p \text{ as abov}$$

(c) For any general value of k we note that $T^k p =_T T^{k'} p$ where $k' \equiv k \pmod{n}$, $0 \leq k' \leq n-1$.

Consequently we have that for all a, b there exists an integer c such that $FT^apT^bp =_T T^cp$, and the result follows.

4. The Cosubstitution Property.

Lemma 4.1. If F possesses the cosubstitution property then $\{D_{11}; D_{22}; \ldots; D_{mm}\} \subseteq \{A_1; A_2; \ldots; A_m\}.$

Proof. If for some i, j $(1 \le i, j \le m)$ $Fb_{iu}b_{iv} \sim Fb_{jw}b_{jx}$ for any u, v, w, x such that $1 \le u, v \le s_i$ and $1 \le w, x \le s_j$ then the cosubstitution property implies that either $a_{iu} \sim a_{jw}$ or $a_{iv} \sim a_{jx}$ and, in both cases, this implies i = j. Consequently, since there are exactly *m* classes in the decomposition, the lemma follows.

Theorem 4.2. If F possesses the cosubstitution property then either all the entries in each block row are similar or all the entries in each block column are similar.

To establish this result it will be shown that either one or the other of the following hold.

$$I \quad \{D_{11}: D_{12}: \ldots : D_{1m}; D_{21}: D_{22}: \ldots : D_{2m}; \ldots; D_{m1}: D_{m2}: \ldots : D_{mm}\} \subseteq \{A_1; A_2; \ldots; A_m\}$$

$$I \quad \{D_{11}: D_{21}: \ldots : D_{m1}; D_{12}: D_{22}: \ldots : D_{m2}; \ldots; D_{1m}: D_{2m}: \ldots : D_{mm}\} \subseteq \{A_1; A_2; \ldots; A_m\}.$$

In the truth table of F consider the a_{iu_i} -th row (for some u_i , $1 \le u_i \le s_i$, $1 \le i \le m$). Consider the entry in the a_{ju_j} -th column ($j \ne i$, some u_j , $1 \le u_j \le s_j$, $1 \le j \le m$). We have $Fb_{iu_i}b_{ju_j} = c_{u_iu_j}^{ij}$ and let the truth value corresponding to $c_{u_iu_j}^{ij}$ be denoted by α .

Then, in view of the above lemma, there exist θ , k such that $\alpha \sim \theta$ and $\theta \in \{D_{kk}\}$, $(1 \leq k \leq m)$. Hence, we have $Fb_{iui}b_{juj} \sim Fb_{kuk}b_{kvk}$ for all u_k , v_k such that $1 \leq u_k$, $v_k \leq s_k$. Then the cosubstitution property implies that either $a_{iui} \sim a_{kuk}$ or $a_{juj} \sim a_{kvk}$ giving either i = k or j = k. Consequently if $\alpha \in \{D_{ij}\}$ then there exists θ such that $\alpha \sim \theta$ and

$$\theta \in \{D_{ii}\} \text{ or } \theta \in \{D_{ii}\}.$$
(1)

We now show that if α , $\beta \in \{D_{ij}\}$ $(i \neq j)$ then it is not possible for $\alpha \sim \gamma$ and $\beta \sim \delta$ where γ , δ are the truth values corresponding to the values of $Fb_{iwi}b_{ixi}$, $Fb_{jwj}b_{jxj}$ respectively for any w_i , x_i , w_j , x_j $(1 \leq w_i, x_i \leq s_i; 1 \leq w_j, x_j \leq s_j)$.

Suppose for some u_i, u_j, v_i, v_j $(1 \le u_i, v_i \le s_i; 1 \le u_j, v_j \le s_j)$

that
$$Fb_{iu_i}b_{ju_j} \sim Fb_{iw_i}b_{ix_i}$$
 (2)
and $Fb_{iv_i}b_{jv_j} \sim Fb_{jw_j}b_{jx_j}$. (3)

Consider $Fb_{ju_j}b_{iv_i}$: by (1) either (a) $Fb_{ju_j}b_{iv_i} \sim Fb_{iy_i}b_{iz_i}$ for some y_i , z_i $(1 \le y_i, z_i \le s_i)$ or (b) $Fb_{ju_j}b_{iv_i} \sim Fb_{iy_i}b_{iz_i}$ for some y_j, z_j $(1 \le y_j, z_j \le s_j)$.

In case (a), since $Fb_{iy_i}b_{iz_i} \sim Fb_{iw_i}\dot{b}_{ix_i}$ (by lemma 4.1), we have, by (2), that $Fb_{ju_j}b_{iv_i} \sim Fb_{iu_i}b_{ju_j}$ and the cosubstitution property implies that either $a_{ju_j} \sim a_{iu_i}$ or $a_{iv_i} \sim a_{ju_j}$ both of which lead to i = j. Case (b) follows similarly to (a), by interchanging rows and columns and using (3) instead of (2).

Consequently we must have either

$$\{D_{ij}\} \sim \{D_{ii}\} \text{ or } \{D_{ij}\} \sim \{D_{jj}\}.$$
 (4)

In particular either $\{D_{12}\} \sim \{D_{11}\}$ or $\{D_{12}\} \sim \{D_{22}\}$. We assume $\{D_{12}\} \sim \{D_{11}\}$ and deduce alternative I of the result. Alternative II follows similarly using the other assumption. The proof will be completed in three stages, namely by showing:

(a) $\{D_{1j}\} \sim \{D_{11}\}$ for each $j, 3 \le j \le m$. (b) $\{D_{i1}\} \sim \{D_{ii}\}$ for each $i, 2 \le i \le m$.

(c) $\{D_{ii}\} \sim \{D_{ii}\}$ for i, j such that $2 \leq j \leq m$; $2 \leq i \leq m$.

(a) Consider $\{D_{1j}\}$, $3 \leq j \leq m$. By (4) either $\{D_{1j}\} \sim \{D_{11}\}$ or $\{D_{1j}\} \sim \{D_{jj}\}$. If $\{D_{1j}\} \sim \{D_{jj}\}$ then either $\{D_{j1}\} \sim \{D_{jj}\} \sim \{D_{1j}\}$, i.e., $\{D_{j1}\} \sim \{D_{1j}\}$ which contradicts the cosubstitution property for $j \neq 1$; or $\{D_{j1}\} \sim \{D_{11}\} \sim \{D_{12}\}$, i.e., $\{D_{j1}\} \sim \{D_{12}\}$, which contradicts the cosubstitution property for $j \neq 1$. Hence $\{D_{1j}\} \sim \{D_{11}\}$.

(b) By (4) either $\{D_{i1}\} \sim \{D_{ii}\}$ or $\{D_{i1}\} \sim \{D_{11}\}$ $(2 \le i \le m)$. If $\{D_{i1}\} \sim \{D_{11}\}$

then, since $\{D_{11}\} \sim \{D_{12}\}$ we have $\{D_{i1}\} \sim \{D_{12}\}$ which contradicts the cosubstitution property for $i \neq 1$. Hence $\{D_{i1}\} \sim \{D_{ii}\}$ and consequently $\{D_{11}; D_{21}; \ldots; D_{m1}\} \subseteq \{A_1; A_2; \ldots; A_m\}$.

(c) Suppose there exist $i, j \ (2 \le i, j \le m, i \ne j)$ such that $\{D_{ij}\} \sim \{D_{jj}\}$. By (b) $\{D_{j1}\} \sim \{D_{jj}\}$. Hence $\{D_{ij}\} \sim \{D_{j1}\}$ which contradicts the cosubstitution property if $j \ne 1, j \ne i$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

It is now necessary to distinguish between a decomposition of the n truth values into less than n classes and one into n classes. The former case is easily disposed of and this is done in theorem 4.3. In the latter case, however, it will be seen that a considerably more detailed examination is required.

Theorem 4.3. If F possesses the cosubstitution property for any decomposition of the n truth values into less than n classes then F also possesses the proper substitution property.

The conditions which must be satisfied if F is to possess the proper substitution property with respect to the same decomposition as that for which it possesses the cosubstitution property are that for each value of i, jthere exists some k ($k = k(i, j), 1 \le k \le m$) such that $\{D_{ij}\} \subseteq \{A_k\}$. However these conditions are immediately satisfied: following by the results proved in the previous theorem. Hence F possesses the proper substitution property.

In the following work we shall be considering a decomposition of the n truth values into n classes. Consequently we can omit the second subscript when writing both truth values and logical constants, since it is always 1. This is done throughout the following theorem.

Initially from Theorem 4.2 the truth table of Fpq will either contain n identical entries in each row and n different entries in each column or vice versa. We assume the former, i.e., for $1 \le j \le n$

$$Fb_jb_k =_T b_{j'} \text{ where } j' = j'(j), \ 1 \le j' \le n \text{ and } b_{j'} \ne_T b_{l'} \text{ unless } j = l.$$
(5)

The result will then be proved, making use of Theorem 3.1(A). It will follow for the other case by interchanging rows and columns and using Theorem 3.1(B).

We define the one-place functor G by $Gb_j =_T b_{j'}$ for j = 1, 2, ..., n and we note that, for all k = 1, 2, ..., n and $1 \le j \le n$

$$Fb_j b_k =_T Gb_j. \tag{6}$$

Theorem 4.4. If F possesses the cosubstitution property for any decomposition of the n truth values into n classes then F possesses at least one of the properties of proper closure, proper substitution or t-closure.

The result will be established in three parts:

- I. If $Gb_j =_T b_j$ for some $j, 1 \le j \le n$, then F has the proper closure property.
- II. If I is not satisfied and $G^{p}b_{j} =_{T} b_{j}$ for some $j, 1 \le j \le n$, and some p, $1 \le p \le n$, then F has the proper substitution property.

III. If I and II are not satisfied then we show that F possesses the t-closure property.

I. If $Gb_j =_T b_j$ for some $j, 1 \le j \le n$, then by (6) $Fb_jb_j =_T b_j$ and consequently possesses the proper closure property.

II. If $G^{p}b_{j} =_{T} b_{j}$ for some j, $1 \le j \le n$ and some p, 1 . Let <math>k be the least such p, $1 < k \le p < n$ so that $G^{k}b_{j} =_{T} b_{j}$ 1 < k < n, but $G^{l}b_{j} \ne_{T} b_{j}$ for any l, $1 \le l < k$. Initially we have, for $1 \le i \le k - 1$,

$$G^{k}G^{i}b_{j} =_{T} G^{i}b_{j}, \text{ but } G^{l}G^{i}b_{j} \neq_{T} G^{i}b_{j} \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq k.$$

$$(7)$$

This follows since $G^k G^i b_j =_T G^i G^k b_j =_T G^i b_j$, but if $G^l G^i b_j =_T G^i b_j$ for some $l, 1 \leq l \leq k$, then $G^{k-i}G^l G^i b_j =_T G^{k-i}G^i b_j =_T G^k b_j =_T b_j$ and $G^{k-i}G^l G^i b_j =_T G^l G^k b_j =_T G^l b_j$ so that $G^l b_j =_T b_j$, $1 \leq l < k$, which contradicts our assumption of k being the least such value.

We shall denote by a_i^j the truth value corresponding to $G^i b_i$ $(1 \le i \le n, j = 0, 1, 2, ...)$. If

$$a_i^0 \not\in \{a_j^0, a_j^1, \ldots, a_j^{k-1}\}$$
(8)

for some i, $1 \le i \le n$ (at least one such a_i^0 must exist since k < n) then we prove, by induction on r, that for any q, $0 \le q \le k - 1$

$$G^r b_i \neq_T G^q b_j \,. \tag{9}$$

For r = 0 the result follows immediately from (8). Assume the result for some nonnegative integral r.

Consider $G^{r+1}b_i =_T G^q b_j$ for some $q, 0 \le q \le k-1$, then $GG^r b_i =_T GG^{q-1}b_j$ (if q = 0 then $GG^r b_i =_T GG^{k-1}b_j$). If $G^r b_i =_T b_x$ (say) and $G^{q-1}b_j =_T b_y$ (say) then by the induction hypothesis $b_x \ne_T b_y$. However $Gb_x =_T Gb_y$, which by (6) implies that $b_{x'} =_T b_{y'}$, which, by (5), is only true if x = y, which contradicts the induction hypothesis. Consequently $G^r b_i \ne_T G^q b_j q = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$; r = $0, 1, 2, \ldots$ We now show that, for any $i, 1 \le i \le n, b_i =_T G^{k_i} b_i$ for some k_i , $1 \le k_i \le n$, and if k_i is the least possible such value, then

$$a_i^0, a_i^1, \ldots, a_i^{k_i-1}$$
 are all distinct. (10)

Consider $\{a_i^0, a_i^1, \ldots, a_i^n\}$. Since there are only *n* different truth values we must have, for some $p, q, 0 \le p < q \le n$, $G^p b_i =_T G^q b_i$. Suppose *p* is the least possible such value and assume $p \ne 0$. Then we have $G^{p-1}b_i \ne_T G^{q-1}b_i$. If $G^{p-1}b_i =_T b_x$ (say) and $G^{q-1}b_i =_T b_y$ (say) then $Gb_x =_T Gb_y$, but $b_x \ne_T b_y$ which contradicts (5). Hence the least possible value for *p* is p = 0, i.e., $b_i =_T G^{k_i}b_i$ for some $k_i, 1 \le k_i \le n$. Further if k_i is the least possible such value then $a_i^0, a_i^1, \ldots, a_i^{k_i-1}$ are all distinct, since otherwise $G^p b_i =_T G^q b_i$ for some $p, q, 0 \le p < q < k_i$ and this implies either $b_i =_T G^{q-p} b_i$ with $0 < q - p < k_i$ which contradicts k_i being the least such value, or $G^x b_i =_T G^y b_i$ for some x, y such that p - x = q - y and 0 < x < p; 0 < y < q, and $G^{x-1}b_i \neq_T G^{y-1}b_i$, which, as above, contradicts (5). We are now able to start defining a decomposition of the truth values with respect to which it will be shown that F possesses the proper substitution property.

Define $E_1 = \{a_1^0, a_1^1, \ldots, a_1^{k_1-1}\}$, where k_1 is the least positive value such that $G^{k_1}b_1 =_T b_1$.

We have either $b_1 =_T G^q b_j$ for some $q, 0 \le q < k$, in which case $k_1 = k$ by (7), or $b_1 \ne_T G^q b_j$ for any $q, 0 \le q < k$, in which case since $a_1^0, a_1^1, \ldots, a_1^{k_1-1}$ are all distinct (by (10)) and there are a maximum of n - k truth values which can be taken by $G^q b_1$ (for any $q, 0 \le q < k$) (by (9)) we have $k_1 \le n - k$. Consequently in both cases $k_1 < n$.

Define $r_1 = 1$, $R_1 = 1$, and for each value of j = 2, 3, ..., n: (I) if $a_j^0 \notin \bigcup_{s=1}^{R_{j-1}} E_s$ then $r_j = R_{j-1} + 1$ and $E_{r_j} = \{a_j^0, a_j^1, ..., a_j^{k_j-1}\}$ where k_j is the least positive integer for which $G^{k_j}b_j = Tb_j$; otherwise, i.e., if $a_j^0 \in \bigcup_{s=1}^{R_j-1} E_s$, then there exists a unique integer $s, 1 \le s \le R_{j-1}$ such that $a_j^0 \in E_s$ and we define $r_j = s$, (s is unique by note (a) below). (II) $R_i = m \ a \ x \ (r_i) \ (1 \le i \le j)$.

We note that

- (a) By (9) we cannot have $a_i^0 \in E_s$ and $a_i^0 \in E_u$, $s \neq u$, for any $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$.
- (b) For any $i, 1 \le i \le n$, there exists an s such that $a_i^0 \in E_s$, viz. $s = r_i$.

Consequently we have a decomposition of the *n* truth values $a_1^0, a_2^0, \ldots, a_n^0$ into *m* disjoint classes where $m = R_n$. It remains to prove that *F* possesses the proper substitution property with respect to this decomposition.

Suppose $a_j \sim a_l$, $j \neq l$, $1 \leq j$, $l \leq n$. Then for some r_s , $1 \leq r_s \leq R_n$, a_j , $a_l \in E_{r_s}$. Consequently for some p, $1 \leq p \leq k_s$

$$b_i =_T G^p b_s \tag{11}$$

and for some q, $1 \leq q \leq k_s$

$$b_l = T G^q b_s \tag{12}$$

and suppose p < q. Also, from the definition of k_s ((i) above),

$$b_s =_T G^{k_s} b_s. aga{13}$$

Hence, by (11), (12), $b_l =_T G^q b_s =_T G^{q-p} G^p b_s =_T G^{q-p} b_j$ and $1 \le q - p \le k_s$ and by (11), (12), (13) $b_j =_T G^p b_s =_T G^p G^k s b_s =_T G^{ks-q} G^p G^q b_s =_T G^{ks-q+p} b_l$ and $1 \le k_s - q + p \le k_s$. Hence $b_l =_T G^v b_l$ and $b_j =_T G^u b_l$ for some $u, v, 1 \le u, v \le k_s$.

We now show that if $a_j \sim a_l$ and $a_x \sim a_y$ then $Fb_jb_x \sim Fb_lb_y$. Suppose a_j , $a_l \in E_{r_s}$ and a_x , $a_y \in E_{r_z}$ $(1 \leq r_s, r_z \leq R_n)$.

We have that there exist p, q $(1 \le p \le k_s; 1 \le q \le k_z)$ such that $Fb_l b_y =_T FG^p b_j G^q b_x =_T G^{p+1} b_j$ by (6) and $a_i^{p+1} \in E_{r_s}$.

But $Fb_jb_x =_T Gb_j$ by (6) and $a_j^1 \in E_{r_s}$. Hence $Fb_jb_x \sim Fb_lb_y$ and F possesses the proper substitution property for this decomposition. This concludes II.

III. If

$$G^{p}b_{i} \neq_{T} b_{i} \tag{14}$$

for any j, $1 \le j \le n$, and any p, $1 \le p \le n - 1$, then we show initially that $G^n b_j =_T b_j$ for each j, $1 \le j \le n$. Consider $\{a_j^0, a_j^1, \ldots, a_j^n\}$. Since there are

only *n* different truth values we must have either $G^n b_j =_T b_j$ or $G^q b_j =_T G^b b_j$ for some *p*, *q*, $1 \le p < q \le n$, in which case $G^{q-p}(G^b b_j) =_T G^b b_j$, 0 < q - p < nwhich contradicts (14). Consequently $G^n b_j =_T b_j$, $1 \le j \le n$, and so *G* is a *t*-function.

Similarly a_j^0 , a_j^1 , ..., a_j^{n-1} must all be distinct to avoid contradicting (14). Hence a_j^0 , a_j^1 , ..., a_j^{n-1} is just a rearrangement of a_1^0 , a_2^0 , ..., a_n^0 . Now, by (6), $Fb_j G^{p}b_j =_T Gb_j$ for each j = 1, 2, ..., n and all $p, 0 \le p \le n - 1$. Hence, by Theorem 3.1(A), F possesses *t*-closure. This concludes III and consequently completes the theorem.

Combining the last two theorems we have established the following result.

Theorem. If F possesses the cosubstitution property then it also possesses at least one of the properties of proper substitution, proper closure or t-closure.

REFERENCES

- Foxley, E., "The determination of all Sheffer functions in 3-valued logic, using a logical computer," Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, vol. III (1962), pp. 41-50.
- [2] Martin, N. M., "Some analogues of the Sheffer stroke function in n-valued logic," Indagationes Mathematicae, vol. 12 (1950), pp. 393-400.
- [3] Martin, N. M., "The Sheffer functions of 3-valued logic," The Journal of Symbolic Logic, vol. 19 (1954), pp. 45-51.
- [4] Salomaa, A., "On the composition of functions of several variables ranging over a finite set," Annales Universitatis Turkuensis Ser AI, vol. 41 (1960).
- [5] Wheeler, R. F., "Complete connectives for the 3-valued propositional calculus," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 16 (1966), pp. 167-191.

University of Manitoba Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada