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# THE COSUBSTITUTION CONDITION 

J. C. MUZIO

1. Introduction. Let $n$ be a natural number, $n \geq 2$, and $N=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Martin [3] showed in 1954 that necessary conditions for a two-place functor to be a Sheffer function are that it should possess none of the properties of proper closure, $t$-closure, proper substitution or cosubstitution. He proved these conditions sufficient in the 3 -valued case. Foxley [1] demonstrated that, in the 3 -valued case, any function which possessed the cosubstitution property must also possess at least one of the properties of proper closure, proper substitution or $t$-closure. We shall establish the corresponding result for $n$-valued logic. Initially we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for a function to be $t$-closing. By investigating the conditions implied by the cosubstitution property it will follow that if $F$ possesses the cosubstitution property for a decomposition of the $n$ truth values into less than $n$ classes then it will also possess the proper substitution property for the same decomposition. In the remaining case of a decomposition of the $n$ truth values into exactly $n$ classes it will be shown that $F$ will possess at least one of the properties of proper closure, proper substitution or $t$-closure if it possesses the cosubstitution property for such a decomposition.

Before proceeding any further we will introduce definitions of these terms as given by Martin [3]. Suppose we have a decomposition of the $n$ marks into two or more disjoint, non-empty classes. If $a, b \in N$ we write $a \sim b$ to indicate that $a$ and $b$ are elements of the same class. Let $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$, $c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}$ be logical constants taking the truth values $a, b, c, d, e, f$ respectively ( $a, b, c, d, e, f \in N$ ). A binary functor $F$ satisfies the substitution law if, for any $a, b, c, d$, whenever $a \sim c$ and $b \sim d$ then $e \sim f$ where $F a^{\prime} b^{\prime}={ }_{T} e^{\prime}$ and $F c^{\prime} d^{\prime}={ }_{T} f^{\prime}$. If $F$ is a binary functor such that whenever $e \sim f$ and $F a^{\prime} b^{\prime}={ }_{T} e^{\prime}, F c^{\prime} d^{\prime}={ }_{T} f^{\prime}$ then either $a \sim c$ or $b \sim d$ then $F$ satisfies the cosubstitution law. We say $F$ has the proper substitution property if there is a decomposition of the $n$ truth values into less than $n$ classes for which $F$ satisfies the substitution law. Similarly $F$ has the cosubstitution property if there is a decomposition of the $n$ truth values for which $F$ satisfies the cosubstitution law.

A one-place functor $T$ is a $t$-functor if the following hold.
(I) $T^{n} a^{\prime}{ }_{=T} a^{\prime}$ for all $a \in N$
(II) for every $i(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ and $a(a \in N) T^{i} a^{\prime}{ }_{{ }_{T}} a^{\prime}$
$F$ is said to be $t$-closing if there is some $t$-functor $F$ such that for every $i, j$ there is a $k$ such that $F T^{i} p T^{j} p=T T^{k} p$. Finally $F$ has the proper closure property if some non-empty proper subset of the $n$ marks is closed under $F$.
2. Notation and Definitions. We use the notation

$$
\left\{A_{1}\right\},\left\{A_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{A_{g}\right\} \subseteq\left\{B_{1}\right\},\left\{B_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{B_{h}\right\}
$$

where $A_{i}, B_{j}(1 \leq i \leq g ; 1 \leq j \leq h)$ represent sequences of symbols denoting truth values, and for any sequence $C,\{C\}$ is the class of truth values denoted by the elements of $C$, to mean that there exists an integer $j(=j(i))$ such that $\left\{A_{i}\right\} c\left\{B_{j}\right\}$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, g$. If the values $j(1), j(2), \ldots$, $j(g)$ are all necessarily distinct we shall write

$$
\left\{A_{1} ; A_{2} ; \ldots ; A_{g}\right\} \subseteq\left\{B_{1} ; B_{2} ; \ldots ; B_{h}\right\} .
$$

If we have $g^{2}$ sequences $A_{i_{1} i_{2}}\left(1 \leq i_{1}, i_{2} \leq g\right)$ then

$$
\left\{A_{11}: A_{12}: \ldots: A_{1 g} ; A_{21}: A_{22}: \ldots: A_{2 g} ; \ldots ; A_{g_{1}}: A_{g 2}: \ldots: A_{g g}\right\} \subseteq\left\{B_{1} ; B_{2} ; \ldots ; B_{h}\right\}
$$

is used to mean that there exists an integer $j\left(=j\left(i_{1}\right)\right)$ such that, for all $i_{2}\left(1 \leq i_{2} \leq g\right),\left\{A_{i_{1} i_{2}}\right\} \subseteq\left\{B_{j}\right\}$ with $j(1), j(2), \ldots j(g)$ necessarily all distinct.

Consider a decomposition $D$ of the $n$ truth values $1,2, \ldots, n$ into $m$ non-empty classes ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ) where the $i$ th class contains $s_{i}$ truth values ( $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ ). If $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}$ are logical constants assuming the truth values $a, b, c, d, e, f$ respectively ( $a, b, c, d, e, f \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ ) such that $F a^{\prime} b^{\prime}={ }_{T} e^{\prime}$ and $F c^{\prime} d^{\prime}={ }_{T} f^{\prime}$ and the corresponding truth values $e, f$ are such that $e \sim f$ in the decomposition $D$ then we write $F a^{\prime} b^{\prime} \sim F c^{\prime} d^{\prime}$.

The $m$ classes of truth values will be denoted by

$$
\left\{a_{11}, a_{12}, \ldots, a_{1 s_{1}}\right\},\left\{a_{21}, a_{22}, \ldots, a_{2 s_{2}}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{a_{m 1}, a_{m 2}, \ldots, a_{m s_{m}}\right\}
$$

where $a_{11}, a_{12}, \ldots, \ldots, a_{m s_{m}}$ is some rearrangement of $1,2, \ldots, n$. $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ is used to denote $\left\{a_{i 1}, a_{i 2}, \ldots, a_{i s_{i}}\right\}(1 \leq i \leq m)$. We shall write $\left\{B_{i j}\right\}$ ( $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ ) to denote

$$
\left\{F b_{i 1} b_{j 1}, F b_{i 1} b_{j 2}, \ldots, F b_{i 1} b_{j s_{j}}, F b_{i 2} b_{j 1}, F b_{i 2} b_{j 2}, \ldots, \ldots, F b_{i s_{i}} b_{j s j}\right\}
$$

where $b_{11}, b_{12}, \ldots, b_{m s_{m}}$ are logical constants taking truth values $a_{11}$, $a_{12}, \ldots, a_{m s_{m}}$ respectively.

If $F b_{i k} b_{i l}=_{T} c_{k l}^{i j}$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq m ; 1 \leq k \leq s_{i} ; 1 \leq l \leq s_{j}$ and $c_{k l}^{i j} \epsilon\left\{b_{11}\right.$, $\left.b_{12}, \ldots, b_{m s_{m}}\right\}$ then we use $\left\{C_{i j}\right\}$ to denote

$$
\left\{c_{11}^{i j}, c_{12}^{i j}, \ldots, c_{1 s}^{i j}, c_{21}^{i j}, c_{22}^{i j}, \ldots, \ldots, c_{s_{i} j j}^{i j}\right\}
$$

and $\left\{D_{i j}\right\}$ to denote the class of truth values corresponding to the elements of $\left\{C_{i j}\right\}$.

We write $\left\{D_{i j}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right\}$ to mean that there exists an integer $k(k=$ $k(i, j), 1 \leq k \leq m)$ such that $\left\{D_{i j}\right\},\left\{D_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right\} \subseteq\left\{A_{k}\right\}$. A number of truth values
are described as "similar" if they belong to the same class of the decomposition. For $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ the $i$ th "block row" is defined to be $\bigcup_{j=1}^{m}\left\{D_{i j}\right\}$. Similarly for $j=1,2, \ldots, m$ the jth "block column" is $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m}\left\{D_{i j}\right\}$.
3. Conditions for $t$-closure. If $T p$ is a $t$-function we define $T^{0} p=p$ and $T^{k+1} p=T T^{k} p(k=0,1,2, \ldots)$.

The following theorem is stated in two forms, the proof of the second following from that of the first by interchanging rows and columins in the argument.

Theorem 3.1. (A) A functor $F$ is $t$-closing if and only if there exist integers $i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n-1}$ such that, for each $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1, F p T^{j} p={ }_{T}$ $T^{i j} p$ where $0 \leq i_{j} \leq n-1$.
(B) A functor $F$ is t-closing if and only if there exist integers $i_{0}$, $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n-1}$ such that, for each $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1, F T^{j} p p={ }_{T} T^{i_{j}} p$ where $0 \leq i_{j} \leq n-1$.

We prove the result in form A. Necessity of the condition follows from the definition of $t$-closure. For sufficiency we must show, for all values of $k(0 \leq k \leq n-1)$ and for all values of $l(0 \leq l \leq n-1)$, that for some value of $j=j(k, l) F T^{k} p T^{l} p={ }_{T} T^{j} p$.
(a) We have $F T^{k} p T^{k} p=T^{i_{0}} T^{k} p=T T^{i_{0}+k} p$ for each value of $k, 0 \leq k \leq n-1$.
(b) Consider $F T^{k} p T^{l} p$, with $k \neq l$ and $0 \leq k, l \leq n-1$; define $j \equiv n+l-k$ (modulo $n$ ), $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. Then either (I) $j+k=l$ or (II) $j+k=n+l$.
If (I) then $F T^{k} p T^{l} p={ }_{T} F T^{k} p T^{j+k_{p}} p$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ={ }_{T} T^{i_{j}} T^{k} p \\
& ={ }_{T} T^{i j+k} p .
\end{aligned}
$$

If (II) then $F T^{k} p T^{l} p={ }_{T} F T^{k} p T^{n} T^{l} p$
${ }_{T} F T^{k} p T^{j+k} p$
$={ }_{T} T^{i j+k} p$ as above.
(c) For any general value of $k$ we note that $T^{k} p={ }_{T} T^{k^{\prime}} p$ where $k^{\prime} \equiv k(\bmod n)$, $0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq n-1$.

Consequently we have that for all $a, b$ there exists an integer $c$ such that $F T^{a} p T^{b} p={ }_{T} T^{c} p$, and the result follows.
4. The Cosubstitution Property.

Lemma 4.1. If $F$ possesses the cosubstitution property then $\left\{D_{11} ; D_{22} ; \ldots\right.$; $\left.D_{m m}\right\} \subseteq\left\{A_{1} ; A_{2} ; \ldots ; A_{m}\right\}$.
Proof. If for some $i, j(1 \leq i, j \leq m) F b_{i u} b_{i v} \sim F b_{j w} b_{j x}$ for any $u, v, w, x$ such that $1 \leq u, v \leq s_{i}$ and $1 \leq w, x \leq s_{j}$ then the cosubstitution property implies that either $a_{i u} \sim a_{j w}$ or $a_{i v} \sim a_{j x}$ and, in both cases, this implies $i=j$. Consequently, since there are exactly $m$ classes in the decomposition, the lemma follows.

Theorem 4.2. If $F$ possesses the cosubstitution property then either all the entries in each block row are similar or all the entries in each block column are similar.

To establish this result it will be shown that either one or the other of the following hold.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I }\left\{D_{11}: D_{12}: \ldots: D_{1 m} ; D_{21}: D_{22}: \ldots: D_{2 m} ; \ldots ; D_{m 1}: D_{m 2}: \ldots: D_{m m}\right\} \subseteq\left\{A_{1} ; A_{2} ; \ldots ; A_{m}\right\} \\
& \text { II }\left\{D_{11}: D_{21}: \ldots: D_{m 1} ; D_{12}: D_{22}: \ldots: D_{m 2} ; \ldots ; D_{1 m}: D_{2 m}: \ldots: D_{m m}\right\} \subseteq\left\{A_{1} ; A_{2} ; \ldots ; A_{m}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the truth table of $F$ consider the $a_{i u_{i}}$-th row (for some $u_{i}, 1 \leq u_{i} \leq$ $s_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ ). Consider the entry in the $a_{j u_{j}}$ - th column ( $j \neq i$, some $u_{j}$, $\left.1 \leq u_{j} \leq s_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq m\right)$. We have $F b_{i u_{i}} b_{j u_{j}}={ }_{T} c_{u_{i} u_{j}}^{i j}$ and let the truth value corresponding to $c_{u_{i} u_{j}}^{i j}$ be denoted by $\alpha$.

Then, in view of the above lemma, there exist $\theta, k$ such that $\alpha \sim \theta$ and $\theta \in\left\{D_{k k}\right\},(1 \leq k \leq m)$. Hence, we have $F b_{i u_{i}} b_{j u} \sim F b_{k u_{k}} b_{k v_{k}}$ for all $u_{k}, v_{k}$ such that $1 \leq u_{k}, v_{k} \leq s_{k}$. Then the cosubstitution property implies that either $a_{i u i} \sim a_{k u_{k}}$ or $a_{j u_{j}} \sim a_{k v_{k}}$ giving either $i=k$ or $j=k$. Consequently if $\alpha \epsilon\left\{D_{i j}\right\}$ then there exists $\theta$ such that $\alpha \sim \theta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \in\left\{D_{i i}\right\} \text { or } \theta \in\left\{D_{j j}\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that if $\alpha, \beta \in\left\{D_{i j}\right\}(i \neq j)$ then it is not possible for $\alpha \sim \gamma$ and $\beta \sim \delta$ where $\gamma, \delta$ are the truth values corresponding to the values of $F b_{i w_{i}} b_{i x_{i}}, F b_{j w_{j}} b_{j x_{j}}$ respectively for any $w_{i}, x_{i}, w_{j}, x_{j}\left(1 \leq w_{i}, x_{i} \leq s_{i} ; 1 \leq w_{j}\right.$, $x_{j} \leq s_{j}$ ).

Suppose for some $u_{i}, u_{j}, v_{i}, v_{j}\left(1 \leq u_{i}, v_{i} \leq s_{i} ; 1 \leq u_{j}, v_{j} \leq s_{j}\right)$
that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F b_{i u_{i}} b_{j u_{j}} \sim F b_{i w_{i}} b_{i x_{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $F b_{j u j} b_{i v_{i}}$ : by (1) either (a) $F b_{j u_{j}} b_{i v_{i}} \sim F b_{i y_{i}} b_{i z_{i}}$ for some $y_{i}$, $z_{i}\left(1 \leq y_{i}, z_{i} \leq s_{i}\right)$ or (b) $F b_{j u_{j}} b_{i v_{i}} \sim F b_{i y_{j}} b_{i z_{j}}$ for some $y_{j}, z_{j}\left(1 \leq y_{j}, z_{j} \leq s_{j}\right)$.

In case (a), since $F b_{i y_{i}} b_{i z_{i}} \sim F b_{i w_{i}} b_{i x_{i}}$ (by lemma 4.1), we have, by (2), that $F b_{j u_{j}} b_{i v_{i}} \sim F b_{i u_{i}} b_{j u_{j}}$ and the cosubstitution property implies that either $a_{j u_{j}} \sim a_{i u_{i}}$ or $a_{i v_{i}} \sim a_{j u_{j}}$ both of which lead to $i=j$. Case (b) follows similarly to (a), by interchanging rows and columns and using (3) instead of (2).

Consequently we must have either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{D_{i j}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{i i}\right\} \text { or }\left\{D_{i j}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{i j}\right\} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular either $\left\{D_{12}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{11}\right\}$ or $\left\{D_{12}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{22}\right\}$. We assume $\left\{D_{12}\right\} \sim$ $\left\{D_{11}\right\}$ and deduce alternative I of the result. Alternative II follows similarly using the other assumption. The proof will be completed in three stages, namely by showing:
(a) $\left\{D_{1 j}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{11}\right\}$ for each $j, 3 \leq j \leq m$.
(b) $\left\{D_{i 1}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{i i}\right\}$ for each $i, 2 \leq i \leq m$.
(c) $\left\{D_{i j}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{i i}\right\}$ for $i, j$ such that $2 \leq j \leq m ; 2 \leq i \leq m$.
(a) Consider $\left\{D_{1 j}\right\}, 3 \leq j \leq m$. By (4) either $\left\{D_{1 j}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{11}\right\}$ or $\left\{D_{1 j}\right\} \sim$ $\left\{D_{j i}\right\}$. If $\left\{D_{1 j}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{j j}\right\}$ then either $\left\{D_{j 1}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{j j}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{1 j}\right\}$, i.e., $\left\{D_{j 1}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{1 j}\right\}$ which contradicts the cosubstitution property for $j \neq 1$; or $\left\{D_{j 1}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{11}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{12}\right\}$, i.e., $\left\{D_{i 1}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{12}\right\}$ which contradicts the cosubstitution property for $j \neq 1$. Hence $\left\{D_{1 j}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{11}\right\}$.
(b) By (4) either $\left\{D_{i 1}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{i i}\right\}$ or $\left\{D_{i 1}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{11}\right\}(2 \leq i \leq m)$. If $\left\{D_{i 1}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{11}\right\}$
then, since $\left\{D_{11}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{12}\right\}$ we have $\left\{D_{i 1}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{12}\right\}$ which contradicts the cosubstitution property for $i \neq 1$. Hence $\left\{D_{i 1}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{i i}\right\}$ and consequently $\left\{D_{11} ; D_{21}\right.$; $\left.\ldots ; D_{m 1}\right\} \subseteq\left\{A_{1} ; A_{2} ; \ldots ; A_{m}\right\}$.
(c) Suppose there exist $i, j(2 \leq i, j \leq m, i \neq j)$ such that $\left\{D_{i j}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{j j}\right\}$. By (b) $\left\{D_{j 1}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{j j}\right\}$. Hence $\left\{D_{i j}\right\} \sim\left\{D_{j 1}\right\}$ which contradicts the cosubstitution property if $j \neq 1, j \neq i$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

It is now necessary to distinguish between a decomposition of the $n$ truth values into less than $n$ classes and one into $n$ classes. The former case is easily disposed of and this is done in theorem 4.3. In the latter case, however, it will be seen that a considerably more detailed examination is required.

Theorem 4.3. If $F$ possesses the cosubstitution property for any decomposition of the $n$ truth values into less than $n$ classes then $F$ also possesses the proper substitution property.

The conditions which must be satisfied if $F$ is to possess the proper substitution property with respect to the same decomposition as that for which it possesses the cosubstitution property are that for each value of $i, j$ there exists some $k(k=k(i, j), 1 \leq k \leq m)$ such that $\left\{D_{i j}\right\} \subseteq\left\{A_{k}\right\}$. However these conditions are immediately satisfied: following by the results proved in the previous theorem. Hence $F$ possesses the proper substitution property.

In the following work we shall be considering a decomposition of the $n$ truth values into $n$ classes. Consequently we can omit the second subscript when writing both truth values and logical constants, since it is always 1. This is done throughout the following theorem.

Initially from Theorem 4.2 the truth table of $F p q$ will either contain $n$ identical entries in each row and $n$ different entries in each column or vice versa. We assume the former, i.e., for $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F b_{j} b_{k}={ }_{T} b_{j^{\prime}} \text { where } j^{\prime}=j^{\prime}(j), 1 \leq j^{\prime} \leq n \text { and } b_{j^{\prime}} \neq T_{T} b_{l^{\prime}} \text { unless } j=l . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result will then be proved, making use of Theorem 3.1(A). It will follow for the other case by interchanging rows and columns and using Theorem 3.1(B).

We define the one-place functor $G$ by $G b_{j}={ }_{T} b_{j^{\prime}}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and we note that, for all $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F b_{j} b_{k}={ }_{T} G b_{j} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.4. If $F$ possesses the cosubstitution property for any decomposition of the $n$ truth values into $n$ classes then $F$ possesses at least one of the properties of proper closure, proper substitution or $t$-closure.

The result will be established in three parts:
I. If $G b_{j}={ }_{T} b_{j}$ for some $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$, then $F$ has the proper closure property.
II. If I is not satisfied and $G^{p} b_{j}=T \quad b_{j}$. for some $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$, and some $p$, $1 \leq p \leq n$, then $F$ has the proper substitution property.
III. If I and II are not satisfied then we show that $F$ possesses the $t$-closure property.
I. If $G b_{j}=_{T} b_{j}$ for some $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$, then by (6) $F b_{j} b_{j}=_{T} b_{j}$ and consequently possesses the proper closure property.
II. If $G^{p} b_{j}={ }_{T} b_{j}$ for some $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ and some $p, 1<p<n$. Let $k$ be the least such $p, 1<k \leq p<n$ so that $G^{k} b_{j}=_{T} b_{j} 1<k<n$, but $G^{l} b_{j} \neq T_{T} b_{j}$ for any $l, 1 \leq l<k$. Initially we have, for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{k} G^{i} b_{j}={ }_{T} G^{i} b_{j}, \text { but } G^{l} G^{i} b_{j} \nexists_{T} G^{i} b_{j} \text { for } 1 \leq l<k . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows since $G^{k} G^{i} b_{j}={ }_{T} G^{i} G^{k} b_{j}={ }_{T} G^{i} b_{j}$, but if $G^{l} G^{i} b_{j}={ }_{T} G^{i} b_{j}$ for some $l, 1 \leq l<k$, then $G^{k-i} G^{l} G^{i} b_{j}={ }_{T} \quad G^{k-i} G^{i} b_{j}={ }_{T} \quad G^{k} b_{j}={ }_{T} \quad b_{j}$ and $G^{k-i} G^{l} G^{i} b_{j}={ }_{T}$ $G^{l} G^{k} b_{j}={ }_{T} G^{l} b_{j}$ so that $G^{l} b_{j}={ }_{T} b_{j}, 1 \leq l<k$, which contradicts our assumption of $k$ being the least such value.

We shall denote by $a_{i}^{j}$ the truth value corresponding to $G^{j} b_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n$, $j=0,1,2, \ldots$.). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{0} \notin\left\{a_{j}^{0}, a_{j}^{1}, \ldots, a_{j}^{k-1}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ (at least one such $a_{i}^{0}$ must exist since $k<n$ ) then we prove, by induction on $r$, that for any $q, 0 \leq q \leq k-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{r} b_{i} \neq T G^{q} b_{j} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r=0$ the result follows immediately from (8). Assume the result for some nonnegative integral $r$.

Consider $G^{r+1} b_{i}={ }_{T} G^{q} b_{j}$ for some $q, 0 \leq q \leq k-1$, then $G G^{r} b_{i}={ }_{T} G G^{q-1} b_{j}$ (if $q=0$ then $G G^{r} b_{i}={ }_{T} G G^{k-1} b_{j}$ ). If $G^{r} b_{i}={ }_{T} b_{x}$ (say) and $G^{q-1} b_{j}={ }_{T} b_{y}$ (say) then by the induction hypothesis $b_{x}{ }^{{ }_{T}} b_{y}$. However $G b_{x}={ }_{T} G b_{y}$, which by (6) implies that $b_{x^{\prime}}={ }_{T} b_{y^{\prime}}$, which, by (5), is only true if $x=y$, which contradicts the induction hypothesis. Consequently $G^{r} b_{i} \neq{ }_{T} G^{q} b_{j} q=0,1, \ldots, k-1 ; r=$ $0,1,2, \ldots$ We now show that, for any $i, 1 \leq i \leq n, b_{i}={ }_{T} G^{k_{i}} b_{i}$ for some $k_{i}$, $1 \leq k_{i} \leq n$, and if $k_{i}$ is the least possible such value, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{0}, a_{i}^{1}, \ldots, a_{i}^{k_{i}-1} \text { are all distinct. } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $\left\{a_{i}^{0}, a_{i}^{1}, \ldots, a_{i}^{n}\right\}$. Since there are only $n$ different truth values we must have, for some $p, q, 0 \leq p<q \leq n, G^{p} b_{i}=_{T} G^{q} b_{i}$. Suppose $p$ is the least possible such value and assume $p \neq 0$. Then we have $G^{p-1} b_{i} \not{ }_{T} G^{q-1} b_{i}$.
 contradicts (5). Hence the least possible value for $p$ is $p=0$, i.e., $b_{i}={ }_{T} G^{k i} b_{i}$ for some $k_{i}, 1 \leq k_{i} \leq n$. Further if $k_{i}$ is the least possible such value then $a_{i}^{0}, a_{i}^{1}, \ldots, a_{i}^{k_{i}-1}$ are all distinct, since otherwise $G^{p} b_{i}={ }_{T} G^{q} b_{i}$ for some $p, q, 0 \leq p<q<k_{i}$ and this implies either $b_{i}={ }_{T} G^{q-p} b_{i}$ with $0<q-p<k_{i}$ which contradicts $k_{i}$ being the least such value, or $G^{x} b_{i}={ }_{T} G^{y} b_{i}$ for some $x, y$ such that $p-x=q-y$ and $0<x<p ; 0<y<q$, and $G^{x-1} b_{i} \neq{ }_{T} G^{y-1} b_{i}$, which, as above, contradicts (5). We are now able to start defining a decomposition of the truth values with respect to which it will be shown that $F$ possesses the proper substitution property.

Define $E_{1}=\left\{a_{1}^{0}, a_{1}^{1}, \ldots, a_{1}^{k_{1}-1}\right\}$, where $k_{1}$ is the least positive value such that $G^{k_{1}} b_{1}={ }_{T} b_{1}$.

We have either $b_{1}={ }_{T} G^{q} b_{j}$ for some $q, 0 \leq q<k$, in which case $k_{1}=k$ by (7), or $b_{1} \not{ }_{T} G^{q} b_{j}$ for any $q, 0 \leq q<k$, in which case since $a_{1}^{0}, a_{1}^{1}, \ldots$, $a_{1}^{k_{1}-1}$ are all distinct (by (10)) and there are a maximum of $n-k$ truth values which can be taken by $G^{q} b_{1}$ (for any $q, 0 \leq q<k$ ) (by (9)) we have $k_{1} \leq n-k$. Consequently in both cases $k_{1}<n$.

Define $r_{1}=1, R_{1}=1$, and for each value of $j=2,3, \ldots, n$ :
(I) if $a_{j}^{0} \notin \bigcup_{s=1}^{R_{j}-1} E_{s}$ then $r_{j}=R_{j-1}+1$ and $E_{r_{j}}=\left\{a_{j}^{0}, a_{j}^{1}, \ldots, a_{j}^{k_{j}-1}\right\}$ where $k_{j}$ is the least positive integer for which $G^{k_{j}} b_{j}={ }_{T} b_{j}$; otherwise, i.e., if $a_{j}^{0} \in \bigcup_{s=1}^{R_{j}-1} E_{s}$, then there exists a unique integer $s, 1 \leq s \leq R_{j-1}$ such that $a_{j}^{0} \epsilon E_{s}$ and we define $r_{j}=s$, ( $s$ is unique by note (a) below).
(II) $R_{j}=m a x\left(r_{i}\right)(1 \leq i \leq j)$.

We note that
(a) By (9) we cannot have $a_{i}^{0} \in E_{s}$ and $a_{i}^{0} \in E_{u}, s \neq u$, for any $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$.
(b) For any $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists an $s$ such that $a_{i}^{0} \in E_{s}$, viz. $s=r_{i}$. Consequently we have a decomposition of the $n$ truth values $a_{1}^{0}, a_{2}^{0}, \ldots, a_{n}^{0}$ into $m$ disjoint classes where $m=R_{n}$. It remains to prove that $F$ possesses the proper substitution property with respect to this decomposition.

Suppose $a_{j} \sim a_{l}, j \neq l, 1 \leq j, l \leq n$. Then for some $r_{s}, 1 \leq r_{s} \leq R_{n}, a_{j}$, $a_{l} \in E_{r_{s}}$. Consequently for some $p, 1 \leq p \leq k_{s}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}={ }_{T} G^{p} b_{s} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for some $q, 1 \leq q \leq k_{s}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{l}={ }_{T} G^{q} b_{s} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and suppose $p<q$. Also, from the definition of $k_{s}$ ((i) above),

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{s}=T G^{k_{s}} b_{s} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (11), (12), $b_{l}={ }_{T} G^{q} b_{s}=T G^{q-p} G^{p} b_{s}=T G^{q-p} b_{j}$ and $1 \leq q-p \leq k_{s}$ and by (11), (12), (13) $b_{j}={ }_{T} G^{p} b_{s}={ }_{T} G^{p} G^{k_{s}} b_{s}={ }_{T} G^{k_{s}-q} G^{p} G^{q} b_{S}={ }_{T} G^{k_{S}-q+p} b_{l}$ and $1 \leq k_{S}$ $q+p \leq k_{s}$. Hence $b_{l}={ }_{T} G^{v} b_{j}$ and $b_{j}={ }_{T} G^{u} b_{l}$ for some $u, v, 1 \leq u, v \leq k_{s}$.

We now show that if $a_{j} \sim a_{l}$ and $a_{x} \sim a_{y}$ then $F b_{j} b_{x} \sim F b_{l} b_{y}$. Suppose $a_{j}$, $a_{l} \in E_{r_{s}}$ and $a_{x}, a_{y} \in E_{r_{z}}\left(1 \leq r_{s}, r_{z} \leq R_{n}\right)$.

We have that there exist $p, q\left(1 \leq p \leq k_{s} ; 1 \leq q \leq k_{z}\right)$ such that $F b_{l} b_{y}=T$ $F G^{p} b_{j} G^{q} b_{x}={ }_{T} G^{p+1} b_{j}$ by (6) and $a_{j}^{p+1} \in E_{r_{s}}$.

But $F b_{j} b_{x}={ }_{T} G b_{j}$ by (6) and $a_{j}^{1} \in E_{r_{s}}$. Hence $F b_{j} b_{x} \sim F b_{l} b_{y}$ and $F$ possesses the proper substitution property for this decomposition. This concludes II.
III. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{p} b_{j} \neq T b_{j} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$, and any $p, 1 \leq p \leq n-1$, then we show initially that $G^{n} b_{j}={ }_{T} b_{j}$ for each $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Consider $\left\{a_{j}^{0}, a_{j}^{1}, \ldots, a_{j}^{n}\right\}$. Since there are
only $n$ different truth values we must have either $G^{n} b_{j}={ }_{T} b_{j}$ or $G^{q} b_{j}={ }_{T} G^{p} b_{j}$ for some $p, q, 1 \leq p<q \leq n$, in which case $G^{q-p}\left(G^{p} b_{j}\right)={ }_{T} G^{p} b_{j}, 0<q-p<n$ which contradicts (14). Consequently $G^{n} b_{j}={ }_{T} b_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, and so $G$ is a $t$-function.

Similarly $a_{j}^{0}, a_{j}^{1}, \ldots, a_{j}^{n-1}$ must all be distinct to avoid contradicting (14). Hence $a_{j}^{0}, a_{j}^{1}, \ldots, a_{j}^{n-1}$ is just a rearrangement of $a_{1}^{0}, a_{2}^{0}, \ldots, a_{n}^{0}$. Now, by (6), $F b_{j} G^{p} b_{j}={ }_{T} G b_{j}$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and all $p, 0 \leq p \leq n-1$. Hence, by Theorem 3.1(A), $F$ possesses $t$-closure. This concludes III and consequently completes the theorem.

Combining the last two theorems we have established the following result.

Theorem. If $F$ possesses the cosubstitution property then it also possesses at least one of the properties of proper substitution, proper closure or $t$-closure.
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