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#### Abstract

In this paper an efficient reverse converter for the new five moduli set $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}, 2^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}+1,2^{\mathrm{n}}+1\right\}$ for even n is presented. With a little changes in latest introduced five moduli set $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}, 2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}+1,2^{\mathrm{n}}+1,2^{2 \mathrm{n}-1}-1\right\}$ in order to achieve simple multiplicative inverse, this new moduli set is presented. The converter is designed in two levels architecture. The first level is based on CRT and the second one is based on MRC algorithm. The proposed converter achieved significant improvement in terms of speed with less hardware requirement comparing to other five moduli sets.
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## 1 Introduction

Residue Number System (RNS) is a carry free system. Using RNS leads to independent and fast arithmetic operations like addition, subtraction and multiplication. RNS is widely used in low power and high speed digital signal processing (DSP) [1, 2]. Designing efficient reverse converter is one of the important parts of the RNS. Efficiency of the reverse converter is depending on the form of the moduli. For many years the most popular moduli set was $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}, 2^{\mathrm{n}}-1,2^{\mathrm{n}}+1\right\}$. But nowadays the provided dynamic range by this moduli set is not sufficient for applications. Therefore moduli sets $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}\right.$, $\left.2^{2 \mathrm{n}}-1,2^{2 \mathrm{n}}+1\right\}[3],\left\{2^{2 \mathrm{n}}, 2^{\mathrm{n}}-1,2^{\mathrm{n}+1}-1\right\}$ and $\left\{2^{2 \mathrm{n}}, 2^{\mathrm{n}}-1,2^{\mathrm{n}-1}-1\right\}[4]$ with higher dynamic ranges are proposed by researchers. Furthermore to increase the parallelism of the RNS system, four moduli sets like $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}-1\right.$, $\left.2^{\mathrm{n}}, 2^{\mathrm{n}}+1,2^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}-1\right\}$ and $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}-1,2^{\mathrm{n}}+1,2^{2 \mathrm{n}}, 2^{2 \mathrm{n}}+1\right\}$ are reported in [5]. To achieve more parallelism some five moduli set are reported like $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}-1\right.$, $\left.2^{\mathrm{n}}, 2^{\mathrm{n}}+1,2^{\mathrm{n}-1}-1,2^{\mathrm{n}+1}-1\right\}[6]$. The mentioned moduli set is balanced but inefficient multiplicative inverse is one of the main disadvantages of this moduli set resulting in a time consuming process to execute reverse converter algorithm. In [7], authors reported a new five moduli set $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}, 2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}+1\right.$, $\left.2^{\mathrm{n}}+1,2^{2 \mathrm{n}-1}-1\right\}$. In their approach, two-level design to achieve an efficient reverse converter are employed in which New Chinese Remainder Theorem (New CRT-I) and Mixed Radix Conversion (MRC) are used in level one and two, respectively.

In this paper a little changes in moduli set proposed in [7] are applied and moduli set $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}, 2^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}+1,2^{\mathrm{n}}+1\right\}$ is yield to achieve a better multiplicative inverse. Two-level designs are employed that are completely different from the work reported in [7]. These two-levels consist of Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) and MRC. With this new design remarkable improvement in terms of speed of the reverse converter with less hardware requirement comparing to other mentioned five moduli sets is achieved.

## 2 Related Background

RNS systems includes N relatively prime integers $\left(\mathrm{m}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ where gcd $\left(m_{i}, m_{j}\right)=1$ for $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}$. Where $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ demonstrate the greatest common divisor of "a" and "b". An integer X is in range of $[0$, $\mathrm{M}-1$ ] where $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{m}_{1} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is the dynamic range of the RNS system. Therefore we can represent each integer X uniquely like ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) where $x_{i}=|X|_{m_{i}}=\left(X \bmod m_{i}\right)$ implies that $0<\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}-1$. By CRT, the weighted number Z from its residues ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) can be achieved by the
following formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{m}_{i}\left\langle\bar{m}_{i}^{-1}\right\rangle_{m_{i}} \cdot x_{i}\right)_{M} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
M=\prod_{i=1}^{N} m_{i}, \quad\left|\bar{m}_{i}^{-1} \times \bar{m}_{i}\right|=1, \quad \bar{m}_{i}=\frac{M}{m_{i}}
$$

By MRC, the number X can be calculated from residues by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=v_{1}+v_{2} m_{1}+v_{3} m_{2} m_{1}+\ldots+v_{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} m_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $\mathrm{v}_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ for three moduli can be obtained from residues by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{v}_{1}=\mathrm{x}_{1} \\
v_{2}=\left.\left.\left|\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\right| m_{1}^{-1}\right|_{m_{2}}\right|_{m_{2}} \\
v_{3}=\left.\left|\left(\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)\left|m_{1}^{-1}\right|_{m_{3}}-v_{2}\right)\right| m_{2}^{-1}\right|_{m_{3}} \mid
\end{gathered}
$$

## 3 Designing Reverse Converter

To achieve an efficient reverse converter for moduli set $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}, 2^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}-1\right.$, $\left.2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}+1,2^{\mathrm{n}}+1\right\}$, two-level designs are employed. First, we consider $\mathrm{m}_{1}=2^{\mathrm{n}}$, $\mathrm{m}_{2}=2^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}-1, \mathrm{~m}_{3}=2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}-1, \mathrm{~m}_{4}=2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}+1, \mathrm{~m}_{5}=2^{\mathrm{n}}+1$ and $\mathrm{m}_{6}=2^{2 \mathrm{n}}-1$. In first step the subset $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}+1,2^{\mathrm{n}}+1\right\}$ are calculated based on CRT and in second level, the set $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}, 2^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}-1,2^{2 \mathrm{n}}-1\right\}$ are calculated based on MRC, where $\mathrm{m}_{6}$ is the multiplication of three moduli $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}+1\right.$, $\left.2^{\mathrm{n}}+1\right\}$.

### 3.1 Designing Converter for $\left\{2^{n / 2}-1,2^{n / 2}+1,2^{n}+1\right\}$ Based on CRT

The multiplicative inverses needed in CRT algorithm, are precalculated as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\bar{m}_{3}^{-1}\right|_{m_{3}} \rightarrow\left|k_{1} \times\left(2^{n / 2}+1\right)\left(2^{n}+1\right)\right|_{\left(2^{n / 2}-1\right)}=1 \rightarrow k_{1}=2^{(n-4) / 2}  \tag{3}\\
\left|\bar{m}_{4}^{-1}\right|_{m_{4}} \rightarrow\left|k_{2} \times\left(2^{n / 2}-1\right)\left(2^{n}+1\right)\right|_{\left(2^{n / 2}+1\right)} \rightarrow k_{2}=2^{(n-4) / 2}  \tag{4}\\
\left|\bar{m}_{5}^{-1}\right|_{m_{5}} \rightarrow\left|k_{3} \times\left(2^{n}-1\right)\right|_{\left(2^{n}+1\right)}=1 \rightarrow k_{3}=2^{n-1} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The weighted number Z from its residues $\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$, with considering $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ $2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}-1, \mathrm{M}_{4}=2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}+1$ and $\mathrm{M}_{5}=2^{\mathrm{n}}+1$ in CRT, can be calculated as follows


For residues in binary form we have: $x_{1}=x_{1, n-1} \cdots x_{1,1} x_{1,0}, x_{2}=x_{2,2 n} \cdots$ $x_{2,1} x_{2,0}, x_{3}=x_{3, n-2 / 2} \cdots x_{3,1} x_{3,0}, x_{4}=x_{4, n / 2} \cdots x_{4,1} x_{4,0}$ and $x_{5}=x_{5, n} \cdots$ $x_{5,1} x_{5,0}$. We can rewrite equation (6) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\left|z_{1}+z_{2}+z_{3}\right|_{2^{2 n}-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where,

$$
\begin{gather*}
z_{1}=\left|\left(2^{n / 2}+1\right)\left(2^{n}+1\right) \times 2^{(n-4) / 2} \times x_{3}\right|_{2^{2 n}-1}  \tag{8}\\
z_{2}=\left|\left(2^{n / 2}-1\right)\left(2^{n}+1\right) \times 2^{(n-4) / 2} \times x_{4}\right|_{2^{2 n}-1}  \tag{9}\\
z_{3}=\left|\left(2^{n}-1\right) \times 2^{n-1} \times x_{5}\right|_{2^{2 n}-1} \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the equations $\{$,$\} denotes the concatenation.$
In binary form we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{2}=\mid 2^{(n-4) / 2} \times \underbrace{x_{4,(n-2) / 2} \cdots x_{4,1} x_{4,0}}_{n / 2 b i t} k_{1} \underbrace{0 \cdots 00}_{(n-2) / 2 b i t} x_{4, n / 2}-k_{1}, k_{1})\left.\right|_{2^{2 n}-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
z_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{l}
x_{4,1} x_{4,0} k_{1} \underbrace{0 \cdots 00}_{(n-2) / 2 b i t} \underbrace{x_{4, n / 2} \cdots x_{4,2}}_{(n-2) / 2}  \tag{17}\\
+1 \bar{x}_{4, n / 2} \cdots \bar{x}_{4,2} \bar{x}_{4,1} \bar{x}_{4,0} \bar{k}_{1} \underbrace{1 \cdots 11}_{(n-4) / 2}
\end{array}\right|_{2^{2 n-1}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{2}=\left|z_{21}+z_{22}\right|_{2^{2 n}-1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}=\left|2^{(n-4) / 2} \times\left(2^{n}+1\right)\left(2^{n / 2}+1\right) \times\left(x_{3, n-2 / 2}\right) \cdots x_{3,1} x_{3,0}\right|_{2^{2 n}-1} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{21}=x_{4,1} x_{4,0} k_{1} \underbrace{0 \cdots 00}_{(n-2) / 2 b i t} \underbrace{x_{4, n / 2} \cdots x_{4,2}}_{(n-2) / 2}  \tag{19}\\
& z_{22}=1 \bar{x}_{4,1} \bar{x}_{4,0}{\overline{k_{1}}}_{x_{4, n / 2} \cdots \bar{x}_{4,2} \underbrace{1 \cdots 11}_{(n-4) / 2}}^{1 \cdots} \\
& z_{3}=\left|\left(2^{n}-1\right) \times 2^{n-1} \times\left(x_{5, n} \cdots x_{5,1} x_{5,0}\right)\right|_{2^{2 n}-1}  \tag{20}\\
& z_{3}=\left.|2^{n-1}(x_{5, n-1} \cdots x_{5,1} x_{5,0} \underbrace{0 \cdots 00}_{n-1 \text { bit }} x_{5, n}+\underbrace{1 \cdots 11}_{n-1 \text { bit }} \bar{x}_{5, n} \cdots \bar{x}_{5,1} \bar{x}_{5,0})|\right|_{2^{2 n}-1}  \tag{21}\\
& z_{3}=|(x_{5,0} \underbrace{0 \cdots 00}_{n-1 b i t} x_{5, n} \cdots x_{5,1}+\bar{x}_{5, n} \cdots \bar{x}_{5,1} \bar{x}_{5,0} \underbrace{1 \cdots 11}_{n-1 b i t})|_{2^{2 n}-1}  \tag{22}\\
& z_{3}=\left|z_{31}+z_{32}\right|_{2^{2 n}-1}  \tag{23}\\
& z_{31}=x_{5,0} \underbrace{0 \cdots 00}_{n-1 \text { bit }} x_{5, n} \cdots x_{5,1} \quad \text { and } \quad z_{32}=\bar{x}_{5, n} \cdots \bar{x}_{5,1} \bar{x}_{5,0} \underbrace{1 \cdots 11}_{n-1 \text { bit }} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2 Designing the Converter for $\left\{2^{n}, 2^{2 n+1}-1,2^{2 n}-1\right\}$ Based on MRC

With using MRC algorithm mentioned in equation (2) for these moduli set we have: $X=x_{1}+2^{n}\left(v_{2}+v_{3} \times\left(2^{2 n+1}-1\right)\right)$. Therefore we can consider $X=x_{1}+2^{n} Y$, where $Y=v_{2}+v_{3} \times\left(2^{2 n+1}-1\right)$. Since $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ has n bits, with calculating Y and concatenating $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ at the end of Y , weighted number X can be achieved from its residues. Based on MRC algorithm, the multiplicative inverses can be calculated as below

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\left|m_{1}^{-1}\right|_{m_{2}} \times 2^{n}\right|_{2^{2 n+1}-1}=1 \rightarrow\left|m_{1}^{-1}\right|_{m_{2}}=2^{n+1}  \tag{25}\\
\left|\left|m_{1}^{-1}\right|_{m_{6}} \times 2^{n}\right|_{2^{2 n}-1}=1 \rightarrow\left|m_{1}^{-1}\right|_{m_{6}}=2^{n}  \tag{26}\\
\left|\left|m_{2}^{-1}\right|_{m_{6}} \times\left(2^{2 n+1}-1\right)\right|_{2^{2 n}-1}=1 \rightarrow\left|m_{2}^{-1}\right|_{m_{6}}=1 \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

With considering $Z=z_{2 n-1} \cdots z_{1} z_{0}$ as 2 n bit in modulo $2^{2 \mathrm{n}}-1$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{2}=\left|\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \times 2^{n+1}\right|_{2^{2 n+1}-1}  \tag{28}\\
v_{2}=|x_{2, n-1} \cdots x_{2,0} x_{2,2 n} \cdots x_{2, n}+\bar{x}_{1, n-1} \cdots \bar{x}_{1,0} \underbrace{1 \cdots 11}_{n+1 b i t}|_{2^{2 n+1}-1} \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where,

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{21}=x_{2, n-1} \cdots x_{2,0} x_{2,2 n} \cdots x_{2, n} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{22}=\bar{x}_{1, n-1} \cdots \bar{x}_{1,0} \underbrace{1 \cdots 11}_{n+1 \text { bit }}  \tag{30}\\
v_{3}=|(z_{2 n-1} \cdots z_{0}-\underbrace{0 \cdots 00}_{n b i t} x_{1, n-1} \cdots x_{1,0}) \times 2^{n}-v_{2}|_{2^{2 n}-1}  \tag{31}\\
v_{3}=\left|\begin{array}{c}
z_{n-1} \cdots z_{0} z_{2 n-1} \cdots z_{n-2}+\bar{x}_{1, n-1} \cdots \bar{x}_{1,0} \underbrace{1 \cdots 11}_{n b i t} \\
+\underbrace{1 \cdots 11}_{2 n-1 b i t} \bar{v}_{2,2 n} \cdots \bar{v}_{2,0}
\end{array}\right|_{2^{2 n}-1}  \tag{32}\\
v_{3}=\left|v_{31}+v_{32}+v_{33}+v_{34}\right|_{2^{2 n}-1} \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{31}=z_{n-1} \cdots z_{0} z_{2 n-1} \cdots z_{n-2}, \quad v_{32}=\bar{x}_{1, n-1} \cdots \bar{x}_{1,0} \underbrace{1 \cdots 11}_{n \text { bit }}  \tag{34}\\
& v_{33}=\underbrace{1 \cdots 11}_{2 n-1 \text { bit }} \bar{v}_{2,2 n} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{34}=\bar{v}_{2,2 n-1} \cdots \bar{v}_{2,0}
\end{align*}
$$

After calculating $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{3}$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Y=v_{2,2 n} \cdots v_{2,0}+\left(v_{3,2 n-1} \cdots v_{3,0}\right)\left(2^{2 n+1}-1\right)  \tag{35}\\
Y=v_{2,2 n} \cdots v_{2,0}+v_{3,2 n-1} \cdots v_{3,0} \underbrace{0 \cdots 00}_{2 n+1 b i t}-v_{3,2 n-1} \cdots v_{3,0}  \tag{36}\\
Y=k-v_{3,2 n-1} \cdots v_{3,0}  \tag{37}\\
k=v_{3,2 n-1} \cdots v_{3,0} v_{2,2 n} \cdots v_{2,0} \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 4 Hardware Implementation

Hardware implementation of the proposed reverse converter is shown in Figure 1. Designing the first level is based on the equations (12), (18), (23) and (29). For designing the first level, modulo $\left(2^{2 \mathrm{n}}-1\right)$ adder is needed. To achieve this, CSA with EAC tree are used to creates the inputs of the modulo $\left(2^{2 \mathrm{n}}-1\right)$ adders. The result of modulo $\left(2^{2 \mathrm{n}}-1\right)$ adder is Z . Calculating $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ in second level is independent from the result of $Z$. Therefore in the first level, modulo $\left(2^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}-1\right)$ adder is used to calculate $\mathrm{v}_{2}$. So, more parallelism and speed is achieved. Designing the second level is based on the equations (33) and (37). Two stages CSA with EAC are employed to create the input of modulo $\left(2^{2 \mathrm{n}}-1\right)$ adder. After that, $(4 \mathrm{n}+1)$ bits regular CPA with ' 1 ' carry in, is used to achieve Y. Finally with concatenating $x_{1}$ as $n$ bits at the LSB of Y , weighted number X will be achieved from its residues.

## 5 Performance Evaluation

Comparison results regarding to speed and area of the reverse converters are done between the proposed moduli set $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}, 2^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}+1\right.$, $\left.2^{\mathrm{n}}+1\right\}$ and the moduli sets $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}-1,2^{\mathrm{n}}, 2^{\mathrm{n}}+1,2^{\mathrm{n}-1}-1,2^{\mathrm{n}+1}-1\right\}[6]$ and $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}, 2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}+1,2^{\mathrm{n}}+1,2^{2 \mathrm{n}-1}-1\right\}[7]$. Dynamic range of the proposed moduli set is higher than the other mentioned moduli sets. The converters proposed in [6] and [7] have $(18 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{L}+2) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{FA}}$ and $(13 \mathrm{n}+1) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{FA}}+3 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{NOT}}$ delay, respectively. The proposed converter has $(12 n+6) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{FA}}+3 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{NOT}}$ delay for its reverse converter. Therefore the proposed converter is faster than the other reverse converters. Unit gate delay in order to achieve a fair comparison is shown in Table I. In this model FA gates are considered with area of seven gates and delay of four gates. Each two-input monotonic gates considered with one area and delay and XOR/XNOR gates are considered with two gates area and delay [7]. Results of Table I confirm that remarkable improvement for speed of reverse converter and degraded hardware requirement are achieved comparing to other five moduli sets.


Fig. 1. Hardware architecture: (a) First level, (b) Second level

Table I. Performance Comparison for different five moduli sets

| Converter | Hardware requirements | Unit gate area | Conversion <br> delay | Unit gate <br> delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[6]$ | $\left(\left(5 n^{2}+43 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}^{*}\right) / 6+16 \mathrm{n}-1\right) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{FA}}$ <br> $+(6 \mathrm{n}+1) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{NOT}}$ | $\left(5 \mathrm{n}^{2}+43 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}^{*}\right) 7 / 6$ <br> $+118 \mathrm{n}-6$ | $\left(18 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{L}^{*}+7\right) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{FA}}$ | $72 \mathrm{n}+4 \mathrm{~L}^{*}+28$ |
| $[7]$ | $(10 \mathrm{n}+5) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{FA}}+(7 \mathrm{n}-5) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{XNOR}}$ <br> $+(7 \mathrm{n}-5) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{OR}}+(2 \mathrm{n}-3) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{XOR}}$ <br> $+(2 \mathrm{n}-3) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{AND}}+(8 \mathrm{n}+2) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{NOT}}$ | $114 \mathrm{n}+5$ | $(13 \mathrm{n}+1) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{FA}}+3 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{NOT}}$ | $52 \mathrm{n}+7$ |
| Proposed | $(12.5 \mathrm{n}+6) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{FA}}+(4.5 \mathrm{n}-1) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{XNOR}}$ <br> $+(4.5 \mathrm{n}-1) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{OR}}+(1.5 \mathrm{n}-1) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{XOR}}$ <br> $+(1.5 \mathrm{n}-1) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{AND}}+(7 \mathrm{n}+1) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{NOT}}$ | $112.5 \mathrm{n}+37$ | $(12 \mathrm{n}+6) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{FA}}+3 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{NOT}}$ | $48 \mathrm{n}+27$ |

$*_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{n}-4,9 \mathrm{n}-12$ and $5 \mathrm{n}-8$ for $\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-2,6 \mathrm{k}$ and $6 \mathrm{k}+2$, respectively, and L is the number of the levels of a CSA tree with $((\mathrm{n} / 2)+1)$ inputs.

## 6 Conclusion

This paper introduces a new five moduli set $\left\{2^{\mathrm{n}}, 2^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}-1,2^{\mathrm{n} / 2}+1\right.$, $\left.2^{\mathrm{n}}+1\right\}$ with efficient implementation for its reverse converter. The design of the reverse converter has been realized in two-level architecture. The mixed of CRT and MRC algorithms constituted these two levels. Comparison with other latest five moduli sets shows that we have achieved a significant improvement in terms of speed and area in reverse converter implementation.
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