P. Otero et al. (Eds.)

© 2022 International Medical Informatics Association (IMIA) and IOS Press. This article is published online with Open Access by IOS Press and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0). doi:10.3233/SHTI220282

Prediction of Future Health Care Utilization Through Note-Extracted Psychosocial Factors

David A. Dorra, Taylor Kinga, Ana Quiñonesb

^a Department of Medical Informatics and Clinical Epidemiology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, USA ^b Department of Family Medicine, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, USA

Abstract

Psychosocial factors are known to have adverse health impacts, but are rarely measured; using natural language processing, we extracted factors that identified a higher risk segment of older adults with multimorbidity. We find these extracted features are highly predictive of future emergency department visits and hospitalizations, although only marginal prediction gains are seen compared to other models without these factors. Combining these extraction techniques with other measures of social determinants may help catalyze population health efforts to mitigate these health impacts.

Keywords:

multimorbidity, psychosocial, biopsychosocial

Introduction

Over one-fourth of Americans, and three-fourths of those over 65, live with multiple chronic conditions, or multimorbidity (≥2 multiple chronic conditions). 1,2 However, physical conditions alone are often not the major contributor to poor health outcomes; rather, social and behavioral factors contribute significantly to worsened quality of life, increased disability, exacerbations of illness, and premature mortality. These psychosocial complexities (e.g., social isolation, food insecurity, financial insecurity) are also social determinants of health and contribute powerfully to racial/ethnic disparities in outcomes among older adults. There is growing awareness of the importance of understanding and managing the most impactful constructs - which we term psychosocial vital signs - in conjunction with biomedical problems that patients develop.4,5

From the biopsychosocial perspective,6 uncovering the interconnections, overlap, and causal pathways between biomedical, psychological, and social processes remain important gaps. And as of yet, these represent untapped opportunities to identify best practices that address the specific and unique barriers to effective chronic disease management for older adults with complex care needs. Key psychosocial vital signs for this cohort - those related to illness complications and increased disability - include social isolation, chronic stress, financial insecurity, housing, and food insecurity, among others

Despite their potential utility, assessment of these concepts are infrequent in clinical care, despite their relationship to health. Validated questionnaires have shown significant promise in assessing social and psychological constructs, but their implementation outside of research settings is limited. The National Academy of Medicine assessed behavioral and social constructs that were feasible, available, and scientifically acceptable, and several groups have worked to try to implement collection of these constructs in care settings.8 Initial results, however, are promising but limited9-11: entering and reviewing structured data has a significant cost in the time of medical professionals, and recent work we have done has shown that adding structured entry forms rarely leads to consistent capture. Without reliable data, we can't help overburdened clinical teams know when it is crucial to collect or obtain this information and when to include it in care decisions. We and others find these vital signs embedded in narrative notes. However, Greenwald et al. demonstrated that one could mine the narrative notes using simple keywords and consistently find a number of social and behavioral issues in inpatients;12 and Feller reports success in detecting these concepts in HIV patients. 13

Our objective was to extract these psychosocial factors: social isolation, chronic stress, housing insecurity, and financial insecurity, from chart notes using natural language processing and predict their impact on healthcare utilization for patients with multimorbidity.

Methods

We completed a cohort study to understand the benefit of extracting 4 key psychosocial variables on prediction of future utilization within a health system. All data were extracted from the Electronic Health Record (EHR) as either structured (from discrete clinical fields) or unstructured (from narrative notes) data.

Patients were part of the initial population if they were 65 and older and seen during 2016-2017 at a large academic health system; the health system sees 350,000 unique patients per year with roughly 1 million visits to > 90 ambulatory clinics, 2 hospitals, and 2 Emergency Departments.

Results

In all, 74,340 patients were eligible; the majority were female (54%) and white (89%). Those with psychosocial factors were older, had higher baseline utilization, and more chronic illnesses. The four psychosocial factors all independently predicted future utilization (ORs=1.27 to 2.77, c-statistics=0.63-.77). Accounting for demographics, specific conditions, and previous utilization, 3 of 4 of the extracted factors remained predictive (ORs= 1.13 to 1.86) for future utilization; compared to models with no psychosocial factors, they had small increases in discrimination but were highly predictive for key segments of the multimorbid populations.

Conclusions

Psychosocial factors extracted from natural language processing were predictive of hospitalization, ED visits, and deaths, even when accounting for comorbidities, age, sex, race, and previous utilization. The net benefit to the models, however, was small compared to those without psychosocial factors, with only 1-3 / 100 additional patients accurately predicted. Individual models with predefined populations showed strong prediction for unadjusted models predicting onset of conditions associated with the psychosocial factors (stress – atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; social isolation – depression), but mixed results in focused models adjusted for other factors. For instance, patients with diabetes had better prediction models for hospitalizations from homelessness and financial insecurity, but not for depression and social isolation.

In all, psychosocial concepts extracted from natural language processing of clinical notes were highly predictive of future utilization and death, even without other factors about the patients. These approaches may help us understand the vast disparities in health care and improve our systems of health and health

Acknowledgements

Internal funding was used for the work; the work was approved by the ethics review board.

References

- Tinetti ME, Fried TR, Boyd CM. Designing health care for the most common chronic condition—multimorbidity. JAMA. 2012;307(23):2493-4.
- [2] Salive ME. Multimorbidity in older adults. Epidemiologic Reviews. 2013;35:75-83
- [3] Shippee ND, Shah ND, May CR, Mair FS, Montori VM. Cumulative complexity: a functional, patient-centered model of patient complexity can improve research and practice. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 2012;65(10):1041-51.
- [4] Suls J, Rothman A. Evolution of the biopsychosocial model: prospects and challenges for health psychology. Health psychology: official journal of the Division of Health Psychology, American Psychological Association. 2004;23(2):119-25.
- [5] Borrell-Carrio F, Suchman AL, Epstein RM. The biopsychosocial model 25 years later: principles, practice, and scientific inquiry. Annals of family medicine. 2004;2(6):576-82.
- [6] Engel GL. The clinical application of the biopsychosocial model. The American journal of psychiatry. 1980;137(5):535-44.
- [7] Fava GA, Sonino N. The biopsychosocial model thirty years later. Psychotherapy and psychosomatics. 2008;77(1):1-2.
- [8] IOM. Capturing social and behavioral domains and measures in electronic health records: Phase 2. Washington, DC: Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2014.
- [9] Gold R, Cottrell E, Bunce A, Middendorf M, Hollombe C, Cowburn S, et al. Developing Electronic Health Record (EHR) Strategies Related to Health Center Patients' Social Determinants of Health. The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine. 2017;30(4):428-47.

- [10] Bazemore AW, Cottrell EK, Gold R, Hughes LS, Phillips RL, Angier H, et al. "Community vital signs": incorporating geocoded social determinants into electronic records to promote patient and population health. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA. 2015;23(2):407-12.
- [11] DeVoe JE, Bazemore AW, Cottrell EK, Likumahuwa-Ackman S, Grandmont J, Spach N, et al. Perspectives in Primary Care: A Conceptual Framework and Path for Integrating Social Determinants of Health Into Primary Care Practice. The Annals of Family Medicine. 2016;14(2):104-8.
- [12] Greenwald JL, Cronin PR, Carballo V, Danaei G, Choy G. A Novel Model for Predicting Rehospitalization Risk Incorporating Physical Function, Cognitive Status, and Psychosocial Support Using Natural Language Processing. Medical care. 2016.
- [13] Feller DJ, Bear Don't Walk Iv OJ, Zucker J, Yin MT, Gordon P, Elhadad N. Detecting Social and Behavioral Determinants of Health with Structured and Free-Text Clinical Data. Appl Clin Inform. 2020;11(1):172-81.

Address for correspondence

David A. Dorr, MD, MS; dorrd@ohsu.edu; 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, Portland, OR 97239, USA.