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#### Abstract

SUMMARY Construction of resilient Boolean functions in odd variables having strictly almost optimal (SAO) nonlinearity appears to be a rather difficult task in stream cipher and coding theory. In this paper, based on the modified High-Meets-Low technique, a general construction to obtain odd-variable SAO resilient Boolean functions without directly using PW functions or KY functions is presented. It is shown that the new class of functions possess higher resiliency order than the known functions while keeping higher SAO nonlinearity, and in addition the resiliency order increases rapidly with the variable number $n$.
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## 1. Introduction

Boolean functions are critical designing blocks used in cryptography, in particular in block and stream ciphers. An important prerequisite on these cryptographic functions is a higher resistance to the linear and (fast) correlation cryptanalyses, which are measured by nonlinearity and resiliency of functions, respectively. In other words, high nonlinearity and high order of resiliency are two of the most important criteria of Boolean functions when they are used in nonlinear combiner or nonlinear filter models of stream cipher systems. More precisely, the nonlinearity measures the minimum distance between a given Boolean function and the set of affine functions, it reflects the ability of the cipher to withstand various modes of linear attacks [1]. Resiliency ensures the cipher is not prone to (fast) correlation attacks [2], [3]. Based on their wide applications in cryptography and coding theory, construction of resilient functions with as high

[^0]nonlinearity as possible has been extensively studied from the mid 1980s, see for instance Refs. [5]-[7], [10], [12].

When $n$ is even, there have been extensive research efforts towards efficient methods for obtaining SAO resilient functions [13]-[16], [18]. For odd $n$, the toughest challenge is to get resilient functions having SAO nonlinearity. Unfortunately, the progress on constructing SAO resilient functions in odd number of variables has been considerably slow. In [8] and [9], some superior methods and algorithms for constructing SAO 1 -resilient functions in odd variables $n \geq 41$ were proposed. In 2008, the technique of modifying a PW function to construct 1 -resilient functions on 15 -variables with SAO nonlinearity 16264 was first demonstrated in [4]. In 2014, Zhang and Pasalic [17] presented a generalized Maiorana-McFarland (G-M-M) construction method to obtain odd-variable SAO resilient functions. Recently, the "High-Meets-Low" construction technique via fragmentary Walsh transform to obtain odd-variable resilient functions with currently best known nonlinearity was proposed by Zhang [19], and it is shown that the nonlinearity of the constructed functions can reach $2^{n-1}-2^{(n-1) / 2}+5 \cdot 2^{(n-11) / 2}$ or $2^{n-1}-2^{(n-1) / 2}+2^{(n-7) / 2}$.

In this paper, without directly using PW functions or KY functions, we introduce a modified "High-Meets-Low" construction technique for designing odd-variable resilient functions with SAO nonlinearity. Compared to the best known design methods in [19], it is shown that we can construct odd-variable resilient functions with higher resiliency order, while keeping the same nonlinearity $2^{n-1}-2^{(n-1) / 2}+2^{(n-7) / 2}$ when using 21-variable 1 -resilient functions (generated by the KY case in [19]). It is worth mentioning that the restricted relationship between resiliency and nonlinearity can achieve the best possible improvement through the constructed resilient functions.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{F}_{2}=\{0,1\}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ be the vector space of all $n$-tuples over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. A Boolean function of $n$ variables may be viewed as a mapping from $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ into $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ and we denote by $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ the set of all the Boolean functions in $n$ variables. A Boolean function $f(X) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is commonly represented as a multivariate polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, called Algebraic normal form (ANF), in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(X)=\bigoplus_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}} a_{u}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{u_{j}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}, u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$. The algebraic degree of $f$, denoted by $\operatorname{deg}(f)$, corresponds to the maximum value of $w t(u)$ such that $a_{u} \neq 0$. Functions of degree at most one are called affine functions.

Definition 1: For any $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right), X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, let $\alpha \cdot X=\alpha_{1} \cdot x_{1}+\alpha_{2} \cdot x_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \cdot x_{n}$ be the inner (dot) product of $\alpha$ and $X$. The Walsh transform of $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ in point $\alpha$ is denoted by $W_{f}(\alpha)$ and calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{f}(\alpha)=\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{f(X)+\alpha \cdot X} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is said to be balanced if the number of ones is equal to the number of zeros in the truth table of $f$ (i.e., $W_{f}(\mathbf{0})=0$ ). In terms of Walsh spectra, the nonlinearity of a Boolean function $f$ is given by Ref. [11].

Definition 2: The nonlinearity of a Boolean function $f \in$ $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ can be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{f}=2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} \max _{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}\left|W_{f}(\alpha)\right| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The upper bound on nonlinearity is limited by well-known Parseval's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}\left(W_{f}(\alpha)\right)^{2}=2^{2 n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which then implies that $N_{f} \leq 2^{n-1}-2^{n / 2-1}$.
Definition 3: [17] An $n$-variable Boolean function is called strictly almost optimal (SAO) if its nonlinearity is strictly greater than $2^{n-1}-2^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$.
In Ref.[21], a convenient spectral characterization of resilient Boolean functions has been presented, which we use as a lemma here.

Lemma 1: [21] A Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is $t$-resilient if and only if its Walsh transform satisfies

$$
W_{f}(\alpha)=0, \text { for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \text { such that } 0 \leq w t(\alpha) \leq t . \text { (5) }
$$

Next, we introduce the notion of the fragmentary Walsh transform of an $n$-variable fragmentary Boolean function in [19].

Definition 4: Let $S$ be a nonempty proper subset of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$. A function $f_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}$ is called an $n$-variable fragmentary Boolean function on $S$. The fragmentary Walsh transform of $f_{S}$ at point $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, is an integer valued function over $S$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F W_{f_{S}}(\omega)=\sum_{X \in S}(-1)^{f_{S}(X)+\omega \cdot X} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2: [19] For $i=1,2, \ldots, d$, let $S_{i}$ be a nonempty
subset of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ so that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{d} S_{i}=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ and $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{d}$ are mutually disjoint, i.e., for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, d$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i} \cap S_{j}=\emptyset, 1 \leq i<j \leq d \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{S_{i}}(X)=f(X), \text { for } X \in S_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, d \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{f}(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} F W_{f_{S_{i}}}(\omega) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|W_{f}(\omega)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|F W_{f_{s_{i}}}(\omega)\right| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The Main Construction Method

In this section, based on a modification of High-Meets-Low technique, we will give a new construction of odd-variable resilient Boolean functions with higher resiliency order and SAO nonlinearity.

Let $g \in \mathcal{B}_{21}$ be a 1-resilient boolean function, generated by the KY case in [19], and the truth table of $g$ can be found in [20]. The spectral distribution of $g$ is given by:

$$
W_{g}(\beta)= \begin{cases}0, & \beta \in U_{1}, \# U_{1}=130816  \tag{11}\\ \pm 256, & \beta \in U_{2}, \# U_{2}=83904 \\ \pm 512, & \beta \in U_{3}, \# U_{3}=64512 \\ \pm 768, & \beta \in U_{4}, \# U_{4}=317376 \\ \pm 1024, & \beta \in U_{5}, \# U_{5}=34048 \\ \pm 1280, & \beta \in U_{6}, \# U_{6}=353856 \\ \pm 1792, & \beta \in U_{7}, \# U_{7}=1112640\end{cases}
$$

where $U_{1} \cup U_{2} \cup U_{3} \cup U_{4} \cup U_{5} \cup U_{6} \cup U_{7}=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{21}$ and $U_{i} \cap U_{j}=\emptyset$ for any $1 \leq i<j \leq 7$.
Construction 1: Let $n \geq 43$ be an odd number and $t \geq 0$. Let $k=(n-21) / 2$. Let

$$
T_{1}=\left\{\eta \mid w t(\eta) \geq t-1, \eta \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k}\right\}
$$

For $i=1,2, \ldots, 6$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{i}(v, t)= \\
& \begin{cases}\left\{(\delta, \beta) \mid w t(\delta, \beta) \geq t+1, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}, \beta \in U_{i}\right\}, & \text { if } v \geq 0 \\
\emptyset, & \text { if } v<0\end{cases} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{2}=\Gamma_{1}(k-11, t) \cup \Gamma_{2}(k-11, t) \cup \Gamma_{3}(k-11, t) \cup \Gamma_{4}(k-11, t), \\
& T_{3}=\Gamma_{1}(k-12, t) \cup \Gamma_{2}(k-12, t) \cup \Gamma_{5}(k-12, t) \cup \Gamma_{6}(k-12, t),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
T_{4}=\Gamma_{1}(k-13, t) \cup \Gamma_{3}(k-13, t) \cup \Gamma_{5}(k-13, t)
$$

where

Table $1 \quad N_{i}(\tau)$ for 1-resilient function $g \in \mathcal{B}_{21}$ in [19].

| $\tau$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $N_{1}(\tau)$ | 1 | 21 | 174 | 781 | 2337 | 5422 | 10596 | 17512 | 23672 | 25424 | 21317 |
| $N_{2}(\tau)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 100 | 539 | 2106 | 5434 | 10591 | 15084 |
| $N_{3}(\tau)$ | 0 | 0 | 16 | 230 | 1311 | 4196 | 8707 | 12705 | 13759 | 11429 | 7311 |
| $N_{4}(\tau)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 1459 | 6512 | 18437 | 36371 | 53528 | 61607 |
| $N_{5}(\tau)$ | 0 | 0 | 5 | 74 | 447 | 1575 | 3720 | 6248 | 7614 | 6762 | 4405 |
| $N_{6}(\tau)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 432 | 2539 | 8942 | 21677 | 39031 | 54943 |
| $\tau$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| $N_{1}(\tau)$ | 13756 | 6708 | 2401 | 597 | 91 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $N_{2}(\tau)$ | 16585 | 14295 | 10097 | 5519 | 2463 | 856 | 200 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $N_{3}(\tau)$ | 3477 | 1134 | 219 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $N_{4}(\tau)$ | 56425 | 41273 | 24420 | 11516 | 4250 | 1181 | 233 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $N_{5}(\tau)$ | 2150 | 803 | 215 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $N_{6}(\tau)$ | 62907 | 59814 | 47122 | 30596 | 16125 | 6778 | 2230 | 562 | 106 | 14 | 1 |

- \# $\Gamma_{i}(v, t)=2^{v} \cdot \# U_{i}-\sum_{j=0}^{\min \{t, 21\}}\left(N_{i}(j) \cdot \sum_{e=0}^{\min \{v, t-j\}}\binom{v}{e}\right)$;
- $N_{i}(\tau)=\#\left\{\beta \mid w t(\beta)=\tau, \beta \in U_{i}\right\}$ and its values $N_{i}(\tau)$ for 1-resilient function $g \in \mathcal{B}_{21}$ is given in Table 1, satisfying at the same time

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{k+21} \# T_{1}+2^{k+10} \# T_{2}+2^{k+9} \# T_{3}+2^{k+8} \# T_{4} \geq 2^{n} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $d=4$. Let $S_{1}=E_{1} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k+21}, S_{2}=E_{2} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k+10}, S_{3}=$ $E_{3} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k+9}$ and $S_{4}=E_{4} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k+8}$ be nonempty proper subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, where $E_{1} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k}, E_{2} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k+11}, E_{3} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k+12}, E_{4} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k+13}$. In view of (13), it ensures that there exist $E_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \# E_{i} \leq \# T_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4  \tag{14}\\
& \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} S_{i}=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
S_{i} \cap S_{j}=\emptyset, 1 \leq i<j \leq 4
$$

By (14), it is easy to build four injective mappings as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}: E_{i} \rightarrow T_{i}, i=1,2,3,4 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ with $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 k}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2 k}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{21}$. Then, we can construct fragmentary Boolean functions ${\underset{S}{S_{i}}}$ on $S_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{S_{1}}(X, Y)=\phi_{1}\left(X_{(1, k)}\right) \cdot X_{(k+1,2 k)}+g(Y), \\
& f_{S_{2}}(X, Y)=\phi_{2}\left(X_{(1, k+11)}\right) \cdot\left(X_{(k+12,2 k)}, Y\right), \\
& f_{S_{3}}(X, Y)=\phi_{3}\left(X_{(1, k+12)}\right) \cdot\left(X_{(k+13,2 k)}, Y\right), \\
& f_{S_{4}}(X, Y)=\phi_{4}\left(X_{(1, k+13)}\right) \cdot\left(X_{(k+14,2 k)}, Y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therorem 1: The function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ proposed by Construction 1 is a $t$-resilient function with nonlinearity

$$
N_{f}=2^{n-1}-2^{(n-1) / 2}+2^{(n-7) / 2}
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{2 k}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2 k}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{21}$. We first
calculate the fragmentary Walsh spectra of $f_{S_{1}}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& F W_{f_{S_{1}}}(\alpha, \beta) \\
= & \sum_{X_{(1, k)} \in E_{1}} \sum_{X_{(k+1,2 k)} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k}} \sum_{Y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{21}}(-1)^{f_{S_{1}}(X, Y)+(\alpha, \beta) \cdot(X, Y)} \\
= & W_{g}(\beta) \sum_{X_{(1, k)} \in E_{1}}(-1)^{\alpha_{(1, k)} \cdot X_{(1, k)}} \\
& \sum_{X_{(k+1,2 k)} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k}}(-1)^{\left[\phi_{1}\left(X_{(1, k)}\right)+\alpha_{(k+1,2 k)}\right] \cdot X_{(k+1,2 k)}} \\
= & \begin{cases}0, & \alpha_{(k+1,2 k)} \notin T_{1} \text { or } \beta \in U_{1}, \\
\pm 2^{k} \cdot W_{g}(\beta), & \alpha_{(k+1,2 k)} \in T_{1}, \beta \in U_{i}, 2 \leq i \leq 7 .\end{cases} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F W_{f_{S_{1}}}(\alpha, \beta)= \\
& \begin{cases} \pm 256 \cdot 2^{k}, & \beta \in U_{2} \text { and } \phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{(k+1,2 k)}\right) \text { exists, } \\
\pm 512 \cdot 2^{k}, & \beta \in U_{3} \text { and } \phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{(k+1,2 k)}\right) \text { exists, } \\
\pm 768 \cdot 2^{k}, & \beta \in U_{4} \text { and } \phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{(k+1,2 k)}\right) \text { exists, } \\
\pm 1024 \cdot 2^{k}, & \beta \in U_{5} \text { and } \phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{(k+1,2 k)}\right) \text { exists, } \\
\pm 1280 \cdot 2^{k}, & \beta \in U_{6} \text { and } \phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{(k+1,2 k)}\right) \text { exists, } \\
\pm 1792 \cdot 2^{k}, & \beta \in U_{7} \text { and } \phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{(k+1,2 k)}\right) \text { exists, } \\
0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

For $0 \leq w t(\alpha, \beta) \leq t-2$, we have $\alpha_{(k+1,2 k)} \notin T_{1}$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F W_{f_{S_{1}}}(\alpha, \beta)=0, \text { for } 0 \leq w t(\alpha, \beta) \leq t-2 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $t-1 \leq w t(\alpha, \beta) \leq t$, we have $0 \leq w t(\alpha), w t(\beta) \leq t$. It can be classified into the following three cases.

Case $10 \leq w t(\alpha) \leq t-2$. Obviously, $\alpha_{(k+1,2 k)} \notin T_{1}$, and then by (16), we have $F W_{f_{S_{1}}}(\alpha, \beta)=0$.

Case $2 \omega t(\alpha)=t-1$. For any $w t(\alpha)=t-1$, we have $0 \leq w t(\beta) \leq 1$. Since $g \in \mathcal{B}_{21}$ is a 1-resilient boolean function, it gives $W_{g}(\beta)=0$, which implies $F W_{f_{S_{1}}}(\alpha, \beta)=0$.

Case $3 w t(\alpha)=t$. Similarly, we can easily deduce that $F W_{f_{S_{1}}}(\alpha, \beta)=0$.

In view of Cases 1-3 and (18), it is clear that

Table 2 Comparison of resiliency order with [19] for KY case.

| $n$ | 231 | 269 | 273 | 311 | 315 | 319 | 353 | 357 | 361 | 365 | 369 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $t$ (Ours) | 49 | 58 | 59 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 |
| $t$ ([19]) | 48 | 57 | 58 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 |
| $n$ | 403 | 407 | 411 | 415 | 419 | 423 | 427 | 449 | 453 | 457 | 461 |
| $t$ (Ours) | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 101 | 102 | 103 | 104 |
| $t$ ([19]) | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 103 |

$$
\begin{equation*}
F W_{f_{s_{1}}}(\alpha, \beta)=0, \quad \text { for } 0 \leq w t(\alpha, \beta) \leq t \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we calculate the fragmentary Walsh spectra of $f_{S_{2}}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& F W_{f_{S_{2}}}(\alpha, \beta) \\
&= \sum_{X_{(1, k+11)} \in E_{2}} \sum_{X_{\left(X_{(k+12,2 k)}, Y\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k+10}}(-1)^{f_{S_{2}}(X, Y)+(\alpha, \beta) \cdot(X, Y)}}(-1)^{\alpha_{(1, k+11)} \cdot X_{(1, k+11)}} \\
&=\sum_{\left(X_{(k+12,2 k)}, Y\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k+10}}(-1)^{\left[\phi_{2}\left(X_{(1, k+11)}\right)+\left(\alpha_{(k+12,2 k)}, \beta\right)\right] \cdot\left(X_{(k+12,2 k)}, Y\right)} \\
&= \begin{cases} \pm 2^{k+10}, & \left(\alpha_{(k+12,2 k)}, \beta\right) \in T_{2} \\
0, & \left(\alpha_{(k+12,2 k)}, \beta\right) \notin T_{2} .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

That is,

$$
F W_{f_{S_{2}}}(\alpha, \beta)= \begin{cases} \pm 2^{k+10}, & \beta \in U_{1} \cup U_{2} \cup U_{3} \cup U_{4} \text { and }  \tag{21}\\ & \phi_{2}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{(k+12,2 k)}, \beta\right) \text { exists } \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

When $0 \leq w t(\alpha, \beta) \leq t$, we have $\left(\alpha_{(k+12,2 k)}, \beta\right) \notin T_{2}$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F W_{f_{s_{2}}}(\alpha, \beta)=0, \text { for } 0 \leq w t(\alpha, \beta) \leq t \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the similar calculations, we can obtain
$F W_{f_{S_{3}}}(\alpha, \beta)= \begin{cases} \pm 2^{k+9}, & \beta \in U_{1} \cup U_{2} \cup U_{5} \cup U_{6} \text { and } \\ 0, & \phi_{3}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{(k+13,2 k)}, \beta\right) \text { exists }, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$
$F W_{f_{S_{4}}}(\alpha, \beta)= \begin{cases} \pm 2^{k+8}, & \beta \in U_{1} \cup U_{3} \cup U_{5} \text { and } \\ 0, & \phi_{4}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{(k+14,2 k)}, \beta\right) \text { exists }, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$
From the discussion above, for $i=1,2,3,4$, according to the definitions of $T_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F W_{f_{S_{i}}}(\alpha, \beta)=0, \text { for } 0 \leq w t(\alpha, \beta) \leq t \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $f$ is $t$-resilient. By (10),

$$
\left|W_{f}(\alpha, \beta)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left|F W_{f_{S_{i}}}(\alpha, \beta)\right|
$$

$$
\leq \begin{cases}2^{k+10}+2^{k+9}+2^{k+8}, & \beta \in U_{1}, \\ 256 \cdot 2^{k}+2^{k+10}+2^{k+9}, & \beta \in U_{2}, \\ 512 \cdot 2^{k}+2^{k+10}+2^{k+8}, & \beta \in U_{3} \\ 768 \cdot 2^{k}+2^{k+10}, & \beta \in U_{4} \\ 1024 \cdot 2^{k}+2^{k+9}+2^{k+8}, & \beta \in U_{5} \\ 1280 \cdot 2^{k}+2^{k+9}, & \beta \in U_{6} \\ 1792 \cdot 2^{k}, & \beta \in U_{7},\end{cases}
$$

which leads to

$$
\max _{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{P}_{2}^{k+21}}\left|W_{f}(\alpha)\right|=1792 \cdot 2^{k}
$$

It then follows that

$$
N_{f}=2^{n-1}-2^{(n-1) / 2}+2^{(n-7) / 2}
$$

Example 1: When $n=231$ with $k=105$, let $t=49$. Based on the data $N_{i}(\tau)$ for 1-resilient functions $g \in \mathcal{B}_{21}$ in Table 1, we have $\# T_{1}=3.3889 e+031, \# T_{2}=1.0786 e+034$, $\# T_{3}=5.4802 e+033$ and $\# T_{4}=9.3772 e+032$. Then, the relationship (13) holds, which implies that there exist $E_{i}$. $1 \leq i \leq 4$.
i) Let $E_{1} \subset \mathbb{F}_{\underline{2}}^{105}$ with $\# E_{1}=\# T_{1}$, and $S_{1}=E_{1} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}^{126}$.
ii) Let $E_{1}^{\prime}=\overline{E_{1}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}^{11}$, where $\overline{E_{1}}=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{105} \backslash E_{1}$. Note that $\# E_{1}^{\prime}=1.3672 e+034>\# T_{2}$. Let $E_{2} \subset E_{1}^{\prime}$ with $\# E_{2}=\# T_{2}$, and $S_{2}=E_{2} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}^{115}$.
iii) Let $E_{2}^{\prime}=\overline{E_{2}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}$, where $\overline{E_{2}}=E_{1}^{\prime} \backslash E_{2}$. Note that $\# E_{2}^{\prime}=5.7720 e+033>\# T_{3}$. Let $E_{3} \subset E_{2}^{\prime}$ with $\# E_{3}=\# T_{3}$, and $S_{3}=E_{3} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}^{114}$.
iv) Let $E_{4}=\overline{E_{3}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}$, where $\overline{E_{3}}=E_{2}^{\prime} \backslash E_{3}$. Note that $\# E_{4}=5.8360 e+032<\# T_{4}$, Let $S_{4}=E_{4} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}^{113}$.

It is easy to verify that $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ are mutually disjoint, and $S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{3} \cup S_{4}=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{231}$. Therefore, a $\left(231,49,2^{230}-2^{115}+2^{112}\right)$ resilient Boolean function can be obtained by Construction 1. The resiliency order of this function is better than the $\left(231,48,2^{230}-2^{115}+2^{112}\right)$ resilient Boolean function in [19] while keeping the same nonlinearity. For more examples, see Table 2.
Remark 1: When the variable number $n$ reaches a sufficiently large level, we believe that the gap between the resiliency order of our functions and the constructed functions in [19] will be greater than 1 .

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we present a novel method for constructing oddvariable SAO resilient functions, and obtain a large class
of resilient functions possess higher resiliency order than the known functions while keeping higher SAO nonlinearity. Further improvements toward the tradeoff relationship between nonlinearity and resiliency order appear to be an interesting research direction, and in addition it is still a challenging problem to get odd-variable resilient functions with better SAO nonlinearity than previous studies without using PW functions or KY functions.
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