Multipath Estimation Using an Intelligent Optimization Algorithm with Non-Gaussian noise

Lan Cheng¹ 1. College of Information Engineering Taiyuan University of Technology Jinzhong, Shanxi, China taolan 1983@126.com

Abstract-Multipath is known to be one of the dominant error sources in high accuracy positioning systems, and multipath estimation is crucial for multipath mitigation. Most existing multipath estimation algorithms usually consider the cases of single mutlipath with Gaussian noise. However, non-Gaussian noises and two-multipath are often encountered in many practical environments. In this paper, a new algorithm is proposed to cope with the multipath estimation problem of the latter. First, the multipath estimation problem is transferred into a constrained optimization problem using the central error entropy criterion (CEEC) as its objective function. The second-order moment of the estimation error and the prior information are taken as constraints to reduce the mean of the estimation error. Then, a modified *ɛ*-constrained rank-based differential evolution (ERDE) algorithm is explored to solve the optimization problem. The proposed algorithm has been compared with the particle filter algorithm using a twomultipath case study example with non-Gaussian noises. The results suggest the proposed algorithm has improved the multipath estimation accuracy.

Keywords-multipath estimation; optimization; central error entropy criterion; non-Gaussian noise

I. INTRODUCTION

Multipath, the replica of direct signal, caused by the reflection of buildings, hills and other obstacles, is one of the dominant error sources for high accuracy positioning systems due to the irrelevancy between different instants and the occurrence uncertainty along the observation period. Many multipath estimation algorithms have been studied to eliminate the positioning error caused by multipath. Extended Kalman filter (EKF) is a useful algorithm for multipath estimation in Gaussian noises [1]. However, it cannot be effectively used to cope with multipath estimation problem with non-Gaussian noises. Alternatively, particle filter (PF) algorithm has been applied for multipath estimation in non-Gaussian noise environment [2]. PF methods use the sequential importance sampling to characterize the posterior probability density function (PDF) of multipath parameters. It involves the approximation of the posterior PDF by a set of random samples taken from an importance density function. The selection of the density function is critical for PF's performance and the optimal one is usually hard to find in most cases. Furthermore, the problem of particle degeneracy also affects the PF's application in multipath estimation. Besides, only single

Hong Yue², Gang Xie¹, Mi F. Ren¹ 2. Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering University of Strathclyde Glasgow, UK hong.yue@strath.ac.uk

multipath is considered in previous works [3-5], although two-multipath case is also a typical scenario in practice.

In this paper, two-multipath case is taken into consideration as a complementary to previous work [5]. The multipath estimation problem is formulated as an optimization problem utilizing the central error entropy criterion (CEEC) instead of the mean square error (MSE) as the objective function to minimize the randomness of the estimation error. One limitation of the optimization strategy in [5] is that it is sensitive to the initial state value and the initial filter gain. In view of this, an alternative optimization method is proposed in this work. Meanwhile, the second-order moment of the estimation error and the prior information of multipath parameters are considered as the constraints to reduce the mean of estimation error. Then, a ε -constrained rank-based differential evolution (ERDE) algorithm is modified to solve the optimization problem.

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows. In section II the multipath signal model and the system model are described. The optimization problem of two-multipath estimation is formulated in section III. The multipath estimation algorithm based on a modified ε RDE algorithm is proposed to solve the aforementioned optimization problem in Section IV. Some simulation studies are presented to show the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm for two-multipath estimation with non-Gaussian noises in section V. Conclusions and the future work are discussed in Section VI.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. Signal Description

In the presence of multipath, the received signal for GNSS can be modeled as an M + 1 path model composed of a direct path signal, $r_{\rm d}(t)$, and M reflected signals, $r_{\rm m}(t)$, plus noise. Then, the corresponding base-band signal in in-phase channel at time *i* can be modeled as

$$r(i) = \alpha_0 c(i - l_0) \cos(\theta_0) + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_m c(i - l_0 - l_m) \cos(\theta_0 + \theta_m) + n(i)$$
⁽¹⁾

where $c(\cdot)$ denotes the C/A code of GNSS signal with the value $c(\cdot) = 1$ or -1. α_0 is the amplitude of the direct signal, α_m is the amplitude of the *m*-th multipath, l_0 is the time delay of the direct signal, l_m is the *m*-th multipath time delay relative to the direct signal, θ_0 is the direct

This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (61603267, 61503271) and China Scholarship Council (201606935041).

signal phase, θ_m is the *m*-th multipath phase delay relative to the direct signal, and n(i) is the noise at time *i*. It is supposed that the received signal can be determined by the signal parameters, i.e., the amplitude, the time delay and the phase delay.

In theory, there can be an infinite number of multipath signals present at any given time. In practice, however, there is rarely more than one or two dominate multipath signals present at any time [3]. In this work, two-multipath case is considered in this paper, i.e. M=2.

B. System Model

The structure of signal tracking in GNSS is shown in Fig.1. The correlator output vector, $\boldsymbol{y}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{k}^{1}, y_{k}^{2}, \cdots, y_{k}^{S} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$, can be obtained by correlating the received signal r(i) with the local C/A code vector $\boldsymbol{c}(i-\hat{l}_{0,k}+\boldsymbol{d}) = \begin{bmatrix} c(i-\hat{l}_{0,k}+\boldsymbol{d}_{1}), \cdots, c(i-\hat{l}_{0,k}+\boldsymbol{d}_{S}) \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$, $\hat{l}_{0,k}$ is the local estimation of $l_{0,k}$, which can be obtained

from the capture stage. Here, $\boldsymbol{d} = [d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s]^T$, d_s ($s = 1, 2, \dots, S$) is the correlator spacing between the *s*-th code and the punctual code, and *S* is the correlator number. $d_s > 0$ corresponds to the early code, $d_s < 0$ corresponds to the late code and $d_s = 0$ refers to the punctual code.

Define

 $\mathbf{x}_{k} = \left[\alpha_{0,k}, \alpha_{1,k}, \cdots, \alpha_{M,k}, \theta_{0,k}, \theta_{1,k}, \cdots, \theta_{M,k}, l_{0,k}, l_{1,k}, \cdots, l_{M,k}, \right]^{T}$ as the multipath parameters vector. \mathbf{x}_{k} can be estimated according to \mathbf{y}_{k} if enough correlator outputs are available. Then, the multipath part can be restructured according to \mathbf{x}_{k} , and the direct signal can be obtained by subtracting the multipath part from the received signal. After further processing, the estimated time delay, $\hat{l}_{0,k+1}$, can be calculated so as to tune the local code generator to make sure the punctual code synchronizes the received signal.



Figure 1. Structure of signal tracking The output of the *s*-th correlator in Fig.1 is

$$y_{k}^{s}\left(\underbrace{A_{0,k}, A_{m,k}, l_{0,k}, l_{m,k}}_{x_{k}}\right) = \frac{1}{GT_{p} / T_{s}} \sum_{i=kK-GT_{p} / T_{s}+1}^{kK} r(i) \cdot c(i - \hat{l}_{0,k} + d_{s})$$

$$= \underbrace{A_{0,k}R(\gamma_{k} - d_{s}) + \sum_{m=1}^{M} A_{m,k}R(\varepsilon_{k} - l_{m,k} - d_{s})}_{B(x_{k})} + n_{k}$$
(2)

where $\gamma_k = \hat{l}_{0,k} - l_{0,k}$. $l_{0,k}$ and $l_{m,k}$ are the direct signal time delay and the *m*-th multipath time delay relative to the direct signal at the *k*-th correlation, respectively. *K* is the sample number in one observation period, GT_p . T_p is the period of C/A code, *G* is an integer. n_k denotes the correlation noise. G = 1 is used in this paper, which indicates that the observation interval between *k*-th correlation and (k+1)-th correlation is 1 ms for GPS C/A code. $A_{0,k}$ and $A_{m,k}$ are the composite amplitudes of the direct signal and the multipath at the *k*-th correlation, respectively, and $A_{0,k} = \alpha_{0,k} \cos(\theta_{0,k})$, $A_{m,k} = \alpha_{m,k} \cos(\theta_{0,k} + \theta_{m,k})$. For simplicity, *k* is used to represent the *k*-th correlation hereafter. $R(\varepsilon)$ is the ideal autocorrelation function,

$$R(\gamma_{k}) = \frac{1}{GT_{p} / T_{s}} \sum_{i=kK-GT_{p}/T_{s}+1}^{kK} c(i-l_{0,k}) \cdot c(i-\hat{l}_{0,k})$$

$$\approx \begin{cases} 1 - |\gamma_{k}|, & |\gamma_{k}| \le 1T_{c} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

From (2), it can be seen that the parameters to be estimated at time k are grouped to

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k} = \left[A_{0,k}, A_{1,k}, \cdots, A_{M,k}, l_{0,k}, l_{1,k}, \cdots, l_{M,k}, \right]^{T}$$

Assume x_k is not changed during the correlation period and is only related to previous state x_{k-1} . Then, the system model can be formulated as a first-order Markov process [1].

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k} = \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k-1}) + \boldsymbol{w}_{k} \tag{3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}_k = \boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{x}_k) + \boldsymbol{v}_k \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times 1}$ denotes the state vectors, D=2(M+1). $A(\cdot)$ is the system matrix depending on the state vector $\mathbf{x}_k \cdot \mathbf{w}_k$ is the system noise assumed to be Gaussian distributed with the zero mean and the covariance matrix $\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{y}_k$ is the observing vector with $\mathbf{y}_k = \begin{bmatrix} y_k^1, y_k^2, \dots, y_k^S \end{bmatrix}^T$ and y_k^s is obtained according to (2). $B(\cdot)$ is the measurement matrix depending on \mathbf{x}_k , \mathbf{v}_k is the measurement noise with the zero mean and it is supposed to be non-Gaussian distributed.

The main purpose of this paper is to recursively estimate x_k based on the observing vector y_k . The statistics of state estimation error, $e_k = y_k - \hat{y}_k$, are used to describe the estimation performance, where e_k is the input to performance index function and $\hat{y}_k = B(\hat{x}_k)$, \hat{x}_k is the filter result of x_k .

III. CONSTRAINED MULTIPATH ESTIMATION PROBLEM

In this section, the multipath estimation problem is transferred into a constrained optimization problem.

A. Objective Function Design

Since the concept of entropy is proposed by Shannon [6], there are many kinds of entropy are proposed for different purposes. Among these criteria, CEEC is proposed as a compromise between the minimum error entropy criterion (MEEC) and the maximum correntropy criterion (MCC) to overcome the problem of MEEC being shift-invariant and MCC being a local measure. The objective function of CEEC can be formulated as

$$J_{\text{CEEC},k} = \lambda J_{\text{MCC},k} + (1 - \lambda) J_{\text{MEEC},k}$$
(5)

where $J_{\text{MCC},k}$ is the objective function of MCC, and $J_{\text{MEEC},k}$ is the objective function of MEEC, λ is a weighting constant ranging from 0 to 1. It is obvious that the CEEC is simplified into MEEC when $\lambda=0$ and MCC when $\lambda=1$.

Now, we will preview some basic knowledge of MEEC and MCC. MEEC estimation aims to minimize the entropy of the estimation error, and hence decreases the uncertainty in estimation error. The Renyi's entropy is adopted because of its easy calculation. Assume a random variable e with PDF f(e), the second-order Renyi's entropy is defined by [7]

$$H_2(\boldsymbol{e}) = -\log \int f^2(\boldsymbol{e}) d\boldsymbol{e}$$
 (6)

The kernel density estimation (KDE) is used as a datadriven method in this paper. Given a set of i.i.d. data $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{N}$ drawn from the distribution, the KDE of the PDF is

$$\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{e}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}(\boldsymbol{e} - \boldsymbol{e}_i)$$
(7)

where N is the number of sample, Σ is the kernel parameter, $G_{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{e}-\boldsymbol{e}_i)$ is a multi-dimension Gaussian function with the form as follows.

$$G_{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{e}-\boldsymbol{e}_{i}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{s} (\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma})}} \bullet \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{e}-\boldsymbol{e}_{i})^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{e}-\boldsymbol{e}_{i})\right)$$
(8)

In this paper, Σ is assumed to be a diagonal matrix with the *s*-th diagonal element being the variance δ_s^2 for e_s in *e*. The kernel parameter is a free parameter that must be chosen by the user.

Therefore, using KDE, the Renyi's quadratic entropy can be formulated as following [6],

$$H_{2}(\boldsymbol{e}) = -\log \int \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{e} - \boldsymbol{e}_{i})\right)^{2} d\boldsymbol{e}$$

$$= -\log \frac{1}{N^{2}} \int \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} G_{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{e} - \boldsymbol{e}_{i}) G_{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{e} - \boldsymbol{e}_{j})\right) d\boldsymbol{e} \quad (9)$$

$$= -\log \frac{1}{N^{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} G_{\sqrt{2}\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{e}_{i} - \boldsymbol{e}_{j})\right)$$

$$= -\log V(\boldsymbol{e})$$

where

$$V(\boldsymbol{e}) = \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} G_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_i - \boldsymbol{e}_j \right) \right)$$
(10)

V(e) is called the information potential (IP) of variable eand $\Sigma_2 = \sqrt{2}\Sigma$. Thus, minimizing the Renyi's entropy $H_2(e)$ is equivalent to maximizing the IP V(e) owing to the monotonic increasing property of the log(\cdot) function. In order to reduce the calculation complexity, the instantaneous information potential $V_k(e)$ instead of V(e)is used as the objective function, i.e.,

$$V_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{e}\right) = \frac{1}{W} \left(\sum_{i=k-W+1}^{k} G_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{k}-\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right) \right)$$
(11)

Given $e_1, e_2, \dots e_s$ are independent of each other, together with the characteristics of the multidimensional Gaussian PDF, we can obtain $V_k(e)$ by applying the Parzen window technique to the objective function of MEEC.

$$J_{\text{MEEC},k} = \frac{1}{W} \sum_{i=k-W+1}^{k} G_{\Sigma_2} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_k - \boldsymbol{e}_i \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{W} \sum_{i=k-W+1}^{k} \prod_{s=1}^{S} \kappa_{\delta_{2,s}} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_k^s - \boldsymbol{e}_i^s \right)$$
(12)

where *W* is the length of the Parzen window, $\kappa_{\delta}(e) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi} \delta) \exp(e^2/2\delta^2)$ is a Gaussian kernel function. Thus, $J_{\text{MEEC},k}$ needs to be maximized in order to minimize the randomness of estimation error.

However, the MEE criterion is shift-invariant. Therefore, the MCC is integrated into MEEC to overcome this shortcoming. With Gaussian kernel, correntropy is a localized similarity measure between two random variables. Correntropy is a robust adaptation criterion in presence of non-Gaussian impulsive noise [8].

For MCC, the objective is to maximize the following index,

$$J_{\text{MCC},k} = E\left[G_{\Sigma_1}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_k\right)\right] \tag{13}$$

 Σ_1 is the kernel parameter of $J_{MCC,k}$. In practical applications, one often uses the following empirical correntropy instead,

$$U_{\text{MCC},k} = \frac{1}{W} \sum_{i=k-W+1}^{k} G_{\mathcal{L}_{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{k}\right)$$
(14)

 $J_{\text{MCC},k}$ in (14) is used as the objective function for MCC. The limitation of MCC lies that it is a local criterion because it only cares about the local part of error PDF falling within the kernel bandwidth. Thus, the kernel size has to be chosen carefully.

Then, the objective function under CEEC is formulated as

$$J_{\text{CEEC},k}\left(\boldsymbol{e}\right) = \lambda \left[\frac{1}{W} \sum_{i=k-W+1}^{k} G_{\Sigma_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right)\right] + (1-\lambda) \left[\frac{1}{W} \sum_{i=k-W+1}^{k} G_{\Sigma_{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{k}-\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right)\right]$$
(15)

The maximum problem can be transformed into a minimum optimization problem by the following formula.

$$J_{\text{CEEC},k}\left(\boldsymbol{e}\right) = -\lambda \left[\frac{1}{W} \sum_{i=k-W+1}^{k} G_{\Sigma_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right)\right]$$

$$-(1-\lambda) \left[\frac{1}{W} \sum_{i=k-W+1}^{k} G_{\Sigma_{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{k}-\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right)\right]$$

$$(16)$$

In this way, the objective function is constructed by (16).

B. Constrained Conditions

In order to remove the mean error of the estimation result, the second-order moment of estimation error is expected to be zero, i.e.,

$$E(\boldsymbol{e}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{e}) = 0 \tag{17}$$

and it is calculated by the following statistical information,

$$E(\boldsymbol{e}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{e}) \approx \frac{1}{W} \sum_{i=k-W+1}^{k} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right)$$
(18)

where $E(\cdot)$ means an expectation operator.

To solve the optimization problem with equality constraint (17), a common strategy is to convert the equality constraint into an inequality constraint by setting a very small threshold. Thus, (17) can be transformed into an inequality constraint

$$\frac{1}{W} \sum_{i=k-W+1}^{k} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{e}_{i} \right) < threshold \tag{19}$$

where *threshold* is a small positive number, such as threshold = 10^{-5} .

Meanwhile, according to the physical characteristic of multipath that the multipath signal is normally weaker than the direct signal since some signal power is lost due to reflection, which means the multipath amplitude is smaller than the direct signal amplitude. i.e.,

$$\alpha_{m,k} < \alpha_{0,k} \tag{20}$$

with *m*=1, 2, ..., *M*

Without the loss of generality, the assumption that the first multipath has the smallest relative time delay and the second multipath has a longer time delay compared with the first one and so on is taken. Accordingly,

$$l_{m,k} < l_{m+1,k}$$
(21)

with $m+1 \leq M$.

C. Boundary Conditions

The boundary conditions are given according to the physical characteristics of multipath. Normalize the direct signal amplitude and the multipath amplitude, then $\alpha_{0,k}$ and $\alpha_{m, k}$ will be less than or equal to 1. The estimation error in acquisition process is usually less than $0.5T_c$, so $-0.5T_c \le \gamma_k \le 0.5T_c$. The multipath signal arrives after the direct signal for the reason that it must travel a longer distance over the propagation path, so the multipath time delay is longer than the direct signal time delay, i.e. $l_m \ge 0$. The short multipath with time delay $0 \le l_m \le 2T_c$ is only considered since the multipath with longer time delay can be ignored due to the autocorrelation properties of C/Acode. Accordingly, the boundary consideration can be given as

$$0 < \alpha_{0,k} \le 1 \tag{22}$$

$$0 \le \alpha_{m\,k} \le 1 \tag{23}$$

$$-0.5T_c \le \gamma_k \le 0.5T_c \tag{24}$$

$$0 \le l_{m,k} < 2T_c \tag{25}$$

Thus far the multipath estimation problem has been converted into a constrained optimization problem with the objective function (16), the constrained conditions (17), (20), and (21) and the boundary conditions (22) -(25). In this optimization problem, the dimension of the estimation parameters is 2(M+1), the number of equality constraint is one, the number of non-equality constraints is 2M.

IV. MULTIPATH ESTIMATION BASED ON MODIFIED *E***RDE** ALGORITHM

In this paper, a modified ε RDE algorithm is explored find the optimal solution of the constructed to optimization problem for its global search ability. ERDE algorithm, which is especially suitable for the constrained optimization problem with equality constrained conditions, is proposed by Takahama T, Sakai S [9].

In ε -constrained methods, the constraint violation $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ can be given by the following formulas [9].

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} \left| \max\left\{ 0, g_i(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \right|^q + \sum_{j} \left\| h_j(\mathbf{x}) \right\|^q$$
(26)

where q is a positive integer, q=1 is chosen in this paper, • means the absolute operation, • denotes the 2-norm operation. The main idea of ε -constrained method is to sort the individuals based on a ε -level comparison strategy. The ε -level comparison defines a rank R_b for a given individual by comparing the pair $(f(x), \phi(x))$ of the given individual and that of other individuals. The rank of an individual is used to calculate its corresponding scale factor F_p and crossover rate CR_p for differential individual. The details of F_p and C_p can be found in [9].

The flowchart of the proposed algorithm is given in Fig.2 and the flowchart of the modified ε RDE algorithm is shown in Fig.3. In Fig.2, it is noted that the best individual is chosen as the estimation results \hat{x}_k at each iteration.



The reason of modification to ε RDE algorithm lies that the original algorithm is not designed for iteration estimation and it is only used to search for an optimal solution to a given function. Therefore, we make a change to the ε -level updating strategy to guarantee it is suitable for iterative estimation, which can be expressed as

$$\varepsilon(k) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon(1) \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{T_{\text{con}}}\right)^{cp}, & 1 < k \le T_{\text{con}} \\ 0, & k > T_{\text{con}} \end{cases}$$
(27)

with $\varepsilon(1)$ is the sum of the constraint violation degree of the top *a*-th individual and $a=0.2N_p$ is chosen in this paper. $T_{\rm con}$ and cp are constants.

For (27), in the initial iteration stage a larger constraint violation is allowed. The individuals after evolution will approach the global optimum but not converge exactly to one point.





In order to reduce the complexity of ε RDE algorithm, the case of $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ is not taken into consideration due to the fact that it is of very small probability for $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ in practical applications. As a result, the ε rank comparison defined in [9] can be simplified as follows.



$$(f_1, \varphi_1) < (f_2, \varphi_2) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} f_1 < f_2, & \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \le \varepsilon \\ \varphi_1 < \varphi_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(28)

$$(f_1, \varphi_1) \leq (f_2, \varphi_2) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} f_1 \leq f_2, & \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \leq \varepsilon \\ \varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(29)

V. SIMULATION ANALYSES

A C/A signal of GPS is simulated assuming a scenario composed of a direct signal and two multipaths. The multipaths are in-phase, which is the worst possible case, which means $A_{m,k} = \alpha_{m,k}$, m=0, 1, 2. The multipath parameters are supposed to be unchanged during observation period. The system noise is assumed to be Gaussian noise with the mean being zero and the covariance matrix Q=diag(0.001*ones(1, 2(M+1))). The correlator number should be larger than or equal to the state dimension number, i.e., $S \ge D$. In this simulation, set S=9 ($d_s=0.7T_c$, $0.5T_c$, $0.3T_c$, $0.1T_c$, $0, -0.1T_c$, $-0.3T_c$, $-0.5T_c$, $-0.7T_c$). The true values are set as $A_0=0.9$, $A_1=0.6$, $A_2=0.3$, $l_0=100T_c$, $l_1=0.2T_c$, $l_2=0.6T_c$. $T_s=T_c/10$, $\hat{l}_0 = 100.2T_c$. Our goal is to estimate A_0 , A_1 , A_2 , l_0 , l_1 , l_2 .

The non-Gaussian measurement noise with Gaussian mixture PDF $f=\lambda_1 N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)+\lambda_2 N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ is constructed for simulation, where $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is a Gaussian distribution with the mean μ and variance σ^2 . λ_1 and λ_2 are the weights corresponding to the first Gaussian individual and the second Gaussian individual with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$. The simulation parameters are given in Table.1. TABLE.1

SIMULATION SETTING UNDER NON-GAUSSIAN NOISE

SIMULATION SETTING UNDER NON-GAUSSIAN NOISE							
parameter	CR _{min}	CR_{max}	F_{\min}	F_{max}	D	N_p	EF_{max}
value	0.85	0.95	0.6	0.95	6	60	200
parameter	ср	$T_{\rm con}$	$A_{i,\min}$	$A_{i,\max}$	γ_{\min}	γ_{max}	$l_{i,\min}$
value	5	100	0	1	-0.5	0.5	0
parameter	$l_{i,\max}$	W	δ_1^2	δ_2^2	Thres- hold	λ_1	λ_2
value	2	100	0.04	0.019	10-5	0.9	0.1
parameter	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1^2	σ_2^2	λ		
value	0	0	10	100	0.67		



Figure 4. The estimation results for two multipaths



Figure 5. The error PDFs of the proposed algorithm

The comparisons between the proposed algorithm and a standard PF algorithm are shown in Fig.4. The same initial population is used for the proposed algorithm and PF. It can be seen the proposed algorithm has a better estimation accuracy and smaller randomness. To further inspect the performance of the proposed algorithm, the error PDF of the proposed algorithm is shown in Fig.5. We can observe that the error PDF of the proposed algorithm becomes more and more concentrated around zero mean as the iteration proceeds, which indicates the estimation error becomes narrower and the randomness of estimation error becomes smaller.

VI CONCLUSION

In this paper, a modified ε RDE algorithm is proposed

REFERENCES

- [1] E. S. Lohan, R. Hamila, A Lakhzouri, M. Renfors, "Highly efficient techniques for mitigation the effects of multipath propagation in DS-CDMA delay estimation," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communication*, vol.4, no. 1, pp. 149-162, Jan. 2005.
- [2] C. Pau, F. P. Carles, "A Bayesian approach to multipath mitigation in GNSS receivers," IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 695-706, 2009.
- [3] B. R. Townsend, P. C. Fenton, K. J. Van Dierendonck, et al, "Performance evaluation of the multipath estimating delay lock loop," *Navigation*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 503-514, Jan. 1995.
- [4] R. A. Iltis. "Joint Estimation of PN Code Delay and Multipath Using the Extend Kalman Filter," *IEEE Transactions on Communication*, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 1677-1685, 1990.

to solve the two-multipath estimation problem in non-Gaussian noise. Compared with the previous work on multipath estimation, the contributions of this paper are two folds: (1) two- multipath case is considered; (2) the multipath problem is solved as an optimization problem with CEEC as its objective, the second-order moment of estimation error and the prior information being considered as the constraints. A ε RDE algorithm is modified to solve the formulated problem. The simulation results verified the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm for two-multipath estimation. At the current stage, only the static multipath is considered and the proposed algorithm appears to be more time consuming compared with the PF algorithm. These issues will be further investigated in the future work.

- [5] L. Cheng, M. F. Ren, G. Xie, "Multipath estimation based on centered error entropy criterion for non-Gaussian Noise," *IEEE Access*, DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2639049, 2016.
- [6] C. Shannon, W. Weaver, "The mathematical theory of communication", University of Illinois Press, Urbana, 1949.
- [7] Y. Liu, H. Wang, C. H. Hou, "UKF based nonlinear filtering using minimum entropy criterion," *IEEE Transaction on Signal Processing*, vol. 61, no.20, pp. 4988-4999, Oct. 2013.
- [8] W. F. Liu, P. P. Puskal, J. C. Principle. "Correntropy: properties and applications in non-Gaussian signal processing," *IEEE Transaction on Signal Processing*, vol. 55, no.11, pp. 5286- pp.5298, Nov. 2007.
- [9] T. Takahama, S. Sakai. "Efficient constrained optimization by the ε constrained rank-based differential evolution," *IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, Brisbane, Australia, June, pp.10-15, 2012.