

ADDENDUM TO MY ARTICLE
"PROOF OF SOME THEOREMS ON RECURSIVELY
ENUMERABLE SETS"

TH. SKOLEM

In the mentioned previous paper I proved the theorem that every recursively enumerable set could already be enumerated by a lower elementary function (see Df. 1 on p. 65 in [3]). On pp. 71-72 in the same paper I gave a hint of another possible proof of this statement. I have found later a version of this second proof which is particularly simple and which I should like to present here.

It follows from a result of E. L. Post that it will be sufficient to prove that every canonical set in a normal system (see [1], p. 287 and [2], p. 170) can be lower elementary enumerated. This can be done as follows. In a normal language we are dealing with strings of the two symbols l and b . One axiom is given, say the string γ . Further there are say m rules of production of the form

$$\sigma_{1,r} \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \sigma_{2,r} \quad r = 1, \dots, m$$

where α is an arbitrary string, the $\sigma_{1,r}$, $\sigma_{2,r}$ given strings. To any string β with n symbols we now let correspond the integer

$$p_0^{\epsilon_0} p_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots p_n^{\epsilon_n},$$

where $\epsilon_r = 1$ or 2 according as the r^{th} symbol in β is l or b , with p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots being the sequence of natural primes. Obviously this yields a one to one correspondence \mathfrak{F} between the strings and the subset of the natural numbers consisting of the cubefree integers.

Let a correspond to the axiom γ . Further let us consider a production rule

$$\sigma_1 \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \sigma_2,$$

while a_1 and a_2 correspond to σ_1 and σ_2 respectively, say

$$a_1 = p_0^{\epsilon_0} p_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots p_c^{\epsilon_c}, \quad a_2 = p_0^{\tau_0} p_1^{\tau_1} \dots p_d^{\tau_d}$$

Then the x corresponding to $\sigma_1 \alpha$ will for any α possess the form

$$x = a_1 z, \text{ where } z = p_{c+1}^{\epsilon_{c+1}} \dots p_n^{\epsilon_n} \text{ corresponds to } \alpha.$$

Further, the y corresponding to $\alpha \sigma_2$ will be

$$y = uv,$$

where

$$u = p_0^{\epsilon_{c+1}} \dots p_{n-c-1}^{\epsilon_n}, \quad v = p_{n-c}^{r_c} \dots p_{n-c+d}^{r_d}.$$

Now u is a lower elementary function of z . Indeed n is such a function of z , because $n-c$ is the number of different primefactors of z and c a given constant. The number of different primefactors of z is namely

$$\chi(z) = \sum_{r=0}^z (1 \div \mathbf{P}(r)) \mathbf{d}(r, z),$$

where $\mathbf{P}(r)$ is the l.e.l. function which is 0 or 1 according as r is a prime or not, while $\mathbf{d}(r, s)$ is 1 or 0 according as r divides s or not (see the previous paper p. 67). Then it is seen that

$$u = \sum_{s=0}^z s (1 - \sum_{t=n-c}^z \mathbf{e}(s, t)) (1 \div \sum_{r=c+1}^n \bar{\delta}(\mathbf{e}(s, r-c-1), \mathbf{e}(z, r))).$$

Further v is obviously a l.e.l. function of n and therefore of z . Finally

$z = \left[\frac{x}{a_1} \right]$. Thus y is a lower elementary function of x .

To each of the m rules of production

$$\sigma_{1,r} \alpha = \alpha \sigma_{2,r}$$

we obtain in this way a lower elementary function l_r such that $y = l_r(x)$ corresponds to $\alpha \sigma_{2,r}$ as often as x corresponds to $\sigma_{1,r} \alpha$. Then it is clear that the set S of numbers corresponding to the set of strings generated from y by use of the production rules will consist of \mathbf{a} and the numbers we get by repeated insertions of already obtained numbers into the functions l_r , that is

$$a, l_1(a), \dots, l_m(a), l_1 l_1(a), l_2 l_1(a), \dots, l_m l_1(a), l_1 l_2(a), \dots, l_m l_2(a), \dots$$

However, this set S will be just the values of the following function ϕ :

$$\phi(0) = a; \quad \phi(n+1) = \sum_{r=1}^m l_r(\phi \left[\frac{n}{m} \right]) \delta(\mathbf{rm}(n+1, m), r),$$

where $\mathbf{rm}(x, m)$ is the least positive remainder of x divided by m . This is a recursive definition of ϕ of the kind considered in Theorem 1 in my previous paper. Thus according to this theorem the set S can be enumerated by some lower elementary function.

Lemma. The intersection of two l.el. enumerable sets S_1 and S_2 is l.el. enumerable if it is not empty.

Proof: Let S_1 and S_2 be the set of values of the l.el. functions $f_1(t)$ and $f_2(t)$ respectively and let c belong to $S_1 \cap S_2$ so that for certain c_1 and c_2

$$f_1(c_1) = f_2(c_2) = c .$$

Then the l.el. function

$$g(x, y) = f_1(x) \delta(f_1(x), f_2(y)) + c \bar{\delta}(f_1(x), f_2(y))$$

takes the value $f_1(x)$ for every x, y such that $f_1(x) = f_2(y)$ and otherwise the value c . Therefore it is clear that $g(\epsilon_1^{(2)}(z), \epsilon_2^{(2)}(z))$ which is a l.el. function of z takes for $z = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ successively all the values of $f_1(x)$ which are also values of $f_2(y)$.

Now let $q(n)$ be the n^{th} squarefree number, that is an integer not divisible by the square of any number > 1 . It is seen at once that the l.el. function

$$\kappa(a) = \sum_{r=0}^a d((r+1)^2, a)$$

is 0 or > 0 according as a is squarefree or not. Since every prime is squarefree, we have

$$q(n) \leq p_n < (n+1)^2, \text{ (l.c.p. 67)}$$

whence

$$q(n) = \sum_{r=0}^{(n+1)^2} r(1 \div \kappa(r)) \delta\left(\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (1 \div \kappa(s)), n-1\right)$$

so that $q(n)$ is l.el. Since both S and the set K of squarefree numbers are l.el. enum., we have according to the lemma that $S \cap K$ is l.el. enum., if it is not empty. Now according to Post every recursively enumerable set of integers may be obtained as the integers represented by the strings, of symbols l only, existing in one of the diverse normal languages. The integers corresponding by \mathfrak{F} to these strings are just the elements of $S \cap K$ when S by \mathfrak{F} corresponds to the strings altogether in the normal system. The elements of $S \cap K$ are the diverse values of the l.el. function $\psi(t)$ say. Now if N corresponds to the string with n symbols l , n is the number of different primes dividing N , that is

$$n = \chi(N) .$$

Since the integers N are the diverse values of $\psi(t)$, we obtain, putting

$$n = \chi\psi(t) ,$$

all n represented by the strings built up of symbols l only in our arbitrarily chosen normal system by putting successively $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ into the

l.e.l. function $\chi\psi(t)$. Thus we have got a second proof of our theorem, that every recursively enumerable set is already lower elementary enumerable.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. L. Post: Recursively enumerable sets of positive integers and their decision problems. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, v. 50, (1944) pp. 284-316.
- [2] Paul C. Rosenbloom: *The elements of mathematical logic*. Dover Publications, 1950.
- [3] Th. Skolem: Proof of some theorems on recursively enumerable sets. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, v. III (1962), pp. 65-74.

University of Oslo
Oslo, Norway