

## NOTE ON A THEOREM OF W. SIERPIŃSKI

VLADETA VUČKOVIĆ

As generalization of a theorem of Steiner-Riess [1], W. Sierpiński, using the axiom of choice, established in [3] the following

*Theorem S. For every non-finite set  $E$  there exists a family  $F$  of triplets of elements of  $E$  such that any two distinct elements of  $E$  appear exactly in one triplet of  $F$ .*

As shown by B. Sobociński in [4], theorem  $S$  is equivalent to the axiom of choice.

Here we prove the theorem  $S$  for the case of a denumerable  $E$ ,<sup>1</sup> by establishing an effective construction of the family  $F$ . We simply suppose  $E$  to be the set of positive integers  $1, 2, 3, \dots$

Consider the sequence  $\{f_n\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  of functions, where

$$f_n(x) = x + 2 - n + \frac{(x-2)(x-1)}{2}$$

For the integer values of  $x$ ,  $f_n(x)$  takes integer values, and for  $n \neq m$ ,  $f_n(x) \neq f_m(x)$  for  $x > \text{Max}(m, n)$ . Moreover  $f_1(2) = 3$ ,  $f_n(n+1) - f_1(n) = 1$ , and for  $x = n$ ,  $f_{n-1}(x)$ ,  $f_{n-2}(x)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $f_2(x)$  and  $f_1(x)$  take as values all consecutive integers between  $f_1(n-1)$  and  $f_{n+1}(n)$  respectively (last two excluded). Therefore, for integer  $x > n$  the functions  $f_n(x)$  take as values all integers  $\geq 3$ , and every such integer appears in the double sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $x > n$ , exactly once. Also, if  $n < x$  then  $f_n(x) > x$ .

In the following we suppose  $x$  to run only over positive integers.

Now construct the set  $F$  of triplets  $(p, q, r)$  of positive integers,  $p < q < r$ , in the form of a matrix as follows:

In the first row put successively all triplets

$$(1, x, f_1(x))$$

---

1. In [2], p. 57, Sierpiński notices that theorem  $S$  for the set of all natural numbers can be established without the aid of the axiom of choice.

for all  $x > 1$  which are different from

$$(1) \quad k + 2 - 1 + \frac{(k-2)(k-1)}{2} \quad \text{for } k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

In the second row put successively all triplets

$$(2, x, f_2(x))$$

for all  $x > 2$  which are different from

$$(2) \quad k + 2 - 2 + \frac{(k-2)(k-1)}{2} \quad \text{for } k = 3, 4, 5, \dots$$

Moreover, if the triplet  $(1, 2, f_1(2))$  has appeared in the first row we eliminate  $f_1(2)$  as the possible value of  $x$ .

Having constructed first  $n-1$  rows, construct the  $n$ -th row as follows. Its elements are all triplets

$$(n, x, f_n(x))$$

for all  $x > n$  which are different from

$$(n) \quad k + 2 - n + \frac{(k-2)(k-1)}{2} \quad \text{for } k = n + 1, n + 2, \dots$$

Moreover, if any of the triplets  $(1, n, f_1(n)), (2, n, f_2(n)), \dots, (n-1, n, f_{n-1}(n))$  have appeared in the 1-st, resp. 2-d, . . . ., resp.  $(n-1)$ -th row, we eliminate all third coordinates  $f_i(n)$  of such triplets as possible values of  $x$ .

We state: any two integers  $n, m$  such that  $1 \leq n < m$  appear exactly in one of the triplets of  $F$ .

Namely,  $n, m$  will appear in the triplet  $(n, m, f_n(m))$  if and only if the pair  $n, m$  has not appeared as the second and third coordinate respectively in any of the triplets  $(k, n, f_k(n))$  for  $k < n$ . In such a case, as  $m < f_n(m) < f_1(m)$ , we need to consider at most first  $f_1(m)$  columns in the first  $n-1$  rows of the matrix  $F$ , and find the triplet containing  $n$  and  $m$  as the second and the third coordinate.

Therefore, constructing first  $n$  rows up to the  $f_1(m)$ -th column, we can effectively find the unique triplet containing  $n$  and  $m$ .

The following diagram gives some first elements of the matrix  $F$ . The empty places indicate the triplets which have been eliminated.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} (1,2,3), & & (1,4,8), & (1,5,12), & (1,6,17), & (1,7,23), & & (1,9,38), \dots \\ & & (2,4,7), & (2,5,11), & (2,6,16), & & (2,8,29), & (2,9,37), \dots \\ & & (3,4,6), & (3,5,10), & & (3,7,21), & (3,8,28), & (3,9,36), \dots \\ & & & (4,5,9), & & & & & (4,10,44) \end{array}$$

If we imagine the matrix  $F$  as given by the above diagram, then to find the triplet with  $n$  and  $m$  it is enough to check all first  $n$  rows up to  $m$ -th column, (supposing that  $n < m$ ), or more economically, only the  $n$ -th column and the  $m$ -th row till the place of  $(n, m, f_n(m))$ .

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] E. Netto: Lehrbuch der Combinatorik. Leipzig (1901), p. 206-211.
- [2] W. Sierpiński: *Algèbre des ensembles*. Monografie Matematyczne, v. XXIII. Warszawa - Wrocław, 1951.
- [3] W. Sierpiński: Sur un problème de triads. *Comptes Rendus des Séances de la Société des Sciences et des Lettres de Varsovie*. Classe III, Vol. 33-38 (1940-1945), 13-16.
- [4] B. Sobociński: A theorem of Sierpiński on triads and the axiom of choice. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, V (1964), 51-58.

*University of Notre Dame*  
*Notre Dame, Indiana*