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#### Abstract

SUMMARY Bolts in the bogie box of metro vehicles are fasteners which are significant for bogie box structure. Effective loosening bolts detection in early stage can avoid the bolt loss and accident occurrence. Recently, detection methods based on machine vision are developed for bolt loosening. But traditional image processing and machine learning methods have high missed rate and false rate for bolts detection due to the small size and complex background. To address this problem, a loosening bolts defection method based on deep learning is proposed. The proposed method cascades two stages in a coarse-to-fine manner, including location stage based on the Single Shot Multibox Detector (SSD) and the improved SSD sequentially localizing the bogie box and bolts and a semantic segmentation stage with the U-shaped Network (U-Net) to detect the looseness of the bolts. The accuracy and effectiveness of the proposed method are verified with images captured from the Shanghai Metro Line 9. The results show that the proposed method has a higher accuracy in detecting the bolts loosening, which can guarantee the stable operation of the metro vehicles. key words: metro vehicles, loosening bolts detection, deep learning, Single Shot Multibox Detector (SSD), U-shaped Network (U-Net)


## 1. Introduction

Bolts in the bogie box are key elements of connecting the axel structure of metro vehicles. Due to vibration and repetitive loads in long time operation, the bolts are apt to get loose and impact the operation safety. Loosening bolts diagnostic is an important inspection item in daily maintenance. Traditionally, this task is performed by human visual inspection of the marked line painted on the bolt surface in advance. However, with the massive construction of metro lines in China, manual inspection is low efficient and costly. It is necessary to develop automatic detection methods.

Automatic bolts detection methods mainly include ultrasonic methods [1], piezoelectric-based methods [2], magnetic filed based methods [3] and vibration methods [4]. However, these methods are not suitable to be deployed in metro vehicles. Recently, methods based on machine vision are applied to bolts detection of metro vehicles. The bolt in the image is small and has less sematic feature. It's a small object detection problem with complex background. Traditional image processing methods [5], [6] combined with traditional machine learning algorithms have poor robustness. With the development of deep learning techniques, Conventional Neural Networks (CNNs) algorithms have shown sig-
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Fig. 1 Sketch map of the trackside image acquisition system installed in the depot
nificant advantages in the feature extraction and stronger robustness, so they have been widely applied in object classification and segmentation. CNNs algorithms are also applied to the loosening bolts detection [7], [8].

Inspired by the literature [9], we propose a detection method based on CNNs for the loosening bolts detection with images captured by the trackside visual acquisition system installed in depot as shown in Fig. 1. The proposed method consists of the location and segmentation stages. Figure 2 shows the pipeline line of the method. The location stage includes 2 phases. In the first phase, the Single Shot Multibox Detector (SSD) algorithm [10] is adopted to localize the bogie box. Based on the bogie box image cropped from the first localization results, bolts are detected with the improved SSD algorithm in the second phase. In the segmentation stage, the bolts localization results are used to do the semantic segmentation by the U-shaped Network (U-Net) algorithm [11]. The marked line on the bolt is segmented out. Then rotation angle of the marked line is calculated and regarded as the criterion to check whether the bolt is lessening or not.

## 2. Location Stage Based on SSD

Images are captured by the cameras installed beside the track when the vehicles are moving out of the depot in the early morning, which have low brightness. Besides that, the bogie boxes and some bolts are captured partially into two neighbored images. That will impact the bolt detection accuracy. Image preprocessing are performed before the detection. Gamma transformations are adopted to improve brightness and image combination are performed. The source image size is $2000 \times 4096$ and the combined image size is $4000 \times 4096$.


Fig. 2 Pipeline of the proposed method

Both bogie box and bolt location are based on the SSD algorithm. SSD is one of the state-of-the-art object detection algorithms with high accuracy and low time consuming. The detailed structure of the SSD network can be found in [10].

In the bogie box location phase, SSD algorithm with VGG [12] backbone network is adopted directly. Because the bogie box is a middle size object with a typical circular shape in the source image and can be detected easily with high accuracy. SSD has better detection results with lower time consuming than other algorithms. After the bogie box location, the bogie box images are cropped for the bolt location.

In the cropped bogie box image, the bolt is still small object. CNNs algorithms do not perform well in detecting small objects. So We propose an improved SSD algorithm for the bolt location. As illuminated in [13], the convolutional neural network extracts local detailed information in shallow layers and semantic information in deeper layers. Fusion of the local and semantic information can improve the detection performance. As shown in Fig. 3, We improve the SSD network by replacing VGG layers with residual layers constructed with residual units [14], adding feature fusion in shallow layers and reducing the number of default boxes according to the shape of the bolt. The residual block can resolve the problem of gradient vanishing via a short cut connection which also has a function of feature in a unit. We define Res $n$ as a combination of $n$ Res units. Firstly, we add a convolution layer conv $7 \times 7$ with normalization and Maxpooling, Res2, Res1 and Res4 layers. Secondly, we add the original extra layers and abandon final layer producing the $1 \times 1$ feature map which is contribute less for the bolt detection. Finally, we merge the feature maps from layer Res 2 and Res1 to the $38 \times 38$ feature map. The $75 \times 75 \times 256$ feature map from the Res 2 are down-sampled to $38 \times 38 \times 256$ with conv $1 \times 1$ operation. Then the $38 \times 38 \times 256$ feature map is contacted with the $38 \times 38 \times 512$ feature map from Res1 to $38 \times 38 \times 768$ feature map. We select the feature maps of $38 \times 38 \times 768,19 \times 19 \times 1024,5 \times 5 \times 256,10 \times 10 \times 256$, $3 \times 3 \times 256$ as the output to the detection module. The bolts have a relatively fixed size. We apply K-means clustering to


Fig. 3 Improved SSD
determine the size of default bounding boxes. The bolts are classified to 2 clusters of $36 \times 39$ pixels and $29 \times 32$ pixels. We round the default bounding boxes as $(40,40)$ and $(30$, 30). The number of the default bounding boxes of each cell is 2 . The total default boxes are reduced from 8732 to 3878 . That can reduce the training time.

## 3. Segmentation Based on U-net

In the segmentation stage, the U-net algorithm is adopted for bolt segmentation. U-net model is a full convolutional network designed for the biomedical image segmentation. UNet is a good choice for the bolt segmentation because the bolt image and biomedical image have some common characteristics such as simple structure, less color information and less sematic information. We adopt the VGG network as the feature extraction network with $3 \times 3$ convolutions and $2 \times 2$ max pooling.

After the segmentation, the marked line on the bolt is segmented out. The minimum circumscribed rectangle of the marked line is obtained via the contour extraction algo-


Fig. 4 Angle thresholds
rithm. Then rotation angle $\theta \epsilon[0, \pi]$ of the long side of the rectangle is calculated. We can compare the rotation angle with the predefined angle thresholds $\left[\theta_{\min }, \theta_{\max }\right]$ as shown in Fig. 4 to check whether the bolt is loosening or not. The angle thresholds and discriminant function $f(x)$ are defined as formulation (1). If $f(x)=1$, the bolt is loosening.

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}0, & \left(0<\theta<\theta_{\min }\right) \operatorname{or}\left(\theta_{\max }<\theta<\pi\right)  \tag{1}\\ 1, & \left(\theta_{\min } \leq \theta \leq \theta_{\max }\right)\end{cases}
$$

## 4. Experiments

All algorithms are based on PyTorch framework and run on the Win 10 operating system with NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2080. The data set contains pictures from 15 different vehicles of the Shanghai metro line 9 including 720 bogie boxes and 4320 bolts as shown in Table 1.

In the training process of bogie box location, we finetune SSD with the model pretrained on VOC dataset with the Adam optimizer. We freeze the VGG layers and train the parameters of extra layers with learning rate $=0.0005$ for 100 epochs and then unfreeze the VGG layers with learning rate $=0.0001$ for 100 epochs batch size is set to 8 . SSD is compared with the YOIO3, Faster RCNN. According the results in Table 2, all the algorithms have good mean average precision (mAP) but SSD has the best mAP and lowest training time consumption.

In the training process of bolts location, we train the improved SSD from scratch for the hyperparameter including the number of Res units of Res layers, optimizers and learning rate. In the experiments, we find bolts can be detected but there are false positives. False positive can be reduced efficiently by adjusting hyperparameters. We choose the precision and accuracy defined with false positive as the evaluation metrics. Firstly, we compare the detection results with different Res units of Res layers with 256, 512 and 1024 channels respectively as shown in Table 3. With the decreasing of Res units, the false positives are reduced and the precision and accuracy are improved. It verifies that the shallow layers are responsible for detecting small objects. But with too less Res units, the precision and accuracy will decrease due to the poor feature extraction capability. We adopt the configuration of that is Res 2 , Res 1 , Res 4 with better detection results. Secondly, we compare the converging speed and loss of the RMSprop, Adam, Momentum optimizers and single learning rate. As shown in Fig. 5, the optimizers converge earlier than the single learning rate. Among the 3 optimizers, the RMSprop has the lowest loss. The single learning rate has lower loss than all optimizers. So, we

Table 1 Data set

|  | Bogie box location | Bolt location | Segmentation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Training sets | 420 | 2400 | 2400 |
| Validation sets | 150 | 960 | 960 |
| Testing sets | 150 | 960 | 960 |

Table 2 Comparison of methods for the bogie box location

| Methods | mAP | Training time(h) | Testing time(s) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faster RCNN Resnet | $96.48 \%$ | 6.22 | 120.22 |
| Faster RCNN VGG | $95.79 \%$ | 2.47 | 119.91 |
| YOLO3 | $99.31 \%$ | 2.26 | 55.45 |
| SSD | $99.86 \%$ | 1.22 | 61.24 |

Table 3 Comparison of Res Units for improved SSD

|  | Reslayer $_{256}$, Reslayer $_{512}$, Reslayer $_{1024}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $4,4,4$ | $4,2,4$ | $2,2,4$ | $2,1,4$ | $1,2,4$ |
| Precision | $96.29 \%$ | $97.59 \%$ | $98.33 \%$ | $99.31 \%$ | $97.59 \%$ |
| Accuracy | $95.58 \%$ | $96.87 \%$ | $97.59 \%$ | $98.55 \%$ | $96.87 \%$ |

Table 4 Comparison of methods for bolts location

| Methods | mAP | Training time(h) | Testing time(s) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faster RCNN Resnet | $90.77 \%$ | 34.31 | 8.58 |
| Faster RCNN VGG | $83.52 \%$ | 14.01 | 6.82 |
| YOLO3 | $97.71 \%$ | 7.83 | 3.01 |
| SSD | $98.21 \%$ | 5.22 | 3.32 |
| Improved SSD | $99.78 \%$ | 4.35 | 3.09 |

Table 5 Comparison of learning rates for improved SSD

| Learning rate | 0.00001 | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.01 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Precision | $97.52 \%$ | $99.85 \%$ | $86.67 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ |
| Accuracy | $96.79 \%$ | $99.08 \%$ | $86.09 \%$ | $19.61 \%$ |

Table 6 Comparison of methods for segmentation

| Methods | PA | MPA | MIoU | FWIoU | Time(s) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deeplabv3+ | $96.18 \%$ | $93.31 \%$ | $89.33 \%$ | $91.79 \%$ | 0.08 |
| PspNet | $96.22 \%$ | $95.2 \%$ | $90.57 \%$ | $92.76 \%$ | 0.04 |
| U-net | $96.89 \%$ | $95.96 \%$ | $91.95 \%$ | $94.01 \%$ | 0.06 |



Fig. 5 Comparison of optimizers for improved SSD


Fig. 6 Loosening bolts detection results
choose the single learning rate to train the network. Finally, we conduct experiment to select the optimal learning rate. Experiment results are shown in Table 4. When the learning rate is set to 0.01 , there are many false positives and negatives. The accuracy and precision are improved when the learning rate decrease. When the learning rate is set to 0.0001 , there are the minimal false positives. When the learning rate decreases further to 0.00001 , the accuracy and precision decrease.

In the segmentation stage, we fine-tune U-Net with the model pertained on VOC dataset with the Adam optimizer. First, we freeze the layers and train the parameters with learning rate for 50 epochs. Then, we unfreeze the layers and train parameters of the network with learning rate for 50 epochs. Based on the configuration of the computer, the batch is set to 2 . Unet is verified by comparing with PspNet, deeplabV3+ and Mask RCNN. Pixel accuracy (PA), mean pixel accuracy (MPA), and mean intersection over union (MIoU) are used as evaluation metrics. To compare speed, the time consuming of per image is calculated as shown in Table 6. It is obvious that U-Net has a better performance in accuracy but cost more time than the PspNet. The speed is acceptable because the time has been saved in bolt location stage. After the marked line region is segmented, the minimum circumscribed rectangle of the marked line region is obtained and the rotation angle of the long side of the rectangle is calculated. The results are shown in Fig. 6.

## 5. Conclusion

This article proposes a detection method of loosening bolts in the bogie box of metro vehicles, which is based on the
deep learning algorithms. The proposed method cascades location stage based on SSD and semantic segmentation stage based on U-Net. The experimental results show that the method proposed can effectively detect the loosening bolts. However, there is still room for improvement of bolts location speed and accuracy. In short, the method proposed in this article can effectively detect the loosening bolts and hopes to provide a reference for future loosening bolts detection.
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