

## ON SOME MODELS OF MODAL LOGICS

R. J. BAXTER

The purpose of this note is to show that the models of the modal logics M, S4, *Brouwersche* and S5 defined by Drake in [1], following McKinsey [3], can be presented as models of the type defined by Kripke in [2].

Models based on a Boolean algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  were defined in [1] as follows. A model is a triple  $\langle \mathfrak{A}, D, S \rangle$  where  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Boolean algebra,  $D$  is a maximal additive ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and  $S$  is a set of operators defined on elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfying

- a1)  $s(a \cup b) = s(a) \cup s(b)$ ,  
 a2)  $s(-a) = -s(a)$  ( $-a$  is the complement of  $a$ )

and

- a3) *there is an  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $s_0(a) = a$  for all  $a \in A$ .*

In addition  $S$  may be assumed to satisfy one or both of

- a4) *for each  $s, s' \in S$ , there is an  $s'' \in S$  such that  $s\{s'(a)\} = s''(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ ,*  
 a5) *for any  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  and  $s \in S$ , there is an  $s' \in S$  such that  $s\{s'(a_1)\} = a_1, \dots, s\{s'(a_n)\} = a_n$ .*

Defining an operation

$$*a = \bigcup_{s \in S} s(a),$$

corresponding to the modal operation of possibility, Drake showed that, if  $S$  is assumed to satisfy a1)-a3) {a1)-a4), a1)-a5), resp.}, then the triples  $\langle \mathfrak{A}, D, S \rangle$  are characteristic for M(S4, S5). It is easy to show by the methods of [1] that, if  $S$  satisfies a1)-a3) and a5) then  $\langle \mathfrak{A}, D, S \rangle$  is characteristic for the *Brouwersche* system.

In [2], triples  $\langle G, K, R \rangle$  are defined with  $G \in K$  and  $K$  is to be interpreted as a set of possible worlds.  $R$  is a relation on  $K$  and these triples are called model structures. A model structure is an M- (S4-, *Brouwersche*-, S5-, resp.) model structure if  $R$  is reflexive (reflexive and transitive, reflexive and symmetric, an equivalence relation). A model is a function  $\phi(A, H)$  where  $A$  ranges over subformulae of the given formula and  $H$  ranges

over possible worlds with  $\Phi(A, H) \in \{T, F\}$ . The interpretation of possibility is that  $\Phi(A, H) = T$  if there is an  $H' \in K$  with  $HRH'$  such that  $\Phi(A, H') = T$ . In [2] it is shown that the model structures are characteristic for the modal logics given in the name.

Now let  $\langle A, D, S \rangle$  be a model of the first type and define, for  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in S$ ,  $s_1 s_2 = s_3$  if  $s_1 \{s_2(a)\} = s_3(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . Define a relation  $\rho \subseteq S \times S$  as follows:  $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle \in \rho$  if and only if there is an  $s \in S$  such that  $s_1 s = s_2$ .

*Lemma.* *If  $S$  satisfies a3)  $\{a4), a5), \text{ resp.}\}$ , then  $\rho$  is reflexive (transitive, symmetric).*

*Proof:* If a3) holds, then  $ss_0 = s$  for all  $s \in S$ , i.e.,  $\langle s, s \rangle \in \rho$  and  $\rho$  is reflexive. If  $\langle s, s' \rangle$  and  $\langle s', s'' \rangle \in \rho$ , then  $s'' = s' s_1$  and  $s' = s s_2$  for some  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ . If a4) holds, then  $s_2 s_1$  is defined so that  $s'' = s \langle s_2 s_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle s, s'' \rangle \in \rho$  as required. Finally, suppose that  $\langle s, s' \rangle \in \rho$  so that  $ss_1 = s'$  for some  $s_1 \in S$ . A consequence of a5) [3] is that, for each  $s_1 \in S$ , there is an  $s_2 \in S$  such that  $s_1 \{s_2(a)\} = a$  for all  $a \in A$  so that  $s = s' s_2$  and  $\langle s', s \rangle \in \rho$ .

For  $a \in A$  and  $s \in S$  define  $\Phi(a, s)$  as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(a, s) = T & \text{if } s(a) \in D, \\ \Phi(a, s) = F & \text{if } s(a) \notin D. \end{cases}$$

It follows from the properties of  $D$  that  $\Phi(a \cup b, s) = T$  if and only if at least one of  $\Phi(a, s) = T$ ,  $\Phi(b, s) = T$  holds and that  $\Phi(-a, s) = T$  if and only if  $\Phi(a, s) = F$ . Consider now  $\Phi(*a, s) = \Phi\left\{\bigcup_{s' \in S} s'(a), s\right\}$ . Then, by definition,  $\Phi(*a, s) = T$  if and only if  $\bigcup_{s' \in S} s\{s'(a)\} \in D$ , i.e., if and only if  $ss'(a) \in D$  for some  $s' \in S$ . That is,  $\Phi(*a, s) = T$  if and only if there is an  $s_1 \in S$  such that  $\Phi(a, s_1) = T$  and  $\langle s, s_1 \rangle \in \rho$ .

Taking the lemma above with these considerations, we have

*Theorem.* *If  $\langle \mathfrak{A}, D, S \rangle$  is a Boolean algebra model of the appropriate type, then  $\langle s_0, S, \rho \rangle$  is a model structure of the same type with  $\Phi(a, s)$  an associated model.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Drake, F. R., "On McKinsey's syntactical characterisations of systems of model logic," *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, vol. 27 (1962), pp. 400-406.
- [2] Kripke, S. A., "Semantical analysis of modal logic I. Normal modal propositional calculi," *Zeitschrift für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik*, vol. 9 (1963), pp. 67-96.
- [3] McKinsey, J. C. C., "On the syntactical construction of systems of modal logic," *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, vol. 10 (1945), pp. 83-94.

*The Flinders University of South Australia  
Bedford Park, South Australia, Australia*