# On a Property of Non Liouville Numbers<sup>\*</sup>

Jean-Marie De Koninck<sup>†</sup> and Imre Kátai<sup>‡</sup>

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Ferenc Gécseg

#### Abstract

Let  $\alpha$  be a non Liouville number and let  $f(x) = \alpha x^r + a_{r-1}x^{r-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  be a polynomial of positive degree r. We consider the sequence  $(y_n)_{n\geq 1}$  defined by  $y_n = f(h(n))$ , where h belongs to a certain family of arithmetic functions and show that  $(y_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1.

Keywords: non Liouville numbers, uniform distribution modulo 1

#### **1** Introduction and notation

Let t(n) be an arithmetic function and let  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  be a polynomial. Under what conditions is the sequence  $(f(t(n)))_{n>1}$  uniformly distributed modulo 1? In the particular case where f is of degree one, the problem is partly solved. For instance, it is known that, if  $\alpha$  is an irrational number and if  $t(n) = \omega(n)$  or  $\Omega(n)$ , where  $\omega(n)$  stands for the number of distinct prime factors of n and  $\Omega(n)$  for the number of prime factors of n counting their multiplicity, with  $\omega(1) = \Omega(1) = 0$ , then the sequence  $(\{\alpha t(n)\})_{n\geq 1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1 (here  $\{y\}$  stands for the fractional part of y). In 2005, we [1] proved that if  $\alpha$  is a positive irrational number such that for each real number  $\kappa > 1$  there exists a positive constant  $c = c(\kappa, \alpha)$ for which the inequality  $\|\alpha q\| > c/q^{\kappa}$  holds for every positive integer q, then the sequence  $(\{\alpha\sigma(n)\})_{n\geq 1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1. (Here ||x|| stands for the distance between x and the nearest integer and  $\sigma(n)$  stands for the sum of the positive divisors of n.) Observe that one can construct an irrational number  $\alpha$  for which the corresponding sequence  $(\{\alpha\sigma(n)\})_{n\geq 1}$  is not uniformly distributed modulo 1. On the other hand, given an integer  $q \ge 2$  and letting  $s_q(n)$  stand for the sum of the digits of n expressed in base q, it is not hard to prove that, if  $\alpha$  is an irrational number, the sequence  $(\{\alpha s_q(n)\})_{n\geq 1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1. In fact, in the past 15 years, important results have been obtained concerning the

<sup>\*</sup>The research of the first author was supported in part by a grant from NSERC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Département de mathématiques et de statistique Université Laval, Québec G1V 0A6, Canada. E-mail: jmdk@mat.ulaval.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Computer Algebra Department, Eötvös Loránd University, 1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter Sétány I/C, Hungary. E-mail: katai@inf.elte.hu

topic of the so-called q-ary arithmetic functions. For instance, it was proved that the sequence  $(\{\alpha s_q(p)\})_{p \in \wp}$  (here  $\wp$  is the set of all primes) is uniformly distributed modulo 1 if and only if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . In 2010, answering a problem raised by Gelfond [10] in 1968, Mauduit and Rivat [13] proved that the sequence  $(\{\alpha s_q(n^2)\})_{n\geq 1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1 if and only if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .

Recall that an irrational number  $\beta$  is said to be a *Liouville number* if for all integers  $m \ge 1$ , there exist two integers t and s > 1 such that

$$0 < \left|\beta - \frac{t}{s}\right| < \frac{1}{s^m}.$$

Hence, Liouville numbers are those real numbers which can be approximated "quite closely" by rational numbers.

Here, if  $\alpha$  is a non Liouville number and

$$f(x) = \alpha x^r + a_{r-1} x^{r-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{R}[x] \quad \text{is of degree } r \ge 1, \qquad (1)$$

we prove that  $(f(t(n)))_{n\geq 1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1, for those arithmetic functions t(n) for which the corresponding function  $a_{N,k} := \frac{1}{N} \#\{n \leq N : t(n) = k\}$  is "close" to the normal distribution as N becomes large.

is "close" to the normal distribution as N becomes large. Given  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \wp$ , let  $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n) = \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} r$ . From here on, we let  $q \ge 2$  stand for a fixed integer. Now, consider the sequence  $(y_n)_{n\ge 1}$  defined by  $y_n = f(h(n))$ , where h(n) is either one of the five functions

$$\omega(n), \qquad \Omega(n), \qquad \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n), \qquad s_q(n), \qquad s_q(n^2).$$
 (2)

Here, we show that the sequence  $(y_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1.

For the particular case  $h(n) = s_q(n)$ , we also examine an analogous problem, as n runs only through the primes. Finally, we consider a problem involving strongly normal numbers.

Recall that the *discrepancy* of a set of N real numbers  $x_1, \ldots, x_N$  is the quantity

$$D(x_1, \dots, x_N) := \sup_{[a,b) \subseteq [0,1)} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\{x_\nu\} \in [a,b)} 1 - (b-a) \right|.$$

For each positive integer N, let

$$M = M_N = \lfloor \delta_N \sqrt{N} \rfloor$$
, where  $\delta_N \to 0$  and  $\delta_N \log N \to \infty$  as  $N \to \infty$ . (3)

We shall say that an infinite sequence of real numbers  $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is strongly uniformly distributed mod 1 if

$$D(x_{N+1},\ldots,x_{N+M}) \to 0$$
 as  $N \to \infty$ 

for every choice of M (and corresponding  $\delta_N$ ) satisfying (3). Then, given a fixed integer  $q \geq 2$ , we say that an irrational number  $\alpha$  is a strongly normal number

in base q (or a strongly q-normal number) if the sequence  $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ , defined by  $x_n = \{\alpha q^n\}$ , is strongly uniformly distributed modulo 1. The concept of strong normality was recently introduced by De Koninck, Kátai and Phong [2].

We will at times be using the standard notation  $e(x) := \exp\{2\pi i x\}$ . Finally, we let  $\varphi$  stand for the Euler totient function.

### 2 Background results

The sum of digits function  $s_q(n)$  in a given base  $q \ge 2$  has been extensively studied over the past decades. Delange [4] was one of the first to study this function. Drmota and Rivat [7], [14] studied the function  $s_q(n^2)$  and then, very recently, Drmota, Mauduit and Rivat [9] analyzed the distribution of the function  $s_q(P(n))$ , where  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a polynomial of a certain type.

Here, we state as propositions some other results and recall two relevant results of Halász and Kátai.

First, given an integer  $q \ge 2$ , we set

$$u_q = \frac{q-1}{2}, \qquad \sigma_q^2 = \frac{q^2-1}{12}$$

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\delta > 0$  be an arbitrary small number and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, uniformly for  $|k - \mu_q \log_q N| < \frac{1}{\delta} \sqrt{\log_q N}$ ,

$$#\{n \le N : s_q(n) = k\} = \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_q^2 \log_q N}} \left( \exp\left\{-\frac{(k - \mu_q \log_q N)^2}{2\sigma_q^2 \log_q N}\right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon} N}\right) \right).$$

*Proof.* This result is in fact a particular case of Proposition 3 below.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Uniformly for all integers  $k \ge 0$  such that (k, q-1) = 1, # $\{p < N : s_q(p) = k\} =$ 

$$\frac{q-1}{\varphi(q-1)} \frac{\pi(N)}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_q^2 \log_q N}} \left( \exp\left\{ -\frac{(k-\mu_q \log_q N)^2}{2\sigma_q^2 \log_q N} \right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} N}\right) \right).$$

*Proof.* This is Theorem 1.1 in the paper of Drmota, Mauduit and Rivat [8].  $\Box$ 

Let  $G = (G_j)_{j \ge 0}$  be a strictly increasing sequence of integers, with  $G_0 = 1$ . Then, each non negative integer n has a unique representation as  $n = \sum_{j \ge 0} \epsilon_j(n)G_j$ with integers  $\epsilon_j(n) \ge 0$  provided that  $\sum_{j < k} \epsilon_j(n)G_j < G_k$  for all integers  $k \ge 1$ . Then, the sum of digits function  $s_G(n)$  is given by

$$s_G(n) = \sum_{j \ge 0} \epsilon_j(n).$$
(4)

Setting  $a_{N,k} := \#\{n \leq N : s_G(n) = k\}$ , consider the related sequence  $(X_N)_{N \geq 1}$  of random variables defined by

$$P(X_N = k) = \frac{a_{N,k}}{N},$$

so that the expected value of  $X_N$  and its variance are given by

$$E[X_N] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \le N} s_G(n) \quad \text{and} \quad V[X_N] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \le N} (s_G(n) - E[X_N])^2.$$
(5)

Let us choose the sequence  $(G_j)_{j\geq 0}$  as the particular sequence

$$G_0 = 1, \qquad G_j = \sum_{i=1}^j a_i G_{j-1} + 1 \quad (j > 0),$$
 (6)

where the  $a_i$ 's are simply the positive integers appearing in the Parry  $\alpha$ -expansion (here  $\alpha > 1$  is a real number) of 1, that is

$$1 = \frac{a_1}{\alpha} + \frac{a_2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{a_3}{\alpha^3} + \cdots$$

It can be shown (see Theorem 2.1 of Drmota and Gajdosik [5]) that, for such a sequence  $(G_j)_{j\geq 0},$  setting

$$G(z,u) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{a_j-1} z^\ell \right) z^{a_1 + \dots + a_{j-1}} u^j$$

and letting  $1/\alpha(z)$  denote the analytic solution  $u = 1/\alpha(z)$  of the equation G(z, u) = 1 for z in a sufficiently small (complex) neighbourhood of  $z_0 = 1$  such that  $\alpha(1) = \alpha$ , then,

$$E[X_N] = \mu \frac{\log N}{\log \alpha} + O(1)$$

and

$$V[X_N] = \sigma^2 \frac{\log N}{\log \alpha} + O(1),$$

where

$$\mu = \frac{\alpha'(1)}{\alpha}$$
 and  $\sigma^2 = \frac{\alpha''(1)}{\alpha} + \mu - \mu^2$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $G = (G_j)_{j\geq 0}$  be as in (6). If  $\sigma^2 \neq 0$ , then, given an arbitrary small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , uniformly for all integers  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$#\{n \le N : s_G(n) = k\} = \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi V[X_N]}} \left( \exp\left\{-\frac{(k - E[X_N])^2}{2V[X_N]}\right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} N}\right) \right)$$

338

*Proof.* This is Theorem 2.2 in the paper of Drmota and Gajdosik [5].  $\Box$ 

Let a be a positive integer. Let q = -a + i (or q = -a - i) and set  $Q = a^2 + 1$ and  $\mathcal{N} = \{0, 1, \dots, Q - 1\}$ . It is well known that every Gaussian integer z can be written uniquely as

$$z = \sum_{\ell \ge 0} \epsilon_{\ell}(z) q^{\ell} \qquad \text{with each } \epsilon_{\ell} \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Then, define the sum of digits function  $s_q(z)$  of  $z \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  in base q as

$$s_q(z) = \sum_{\ell \ge 0} \epsilon_\ell(z).$$

**Proposition 4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of those positive integers a for which if  $p \mid q = -a \pm i$  and  $|p| \neq 1$ , then  $|p|^2 \geq 689$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}_N = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \sqrt{N}\} \cap \mathbb{Z}[i]$  or  $\mathcal{D}_N = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Re(z)| \leq \sqrt{N}, |\Im(z)| \leq \sqrt{N}\} \cap \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Then, uniformly for all integers  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\#\mathcal{D}_N} \#\{z \in \mathcal{D}_N : s_q(z^2) = k\} = \frac{Q(k, q-1)}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_Q^2 \log_Q(N^2)}} \left( \exp\{-\frac{\Delta_k^2}{2}\} + O\left(\frac{(\log\log N)^{11}}{\sqrt{\log N}}\right) \right),$$

where

$$\Delta_k = \frac{k - \mu_Q \log_Q(N^2)}{\sqrt{\sigma_Q^2 \log_Q(N^2)}}, \quad \mu_Q = \frac{Q - 1}{2}, \quad \sigma_Q^2 = \frac{Q^2 - 1}{12}.$$

*Proof.* This result is a simplified version of Theorem 4 in Morgenbesser [15].  $\Box$ 

Let  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q = -a + i \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Set  $\mathcal{N} = \{0, 1, \dots, a^2\}$ . Then, every  $z \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  can be written uniquely as

$$z = \sum_{j \ge 0} \epsilon_j(z) q^j$$
 with each  $\epsilon_j(z) \in \mathcal{N}$ .

Let L be a non negative integer and consider a function  $F : \mathcal{N}^{L+1} \to \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $F(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$  and set

$$s_F(z) = \sum_{j=-L}^{\infty} F(\epsilon_j(z), \epsilon_{j+1}(z), \dots, \epsilon_{j+L}(z)).$$

The following is due to Drmota, Grabner and Liardet [6].

**Proposition 5.** Under certain conditions on F stated in Corollary 3 in Drmota, Grabner and Liardet [6],

$$\#\{z \in \mathbb{Z}[i] : |z|^2 < N, \ s_F(z) = k\} = \frac{\pi N}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 \log_{|q|^2} N}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(k-\mu \log_{|q|^2} N)^2}{2\sigma^2 \log_{|q|^2} N}\right\} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log N}}\right)\right)$$

uniformly for  $|k - \mu \log_{|q|^2} N| \leq c \sqrt{\log_{|q|^2} N}$ , where c can be taken arbitrarily large.

For any particular set of primes  $\mathcal{P}$ , let  $E(x) = E_{\mathcal{P}}(x) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{1}{p}$ .

The following two results, which we state as propositions, are due respectively to Halász [11] and Kátai [12].

**Proposition 6.** (HALÁSZ) Let  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of primes with corresponding functions  $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n)$  and  $E(x) = E_{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ . Then, assuming that  $E(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , the estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n) = k}} 1 = \frac{xE(x)^k}{k!} e^{-E(x)} \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{|k - E(x)|}{E(x)}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{E(x)}}\right) \right\}$$

holds uniformly for all positive integers k and real numbers  $x \ge 3$  satisfying

$$E(x) \ge \frac{8}{\delta^3}$$
 and  $\delta \le \frac{k}{E(x)} \le 2 - \delta.$ 

**Proposition 7.** (KÁTAI) For  $1 \le h \le x$ , let

$$A_{k}(x,h) := \sum_{\substack{x \le n \le x+h \\ \omega(n) = k}} 1, \qquad B_{k}(x) := \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ \omega(n) = k}} 1,$$
$$\delta_{k}(x,h) := \frac{A_{k}(x,h)}{h} - \frac{B_{k}(x)}{x}, \qquad E(x,h) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{k}^{2}(x,h).$$

Letting  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrarily small number and  $x^{7/12+\varepsilon} \leq h \leq x$ , then

$$E(x,h) \ll \frac{1}{\log^2 x \cdot \sqrt{\log \log x}}.$$

#### 3 Main results

**Theorem 1.** Let f(x) be as in (1), h(n) be one of the five functions listed in (2) and  $y_n := f(h(n))$ . Then, the sequence  $(y_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1.

**Theorem 2.** Let f(x) be as in (1). Then, the sequence  $(z_p)_{p \in \wp}$ , where  $z_p := f(s_q(p))$ , is uniformly distributed modulo 1.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $Q \ge 2$  and  $q \ge 2$  be fixed integers. Let  $\alpha$  be a strongly Q-normal number. Let g be a real valued continuous function defined on [0,1] such that  $\int_0^1 g(x) dx = 0$ . Then,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} g(\alpha Q^{h(n)}) = 0,$$
(7)

where  $h(n) = s_q(n)$  or  $s_q(n^2)$ . Moreover, letting  $\pi(N)$  stand for the number of prime numbers not exceeding N, we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \le N} g(\alpha Q^{s_q(p)}) = 0.$$
(8)

The following corollary follows from estimate (7) of Theorem 3.

**Corollary 1.** With  $\alpha$  and h(n) as in Theorem 3, the sequence  $(\alpha Q^{h(p)})_{p \in \wp}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1.

In light of Proposition 3, we have the following two corollaries.

**Corollary 2.** Let G be as in (4). Then, letting f be as in (1), the sequence  $(\{f(s_G(n))\})_{n\geq 0}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1.

**Corollary 3.** Let G be as in (4). Then, if  $\alpha$  is a strongly normal number in base Q, the sequence  $(\{\alpha \cdot Q^{s_G(n)}\})_{n\geq 0}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1.

As a direct consequence of the Main Lemma and of Proposition 4, we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\mathcal{D}_N$  be as in Proposition 4. Let f be as in (1). For each  $z \in \mathcal{D}_N$ , set  $y_z := f(s_q(z^2))$ . Then, the discrepancy of the sequence  $y_z$  tends to 0 as  $N \to \infty$ , that is

$$D(y_z : z \in \mathcal{D}_N) \to 0$$
 as  $N \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\mathcal{D}_N$  be as in Proposition 4. Let  $\alpha$  be a strongly normal number in base Q and consider the sequence  $(y_z)_{z \in \mathcal{D}_N}$ . Then

$$D(y_z: z \in \mathcal{D}_N) \to 0$$
 as  $N \to \infty$ 

In line with Proposition 7, we have the following.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a fixed number. Let  $H = \lfloor x^{7/12 + \varepsilon} \rfloor$  and set

$$\pi_k([x, x+H]) := \#\{n \in [x, x+H] : \omega(n) = k\}.$$

Let f be as in (1) and set

$$S(x) = \sum_{x \le n \le x+H} e(f(\omega(n))).$$

Then

$$\frac{S(x)}{H} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } x \to \infty.$$

## 4 Preliminary lemmas

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\alpha$  be a non Liouville number and let f(x) be as in (1). Then,

$$\sup_{U \ge 1} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n=U+1}^{U+N} e(f(n)) \right| \to 0 \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha$  is a non Liouville number, there exists a positive integer  $\ell$  such that if  $\tau$  is a fixed positive number and

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{t}{s}\right| \le \frac{1}{s\tau}, \quad (t,s) = 1, \quad s \le \tau,$$

then  $\tau^{1/\ell} < s$ .

Vaughan ([16], Lemma 2.4) proved that if  $\left|\alpha - \frac{t}{s}\right| < \frac{1}{s^2}$  and  $K = 2^{t-1}$ , then, given any small number  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=U+1}^{U+N} e(f(n)) \ll_{\varepsilon} N^{1+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{N} + \frac{s}{N^t}\right)^{1/K}.$$
(9)

Now, choose  $\tau = N^{t/2}$  so that  $N^{t/2^{\ell}} < s < \tau$ . It then follows from (9) that

$$\sum_{n=U+1}^{U+N} e(f(n)) \ll N^{1-\delta},$$

for some  $\delta > 0$  which depends only on  $\varepsilon$  and  $\ell$ , thus completing the proof of Lemma 1.

Using this result, we can establish our Main Lemma.

**Lemma 2.** (Main Lemma) For each positive integer N, let  $(E_N(k))_{k\geq 1}$  be a sequence of non negative integers called weights which, given any  $\delta > 0$ , satisfies the following three conditions:

(a) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_N(k) = 1;$$

(b) there exists a sequence  $(L_N)_{N\geq 1}$  which tends to infinity as  $N \to \infty$  such that

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \frac{|k-L_N|}{\sqrt{L_N}} > \frac{1}{\delta}}}^{\infty} E_N(k) \to 0 \qquad as \ \delta \to 0;$$

(c) 
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \max_{\substack{|k-L_N| \le \frac{1}{\delta} \ 1 \le \ell \le \delta^{3/2}}} \max_{k \ge 0} \left| \frac{E_N(k+\ell)}{E_N(k)} - 1 \right| = 0.$$

Moreover, let  $\alpha$  and f be as in (1) and let

$$T_N(f) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e(f(k)) E_N(k).$$

Then,

$$T_N(f) \to 0 \qquad as \ N \to \infty.$$
 (10)

*Proof.* Let  $\delta > 0$  be fixed and set

$$S := \lfloor \delta^{3/2} \sqrt{L_N} \rfloor, \quad t_m = \lfloor L_N \rfloor + mS \quad (m = 1, 2, ...),$$
$$U_m = [t_m, t_{m+1} - 1] \quad (m = 1, 2, ...).$$

Let us now write

$$T_N(f) = S_1(N) + S_2(N),$$
 (11)

where

$$S_{2}(N) = \sum_{|k-L_{N}| > \frac{1}{\delta}\sqrt{L_{N}}} E_{k}(N)e(f(k)),$$
  

$$S_{1}(N) = \sum_{|m| \le 1/\delta^{5/2}} \sum_{k \in U_{m}} E_{k}(N)e(f(k)) = \sum_{|m| \le 1/\delta^{5/2}} S_{1}^{(m)}(N),$$

say.

First observe that, by condition (b) above,

$$|S_2(N)| \le \sum_{\frac{|k-L_N|}{\sqrt{L_N}} > \frac{1}{\delta}} E_N(k) = o(1) \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty.$$
(12)

On the other hand, it follows from condition (c) above and Lemma 1 that, as  $N \to \infty,$ 

$$\begin{split} \left| S_1^{(m)}(N) \right| &\leq E_{t_m}(N) \left| \sum_{k \in U_m} e(f(k)) \right| + o(1) \sum_{k \in U_m} E_k(N) \\ &= o(1) SE_{t_m}(N) + o(1) \sum_{k \in U_m} E_k(N), \end{split}$$

while

$$\left|SE_{t_m}(N) - \sum_{k \in U_m} E_k(N)\right| = o(1) \sum_{k \in U_m} E_k(N).$$

Gathering these two estimates, we obtain that

$$S_1(N) \to 0 \quad \text{as } N \to \infty.$$
 (13)

Using (12) and (13) in (11), conclusion (10) follows.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Lemma 3.** For each integer  $k \ge 1$ , let

$$\pi_k(x) := \#\{n \le x : \omega(n) = k\},\\ \pi_k^*(x) := \#\{n \le x : \Omega(n) = k\}$$

Then, the relations

$$\pi_k(x) = (1+o(1))\frac{x}{\log x}\frac{(\log\log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!},$$
  
$$\pi_k^*(x) = (1+o(1))\frac{x}{\log x}\frac{(\log\log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}$$

hold uniformly for

$$|k - \log \log x| \le \frac{1}{\delta_x} \sqrt{\log \log x},\tag{14}$$

where  $\delta_x$  is some function of x chosen appropriately and which tends to 0 as  $x \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 10.4 stated in the book of De Koninck and Luca [3].  $\Box$ 

### 5 Proof of Theorem 1

We first consider the case when h(n) is one of the three functions  $\omega(n)$ ,  $\Omega(n)$  and  $\Omega_E(n)$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k(N) &= & \#\{n \le N : \omega(n) = k\}, \\ \pi_k^*(N) &= & \#\{n \le N : \Omega(n) = k\}, \\ T_k(N) &= & \#\{n \le N : \Omega_E(n) = k\}. \end{aligned}$$

In light of Lemma 3 and Proposition 6, the corresponding weights of the sequences  $(\pi_k(N))_{k\geq 1}$ ,  $(\pi_k^*(N))_{k\geq 1}$  and  $(T_k(N))_{k\geq 1}$  are  $\pi_k(N)/N$ ,  $\pi_k^*(N)/N$  and  $T_k(N)/N$ , respectively.

Now, in order to obtain the conclusion of the Theorem, we only need to prove that, for each non zero integer m,

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}e(mf(h(n)))\to 0\qquad \text{ as }N\to\infty.$$

But this is guaranteed by Lemma 1 if we take into account the fact that since  $\alpha$  is a non Liouville number, the number  $m\alpha$  is also non Liouville for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence, the theorem is proved.

344

### 6 Proof of Theorem 2

We cannot make a direct use of Lemma 2 because the estimate in that lemma only holds for those positive integers k such that (k, q - 1) = 1. To avoid this obstacle, we shall subdivide the positive integers k according to their residue class modulo q - 1. Observe that there are  $\varphi(q - 1)$  such classes. Hence, we write each k as

$$k = t(q-1) + \ell, \qquad (\ell, q-1) = 1.$$

Hence, for each positive integer  $\ell$  such that  $(\ell, q - 1) = 1$ , we set

$$\wp_{\ell} := \{ p \in \wp : s_q(p) \equiv \ell \pmod{q-1} \}, \qquad \Pi_{\ell}(N) := \#\{ p \le N : p \in \wp_{\ell} \}.$$
(15)

It is easy to verify that

$$\frac{\Pi_{\ell}(N)}{\pi(N)} = (1 + o(1))\frac{1}{\varphi(q-1)} \qquad (N \to \infty).$$
(16)

Thus, in order to prove Theorem 2, we need to show that the sum

$$U_{\ell}(N) := \sum_{\substack{p \le N \\ s_q(p) \equiv \ell \pmod{q-1}}} e(mf(s_q(p))),$$

where m is any fixed non zero integer, satisfies

$$U_{\ell}(N) = o(1) \quad \text{as } N \to \infty.$$
(17)

Setting

$$\sigma_N(k) := \#\{p \le N : s_q(p) = k\},\$$

we have

$$U_{\ell}(N) = \sum_{k \equiv \ell \pmod{q-1}} e(mf(k))\sigma_N(k) \\ = \sum_{t \ge 0} e(mf(t(q-1)+\ell))\sigma_N(t(q-1)+\ell).$$
(18)

Observe that the leading coefficient of the above polynomial  $f(t(q-1) + \ell)$  is  $\alpha(q-1)^k$ , which is a non Liouville number as well (as we mentioned in the proof of Theorem 1), and also that the functions

$$w_N(t) := \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell}(N)} \sigma_N(t(q-1)+\ell)$$

may be considered as weights (since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_N(t) = 1$ ). Thus, applying Lemma 2, we obtain (17), thereby completing the proof of Theorem 2.

### 7 Proof of Theorem 3

We shall skip the proof of estimate (7), since it can be obtained along the same lines as that of the main theorem in De Koninck, Kátai and Phong [2].

In order to obtain (8), we separate the set  $\wp$  into  $\varphi(q-1)$  distinct sets  $\wp_{\ell}$ , with corresponding counting function  $\Pi_N(\ell)$  defined in (15).

Observe that

$$g(\alpha Q^{t(q-1)+\ell})\sigma_N(t(q-1)+\ell) = g((\alpha Q^\ell) \cdot Q^{t(q-1)})\sigma_N(t(q-1)+\ell)$$

Now, since  $\alpha$  is a strongly Q-normal number, then so is  $\alpha Q^{\ell}$ , a number which is strongly  $Q^{q-1}$ -normal.

We then have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{p \le N} g(\alpha Q^{s_q(p)}) &= \sum_{k \ge 1} \sum_{\substack{p \le N \\ s_q(p) = k}} g(\alpha Q^k) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\ell = 1 \\ (\ell, q-1) = 1}}^{q-1} \sum_{\substack{p \le N \\ p \in \varphi_\ell}} g(\alpha Q^{t(q-1)+\ell}) \sigma_N(t(q-1)+\ell) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\ell = 1 \\ (\ell, q-1) = 1}}^{q-1} \sum_{\substack{p \le N \\ p \in \varphi_\ell}} g((\alpha Q^\ell) \cdot Q^{t(q-1)}) \sigma_N(t(q-1)+\ell). \end{split}$$

Since we then have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell}(N)} \sum_{\substack{p \le N \\ p \in \varphi_{\ell}}} g(\alpha Q^{s_q(p)}) = 0 \quad \text{for each } \ell \text{ with } (\ell, q-1) = 1,$$

summing up over all  $\ell$ 's such that  $(\ell, q - 1) = 1$ , estimate (8) follows immediately.

### References

- [1] J.M. De Koninck and I. Kátai, On the distribution modulo 1 of the values of  $F(n) + \alpha \sigma(n)$ , Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen **66** (2005), 121–128.
- [2] J.M. De Koninck, I. Kátai and B.M. Phong, On strong normality, preprint.
- [3] J.M. De Koninck and F. Luca, Analytic Number Theory: Exploring the Anatomy of Integers, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 134, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2012.
- [4] H. Delange, Sur la fonction sommatoire de la fonction "somme des chiffres", Enseign. Math. (2) 21.1 (1975), 31–47.
- [5] M. Drmota and J. Gajdosik, The distribution of the sum-of-digits function, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 10 (1998), No. 1, 17–32.

- [6] M. Drmota, P.J. Grabner and P. Liardet, Block additive functions on the Gaussian integers, Acta Arith. 135 (2008), No. 4, 299–332.
- [7] M. Drmota and J. Rivat, The sum of digits function of squares, J. London Math. Soc. 72 (2005), 273–292.
- [8] M. Drmota, C. Mauduit and J. Rivat, Primes with an average sum of digits, Compos. Math. 145 (2009), No. 2, 271–292.
- [9] M. Drmota, C. Mauduit and J. Rivat, The sum of digits function of polynomial sequences, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 84 (2011), No. 1, 81–102.
- [10] A. O. Gelfond, Sur des nombres qui ont des propriétés additives et multiplicatives données, Acta Arith. 13 (1968), 259–265.
- [11] G. Halász, On the distribution of additive and the mean values of multiplicative arithmetic functions, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 6 (1971), 211–233.
- [12] I. Kátai, A remark on a paper of K. Ramachandra in Number theory (Ootacamund, 1984), 147–152, Lecture Notes in Math., 1122, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [13] C. Mauduit and J. Rivat, Sur un problème de Gelfond: la somme des chiffres des nombres premiers, Ann. of Math. (2) 171 (2010), no. 3, 1591-1646.
- [14] C. Mauduit and J. Rivat, La somme des chiffres des carrés, Acta Math. 203 (2009), No. 1, 107–148.
- [15] J. F. Morgenbesser, The sum of digits of squares in Z[i], J. Number Theory 130 (2010), 1433–1469.
- [16] R. C. Vaughan, The Hardy-Littlewood method, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 7 (1982), no. 2, 433–437.

Received 25th February 2015