Game Theory for Data Science

Eliciting Truthful Information

Synthesis Lectures on Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

Editors

Ronald J. Brachman, Jacobs Technion-Cornell Institute at Cornell Tech Peter Stone, University of Texas at Austin

Game Theory for Data Science: Eliciting Truthful Information Boi Faltings and Goran Radanovic 2017

Multi-Objective Decision Making

Diederik M. Roijers and Shimon Whiteson 2017

Lifelong Machine Learning

Zhiyuan Chen and Bing Liu 2016

Statistical Relational Artificial Intelligence: Logic, Probability, and Computation

Luc De Raedt, Kristian Kersting, Sriraam Natarajan, and David Poole 2016

Representing and Reasoning with Qualitative Preferences: Tools and Applications

Ganesh Ram Santhanam, Samik Basu, and Vasant Honavar 2016

Metric Learning

Aurélien Bellet, Amaury Habrard, and Marc Sebban 2015

Graph-Based Semi-Supervised Learning

Amarnag Subramanya and Partha Pratim Talukdar 2014

Robot Learning from Human Teachers

Sonia Chernova and Andrea L. Thomaz 2014

General Game Playing

Michael Genesereth and Michael Thielscher 2014

Judgment Aggregation: A Primer

Davide Grossi and Gabriella Pigozzi 2014

An Introduction to Constraint-Based Temporal Reasoning

Roman Barták, Robert A. Morris, and K. Brent Venable 2014

Reasoning with Probabilistic and Deterministic Graphical Models: Exact Algorithms

Rina Dechter

2013

Introduction to Intelligent Systems in Traffic and Transportation

Ana L.C. Bazzan and Franziska Klügl 2013

A Concise Introduction to Models and Methods for Automated Planning

Hector Geffner and Blai Bonet 2013

Essential Principles for Autonomous Robotics

Henry Hexmoor 2013

Case-Based Reasoning: A Concise Introduction

Beatriz López 2013

Answer Set Solving in Practice

Martin Gebser, Roland Kaminski, Benjamin Kaufmann, and Torsten Schaub 2012

Planning with Markov Decision Processes: An AI Perspective

Mausam and Andrey Kolobov 2012

Active Learning

Burr Settles 2012

Computational Aspects of Cooperative Game Theory

Georgios Chalkiadakis, Edith Elkind, and Michael Wooldridge 2011

Representations and Techniques for 3D Object Recognition and Scene Interpretation

Derek Hoiem and Silvio Savarese 2011

A Short Introduction to Preferences: Between Artificial Intelligence and Social Choice

Francesca Rossi, Kristen Brent Venable, and Toby Walsh 2011

Human Computation

Edith Law and Luis von Ahn 2011

Trading Agents

Michael P. Wellman 2011

Visual Object Recognition

Kristen Grauman and Bastian Leibe 2011

Learning with Support Vector Machines

Colin Campbell and Yiming Ying 2011

Algorithms for Reinforcement Learning

Csaba Szepesvári 2010

Data Integration: The Relational Logic Approach

Michael Genesereth

2010

Markov Logic: An Interface Layer for Artificial Intelligence

Pedro Domingos and Daniel Lowd 2009

Introduction to Semi-Supervised Learning

XiaojinZhu and Andrew B.Goldberg 2009

Action Programming Languages

Michael Thielscher 2008

Representation Discovery using Harmonic Analysis Sridhar Mahadevan 2008

Essentials of Game Theory: A Concise Multidisciplinary Introduction Kevin Leyton-Brown and Yoav Shoham 2008

A Concise Introduction to Multiagent Systems and Distributed Artificial Intelligence Nikos Vlassis 2007

Intelligent Autonomous Robotics: A Robot Soccer Case Study Peter Stone 2007 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

Reprint of original edition © Morgan & Claypool 2017

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means—electronic, mechanical, photocopy, recording, or any other except for brief quotations in printed reviews, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Game Theory for Data Science: Eliciting Truthful Information

Boi Faltings and Goran Radanovic

ISBN: 978-3-031-00449-0 paperback ISBN: 978-3-031-01577-9 ebook

DOI 10.1007/978-3-031-01577-9

A Publication in the Springer series

SYNTHESIS LECTURES ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING

Lecture #35

Series Editors: Ronald J. Brachman, Jacobs Technion-Cornell Institute at Cornell Tech

Peter Stone, University of Texas at Austin

Series ISSN

Print 1939-4608 Electronic 1939-4616

Game Theory for Data Science

Eliciting Truthful Information

Boi Faltings

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)

Goran Radanovic

Harvard University

SYNTHESIS LECTURES ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING #35

ABSTRACT

Intelligent systems often depend on data provided by information agents, for example, sensor data or crowdsourced human computation. Providing accurate and relevant data requires costly effort that agents may not always be willing to provide. Thus, it becomes important not only to verify the correctness of data, but also to provide incentives so that agents that provide high-quality data are rewarded while those that do not are discouraged by low rewards.

We cover different settings and the assumptions they admit, including sensing, human computation, peer grading, reviews, and predictions. We survey different incentive mechanisms, including proper scoring rules, prediction markets and peer prediction, Bayesian Truth Serum, Peer Truth Serum, Correlated Agreement, and the settings where each of them would be suitable. As an alternative, we also consider reputation mechanisms. We complement the gametheoretic analysis with practical examples of applications in prediction platforms, community sensing, and peer grading.

KEYWORDS

data science, information elicitation, multi-agent systems, computational game theory, machine learning

Contents

	Pref	ace			
	Ack	nowledgmentsxv			
1	Intro	oduction			
	1.1	Motivation			
		1.1.1 Example: Product Reviews			
		1.1.2 Example: Forecasting Polls			
		1.1.3 Example: Community Sensing			
		1.1.4 Example: Crowdwork			
	1.2	Quality Control			
	1.3	Setting			
2	Mec	chanisms for Verifiable Information			
	2.1	Eliciting a Value			
	2.2	Eliciting Distributions: Proper Scoring Rules			
3	Parametric Mechanisms for Unverifiable Information				
	3.1	Peer Consistency for Objective Information			
		3.1.1 Output Agreement			
		3.1.2 Game-theoretic Analysis			
	3.2	Peer Consistency for Subjective Information			
		3.2.1 Peer Prediction Method			
		3.2.2 Improving Peer Prediction Through Automated Mechanism Design 36			
		3.2.3 Geometric Characterization of Peer Prediction Mechanisms 39			
	3.3	Common Prior Mechanisms			
		3.3.1 Shadowing Mechanisms			
		3.3.2 Peer Truth Serum			
	3.4	Applications			
		3.4.1 Peer Prediction for Self-monitoring			
		3.4.2 Peer Truth Serum Applied to Community Sensing			
		3.4.3 Peer Truth Serum in Swissnoise			
		3.4.4 Human Computation			

4	Nonparametric Mechanisms: Multiple Reports				
	4.1	Bayesian Truth Serum	59		
	4.2	Robust Bayesian Truth Serum			
	4.3	Divergence-based BTS	64		
	4.4	Two-stage Mechanisms	69		
	4.5	Applications	69		
5	Non	parametric Mechanisms: Multiple Tasks	71		
	5.1	Correlated Agreement	71		
	5.2	Peer Truth Serum for Crowdsourcing (PTSC)	76		
	5.3	Logarithmic Peer Truth Serum	79		
	5.4	Other Mechanisms	81		
	5.5	Applications	81		
		5.5.1 Peer Grading: Course Quizzes	81		
		5.5.2 Community Sensing	82		
6	Pred	liction Markets: Combining Elicitation and Aggregation	89		
7	Age	Agents Motivated by Influence97			
	7.1	Influence Limiter: Use of Ground Truth	98		
	7.2	Strategyproof Mechanisms When the Ground Truth is not Accessible	. 103		
8	Dec	entralized Machine Learning	. 107		
	8.1	Managing the Information Agents	. 107		
	8.2	From Incentives to Payments	. 111		
	8.3	Integration with Machine Learning Algorithms	. 114		
		8.3.1 Myopic Influence	. 115		
		8.3.2 Bayesian Aggregation into a Histogram			
		8.3.3 Interpolation by a Model			
		8.3.4 Learning a Classifier			
		8.3.5 Privacy Protection			
		8.3.6 Restrictions on Agent Behavior	. 118		
9	Con	aclusions	. 121		
	9.1	Incentives for Quality	. 121		
	9.2	Classifying Peer Consistency Mechanisms	. 122		
	9.3	Information Aggregation	. 125		
	9.4	Future Work	. 125		

Bibliography	127
Authors' Biographies	

Preface

Data has very different characteristics from material objects: its value is crucially dependent on novelty and accuracy, which are determined only from the context where it is generated. On the other hand, it can be freely copied at no extra cost. Thus, it cannot be treated as a resource with an intrinsic value, as is the focus in most of game theory.

Instead, we believe that game theory for data has to focus on incentives for *generating* novel and accurate data, and we bring together a body of recent work that takes this perspective.

We describe a variety of mechanisms that can be used to provide such incentives. We start by showing incentive mechanisms for verifiable information, where a ground truth can be used as a basis for incentives. Most of this book is about the much harder problem of incentives for unverifiable information, where the ground truth is never known. It turns out that even in this case, game-theoretic schemes can provide incentives that make providing accurate and truthful information the best interest of contributors.

We also consider scenarios where agents are mainly interested in influencing the result of learning algorithms through the data they provide, including malicious agents that do not respond to monetary rewards. We show how the negative influence of any individual data provider on learning outcomes can be limited and thus how to thwart malicious reports.

While our main goal is to make the reader understand the principles for constructing incentive mechanisms, we finish by addressing several other aspects that have to be considered for their integration in a practical distributed machine learning system.

This book is a snapshot of the state of the art in this evolving field at the time of this writing. We hope that it will stimulate interest for further research, and make it itself obsolete soon!

Boi Faltings and Goran Radanovic July 2017

Acknowledgments

Our interest in this topic goes back to 2003 and much of the early work was carried out in collaboration with Radu Jurca, who has developed several of the mechanisms described in this book and is responsible for many important insights. We also thank numerous researchers for discussions and comments over the years, in particular Yiling Chen, Vincent Conitzer, Chris Dellarocas, Arpita Ghosh, Kate Larson, David Parkes, David Pennock, Paul Resnick, Tuomas Sandholm, Mike Wellmann, and Jens Witkowski.

Boi Faltings and Goran Radanovic July 2017