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#### Abstract

We introduce certain generalisations of the characters of the classical Lie groups, extending the recently defined factorial characters of Foley and King. In this extension, the factorial powers are replaced with an arbitrary sequence of polynomials, as in Sergeev-Veselov's generalised Schur functions and Okada's generalised Schur Pand Q -functions. We also offer a similar generalisation for the rational Schur functions. We derive Littlewood-type identities for our generalisations. These identities allow us to give new (unflagged) Jacobi-Trudi identities for the Foley-King factorial characters and for rational versions of the factorial Schur functions. We also propose an extension of the original Macdonald's ninth variation of Schur functions to the case of symplectic and orthogonal characters, which helps us prove NägelsbachKostka identities.


Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05E05, 05E10

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation

In the paper "Schur functions: theme and variations" I. G. Macdonald [18] listed nine generalisations of Schur functions, which he called variations. The 6th variation is the factorial Schur functions. The ninth variation unifies variations 4-8.

The factorial Schur functions are defined as follows (see Macdonald [18], Molev [20]). Take a sequence of variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and a doubly infinite sequence of indeterminates $c=\left(c_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Define the factorial (interpolation) powers

$$
(x \mid c)^{n}=\left(x-c_{0}\right) \cdots\left(x-c_{n-1}\right) .
$$

[^0]Given a partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ of length $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$, the corresponding factorial Schur function $s_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$ is the ratio of alternants

$$
s_{\lambda}(x \mid c)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\left(x_{i} \mid c\right)^{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}}{\operatorname{det}\left[\left(x_{i} \mid c\right)^{n-j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}} .
$$

It is easy to see that the denominator equals the usual Vandermonde determinant, so $s_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$ is a (non-homogeneous) symmetric polynomial. Setting all $c_{n}=0$, the polynomial $s_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$ turns into the usual Schur polynomial $s_{\lambda}(x)$. In the $c_{k}=k$ specialisation, one recovers the shifted Schur polynomials $s_{\lambda}^{*}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ in the variables $y_{i}=x_{i}-n+i$, see Okounkov-Olshanski [24]. The $c_{k}=q^{n-k-1}$ specialisation gives the $q=t$ case of Olshanski's interpolation polynomials $I_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; q, t\right)$, see Olshanski [26, (4.1)].

One can also define factorial analogues of the complete and elementary symmetric polynomials:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
h_{k}(x \mid c)=s_{(k, 0, \ldots, 0)}(x \mid c), \quad k \geqslant 0 \\
e_{k}(x \mid c)=s_{\left(1^{k}\right)}(x \mid c), & 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n
\end{array}
$$

It turns out that the factorial Schur functions satisfy analogues of the Jacobi-Trudi, Nägelsbach-Kostka (dual Jacobi-Trudi), Giambelli, Cauchy and dual Cauchy identities, as well as a combinatorial formula representing them as a sum over semistandard Young tableaux. To state these formulas, introduce the shift operators $\tau^{r}$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ acting on the sequence $c$ as

$$
\left(\tau^{r} c\right)_{n}=c_{n+r} .
$$

The Jacobi-Trudi and Nägelsbach-Kostka formulas take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda}(x \mid c)=\operatorname{det}\left[h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}=\operatorname{det}\left[e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i+j}\left(x \mid \tau^{j-1} c\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{\lambda_{1}}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda^{\prime}$ is the transpose of $\lambda, h_{k}(x \mid \cdot)=e_{k}(x \mid \cdot)=0$ for $k<0$, and $e_{k}(x \mid \cdot)=0$ for $k>n$, see Macdonald $[18,(6.7)]$. The Giambelli identity remains valid in its original form:

$$
s_{\lambda}(x \mid c)=\operatorname{det}\left[s_{\left(\alpha_{i} \mid \beta_{j}\right)}(x \mid c)\right]_{i, j=1}^{d},
$$

where $\lambda=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d} \mid \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right)$ in the Frobenius notation. The Cauchy identity, due to Molev [20, Theorem 3.1] and Olshanski in the special case of shifted Schur functions (see also Olshanski [26, Proposition 4.8]), becomes

$$
\sum_{\substack{\lambda \text { partition } \\ \ell(\lambda) \leqslant n}} s_{\lambda}(x \mid c) \widehat{s}_{\lambda}(u \mid c)=\frac{1}{\prod_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)},
$$

where $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ is another sequence of variables. Here the dual object, $\widehat{s}_{\lambda}(u \mid c)$, is not a symmetric polynomial anymore, but a symmetric power series in $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$.

Macdonald's ninth variation of Schur functions is defined in the following way. Let $h_{r s}(r \geqslant 1, s \in \mathbb{Z})$ be indeterminates. Also, set $h_{0 s}=1$ and $h_{r s}=0$ for $r<0$ and all $s$.

Define an authomorphism $\varphi$ of the ring generated by the $h_{r s}$ by $\varphi\left(h_{r s}\right)=h_{r, s+1}$, so that we can write $h_{r s}=\varphi^{s} h_{r}$, where $h_{r}=h_{r 0}$. Let $\lambda$ be a partition of length $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$. The ninth variation is defined as

$$
s_{\lambda}=\operatorname{det}\left[\varphi^{1-j} h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n} .
$$

This emulates the factorial Jacobi-Trudi identity: if we specialise $\varphi^{s} h_{r}=h_{r}\left(x \mid \tau^{s} c\right)$, we obtain the Jacobi-Trudi determinant for the factorial Schur functions.

It turns out that already in the generality of Macdonald's ninth variation, one can prove the Nägelsbach-Kostka and Giambelli identities: we have

$$
s_{\lambda}=\operatorname{det}\left[\varphi^{j-1} e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i+j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{\lambda_{1}}=\operatorname{det}\left[s_{\left(\alpha_{i} \mid \beta_{j}\right)}\right]_{i, j=1}^{d},
$$

where $e_{k}=s_{\left(1^{k}\right)}$ for $k \geqslant 0$, and $e_{k}=0$ for $k<0$, see Macdonald [18, (9.6'), (9.7)].
Subsequent to Macdonald's paper, several other generalisations of the Schur functions appeared, some of which are also referred to as ninth variations.

Nakagawa-Noumi-Shirakawa-Yamada [21] start with a bialternant formula and deduce flagged ${ }^{1}$ versions of the Jacobi-Trudi and Nägelsbach-Kostka identities, an unflagged Giambelli identity, and a combinatorial formula. Namely, take an infinite matrix of variables $X=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{1 \leqslant i, j<\infty}$. Given a partition $\lambda$ of length $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$, assign to it the increasing sequence of integers

$$
\lambda_{n}+1<\lambda_{n-1}+2<\cdots<\lambda_{1}+n
$$

Let $\xi_{\lambda}^{(n)}(X)$ denote the minor of $X$ corresponding to the rows $1, \ldots, n$ and columns $\lambda_{n}+$ $1, \lambda_{n-1}+2, \ldots, \lambda_{1}+n$. Nakagawa-Noumi-Shirakawa-Yamada define their ninth variation as the ratio

$$
S_{\lambda}^{(n)}=\frac{\xi_{\lambda}^{(n)}(X)}{\xi_{\varnothing}^{(n)}(X)}
$$

If we specialise $x_{i j}=\left(x_{i} \mid c\right)^{j}$, we get the definition of the factorial Schur functions. Note that the superscript $(n)$ indicates the number of rows used, i.e. the number of variables $x_{i}$ in the specialisation to factorial Schur functions. One can also consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{k}^{(n)} & =S_{(k, 0, \ldots, 0)}^{(n)}, \quad k \geqslant 0 \\
e_{k}^{(n)} & =S_{\left(1^{k}\right)}^{(n)}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]and we also define $h_{k}^{(n)}=e_{k}^{(n)}=0$ for $k<0$. Then the following flagged Jacobi-Trudi and Nägelsbach-Kostka formulas hold,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\lambda}^{(n)}=\operatorname{det}\left[h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}^{(n+1-j)}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}=\operatorname{det}\left[e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i+j}^{(n+j-1)}\right]_{i, j=1}^{\lambda_{1}}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

as well as the (unflagged) Giambelli formula

$$
S_{\lambda}^{(n)}=\operatorname{det}\left[h_{\left(\alpha_{i} \mid \beta_{j}\right)}^{(n)}\right]_{i, j=1}^{d} .
$$

In [8], Foley and King start with a combinatorial formula and prove outside decomposition determinantal identities (Hamel-Goulden determinants), which include the JacobiTrudi, Nägelsbach-Kostka, and Giambelli identities. Since the present paper does not deal with combinatorial formulas, we omit the details. See also [9].

Sergeev and Veselov [30] consider a ninth variation associated with a sequence of polynomials, which they call generalised Schur functions. They take a sequence of polynomials $\left(f_{n}(x)\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ satisfying a certain recurrence relation, which is not important for the purposes of this introduction, and consider the ratio

$$
S_{\lambda}(x)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[f_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}}{\operatorname{det}\left[f_{n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}}
$$

The recurrence relation allows them to produce versions of the Jacobi-Trudi and Giambelli identities. Functions of this kind also appear in Itoh [12] and Olshanski [26] under the name of Schur-type functions. The Sergeev-Veselov generalisation is the one we are most concerned with in this paper.

These functions also appear in Okada [23], where Schur's $P$ - and $Q$-functions associated with an arbitrary sequence of polynomials are introduced, and no recurrence relations on the sequence are assumed. Along with other results, Okada proves a Cauchy identity for the generalised Schur $P$-functions.

All the aforementioned works take place in the type A. Recently Foley and King [6] introduced factorial analogues of the characters of the other classical Lie groups (see also Foley-King [7], where they introduce factorial analogues of the type BCD Schur $Q$ functions). They define them deforming the respective Weyl character formulas: writing $\bar{x}_{i}$ for $x_{i}^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{sp}_{\lambda}(x \mid c) & =\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}\left(x_{i} \mid c\right)^{\lambda_{j}+n-j}-\bar{x}_{i}\left(\bar{x}_{i} \mid c\right)^{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}\left(x_{i} \mid c\right)^{n-j}-\bar{x}_{i}\left(\bar{x}_{i} \mid c\right)^{n-j}\right]}, \\
\mathrm{so}_{\lambda}(x \mid c) & =\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{1 / 2}\left(x_{i} \mid c\right)^{\lambda_{j}+n-j}-\bar{x}_{i}^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{x}_{i} \mid c\right)^{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{1 / 2}\left(x_{i} \mid c\right)^{n-j}-\bar{x}_{i}^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{x}_{i} \mid c\right)^{n-j}\right]}, \\
\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}(x \mid c) & =\frac{\eta \operatorname{det}\left[\left(x_{i} \mid c\right)^{\lambda_{j}+n-j}+\left(\bar{x}_{i} \mid c\right)^{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\right]}{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left[\left(x_{i} \mid c\right)^{n-j}+\left(\bar{x}_{i} \mid c\right)^{n-j}\right]}, \quad \text { where } \quad \eta= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } \lambda_{n}=0, \\
1 & \text { if } \lambda_{n}>0 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\operatorname{so}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$ is a deformation of an $\mathrm{SO}(2 n+1)$ character, while in the type D we work with the full orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(2 n)$, hence the notation $\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$. Note that setting all $c_{n}=0$ recovers the Weyl character formulas in types CBD. Foley and King derive flagged Jacobi-Trudi identities and combinatorial formulas for their factorial characters. Our primary aim is to construct unflagged versions of the Jacobi-Trudi identities in the factorial setting.

### 1.2 Results

The present work is based upon the observation that instead of factorial powers, one can take an arbitrary sequence of polynomials in Foley-King's definition. This offers a Sergeev-Veselov kind of ninth variation for the symplectic and orthogonal characters (Definition 3). The results of Nakagawa-Noumi-Shirakawa-Yamada immediately allow us to give flagged Jacobi-Trudi and Nägelsbach-Kostka formulas, as well as an unflagged Giambelli formula.

Furthermore, we extend both the factorial Schur functions and the Sergeev-Veselov generalised Schur functions to the case when $\lambda$ is not a partition but an arbitrary dominant weight of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$, i.e. a signature, see Section 2.4. The factorial case of the extension seems to be known (see Jing-Rozhkovskaya [13, (5.3) and the unnumbered formula below (5.3)]), while the general case is new.

Most importantly, it turns out that our ninth variation characters (in all types) satisfy analogues of the Littlewood identities. Classically, as the Cauchy identity describes the Howe duality between $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{m}$, the Littlewood identities describe Howe dualities for the symplectic/orthogonal pairs, see Howe [11]. Ninth variations of these identities are given in Theorems 18, 19, 20. Their type A case is Theorem 26. It corresponds to a Howe duality between $\mathrm{U}(p, q)$ and $\mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{U}(q)$, see [27, Section 6].

Throughout the article we work with the types BCD first since the proofs are less technically involved.

The Littlewood identities allow us to derive new unflagged Jacobi-Trudi formulas for the factorial characters of Foley and King, as well as a Jacobi-Trudi formula for the signature factorial Schur functions, see Theorems $30,32,33,35$. This is possible due to the principle that once we have a Cauchy-type identity, which in our case is a ninth variation Littlewood identity, it is natural to expect that an identity of the Jacobi-Trudi form could be deduced from it (examples include [31, Section 7.16], [4, Section 6], [1, Section 5.4]). For example, in the case of symplectic characters, our Jacobi-Trudi identity looks as follows:

$$
\mathrm{sp}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left[h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{1-n-j} c\right)+h_{\lambda_{i}-i-j+2}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{-1-n+j} c\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n},
$$

where we assume that $c_{n}=0$ for $n<0$. Note that this assumption is also actively used in Foley-King [6].

Our Jacobi-Trudi identities for the Foley-King factorial characters make it possible to define a ninth variation of symplectic and orthogonal characters in exactly the same
way as Macdonald did originally. That is, we define

$$
\mathrm{sp}_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left[\varphi^{1-j} h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}+\varphi^{j-1} h_{\lambda_{i}-i-j+2}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n},
$$

and similarly for the orthogonal characters. In this generality we prove symplectic and orthogonal Nägelsbach-Kostka identities (6.3), (6.5). For example,

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\lambda}=\operatorname{det}\left[\varphi^{j-1} e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i+j}-\varphi^{j-1} e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i-j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{\lambda_{1}}
$$

Recall that the classical Schur polynomials can be lifted to symmetric functions (Macdonald [19, Chapters I.2-I.3]). This is achieved by the fact that $s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 0\right)=$ $s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Symplectic and orthogonal characters do not have such stability. Instead (say in the symplectic case), Koike and Terada [15] propose to consider the symmetric functions

$$
\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left[h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}+h_{\lambda_{i}-i-j+2}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n},
$$

which they call universal characters. Here $h_{m}$ are the complete symmetric functions. Under the $h_{m} \mapsto h_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, x_{1}^{-1}\right)$ specialisation, the universal characters turn into the symplectic characters, hence providing a lift to the ring of symmetric functions. Macdonald's ninth variation plays the same role for the factorial characters. This way, the ninth variation $\mathrm{sp}_{\lambda}$ (as well as its orthogonal counterpart) is now a symmetric function and not a Laurent polynomial.

At the same time, the factorial Schur functions have a stability property similar to classical Schur polynomials: $s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, c_{0} \mid c\right)=s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid \tau c\right)$. Appropriately shifting the sequence $c$, Molev [20] defines double Schur functions, which is another lift of factorial Schur functions to symmetric functions. Additional relevant references include Olshanski [26] and Rains [29].

## 2 Ninth variation characters

In this section we recall determinantal expressions of the characters of the classical Lie groups and propose definitions for their ninth variations.

### 2.1 Characters of classical groups

Let us choose the upper-triangular Borel subroups and the diagonal Cartan subgroups in each of the classical Lie groups $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SO}(2 n+1, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SO}(2 n, \mathbb{C})$. We will be considering characters as functions on the Cartan subgroups in the following coordinates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{diag}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& \operatorname{diag}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, x_{1}^{-1}\right) \\
& \operatorname{diag}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 1, x_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, x_{1}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

type A,
types $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}$, type B,
where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$.

The dominant weights of the groups $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SO}(2 n+1, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SO}(2 n, \mathbb{C})$ are the integer sequences $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{n}, & \text { type A } \\
\lambda_{1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{n} \geqslant 0, & \text { types C-B } \\
\lambda_{1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{n-1} \geqslant\left|\lambda_{n}\right|, & \text { type D. }
\end{array}
$$

The dominant weights of type A are called signatures, while the dominant weights of types C-B are partitions.

In the type D , if $\lambda_{n} \neq 0$, there are two dominant weights, $\lambda_{+}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_{n}\right)$ and $\lambda_{-}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1},-\lambda_{n}\right)$, where $\lambda_{n}>0$. When $\lambda_{n}=0$, the corresponding representation is a representation of the full orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(2 n, \mathbb{C})$, while when $\lambda_{n} \neq 0$, only the direct sum of the representations corresponding to $\lambda_{+}$and $\lambda_{-}$is a representation of $\mathrm{O}(2 n, \mathbb{C})$.

We denote the characters of the groups $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}), \operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SO}(2 n+1, \mathbb{C})$ by, respectively,

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \quad \operatorname{sp}_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \quad \operatorname{so}_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) .
$$

The characters $s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ are the rational (also called composite) Schur functions.
In the case of $\mathrm{SO}(2 n, \mathbb{C})$, it is more convinient to work with the character

$$
\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\chi_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{SO}(2 n, \mathbb{C})} & \text { if } \lambda_{n}=0, \\ \chi_{\lambda_{+}}^{\mathrm{SO}(2 n, \mathbb{C})}+\chi_{\lambda_{-}}^{\mathrm{SO}(2 n, \mathbb{C})} & \text { if } \lambda_{n}>0,\end{cases}
$$

where $\lambda_{1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{n} \geqslant 0$.
Notation. Note that we only use the notation $\mathrm{so}_{\lambda}$ for the odd orthogonal groups, and $\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}$ for the even orthogonal groups.

As follows from Weyl's character formula, they can be expressed as quotients of alternants:

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{n-j}\right]},  \tag{2.1}\\
\operatorname{sp}_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{\lambda_{j}+n-j+1}-\bar{x}_{i}^{\lambda_{j}+n-j+1}\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{n-j+1}-\bar{x}_{i}^{n-j+1}\right]},  \tag{2.2}\\
\operatorname{so}_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{\lambda_{j}+n-j+1 / 2}-\bar{x}_{i}^{\lambda_{j}+n-j+1 / 2}\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{n-j+1 / 2}-\bar{x}_{i}^{n-j+1 / 2}\right]},  \tag{2.3}\\
\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\frac{\eta \operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{\lambda_{j}+n-j}+\bar{x}_{i}^{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\right]}{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{n-j}+\bar{x}_{i}^{n-j}\right]}, \quad \text { where } \quad \eta= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } \lambda_{n}=0, \\
1 & \text { if } \lambda_{n}>0 .\end{cases} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The determinants above are of order $n$, and $\bar{x}_{i}=x_{i}^{-1}$.

The Weyl denominator formula tells us that the denominators of (2.1)-(2.4) are

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{n-j}\right] & =\mathrm{V}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right),  \tag{2.5}\\
\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{n-j+1}-\bar{x}_{i}^{n-j+1}\right] & =\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right) \cdot \mathrm{V}\left(x_{1}+\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}+\bar{x}_{n}\right),  \tag{2.6}\\
\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{n-j+1 / 2}-\bar{x}_{i}^{n-j+1 / 2}\right] & =\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}^{1 / 2}-\bar{x}_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \cdot \mathrm{V}\left(x_{1}+\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}+\bar{x}_{n}\right),  \tag{2.7}\\
\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{n-j}+\bar{x}_{i}^{n-j}\right] & =\mathrm{V}\left(x_{1}+\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}+\bar{x}_{n}\right), \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{V}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)$ is the Vandermonde determinant.

### 2.2 Generalised Schur functions

Let us recall the definition of Sergeev-Veselov's generalised Schur functions in the generality of Okada [23].

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{F}=\left(f_{n}(x)\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be a sequence of polynomials over a field $K$ of characteristic 0. Following Okada [23], we call the sequence admissible if

1. $\operatorname{deg} f_{n}(x)=n$;
2. the polynomials are monic;
3. $f_{0}(x)=1$.

Such a sequence $\mathcal{F}$ is called constant-term free if for any $n>0$ the polynomial $f_{n}(x)$ does not have a constant term.

Let $\lambda$ be a partition of length $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$, and $\mathcal{F}$ be an admissible sequence of polynomials. Take a sequence of indeterminates $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. The generalised Schur function corresponding to the partition $\lambda$ and the sequence $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[f_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}}{\operatorname{det}\left[f_{n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the polynomials $f_{n}$ are monic, the denominator is the usual Vandermonde determinant $\mathrm{V}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Hence $s_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a symmetric polynomial in the $x_{i}$ 's.

Example 2. Let $c=\left(c_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of indeterminates. Taking

$$
f_{k}(x)=(x \mid c)^{k}=\left(x-c_{0}\right) \cdots\left(x-c_{k-1}\right),
$$

we recover the factorial Schur functions $s_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$.

From the general results of Nakagawa-Noumi-Shirakawa-Yamada [21] (see Introduction), we have the flagged Jacobi-Trudi identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[s_{\left(\lambda_{i}-i+j\right)}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-j+1}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

the flagged Nägelsbach-Kostka identity

$$
s_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[s_{\left(1^{\mathcal{J}} \lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i+j\right.}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+j-1}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{\lambda_{1}}
$$

and the Giambelli identity

$$
s_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[s_{\left(\alpha_{i} \mid \beta_{j}\right)}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{d}
$$

where $\lambda=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d} \mid \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right)$ in the Frobenius notation.

### 2.3 Ninth variation $C-B-D$ characters

Our definition of ninth variation characters in types C-B-D is inspired by the work of Foley and King [6].

Definition 3. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ be a partition of length $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$, and let $\mathcal{F}=$ $\left(f_{n}(x)\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be an admissible sequence of polynomials. As before, we denote $\bar{x}_{i}=x_{i}^{-1}$. The ninth variation characters are

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{sp}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i} f_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{x}_{i} f_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i} f_{n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{x}_{i} f_{n-j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right]},  \tag{2.11}\\
\operatorname{so}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{1 / 2} f_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{x}_{i}^{1 / 2} f_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[x_{i}^{1 / 2} f_{n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{x}_{i}^{1 / 2} f_{n-j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right]},  \tag{2.12}\\
\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\frac{\eta \operatorname{det}\left[f_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)+f_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right]}{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left[f_{n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)+f_{n-j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right]}, \quad \text { where } \quad \eta= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } \lambda_{n}=0, \\
1 & \text { if } \lambda_{n}>0 .\end{cases} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the polynomials $f_{n}(x)$ are monic, the denominators coincide with the Weyl denominators (2.6)-(2.8).
Example 4. If we set $f_{k}(x)=(x \mid c)^{k}$, we recover the factorial characters of Foley and King [6]. We denote them by $\mathrm{sp}_{\lambda}(x \mid c), \mathrm{so}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$ and $\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$.

As in the case of generalised Schur functions, from [21] we have the flagged JacobiTrudi, the flagged Nägelsbach-Kostka, and the Giambelli identities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{sp}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[\operatorname{sp}_{\left(\lambda_{i}-i+j\right)}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-j+1}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}= \\
=\operatorname{det}\left[\operatorname{sp}_{\left(1^{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i+j}\right)}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+j-1}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{\lambda_{1}}=\operatorname{det}\left[\operatorname{sp}_{\left(\alpha_{i} \mid \beta_{j}\right)}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{d},
\end{gathered}
$$

and similarly for $\mathrm{so}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. In the factorial case, the flagged Jacobi-Trudi identities were proven by Foley and King [6] by means of certain recurrence relations.

It is also immediate to obtain dual Cauchy identities for the ninth variation characters.
Proposition 5. Let $\mathrm{g} \in\{s, \mathrm{sp}, \mathrm{so}, \mathrm{o}\}$. Then we have

$$
\sum_{\lambda \subset\left(m^{n}\right)}(-1)^{|\widetilde{\lambda}| \mathrm{g}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mathrm{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(x_{i}-y_{j}\right) & \text { if } \mathrm{g}=s \\
\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(x_{i}+\bar{x}_{i}-y_{j}-\bar{y}_{j}\right) & \text { if } \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{sp}, \mathrm{so}, \mathrm{o},
\end{array}, \$\right. \text {, }}
$$

where $\widetilde{\lambda}=\left(n-\lambda_{m}^{\prime}, n-\lambda_{m-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, n-\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. In the type A, consider the determinant

$$
\operatorname{Denom}_{n+m}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
f_{n+m-1}\left(x_{1}\right) & f_{n+m-2}\left(x_{1}\right) & \cdots & f_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
f_{n+m-1}\left(x_{n}\right) & f_{n+m-2}\left(x_{n}\right) & \cdots & f_{0}\left(x_{n}\right) \\
f_{n+m-1}\left(y_{1}\right) & f_{n+m-2}\left(y_{1}\right) & \cdots & f_{0}\left(y_{1}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
f_{n+m-1}\left(y_{m}\right) & f_{n+m-2}\left(y_{m}\right) & \cdots & f_{0}\left(y_{m}\right)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

This is the type A Weyl denominator corresponding to the sequence $\mathcal{F}$. Since the sequence is admissible, the determinant is the same as for $\mathcal{F}=\left(x^{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$. Hence the ratio

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Denom}_{n+m}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)}{\operatorname{Denom}_{n}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \operatorname{Denom}_{m}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)}
$$

is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(x_{i}-y_{j}\right)$. On the other hand, Laplace expansion of the determinant $\operatorname{Denom}_{n+m}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ along the first $n$ and last $m$ rows tells us that the ratio is equal to

$$
\sum_{\lambda \subset\left(m^{n}\right)}(-1)^{|\widetilde{\lambda}|} s_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) s_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)
$$

This proves the type A case, and all the other cases are dealt with similarly.
Remark 6. There is also a dual Cauchy identity for the Nakagawa-Noumi-ShirakawaYamada version of Macdonald's ninth variation, due to Noumi, see [22, (4.10)].

Remark 7. The very same argument allows one to obtain dual versions of the Littlewood identities, see Sundaram [34, Theorem 4.9], i.e. for each $g \in\{s p, s o, o\}$ there is a closed formula for the sum

$$
\sum_{\lambda \subset\left(m^{n}\right)}(-1)^{|\widetilde{\lambda}|} \mathrm{g}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) s_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)
$$

Remark 8. Macdonald [18, (6.19)] shows that the infinite-variable limit of the factorial Schur function $s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid c\right)$ is the supersymmetric Schur function $s_{\lambda}(x /-c)$ defined by

$$
s_{\lambda}(x /-c)=\sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} s_{\mu}(x) s_{\lambda^{\prime} / \mu^{\prime}}(-c) \in \Lambda(x) \otimes \Lambda(c),
$$

where $\Lambda(x)$ and $\Lambda(c)$ are the rings of symmetric functions in variables $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$ and $c=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right)$.

The same argument as in $[18,(6.19)]$ shows that the limit of the symplectic factorial character $\mathrm{sp}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$ is

$$
\sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} \operatorname{sp}_{\mu}(x) s_{\lambda^{\prime} / \mu^{\prime}}(-c) \in \Lambda(x) \otimes \Lambda(c)
$$

where $\operatorname{sp}_{\mu}(x)$ is the universal symplectic character (see [15]). This expression is the infinite-variable limit of the orthosymplectic character $\mathrm{sc}_{\lambda}$ introduced by Benkart-ShaderRam [3, Section 4], studied later in [33], [32], [28]. The orthosymplectic characters sc $\lambda_{\lambda}$ describe irreducible submodules in small tensor powers of the tautological representation of the orthosymplectic Lie algebra.

Similarly, the limit of the orthogonal factorial characters is

$$
\sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} \mathrm{o}_{\mu}(x) s_{\lambda^{\prime} / \mu^{\prime}}(-c) \in \Lambda(x) \otimes \Lambda(c),
$$

where $\mathrm{o}_{\mu}(x)$ is the universal orthogonal character.

### 2.4 Ninth variation type A characters

Here we define certain ninth variation analogues of the rational Schur functions. In full generality these analogues are not rational, so we call them simply ninth variation type $A$ characters.

Let us first define the factorial Schur functions corresponding to signatures, this will motivate the general definition. For this we need to define the factorial powers $(x \mid c)^{n}$ for negative $n$. Recall that we have the doubly infinite sequence $c=\left(c_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and the shift operators $\tau^{r}$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$
\left(\tau^{r} c\right)_{n}=c_{n+r}
$$

It is clear that

$$
(x \mid c)^{r+s}=(x \mid c)^{r}\left(x \mid \tau^{r} c\right)^{s}
$$

for all $r, s \geqslant 0$. Consequently, for a partition $\lambda$ of length $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$ we have

$$
s_{\lambda+\left(1^{n}\right)}(x \mid c)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i} \mid c\right)^{1} \cdot s_{\lambda}(x \mid \tau c)=s_{\left(1^{n}\right)}(x \mid c) \cdot s_{\lambda}(x \mid \tau c)
$$

In order to preserve this property we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x \mid c)^{-n}=\frac{1}{\left(x-c_{-1}\right) \cdots\left(x-c_{-n}\right)} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$
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for $n>0$. Then, indeed,

$$
(x \mid c)^{r+s}=(x \mid c)^{r}\left(x \mid \tau^{r} c\right)^{s}
$$

for all $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, given a signature $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ of length $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$, we define the corresponding factorial Schur function as

$$
s_{\lambda}(x \mid c)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\left(x_{i} \mid c\right)^{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}}{\operatorname{det}\left[\left(x_{i} \mid c\right)^{n-j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}} .
$$

The identity

$$
s_{\lambda+\left(1^{n}\right)}(x \mid c)=s_{\left(1^{n}\right)}(x \mid c) \cdot s_{\lambda}(x \mid \tau c)
$$

holds true for any signature $\lambda$. We note that (2.14) is not new: it has already appeared in, for example, Jing-Rozhkovskaya [13, (5.3) and the unnumbered formula below (5.3)]. Observation. Notice that $(x \mid c)^{-n}$ is a power series in $x^{-1}$ of order $n$ (recall that the order of a formal power series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} y^{k}$ is the smallest integer $n$ such that $a_{n} \neq 0$ ).

Let us now treat the general case. Let $\mathcal{F}=\left(f_{n}(x)\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be an admissible sequence of polynomials. We extend it to a doubly infinite sequence $\mathcal{F}=\left(f_{n}(x)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ requiring $f_{-n}(x)$ to be a formal power series in $x^{-1}$ of order $n$, where $n>0$. That is, for all $n>0$, we set

$$
f_{-n}(x)=\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{n, k} x^{-k}, \quad \text { where } \quad a_{n, n} \neq 0
$$

Given a signature $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ of length $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$, we define the ninth variation type $A$ character associated with the sequence $\mathcal{F}=\left(f_{n}(x)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[f_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}}{\operatorname{det}\left[f_{n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, the denominator is the Vandermonde determinant.
Remark 9. Just like when $\lambda$ is a partition, there is the following flagged Jacobi-Trudi formula for the ninth variation type A characters:

$$
s_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[s_{\left(\lambda_{i}-i+j\right)}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-j+1}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}
$$

where $\lambda$ is an arbitrary signature. This formula is not stated explicitly in [21], but their arguments can be easily modified to cover signatures, as the authors remark at the bottom of p. 181 in [21]. An identity of this type appeared in Borodin-Olshanski [4, Proposition 6.2].

Remark 10. Foley and King [6] give combinatorial formulas for the factorial characters $\operatorname{sp}_{\lambda}(x \mid c), \operatorname{so}_{\lambda}(x \mid c), \mathrm{o}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$. One can also prove the following combinatorial formula for the factorial Schur function $s_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$ associated with a signature $\lambda$ :

$$
s_{\lambda}(x \mid c)=\sum_{G \in \operatorname{GTP}(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(x_{i} \mid \tau^{i-j+1+G_{i-1, j}} c\right)^{G_{i, j}-G_{i-1, j}}
$$

where $\operatorname{GTP}(\lambda)$ is the set of all integral Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns with top row $\lambda$, and the entries of a pattern are enumerated as

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
G_{n 1} & & G_{n 2} & & \ldots & & G_{n, n-1} & & G_{n n} \\
& \ldots & & \ldots & & \ldots & G_{31} & \ldots & \\
& & G_{31} & & G_{32} & & G_{33} & & \\
& & & G_{21} & & G_{22} & & &
\end{array}\right) .
$$

That is, the entries are (not necessarily nonnegative) integers satisfying the inequalities $G_{i+1, j} \geqslant G_{i j} \geqslant G_{i+1, j+1}$ for all $i, j=1, \ldots, n$, and in the top row we put $G_{n j}=\lambda_{j}$ for each $j=1, \ldots, n$.

## 3 Cauchy identity

In this section we state a ninth variation of the classical Cauchy identity.

### 3.1 Dual sequences

Consider the following non-degenerate bilinear pairing between the algebras $K[x]$ and $K[[u]]$ :

$$
\left\langle x^{n}, u^{m}\right\rangle=\delta_{n m} .
$$

Remark 11. Our pairing is slightly different to that of Okada [23], since it gives us Cauchytype determinants, while Okada is working with Pfaffians instead.

Lemma 12. Let $\mathcal{F}=\left(f_{n}(x)\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be an admissible sequence of polynomials. Then

1. If $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}=\left(\widehat{f}_{n}(u)\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is a sequence of formal power series in $u$ such that $\widehat{f}_{n}(u)$ has order $n$ for all $n \geqslant 0$, then

$$
\left\langle f_{n}(x), \widehat{f}_{m}(u)\right\rangle=\delta_{n m} \quad \text { if and only if } \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n}(x) \widehat{f}_{n}(u)=\frac{1}{1-x u}
$$

2. There exists a unique sequence $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfying the equivalent conditions of (1). We call such a sequence $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ the dual of $\mathcal{F}$.
3. If $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is the dual of $\mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is constant-term free if and only if $\widehat{f}_{0}(u)=1$.

Conversely, given a sequence of formal power series $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}=\left(\widehat{f}_{n}(u)\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ such that $\widehat{f}_{n}(u)$ has order $n$ for all $n \geqslant 0$, there exists a unique sequence of polynomials $\left(f_{n}(x)\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ such that $f_{n}(x)$ has degree $n$ for all $n \geqslant 0$ and $\left\langle f_{n}(x), \widehat{f}_{m}(u)\right\rangle=\delta_{n m}$.

Proof. The proof is the same as in [23, Lemma 3.1].

Example 13. The dual of the sequence $f_{k}(x)=(x \mid c)^{k}$ is

$$
\widehat{f}_{k}(u)=\frac{u^{k}}{\prod_{l=0}^{k}\left(1-u c_{l}\right)}
$$

This can be deduced from the identity

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x \mid c)^{m}}{(u \mid c)^{m+1}}=\frac{1}{u-x}
$$

the proof of which is the same as in Okounkov-Olshanski [24, Theorem 12.1, first proof].

### 3.2 Dual Schur functions

Let $\mathcal{F}=\left(f_{n}(x)\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be an admissible sequence of polynomials, and let $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}=\left(\widehat{f}_{n}(u)\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be its dual.

Definition 14. Given a partition $\lambda$ of length $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$, the dual Schur function is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{s}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\widehat{f}_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(u_{i}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}}{\mathrm{~V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(u_{i}-u_{j}\right)$ is the Vandermonde determinant.
Note that we require the determinant to be the Vandermonde determinant. Thus, generally, the dual Schur function $\widehat{s}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ does not coincide with $s_{\lambda}^{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$, which is the generalised Schur function associated with the (non-polynomial) sequence $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$.

Example 15. In the factorial case, the dual Schur function takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{s}_{\lambda}(u \mid c)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{u_{i}^{\lambda_{j}+n-j}}{\prod_{l=0}^{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(1-u_{i} c_{l}\right)}\right]}{\mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3 Cauchy identity

The functions $s_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ satisfy an analogue of the Cauchy identity. Its proof is based on an argument due to Ishikawa and Wakayama (see [25, Section 8]). The key fact is the following lemma.

Lemma 16. Let $\mathbf{f}_{m}(x)$ and $\mathbf{g}_{m}(u)$ be two sequences of functions, with $m \geqslant 0$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ be indeterminates. Then the following identity holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\lambda \text { partition } \\ \ell(\lambda) \leqslant n}} \operatorname{det}\left[\mathbf{f}_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)\right] \cdot \operatorname{det}\left[\mathbf{g}_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(u_{i}\right)\right]=\operatorname{det}[\mathbf{h}(i, j)], \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{h}(i, j)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_{m}\left(x_{i}\right) \mathbf{g}_{m}\left(u_{j}\right)$ and all the determinants are $n \times n$.
Proof. Consider the following two infinite $n \times \infty$ matrices:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\cdots & \mathbf{f}_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) & \mathbf{f}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) & \mathbf{f}_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & \mathbf{f}_{2}\left(x_{n}\right) & \mathbf{f}_{1}\left(x_{n}\right) & \mathbf{f}_{0}\left(x_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right], \\
B=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\cdots & \mathbf{g}_{2}\left(u_{1}\right) & \mathbf{g}_{1}\left(u_{1}\right) & \mathbf{g}_{0}\left(u_{1}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & \mathbf{g}_{2}\left(u_{n}\right) & \mathbf{g}_{1}\left(u_{n}\right) & \mathbf{g}_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

We number their columns by nonnegative integers in reverse order: ..., $2,1,0$. Let us calculate the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix $A B^{t}$.

On the one hand, the $i j$-th entry of $A B^{t}$ is $\mathbf{h}(i, j)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_{m}\left(x_{i}\right) \mathbf{g}_{m}\left(u_{j}\right)$, so $\operatorname{det} A B^{t}$ is the right-hand side of (3.3). On the other hand, by the Cauchy-Binet identity,

$$
\operatorname{det} A B^{t}=\sum_{+\infty>l_{1}>\cdots>l_{n} \geqslant 0} \operatorname{det} A_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}} \operatorname{det} B_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}},
$$

where $A_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}}$ and $B_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}}$ are the submatrices of $A$ and $B$ formed by the columns $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}$. Writing $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}+n-1, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ for a partition $\lambda$, we arrive at the left-hand side of (3.3). This proves the lemma.

Let us apply the lemma to obtain the Cauchy identity.
Proposition 17. We have

$$
\sum_{\substack{\lambda \text { partition } \\ \ell(\lambda) \leqslant n}} s_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \widehat{s}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\prod_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)} .
$$

This is an identity in the ring of formal power series in $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ with coefficients in the ring of symmetric polynomials in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.
Proof. Set $\mathbf{f}_{m}(x)=f_{m}(x)$ and $\mathbf{g}_{m}(x)=\widehat{f}_{m}(u)$. Then

$$
\mathbf{h}(i, j)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n}\left(x_{i}\right) \widehat{f}_{n}\left(u_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{1-x_{i} u_{j}},
$$
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and, by Lemma 16, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\lambda \text { partition } \\ \ell(\lambda) \leqslant n}} \operatorname{det}\left[f_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)\right] \cdot \operatorname{det}\left[\widehat{f}_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(u_{i}\right)\right]=\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{1}{1-x_{i} u_{j}}\right] . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The determinant on the right is the Cauchy determinant, which is equal to

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{1}{1-x_{i} u_{j}}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{V}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)}{\prod_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)}
$$

Hence, dividing (3.4) by the Vandermondes $\mathrm{V}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$, we arrive at the desired identity.

## 4 Littlewood identities

In this section we introduce Littlewood identities for the ninth variation characters. See Littlewood [17, p. 240], [16], Koike-Terada [15, Lemma 1.5.1] or Sundaram [34, Theorem 4.8] for the classical case. The proofs are based on Lemma 16.

### 4.1 Types C, B, D

Hereafter we assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is an admissible polynomial sequence and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is its dual.
We start with the symplectic case.
Theorem 18. The following identity holds, where $\bar{x}_{i}=x_{i}^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n} \operatorname{sp}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \widehat{s}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\frac{\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(1-u_{i} u_{j}\right)}{\prod_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as an equality of formal power series in $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ with coefficients in the ring of symmetric Laurent polynomials in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. The symmetry is with respect to the hyperoctahedral group $S_{n} \ltimes(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{n}$, where the $i$-th copy of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ acts by $x_{i} \leftrightarrow \bar{x}_{i}$.

Proof. Expand the left-hand side as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n} \operatorname{sp}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \widehat{s}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right) \cdot \mathrm{V}\left(x_{1}+\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}+\bar{x}_{n}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathrm{~V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)}  \tag{4.2}\\
& \cdot \operatorname{det}\left[x_{i} f_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{x}_{i} f_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right] \cdot \operatorname{det}\left[\widehat{f}_{\lambda_{j}+n-j}\left(u_{i}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us take $\mathbf{f}_{n}(x)=x f_{n}(x)-\bar{x} f_{n}(\bar{x})$ and $\mathbf{g}_{n}(u)=\widehat{f}_{n}(u)$ in Lemma 16 and evaluate the determinant $\operatorname{det}[\mathbf{h}(i, j)]$. First,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}(i, j)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(x_{i} f_{m}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{x}_{i} f_{m}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right) \widehat{f}_{m}\left(u_{j}\right)= \\
& =x_{i} \cdot \frac{1}{1-x_{i} u_{j}}-\bar{x}_{i} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}}=\frac{x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}}{\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence our determinant is equal to

$$
\operatorname{det}[\mathbf{h}(i, j)]=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left[\frac{1}{\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)}\right]
$$

The latter determinant can be calculated by reduction to the Cauchy determinant:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left[\frac{1}{\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)}\right]=\frac{1}{u_{1} \cdots u_{n}} \operatorname{det}\left[\frac{1}{\left(u_{i}+\bar{u}_{i}\right)-\left(x_{j}+\bar{x}_{j}\right)}\right]= \\
& =(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} u_{1}^{n-1} \cdots u_{n}^{n-1} \mathrm{~V}\left(u_{1}+\bar{u}_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}+\bar{u}_{n}\right) \frac{\mathrm{V}\left(x_{1}+\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}+\bar{x}_{n}\right)}{\prod_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)}= \\
& =\mathrm{V}\left(x_{1}+\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}+\bar{x}_{n}\right) \cdot \mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \cdot \frac{\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}^{n}\left(1-u_{i} u_{j}\right)}{\prod_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that

$$
(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} u_{1}^{n-1} \cdots u_{n}^{n-1} \mathrm{~V}\left(u_{1}+\bar{u}_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}+\bar{u}_{n}\right)=\mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(1-u_{i} u_{j}\right) .
$$

Substituting this into $\operatorname{det}[\mathbf{h}(i, j)]$, and $\operatorname{det}[\mathbf{h}(i, j)]$ into (4.2), we arrive at the desired identity.

The odd orthogonal case is proven in a similar way.
Theorem 19. The following identity holds, where $\bar{x}_{i}=x_{i}^{-1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n} \operatorname{so}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \widehat{s}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1-u_{j}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n}\left(1-u_{i} u_{j}\right)}{\prod_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Take $\mathbf{f}_{n}(x)=x^{1 / 2} f_{n}(x)-\bar{x}^{1 / 2} f_{n}(\bar{x})$ and $\mathbf{g}_{n}(u)=\widehat{f}_{n}(u)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}(i, j)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(x_{i}^{1 / 2} f_{m}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{x}_{i}^{1 / 2} f_{m}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right) \widehat{f}_{m}\left(u_{j}\right)= \\
& =x_{i}^{1 / 2} \cdot \frac{1}{1-x_{i} u_{j}}-\bar{x}_{i}^{1 / 2} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}}=\frac{\left(1+u_{j}\right)\left(x_{i}^{1 / 2}-\bar{x}_{i}^{1 / 2}\right)}{\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}[\mathbf{h}(i, j)]=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+u_{j}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}^{1 / 2}-\bar{x}_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left[\frac{1}{\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)}\right] .
$$

Now it suffices to notice that

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+u_{j}\right) \prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(1-u_{i} u_{j}\right)=\frac{\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n}\left(1-u_{i} u_{j}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1-u_{j}\right)}
$$

and the theorem follows.
In the even orthogonal case we have to add the assumption that the sequence $\mathcal{F}$ is constant-term free.

Theorem 20. Assume additionally that $\mathcal{F}$ is constant-term free. Then the following identity holds, where $\bar{x}_{i}=x_{i}^{-1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n} \mathrm{o}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \widehat{s}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\frac{\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n}\left(1-u_{i} u_{j}\right)}{\prod_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Take $\mathbf{f}_{0}(x)=1, \mathbf{f}_{n}(x)=f_{n}(x)+f_{n}(\bar{x})$ for $n>0$, and $\mathbf{g}_{n}(u)=\widehat{f}_{n}(u)$ for all $n$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}(i, j)=\widehat{f}_{0}\left(u_{j}\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{m}\left(x_{i}\right)+f_{m}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right) \widehat{f}_{m}\left(u_{j}\right)= \\
& =\frac{1}{1-x_{i} u_{j}}+\frac{1}{1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}}-\widehat{f}_{0}\left(u_{j}\right)=\frac{1-u_{j}^{2}}{\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality holds under the assumption that $\mathcal{F}$ is constant-term free, since being constant-term free is equivalent to the condition $\widehat{f}_{0}=1$ by Lemma 12. The theorem now follows immediately.

Corollary 21. In particular, we have Littlewood identites for the factorial characters $\mathrm{sp}_{\lambda}(x \mid c), \mathrm{so}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$ and $\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$ of Foley and King.

### 4.2 Classical type A Littlewood identity

Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ be a signature of length $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$. It is possible to find a pair of partitions $\mu$ and $\nu$ of lengths $\ell(\mu) \leqslant p$ and $\ell(\nu) \leqslant q$, with $n=p+q$, such that

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{p},-\nu_{q}, \ldots,-\nu_{1}\right) .
$$

In such a case we write $\lambda=(\mu, \nu)$. We want to obtain an analogue of the following formula.

Proposition 22 (see Koike [14, Lemma 2.5] or Olshanski [25, Section 8]). Fix two nonnegative integers $p, q \geqslant 0$, and take $n=p+q$.

Let $\mu$ range over the set of all partitions of length $\leqslant p$, and $\nu$ range over the set of all partitions of length $\leqslant q$; write $\lambda=(\mu, \nu)$. Take three sets of variables $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right), v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)$.

Then the following identity holds:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{\lambda=(\mu, \nu)} s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) s_{\mu}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right) s_{\nu}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)= \\
=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(1-u_{i} v_{j}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(1-x_{k} u_{i}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(1-x_{k}^{-1} v_{j}\right)} \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

As we can see, there are two dual objects in this formula: the functions $s_{\mu}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right)$ and $s_{\nu}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)$. The first one will be replaced by the dual Schur function $\widehat{s}_{\lambda}^{F}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right)$. There is a bit more work to be done to replace the second one.

### 4.3 Double dual Schur functions

Recall that we extended the polynomial sequence $\mathcal{F}$ to a doubly infinite sequence $\mathcal{F}=$ $\left(f_{n}(x)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ requiring that $f_{-n}(x)$ is a power series in $x^{-1}$ of order $n$.

Consider the sequence $\mathcal{F}_{\leqslant 0}=\left(f_{-n}(x)\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$, which consists of formal power series in $x^{-1}$. By means of Lemma 12, construct a sequence of polynomials $\check{\mathcal{F}}=\left(\breve{f}_{n}(v)\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ in $v$ that is dual to the sequence $\mathcal{F}_{\leqslant 0}$. In other words, the sequence $\check{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined by the condition that $\check{f}_{n}(v)$ has degree $n$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{-n}(x) \breve{f}_{n}(v)=\frac{1}{1-x^{-1} v}$. Such a sequence is unique but need not be monic. We call this sequence $\breve{\mathcal{F}}$ the double dual of $\mathcal{F}$. Note also that since $f_{0}(x)=1$, the sequence $\check{\mathcal{F}}$ is constant-term free by the part (3) of Lemma 12.
Example 23. Let us calculate the double dual of $\mathcal{F}=\left((x \mid c)^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Consider the reversed sequence $\widetilde{c}$ defined by $\widetilde{c}_{n}=c_{-n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We claim that $\check{f}_{n}(v)=v(v \mid \widetilde{c})^{n-1}$ for $n>0$, and $\breve{f}_{0}(v)=1$.

Proof. First, recall that $(x \mid c)^{-n}=\frac{1}{\left(x-c_{-1}\right) \cdots\left(x-c_{-n}\right)}$ for $n>0$. We can rewrite it as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x \mid c)^{-n}=\frac{x^{-n}}{\left(1-x^{-1} c_{-1}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{-1} c_{-n}\right)}= \\
= & \frac{x^{-n}}{\left(1-x^{-1} \cdot 0\right)\left(1-x^{-1} c_{-1}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{-1} c_{-n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we know that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(x \mid c)^{n} \frac{u^{n}}{\prod_{l=0}^{n}\left(1-u c_{l}\right)}=\frac{1}{1-x u}
$$

From this equality we immediately deduce

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v(v \mid \widetilde{c})^{n-1} \frac{x^{-n}}{\left(1-x^{-1} \cdot 0\right)\left(1-x^{-1} c_{-1}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{-1} c_{-n}\right)}=\frac{1}{1-v x^{-1}}
$$

which is exactly our claim.
Recall that since $f_{0}(x)=1$, the sequence $\check{\mathcal{F}}$ is constant-term free. Thus, for any $n \geqslant 0$, the ratio $\frac{\check{f}_{n+1}(v)}{v}$ is a polynomial.

Definition 24. Given a partition $\nu$ of length $\ell(\nu) \leqslant q$, we define the double dual Schur function by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\check{s}_{\nu}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\check{f}_{\nu_{j}+q-j+1}\left(v_{i}\right)}{v_{i}}\right]_{i, j=1}^{q}}{\mathrm{~V}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 25. The double dual Schur functions in the factorial case are $s_{\nu}(v \mid \widetilde{c})$.

### 4.4 Ninth variation type A Littlewood identity

Now we are ready to state our ninth variation of the type A Littlewood identity.
Theorem 26. The following identity in $K\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]^{S_{n}}\left[\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right]\right]$ holds:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{\lambda=(\mu, \nu)} s_{\lambda-\left(q^{n}\right)}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \widehat{s}_{\mu}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right) \breve{s}_{\nu}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)= \\
=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(1-u_{i} v_{j}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(1-x_{k} u_{i}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(x_{k}-v_{j}\right)} \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda-\left(q^{n}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}-q, \ldots, \lambda_{n}-q\right)$.
Before we embark on the proof, note that if one substitutes $f_{k}(x)=x^{k}$, the identity (4.7) transforms into the classical identity (4.5) since

$$
s_{\lambda-\left(q^{n}\right)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{n}\right)^{-q} s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(x_{k}-v_{j}\right)}=\frac{\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{n}\right)^{-q}}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(1-x_{k}^{-1} v_{j}\right)} .
$$

Proof. Consider the following two infinite matrices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & {\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & f_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) & f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) & f_{0}\left(x_{1}\right), & f_{-1}\left(x_{1}\right) & f_{-2}\left(x_{1}\right) & \cdots \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\cdots & f_{2}\left(x_{n}\right) & f_{1}\left(x_{n}\right) & f_{0}\left(x_{n}\right), & f_{-1}\left(x_{n}\right) & f_{-2}\left(x_{n}\right) & \cdots
\end{array}\right], } \\
B & {\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \widehat{f_{2}}\left(u_{1}\right) & \widehat{f}_{1}\left(u_{1}\right) & \widehat{f}_{0}\left(u_{1}\right), & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\cdots & \widehat{f_{2}}\left(u_{p}\right) & \widehat{f}_{1}\left(u_{p}\right) & \widehat{f}_{0}\left(u_{p}\right), & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
\cdots & 0 & 0 & 0, & \frac{\check{f}_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)}{v_{1}} & \frac{\check{f}_{2}\left(v_{1}\right)}{v_{1}} & \cdots \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\cdots & 0 & 0 & 0, & \frac{\check{f}_{1}\left(v_{q}\right)}{v_{q}} & \frac{\check{f}_{2}\left(v_{q}\right)}{v_{q}} & \cdots
\end{array}\right] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

We number their columns by all the integers in reverse order, $\ldots, 2,1,0,-1,-2, \ldots$, and the commas in the matrices are placed between the 0 -th and $(-1)$-st columns.

Let us evaluate the determinant $\operatorname{det} A B^{t}$ in two ways. First, one can calculate the entries of the matrix $A B^{t}$ explicitly. Let $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant q$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(A B^{t}\right)_{k, i}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_{m}\left(x_{k}\right) \widehat{f}_{m}\left(u_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{1-x_{k} u_{i}}, \\
\left(A B^{t}\right)_{k, p+j}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_{-m}\left(x_{k}\right) \frac{\check{f}_{m}\left(v_{j}\right)}{v_{j}}=\frac{1}{v_{j}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_{-m}\left(x_{k}\right) \check{f}_{m}\left(v_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{v_{j}} f_{0}\left(x_{k}\right) \check{f}_{0}\left(v_{j}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is admissible, $f_{0}\left(x_{k}\right)=1$. It follows that $\check{f}_{0}\left(v_{j}\right)=1$. Hence the latter expression becomes

$$
\frac{1}{v_{j}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_{-m}\left(x_{k}\right) \check{f}_{m}\left(v_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{v_{j}} f_{0}\left(x_{k}\right) \check{f}_{0}\left(v_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{v_{j}}\left(\frac{1}{1-x_{k}^{-1} v_{j}}-1\right)=\frac{1}{x_{k}-v_{j}} .
$$

Now we have

$$
A B^{t}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\frac{1}{1-x_{1} u_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{1-x_{1} u_{p}} & \frac{1}{x_{1}-v_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{x_{1}-v_{q}} \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{1-x_{n} u_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{1-x_{n} u_{p}} & \frac{1}{x_{n}-v_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{x_{n}-v_{q}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The determinant of this matrix can be easily reduced to the Cauchy determinant. In this
way we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det} A B^{t}=(-1)^{\binom{q}{2}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(1-u_{i} v_{j}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(1-x_{k} u_{i}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(x_{k}-v_{j}\right)} \\
& \cdot \mathrm{V}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right) \mathrm{V}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we turn to the second way. From the Cauchy-Binet identity it follows that

$$
\operatorname{det} A B^{t}=\sum_{+\infty>l_{1}>\cdots>l_{n}>-\infty} \operatorname{det} A_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}} \operatorname{det} B_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}}
$$

where $A_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}}$ and $B_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}}$ are the submatrices of $A$ and $B$ formed by the columns with numbers $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}$. The determinant det $B_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}}$ vanishes unless $l_{p} \geqslant 0$ and $l_{p+1} \leqslant-1$, so we can write the sequence $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$ as

$$
\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{p},-\nu_{q}, \ldots,-\nu_{1}\right)+(p-1, \ldots, 0,-1, \ldots,-q),
$$

where $\mu$ and $\nu$ are partitions. The determinant is then equal to

$$
\operatorname{det} B_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}}=\widehat{s}_{\mu}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right) \mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right) \cdot(-1)^{\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)} \cdot \breve{s}_{\nu}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right) \mathrm{V}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right) .
$$

To handle the second determinant, $\operatorname{det} A_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}}$, denote as before

$$
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{p},-\nu_{q}, \ldots,-\nu_{1}\right)=(\mu, \nu),
$$

and rewrite the sequence $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$ in another way:

$$
\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}-q, \ldots, \lambda_{n}-q\right)+(n-1, \ldots, 0) .
$$

Now it remains to notice that

$$
\operatorname{det} A_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}}=s_{\lambda-\left(q^{n}\right)}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mathrm{V}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem.

## 5 Jacobi-Trudi identities

Recall that for the factorial Schur functions there is a Jacobi-Trudi identity of the following form (see Macdonald [18]):

$$
s_{\lambda}(x \mid c)=\operatorname{det}\left[h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right)\right],
$$

where $h_{k}(x \mid \cdot)=s_{(k)}(x \mid \cdot)$ are the complete factorial symmetric polynomials, and we agree that $h_{k}(x \mid \cdot)=0$ for $k<0$.

In this section we prove similar Jacobi-Trudi identities for the factorial characters of Foley and King. In the nonfactorial case these identites are due to H. Weyl [35], see also Koike-Terada [15]. They are also sometimes called second Weyl identities, first Weyl identities being the Weyl character formula.

We use the principle that given a Cauchy-like identity, one can expect a Jacobi-Trudi identity to hold, and vice versa. This was exploited by Molev [20] to produce a Cauchy identity for the double Schur functions. Our proof follows along Molev's lines.

### 5.1 Generating functions

It is immediate to deduce generating functions from the Littlewood identities. In what follows we will only need the generating function of $h_{k}(x \mid c)$ 's, but we give all four of them for the sake of completeness.

These identities are taken as definitions of $h_{k}^{g l}(x \mid c)=h_{k}(x \mid c), h_{k}^{s p}(x \mid c)=\operatorname{sp}_{(k)}(x \mid c)$, $h_{k}^{o o}(x \mid c)=\operatorname{so}_{(k)}(x \mid c)$ and $h_{k}^{e o}(x \mid c)=\mathrm{o}_{(k)}(x \mid c)$ in Foley-King [6].

Proposition 27. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{k}(x \mid c) \frac{t^{k}}{\prod_{l=0}^{k+n-1}\left(1-t c_{l}\right)}=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} t\right)}  \tag{5.1}\\
& \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{sp}_{(k)}(x \mid c) \frac{t^{k}}{\prod_{l=0}^{k+n-1}\left(1-t c_{l}\right)}=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} t\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} t\right)}  \tag{5.2}\\
& \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{so}_{(k)}(x \mid c) \frac{t^{k}}{\prod_{l=0}^{k+n-1}\left(1-t c_{l}\right)}=\frac{1+t}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} t\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} t\right)}  \tag{5.3}\\
& \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{o}_{(k)}(x \mid c) \frac{t^{k}}{\prod_{l=0}^{k+n-1}\left(1-t c_{l}\right)}=\frac{1-t^{2}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} t\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} t\right)} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Set $u_{n}=0$ in $\widehat{s}_{\lambda}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} \mid c\right)$. The denominator becomes

$$
\mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}, 0\right)=u_{1} \cdots u_{n-1} \mathrm{~V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)
$$

If $\lambda_{n} \neq 0$, the numerator becomes 0 , since the last row of the determinant in the numerator consists entirely of zeros. Otherwise, it turns into

$$
\frac{u_{1} \cdots u_{n-1}}{\left(1-u_{1} c_{0}\right) \cdots\left(1-u_{n-1} c_{0}\right)} \operatorname{det}\left[\frac{u_{i}^{\lambda_{j}+(n-1)-j}}{\prod_{l=0}^{\lambda_{j}+(n-1)-j}\left(1-u_{i} c_{l+1}\right)}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n-1} .
$$

Hence

$$
\left.\widehat{s}_{\lambda}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} \mid c\right)\right|_{u_{n}=0}=\delta_{\lambda_{n}, 0} \frac{1}{\left(1-u_{1} c_{0}\right) \cdots\left(1-u_{n-1} c_{0}\right)} \widehat{s}_{\lambda}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-1} \mid \tau c\right) .
$$

Now let us successively substitute $u_{n-1}=0, u_{n-2}=0, \ldots, u_{2}=0$. Eventually, we are left with

$$
\delta_{\lambda_{n}, 0} \cdots \delta_{\lambda_{2}, 0} \frac{1}{\prod_{l=0}^{n-2}\left(1-u_{1} c_{l}\right)} \widehat{s}_{\lambda}\left(u_{1} \mid \tau^{n-1} c\right)
$$

Since $\widehat{s}_{\lambda}\left(u_{1} \mid \tau^{n-1} c\right)=\frac{u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}}{\prod_{l=0}^{\lambda_{1}}\left(1-u_{1} c_{n-1+l}\right)}$, this is equal to

$$
\delta_{\lambda_{n}, 0} \cdots \delta_{\lambda_{2}, 0} \frac{u_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}}{\prod_{l=0}^{\lambda_{1}+n-1}\left(1-u_{1} c_{l}\right)} .
$$

In order to obtain the generating functions (5.2), (5.3), (5.4) in the types CBD, take any of the Littlewood identites (4.1), (4.3), (4.4) and substitute $u_{n}=u_{n-1}=\cdots=u_{2}=0$. Only the terms with $\lambda_{n}=\cdots=\lambda_{2}=0$ survive, and we arrive at the generating function identities stated in the theorem.

To produce formula (5.1), take the type A Littlewood identity (4.7) with $q=0$ (i.e. the Cauchy identity) and apply the same procedure.

We will only need the type A generating function (5.1), and it will be more convinient to have it in the following form:

Corollary 28. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{k}(x \mid c) \frac{t^{k}}{\prod_{l=1}^{k}\left(1-t c_{n-1+l}\right)}=\frac{\prod_{l=0}^{n-1}\left(1-t c_{l}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} t\right)} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 29. Recall that $S^{k}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 n}\right)$ is an irreducible module over $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{C})$. That is,

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{(k)}(x)=h_{k}(x, \bar{x}) .
$$

It is natural to expect a similar formula for the factorial characters $\operatorname{sp}_{(k)}(x \mid c)$. In other words, we are looking for such a sequence $a$ that

$$
\mathrm{sp}_{(k)}(x \mid c)=h_{k}(x, \bar{x} \mid a)
$$

It follows from (5.1) and (5.2) that the only natural way to choose such a sequence is

$$
a=\tau^{-n}\left(c^{\mathrm{cut}}\right)
$$

where $\left(c^{\mathrm{cut}}\right)_{m}=0$ for $m<0$ and $\left(c^{\mathrm{cut}}\right)_{m}=c_{m}$ for $m \geqslant 0$. This justifies the appearance of negative shifts in the Jacobi-Trudi identities below.

### 5.2 Types C, B, D

We begin with the type C.

Theorem 30. Assume that $c_{n}=0$ for all $n<0$. Then we have the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{sp}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left[h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{1-n-j} c\right)+h_{\lambda_{i}-i-j+2}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{-1-n+j} c\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}, \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{k}(x, \bar{x} \mid \cdot)$ are the complete factorial symmetric polynomials in the $2 n$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}$, and $h_{k}(x, \bar{x} \mid \cdot)=0$ for $k<0$.

Remark 31. One could also rewrite the identity (5.6) more succinctly using the sequence $a=\tau^{-n}\left(c^{\mathrm{cut}}\right)$ from above.

Proof. For each $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ define

$$
\mathrm{JT}_{\alpha}^{C}(x \mid c)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left[h_{\alpha_{i}-n+j}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{1-n-j} c\right)+h_{\alpha_{i}-n-j+2}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{-1-n+j} c\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}
$$

Then on the right-hand side of (5.6) we have $\mathrm{JT}_{\lambda+\rho}^{C}(x \mid c)$, where $\rho=(n-1, \ldots, 0)$.
Consider the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n} \operatorname{JT}_{\lambda+\rho}^{C}(x \mid c) \widehat{s}_{\lambda}(u \mid c) . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As follows from the Littlewood identity, the theorem is proven if we show that this sum is equal to

$$
\frac{\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(1-u_{i} u_{j}\right)}{\prod_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)} .
$$

Multiply the sum (5.7) by the Vandermonde $\mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$. Then it turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\gamma} \operatorname{JT}_{\gamma}^{C}(x \mid c) \operatorname{det}\left[\frac{u_{i}^{\gamma_{j}}}{\prod_{l=0}^{\gamma_{j}}\left(1-u_{i} c_{l}\right)}\right] \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is taken over $n$-tuples $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ with $\gamma_{1}>\cdots>\gamma_{n} \geqslant 0$.
Since

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{u_{i}^{\gamma_{j}}}{\prod_{l=0}^{\gamma_{j}}\left(1-u_{i} c_{l}\right)}\right]=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{u_{i}^{\gamma_{\sigma(i)}}}{\prod_{l=0}^{\gamma_{\sigma(i)}}\left(1-u_{i} c_{l}\right)}
$$

and $\operatorname{JT}_{\gamma}^{C}(x \mid c)$ is skew-symmetric under permutations of the components of $\gamma$, we can rewrite (5.8) in the form

$$
\sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{JT}_{\alpha}^{C}(x \mid c) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{u_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}{\prod_{l=0}^{\alpha_{i}}\left(1-u_{i} c_{l}\right)}
$$

where the sum is taken over all $n$-tuples $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ of nonnegative integers. Using the definition of $\mathrm{JT}_{\alpha}^{C}(x \mid c)$ we can rewrite the sum once again:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \operatorname{det}\left[\left(h_{\alpha_{i}-n+j}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{1-n-j} c\right)+h_{\alpha_{i}-n-j+2}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{-1-n+j} c\right)\right) \frac{u_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}{\prod_{l=0}^{\alpha_{i}}\left(1-u_{i} c_{l}\right)}\right] .
$$

Writing the determinant as a sum over the symmetric group we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(h_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}-n+j}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{1-n-j} c\right)+h_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}-n-j+2}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{-1-n+j} c\right)\right) . \\
& \cdot \frac{u_{\sigma(j)}}{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}} \\
& \prod_{l=0}\left(1-u_{\sigma(j)} c_{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Interchanging the order of the sums we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(h_{k-n+j}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{1-n-j} c\right)+h_{k-n-j+2}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{-1-n+j} c\right)\right) \\
\cdot \frac{u_{\sigma(j)}^{k}}{\prod_{l=0}^{k}\left(1-u_{\sigma(j)} c_{l}\right)} \tag{5.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now it is left to calculate the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(h_{k-n+j}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{1-n-j} c\right)+h_{k-n-j+2}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{-1-n+j} c\right)\right) \frac{u^{k}}{\prod_{l=0}^{k}\left(1-u c_{l}\right)}= \\
=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{k}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{1-n-j} c\right) \frac{u^{k+n-j}}{\prod_{l=0}^{k+n-j}\left(1-u c_{l}\right)}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{k}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{-1-n+j} c\right) \frac{u^{k+n+j-2}}{\prod_{l=0}^{k+n+j-2}\left(1-u c_{l}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The first sum is equal to

$$
\frac{u^{n-j}}{\prod_{l=0}^{n-j}\left(1-u c_{l}\right)} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{k}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{1-n-j} c\right) \frac{u^{k}}{\prod_{l=1}^{k}\left(1-u c_{n-j+l}\right)} .
$$

Recalling the generating function of the complete factorial symmetric polynomials (5.5) and noticing that the number of variables in the $h_{k}$ 's is $2 n$, we get

$$
\frac{u^{n-j}}{\prod_{l=0}^{n-j}\left(1-u c_{l}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{l=0}^{2 n-1}\left(1-u c_{1-n-j+l}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u\right)}
$$

Using the assumption $c_{n}=0$ for $n<0$, we are left with only

$$
\frac{u^{n-j}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u\right)} .
$$

The second sum is dealt with similarly:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{u^{n+j-2}}{\prod_{l=0}^{n+j-2}\left(1-u c_{l}\right)} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{k}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{-1-n+j} c\right) \frac{u^{k}}{\prod_{l=1}^{k}\left(1-u c_{n+j-2+l}\right)}= \\
=\frac{u^{n+j-2}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituting these into (5.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{u_{\sigma(j)}^{n-j}+u_{\sigma(j)}^{n+j-2}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u_{\sigma(j)}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{\sigma(j)}\right)}= \\
=\frac{1}{\prod_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(u_{\sigma(j)}^{n-j}+u_{\sigma(j)}^{n+j-2}\right) . \tag{5.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here we see the determinant $\operatorname{det}\left[u_{i}^{n-j}+u_{i}^{n+j-2}\right]$, which can be easily computed through reduction to the type D Weyl denominator. The result is

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[u_{i}^{n-j}+u_{i}^{n+j-2}\right]=2 \mathrm{~V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \prod_{i<j}\left(1-u_{i} u_{j}\right) .
$$

Thus (5.10) transforms into

$$
\mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \cdot \frac{\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(1-u_{i} u_{j}\right)}{\prod_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i} u_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{x}_{i} u_{j}\right)},
$$

which is exactly what we awaited.
The Jacobi-Trudi identites in the types B and D are proven in exactly the same fashion, so we omit the details.

Theorem 32. Assume that $c_{n}=0$ for all $n<0$. Then we have the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{so}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)=\operatorname{det}\left[h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}\left(x, \bar{x}, 1 \mid \tau^{-n-j} c\right)-h_{\lambda_{i}-i-j}\left(x, \bar{x}, 1 \mid \tau^{-n+j} c\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}, \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{k}(x, \bar{x}, 1 \mid \cdot)$ are the complete factorial symmetric polynomials in the $2 n+1$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}, 1$, and $h_{k}(x, \bar{x}, 1 \mid \cdot)=0$ for $k<0$.

In order to make use of the type D Littlewood identity, we need the condition that the sequence $\mathcal{F}$ is constant-term free. In the case of factorial characters this is equivalent to $c_{0}=0$.

Theorem 33. Assume that $c_{n}=0$ for all $n<0$ and also $c_{0}=0$. Then we have the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)=\operatorname{det}\left[h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{1-n-j} c\right)-h_{\lambda_{i}-i-j}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{1-n+j} c\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{k}(x, \bar{x} \mid \cdot)$ are the complete factorial symmetric polynomials in the $2 n$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}$, and $h_{k}(x, \bar{x} \mid \cdot)=0$ for $k<0$.

### 5.3 Classical type A case

Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ be a signature. As above, decompose it into a pair of partitions $\lambda=(\mu, \nu)$, where $\mu$ and $\nu$ are of lengths $\ell(\mu) \leqslant p$ and $\ell(\nu) \leqslant q$, and $p+q=n$.

There is the following analogue of the Jacobi-Trudi identity for the rational Schur function $s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$; it was postulated by Balantekin and Bars [2] in dual form (i.e. Nägelsbach-Kostka) and proven by Cummins and King [5], see also Koike [14, Proposition 2.8]. Let us denote

$$
h_{k}^{*}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=s_{(k, 0, \ldots, 0)}\left(x_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{-1}\right),
$$

i.e. the character of $S^{k}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)^{*}$, where $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is the tautological representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. In other words,

$$
h_{k}^{*}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=s_{(k, 0, \ldots, 0)^{*}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right),
$$

where $(k, 0, \ldots, 0)^{*}=(0, \ldots, 0,-k)$ is the dual of the highest weight $(k, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Set also $h_{k}^{*}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ for negative $k$. Then

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{c}
h_{\nu_{g-i+1} \pm i-j}^{*}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)  \tag{5.13}\\
\hdashline h_{\mu_{i}-q-i+j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right],
$$

where on the right we have a block matrix consisting of two blocks of sizes $q \times n$ and $p \times n$. The indices in the upper block run through $i=1, \ldots, q$ and $j=1, \ldots, n$, and in the lower block through $i=1, \ldots, p$ and $j=1, \ldots, n$.

We aim for a similar identity for the factorial Schur functions $s_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$. We will replace $h_{k}^{*}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ with $g_{k}(x \mid c)$, where we define

$$
g_{k}(x \mid c)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } k<0  \tag{5.14}\\ s_{(k, 0, \ldots, 0)^{*}-\left(1^{n}\right)}(x \mid c), & \text { if } k \geqslant 0\end{cases}
$$

We note, however, that $g_{k}(x \mid c)$ does not specialise into $h_{k}^{*}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ if we set $c_{m}=0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, but rather into $\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{n}\right)^{-1} h_{k}^{*}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

### 5.4 Generating function

First, we need the generating function of the $g_{k}(x \mid c)$ 's. Recall the reversed sequence $\widetilde{c}$ from Example 23 we defined by $\widetilde{c}_{n}=c_{-n-1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 34. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k}(x \mid c)(t \mid \widetilde{c})^{k}=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-t\right)} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider the type A Littlewood identity (4.7) with $p=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=(\emptyset, \nu)} s_{\lambda-\left(n^{n}\right)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid c\right) s_{\nu}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-v_{j}\right)} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the left we have $\lambda=(\emptyset, \nu)=\nu^{*}$, and $\nu$ ranges over the set of all partitions of length $\ell(\nu) \leqslant n$.

Set $v_{n}=\widetilde{c}_{0}=c_{-1}$. It is easy to see that

$$
\left.s_{\nu}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)\right|_{v_{n}=\widetilde{c}_{0}}=\delta_{\nu_{n}, 0} s_{\nu}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1} \mid \tau \widetilde{c}\right) .
$$

Set further $v_{n-1}=\widetilde{c}_{1}=c_{-2}, \ldots, v_{2}=\widetilde{c}_{n-2}=c_{-n+1}$. Then we get

$$
\delta_{\nu_{n}, 0} \cdots \delta_{\nu_{2}, 0} s_{\nu}\left(v_{1} \mid \tau^{n-1} \widetilde{c}\right),
$$

which is equal to

$$
\delta_{\nu_{n}, 0} \cdots \delta_{\nu_{2}, 0}\left(v_{1} \mid \tau^{n-1} \widetilde{c}\right)^{\nu_{1}} .
$$

Hence on the left of the equation (5.16) we see

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{(k, 0, \ldots, 0)^{*}-\left(n^{n}\right)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid c\right)\left(v_{1} \mid \tau^{n-1} \widetilde{c}\right)^{k} .
$$

At the same time, on the right we have

$$
\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-v_{1}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)^{n-1}} .
$$

Now note that

$$
s_{(k, 0, \ldots, 0)^{*}-\left(n^{n}\right)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid c\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i} \mid c\right)^{-n+1} \cdot s_{(k, 0, \ldots, 0)^{*-\left(1^{n}\right)}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid \tau^{-n+1} c\right)
$$

and we can rewrite this as

$$
\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)^{n-1}} g_{k}\left(x \mid \tau^{-n+1} c\right) .
$$

Thus (5.16) implies, letting $t=v_{1}$, that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k}\left(x \mid \tau^{-n+1} c\right)\left(t \mid \tau^{n-1} \widetilde{c}\right)^{k}=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-t\right)}
$$

Now it remains to notice that $\tau^{n-1} \widetilde{c}=\widetilde{\tau^{-n+1}} c$, and the theorem follows.

### 5.5 Jacobi-Trudi identity

Now we are ready to proceed with the Jacobi-Trudi identity.
Theorem 35. Decompose the signature $\lambda$ as $\lambda=(\mu, \nu)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda-\left(q^{n}\right)}(x \mid c)=\operatorname{det}\left[-\frac{g_{\nu_{q-i+1}+i-j}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right)}{h_{\mu_{i}-q-i+j}\left(x \left\lvert\, \tau^{1-j} \frac{j}{c}\right.\right)}\right], \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the upper block $i=1, \ldots, q$, in the lower block $i=1, \ldots, p$, and $j=1, \ldots, n$ in both blocks.

Proof. For an arbitrary sequence $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ define

$$
\operatorname{JT}_{\varepsilon}^{A}(x \mid c)=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{l}
g_{-\varepsilon_{p+i}+\underline{q-j}}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right)  \tag{5.18}\\
\hdashline \bar{h}_{\varepsilon_{i}-n-q+j}\left(x \mid \overline{\tau^{1-j}} c\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where in the upper block $i=1, \ldots, q$, in the lower block $i=1, \ldots, p$, and $j=1, \ldots, n$ in both blocks. Then on the right-hand side of (5.17) we have $\mathrm{JT}_{\lambda+\rho}^{A}(x \mid c)$, where $\rho=$ $(n-1, \ldots, 0)$.

Due to the Littlewood identity, we want to prove that the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=(\mu, \nu)} \operatorname{JT}_{\lambda+\rho}^{A}(x \mid c) \widehat{s}_{\lambda}(u \mid c) s_{\nu}(v \mid \widetilde{c}) \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equal to

$$
\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(1-u_{i} v_{j}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(1-x_{k} u_{i}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(x_{k}-v_{j}\right)}
$$

First, multiply the sum (5.19) by the Vandermondes $\mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right)$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)$. Then it becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\gamma, \delta} \mathrm{JT}_{\left(\gamma_{1}+q, \ldots, \gamma_{p}+q,-\delta_{q}+q-1, \ldots,-\delta_{1}+q-1\right)}^{A}(x \mid c) \operatorname{det}\left[\frac{u_{i}^{\gamma_{j}}}{\prod_{l=0}^{\gamma_{j}}\left(1-u_{i} c_{l}\right)}\right]_{i, j=1}^{p} \operatorname{det}\left[\left(v_{i} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)^{\delta_{j}}\right]_{i, j=1}^{q} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where the sum is taken over all the tuples $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{p}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^{q}$ with $\gamma_{1}>\cdots>\gamma_{p} \geqslant 0$ and $\delta_{1}>\cdots>\delta_{q} \geqslant 0$.

Writing the two determinants as sums over symmetric groups and employing skewsymmetry of $\mathrm{JT}_{\varepsilon}^{A}(x \mid c)$, we can rewrite the sum (5.20) as

$$
\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \mathrm{JT}_{\left(\alpha_{1}+q, \ldots, \alpha_{p}+q,-\beta_{q}+q-1, \ldots,-\beta_{1}+q-1\right)}^{A}(x \mid c) \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{u_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}{\prod_{l=0}^{\alpha_{i}}\left(1-u_{i} c_{l}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(v_{j} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)^{\beta_{j}},
$$

where the sum is taken over all tuples $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{p}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{q}$ of nonnegative integers. Now we can introduce the factors on the right into the determinant (5.18):

$$
\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{\beta_{q-i+1}-j+1}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right)\left(v_{q-i+1} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)^{\beta_{q-i+1}} \\
\hdashline--\cdots-\cdots-\cdots-\cdots-\cdots-\cdots-\cdots-\cdots \\
h_{\alpha_{i}-n+j}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right) \frac{u_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}{\prod_{l=0}^{\alpha_{i}}\left(1-u_{i} c_{l}\right)}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Now we can expand the determinant as a sum over the symmetric group:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\left(\prod_{\substack{j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}: \\
1 \leqslant \sigma(j) \leqslant q}} g_{\beta_{q-\sigma(j)+1}-j+1}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right)\left(v_{q-\sigma(j)+1} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)^{\beta_{q-\sigma(j)+1}} .\right. \\
\left.\quad \cdot \prod_{\substack{j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}: \\
q+1 \leqslant \sigma(j) \leqslant p+q}} h_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)-q}-n+j}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right) \frac{u^{\alpha_{\sigma(j)-q}}}{\prod_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)-q}}\left(1-u_{\sigma(j)-q} c_{l}\right)}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Reordering the sums and carrying them inside the brackets we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\left(\prod_{\substack{j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}: \\
1 \leqslant \sigma(j) \leqslant q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k-j+1}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right)\left(v_{q-\sigma(j)+1} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)^{k} .\right. \\
& \left.\cdot \prod_{\substack{j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}: \\
q+1 \leqslant \sigma(j) \leqslant p+q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{k-n+j}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right) \frac{u_{\sigma(j)-q}^{k}}{\prod_{l=0}^{k}\left(1-u_{\sigma(j)-q} c_{l}\right)}\right) . \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let us calculate the two sums inside (5.21). The first is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k-j+1}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right)(v \mid \widetilde{c})^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right)(v \mid \widetilde{c})^{k+j-1}= \\
= & (v \mid \widetilde{c})^{j-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right)\left(v \mid \tau^{j-1} \widetilde{c}\right)^{k}=(v \mid \widetilde{c})^{j-1} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(x_{k}-v\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last equality we use $(5.15)$ together with the equality $\widetilde{\tau^{1-j} c}=\tau^{j-1} \widetilde{c}$. The second sum equals

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{k-n+j}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right) \frac{u^{k}}{\prod_{l=0}^{k}\left(1-u c_{l}\right)}= \\
=\frac{u^{n-j}}{\prod_{l=0}^{n-j}\left(1-u c_{l}\right)} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{k}\left(x \mid \tau^{1-j} c\right) \frac{u^{k}}{\prod_{l=1}^{k}\left(1-u c_{n-j+l}\right)}= \\
=u^{n-j} \prod_{s=1}^{j-1}\left(1-u c_{s-j}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1-x_{k} u} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that (5.21) is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(x_{k}-v_{j}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(1-x_{k} u_{i}\right)} \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\left(\prod_{\substack{j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}: \\
1 \leqslant \sigma(j) \leqslant q}}\left(v_{q-\sigma(j)+1} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)^{j-1}\right. \\
&\left.\prod_{\substack{j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}: \\
q+1 \leqslant \sigma(j) \leqslant p+q}} u_{\sigma(j)-q}^{n-j} \prod_{s=1}^{j-1}\left(1-u_{\sigma(j)-q} c_{s-j}\right)\right) \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

We can see that the following determinant appeared:

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(v_{q-i+1} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)^{j-1} \\
-------\cdots \\
u_{i}^{n-j} \prod_{s=1}^{j-1}\left(1-u_{i} c_{s-j}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where as usual in the upper block $i=1, \ldots, q$, in the lower block $i=1, \ldots, p$, and $j=1, \ldots, n$ in both blocks. Now notice that $u_{i}^{n-j} \prod_{s=1}^{j-1}\left(1-u_{i} c_{s-j}\right)=u_{i}^{n-1}\left(u_{i}^{-1} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)^{j-1}$. Hence our determinant is

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(v_{q-i+1} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)^{j-1} \\
------\overline{-}-\bar{c})^{---} \\
u_{i}^{n-1}\left(u_{i}^{-1} \mid \widetilde{c}\right)^{j-1}
\end{array}\right],
$$

whence we see that it is independent of $\widetilde{c}$. It is easy to see that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{q-i+1}^{j-1} \\
----- \\
u_{i}^{n-j}
\end{array}\right]=\mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right) \mathrm{V}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{p} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(1-u_{i} v_{j}\right)
$$

Therefore, (5.22) is equal to

$$
\mathrm{V}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right) \mathrm{V}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(1-u_{i} v_{j}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(1-x_{k} u_{i}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(x_{k}-v_{j}\right)},
$$

as we expected. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

## 6 Nägelsbach-Kostka identities

In this section we introduce another ninth variation of the orthogonal and symplectic characters. We start from a Jacobi-Trudi identity, as originally done by Macdonald [18]. In this generality we derive orthogonal and symplectic Nägelsbach-Kostka identities.

### 6.1 Original ninth variation

First, we recall the original Macdonald's ninth variation of Schur functions. Let $h_{r s}$ be independent indeterminates with $r \geqslant 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set also $h_{0 s}=1$ and $h_{r s}=0$ for $r<0$ and all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the ring generated by the $h_{r s}$ and define an automorphism $\varphi$ of this ring by $\varphi\left(h_{r s}\right)=h_{r, s+1}$ for all $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we can write $h_{r s}=\varphi^{s} h_{r}$, where $h_{r}=h_{r 0}$.

For any two partitions $\lambda, \mu$ of lengths $\leqslant n$ define

$$
s_{\lambda / \mu}=\operatorname{det}\left[\varphi^{\mu_{j}+1-j} h_{\lambda_{i}-\mu_{j}-i+j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n} .
$$

For $\mu=\varnothing$ we have

$$
s_{\lambda}=\operatorname{det}\left[\varphi^{1-j} h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n} .
$$

The same argument as in Macdonald [19, p. 71] shows that $s_{\lambda / \mu}=0$ unless $\mu \subset \lambda$, and $s_{\lambda / \mu}=1$ when $\mu=\lambda$, due to the conditions imposed on the $h_{r s}, r \leqslant 0$.

Specialising $h_{r s}=h_{r}\left(x \mid \tau^{s} c\right)$ gives us the factorial Schur functions, as follows from the unflagged factorial Jacobi-Trudi identity (1.1). Setting $h_{r s}=s_{(r, 0, \ldots, 0)}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+s}\right)$, we obtain the generalised Schur functions, due to the flagged Jacobi-Trudi identity (2.10). In particular, the $h_{r s}=h_{r}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+s} \mid c\right)$ specialisation leads to the same factorial Schur function as $h_{r s}=h_{r}\left(x \mid \tau^{s} c\right)$.

We necessarily have that

$$
s_{(r, 0, \ldots, 0)}=h_{r},
$$

and we define

$$
e_{r}=s_{\left(1^{r}\right)}
$$

for $r \geqslant 0$ and $e_{r}=0$ for $r<0$.
Macdonald proves the following Nägelsbach-Kostka identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda / \mu}=\operatorname{det}\left[\varphi^{-\mu_{j}^{\prime}-1+j} e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-\mu_{j}^{\prime}-i+j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{m}, \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ are the conjugate partitions, and $\ell\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right), \ell\left(\mu^{\prime}\right) \leqslant m$. It can be equivalently rephrased as follows. Consider the lower unitriangular matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\left[\varphi^{1-n+j} h_{i-j}\right]_{i, j=0}^{N}, \\
B & =\left[(-1)^{i-j} \varphi^{i-n} e_{i-j}\right]_{i, j=0}^{N},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $N+1=n+m$. It is easy to see that the $n \times n$ minor of $A$ corresponding to the rows $\lambda_{i}+n-i$ and columns $\mu_{j}+n-j$, with $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$, is equal to $s_{\lambda / \mu}$. The corresponding complementary cofactor of $B^{t}$ has row indices $n-1+i-\lambda_{i}^{\prime}$ and column indices $n-1+j-\mu_{j}^{\prime}$, with $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant m$, and is equal to the right-hand side of (6.1).

The Nägelsbach-Kostka identity is equivalent to the fact that the matrices $A$ and $B$ are inverses of each other.

Remark 36. The matrices $A$ and $B$ are not the transposes of the matrices $H$ and $E$ from $[18,(9.3)]$, but they can be transformed into them using Macdonald's involution $\varepsilon$, see [18, (9.5)], as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\varepsilon \varphi^{n-1}\left(H^{t}\right), \\
& B=\varepsilon \varphi^{n-1}\left(E^{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.2 Symplectic ninth variation

Now we can define a more general ninth variation of the symplectic characters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{sp}_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left[\varphi^{1-j} h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}+\varphi^{j-1} h_{\lambda_{i}-i-j+2}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n} . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Specialising $h_{r s}=h_{r}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{s-n} c\right)$ and setting $c_{n}=0$ for $n<0$, we obtain the factorial characters $\mathrm{sp}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$.

We note that in fact the entries in the first column are equal to $2 h_{\lambda_{i}-i+1}$, which explains the appearance of the $\frac{1}{2}$ in front of the determinant. Hence we can restate the definition as follows. Consider the lower unitriangular matrix $A^{+}=\left[A_{i j}^{+}\right]_{i, j=0}^{N}$ with entries

$$
A_{i j}^{+}= \begin{cases}A_{i j}+A_{i, 2(n-1)-j} & \text { if } j<n-1 ; \\ A_{i j} & \text { if } j \geqslant n-1,\end{cases}
$$

where we assume that $A_{i j}=0$ whenever $i$ or $j$ is not between 0 and $N$. Then, as above, the $n \times n$ minor of $A^{+}$corresponding to the rows $\lambda_{i}+n-i$ and columns $n-j(1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n)$ is equal to $\mathrm{sp}_{\lambda}$.

More generally, given another partition $\mu$ of length $\ell(\mu) \leqslant n$, we can define the skew ninth variation character $\mathrm{sp}_{\lambda / \mu}$ as the minor of the matrix $A^{+}$corresponding to the rows $\lambda_{i}+n-i$ and columns $\mu_{j}+n-j(1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n)$.

Consider the lower unitriangular matrix $B^{-}=\left[B_{i j}^{-}\right]_{i, j=0}^{N}$ with entries

$$
B_{i j}^{-}= \begin{cases}B_{i j}-B_{2(n-1)-i, j} & \text { if } i>n-1 ; \\ B_{i j} & \text { if } i \leqslant n-1,\end{cases}
$$

with the same assumption. The next lemma can be found in Fulton-Harris [10, Lemma A.43]. We give a proof for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 37. The matrices $A^{+}$and $B^{-}$are inverses of each other.

Proof. Let us expand the $i j$-th entry of $A^{+} B^{-}$:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(A^{+} B^{-}\right)_{i j}=\sum_{0 \leqslant k<n-1}\left(A_{i k}+A_{i, 2(n-1)-k}\right) B_{k j}+A_{i, n-1} B_{n-1, j}+ \\
+\sum_{n-1<k \leqslant N} A_{i k}\left(B_{k j}-B_{2(n-1)-k, j}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{N} A_{i k} B_{k j}+\sum_{0 \leqslant k<n-1} A_{i, 2(n-1)-k} B_{k j}- \\
-\sum_{n-1<k \leqslant N} A_{i k} B_{2(n-1)-k, j} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The first sum, $\sum_{k=0}^{N} A_{i k} B_{k j}$, is equal to $\delta_{i j}$ since the matrices $A$ and $B$ are the inverses of each other. Noticing that for $B_{2(n-1)-k, j}$ to be nonzero it is necessary that $k \leqslant 2(n-1)$, we can rewrite the last sum:

$$
\sum_{n-1<k \leqslant N} A_{i k} B_{2(n-1)-k, j}=\sum_{n-1<k \leqslant 2(n-1)} A_{i k} B_{2(n-1)-k, j} .
$$

Now it is left to note that

$$
\sum_{0 \leqslant k<n-1} A_{i, 2(n-1)-k} B_{k j}=\sum_{n-1<k \leqslant 2(n-1)} A_{i k} B_{2(n-1)-k, j},
$$

which gives us

$$
\left(A^{+} B^{-}\right)_{i j}=\delta_{i j} .
$$

This completes the proof.
It follows that each minor of $A^{+}$is equal to the complementary cofactor of the transpose of $B^{-}$. This readily implies the following symplectic Nägelsbach-Kostka identity.

Corollary 38. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sp}_{\lambda}=\operatorname{det}\left[\varphi^{j-1} e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i+j}-\varphi^{j-1} e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i-j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for the Foley-King factorial characters $\mathrm{sp}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$, we get

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)=\operatorname{det}\left[e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i+j}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{(j-1)-n} c\right)-e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i-j}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{(j-1)-n} c\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} .
$$

### 6.3 Orthogonal ninth variation

In a similar way we can define a ninth variation of the orthogonal characters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}=\operatorname{det}\left[\varphi^{1-j} h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}-\varphi^{1+j} h_{\lambda_{i}-i-j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n} . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Specialising $h_{r s}=h_{r}\left(x, \bar{x}, 1 \mid \tau^{s-n-1} c\right)$ and assuming $c_{n}=0$ for $n<0$, we obtain $\operatorname{so}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$. Setting $h_{r s}=h_{r}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{s-n} c\right)$ and this time assuming $c_{n}=0$ for $n \leqslant 0$, we get $\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)$.

Consider the lower unitriangular matrix $A^{\circ}=\left[A_{i j}^{\circ}\right]_{i, j=0}^{N}$ with entries

$$
A_{i j}^{\circ}= \begin{cases}A_{i j}-A_{i, 2 n-j} & \text { if } j<n ; \\ A_{i j} & \text { if } j \geqslant n,\end{cases}
$$

where we assume that $A_{i j}=0$ whenever $i$ or $j$ is not between 0 and $N$. The $n \times n$ minor of $A^{\circ}$ corresponding to the rows $\lambda_{i}+n-i$ and columns $n-j(1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n)$ is equal to $\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}$.

Once again, we can also define the skew character $\mathrm{o}_{\lambda / \mu}$ as the minor of the matrix $A^{\circ}$ with rows $\lambda_{i}+n-i$ and columns $\mu_{j}+n-j$.

Consider also the lower unitriangular matrix $B^{\times}=\left[B_{i j}^{\times}\right]_{i, j=0}^{N}$ with entries

$$
B_{i j}^{\times}= \begin{cases}B_{i j}+B_{2 n-i, j} & \text { if } i>n ; \\ B_{i j} & \text { if } i \leqslant n,\end{cases}
$$

with the same assumption as above.
Proposition 39. The matrices $A^{\circ}$ and $B^{\times}$are inverses of each other.
Proof. Expanding the $i j$-th entry of $A^{\circ} B^{\times}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A^{\circ} B^{\times}\right)_{i j}= & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(A_{i k}-A_{i, 2 n-k}\right) B_{k j}+A_{i n} B_{n j}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{N} A_{i k}\left(B_{k j}+B_{2 n-k, j}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{N} A_{i k} B_{k j}-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_{i, 2 n-k} B_{k j}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{N} A_{i k} B_{2 n-k, j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The latter sum is equal to $\sum_{k=n+1}^{N} A_{i k} B_{2 n-k, j}=\sum_{k=n+1}^{2 n} A_{i k} B_{2 n-k, j}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_{i, 2 n-k} B_{k j}$, since $B_{2 n-k, j}$ is zero for $k>2 n$, which gives us

$$
\left(A^{\circ} B^{\times}\right)_{i j}=\sum_{k=0}^{N} A_{i k} B_{k j}=\delta_{i j},
$$

as required.
It follows that we have the following orthogonal Nägelsbach-Kostka identity.
Corollary 40. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left[\varphi^{i-1} e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i+j}+\varphi^{1-i} e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i-j+2}\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the factorial specialisations, we get

$$
\operatorname{so}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left[e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i+j}\left(x, \bar{x}, 1 \mid \tau^{(i-1)-n-1} c\right)+e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i-j+2}\left(x, \bar{x}, 1 \mid \tau^{(1-i)-n-1} c\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} .
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{o}_{\lambda}(x \mid c)=\operatorname{det}\left[e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i+j}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{(i-1)-n} c\right)+e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-i-j+2}\left(x, \bar{x} \mid \tau^{(1-i)-n} c\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} .
$$

Remark 41. Note that the Littlewood duality [15, Theorem 2.3.2] is lost. That is, the involution $\omega: \varphi^{s} h_{r} \mapsto \varphi^{-s} e_{r}$, which maps $s_{\lambda}$ to $s_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ as follows from the Nägelsbach-Kostka identity (6.1), does not map $\mathrm{sp}_{\lambda}$ to $\mathrm{o}_{\lambda^{\prime}}$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The adjective flagged means that the number of variables in an entry of the corresponding determinantal identity depends on its position in the matrix, as e.g. in (1.2). Otherwise the identity is unflagged. For the usual Schur polynomials $s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, the unflagged and the flagged Jacobi-Trudi identities are

    $$
    \begin{gathered}
    s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n} \\
    s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1-j}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}
    \end{gathered}
    $$

    The second identity is due to Nakagawa-Noumi-Shirakawa-Yamada [21]. In the case of the usual Schur polynomials, it implies the first one. However, in the general situation of [21] only flagged Jacobi-Trudi and Nägelsbach-Kostka hold. Surprisingly, the Giambelli formula remains unflagged.

