# Lecture Notes in Computer Science

3660

Commenced Publication in 1973
Founding and Former Series Editors:
Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

### **Editorial Board**

**David Hutchison** 

Lancaster University, UK

Takeo Kanade

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Josef Kittler

University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

Jon M. Kleinberg

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Friedemann Mattern

ETH Zurich. Switzerland

John C. Mitchell

Stanford University, CA, USA

Moni Naor

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

Oscar Nierstrasz

University of Bern, Switzerland

C. Pandu Rangan

Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India

Bernhard Steffen

University of Dortmund, Germany

Madhu Sudan

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, MA, USA

Demetri Terzopoulos

New York University, NY, USA

Doug Tygar

University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Moshe Y. Vardi

Rice University, Houston, TX, USA

Gerhard Weikum

Max-Planck Institute of Computer Science, Saarbruecken, Germany

Michael Beigl Stephen Intille Jun Rekimoto Hideyuki Tokuda (Eds.)

# UbiComp 2005: Ubiquitous Computing

7th International Conference, UbiComp 2005 Tokyo, Japan, September 11-14, 2005 Proceedings



#### Volume Editors

Michael Beigl

University of Karlsruhe

Institute for Telematics, TecO (Telecooperation Office)

Vincenz-Priessnitz-Straße 1, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

E-mail: michael@teco.uni-karsruhe.de

Stephen Intille

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

1 Cambridge Center, 4FL, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

E-mail: intille@mit.edu

Jun Rekimoto

Sony Computer Science Laboratories, Inc.

3-14-13 Higashi-gotanda, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo, 141-0022, Japan

E-mail: rekimoto@csl.sony.co.jp

Hideyuki Tokuda

Keio University

5322 Endo, Fujisawa, Kanagawa 252-8520, Japan

E-mail: hxt@sfc.keio.ac.jp

Library of Congress Control Number: 2005932242

CR Subject Classification (1998): C.2, C.3, D.2, D.4, H.4, H.5, K.4

ISSN 0302-9743

ISBN-10 3-540-28760-4 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York ISBN-13 978-3-540-28760-5 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Springer is a part of Springer Science+Business Media

springeronline.com

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2005 Printed in Germany

Typesetting: Camera-ready by author, data conversion by Boller Mediendesign Printed on acid-free paper SPIN: 11551201 06/3142 5 4 3 2 1 0

# Preface

The 7th International Conference on Ubiquitous Computing (UbiComp 2005) marked the first time that this premier venue for original research contributions in ubiquitous computing was held in Asia. The Tokyo, Japan venue reflects the desire of the UbiComp community to make this conference series an international event that showcases the increasing amount of high-quality ubiquitous computing research that is taking place worldwide. As the field of ubiquitous computing has matured, the UbiComp Conference has gained significance worldwide, not only among researchers, but also with industry and general society.

This interest in the potential of ubiquitous computing to impact our lives has resulted in the creation of many new research programs in academia and industry. These efforts have, in turn, led to mass media coverage of efforts and, in some regions of the world, large-scale government-initiated collaborative efforts to explore the potential of these emerging technologies. These trends are reflected in the rise in the number of submissions to UbiComp 2005. This year the conference received 230 full papers, submitted almost equally from Asia ( $\sim$ 100 submissions), North America and South America ( $\sim$ 60 submissions) and Europe( $\sim$ 60 submissions), with the remainder being received from Australia and Africa. From among many high-quality submissions, the technical program committee accepted 22 papers. These papers were chosen based solely on the quality of their peer reviews using a double-blind review process.

The papers submitted to UbiComp 2005 showcase the diverse nature of ubiquitous computing research. Submissions covered topics such as human-computer interface (HCI), systems, context recognition and use, communications, and social implications and applications of computing. Methodologies included real-world deployments, laboratory experiments, ethnographic analysis, qualitative and quantitative evaluation, and theoretical explorations. The majority of the submissions covered more than one topic area and clearly filled a gap between more established research fields. Topics such as location systems and their applications, case studies and user interfaces, algorithms for recognition of context, and novel devices received the most attention by authors this year.

The Program Committee (PC) and reviewer pool was selected to provide the multi-disciplinary expertise required to evaluate original contributions in these research areas. We were fortunate to have an outstanding group of international researchers volunteering their time and expertise. The high quality of this conference was the result of their hard work and dedication to the advancement of the field. Due to the high number of submissions and the peer-review-process, PC members had to review an exceptionally large number of papers. Each PC member was responsible not only for reviews but also for monitoring the review process and facilitating discussion among external reviewers. External reviewers also had an unusually high review load with an average of six full papers. The comments of these experts provided feedback for the submission authors

#### VI Preface

and helped the Program Committee in their final selection decisions. We thank both the PC members and the external reviewers for delivering excellent and constructive reviews.

We would also like to extend our gratitude to the General Chair of the conference, Hideyuki Tokuda, who made this event possible and who helped with organizing the Program Committee meeting. Other members of our community also made outstanding contributions. Among them, Christian Decker supervised the pre-printing process of these proceedings, Albrecht Schmidt helped with the logistics of the Program Committee meeting (while simultaneously running Pervasive 2004), and Matthias Kranz and Rainer Fink provided valuable technical support during the meeting.

Our final word of thanks goes to all the 663 authors who submitted full papers to this conference. Presenting research work in a paper requires a great deal of effort. We hope that these authors were rewarded with insightful reviews and an enjoyable conference program.

July 2005

Michael Beigl, Stephen Intille, Jun Rekimoto

# UbiComp 2005 Paper Review Process

The review process for UbiComp 2005 was very similar to the process used for UbiComp 2004 and was divided into 6 phases.

# Phase 1: Program Committee (PC) Assignment and Reviewer Nomination

All papers submitted were assigned to a lead Program Committee member (PC) and a secondary PC reviewer, both selected from the Program Committee (PC). Care was taken that both PC members assigned did not have any conflict of interest with the paper and that the PC members had the appropriate expertise to review the paper. These two PC members in turn nominated two additional reviewers from a reviewer pool suggested by members of the PC and vetted by the PC chairs. PC and external reviewer paper loads were balanced by the PC chairs.

# Phase 2: Quick Reject

To allow us to concentrate review efforts on papers with the best chances of being accepted, an additional quick reject phase was added before the review process. Papers where both PC members concurred that the paper was either wildly "out of scope" or "of unacceptably low quality to be accepted" were nominated for "quick reject" after a short discussion phase. In cases where at least two PC chairs also agreed, the papers were returned to the authors with just two reviews.

#### Phase 3: Reviews

PC chairs then processed all the papers that were not quick-rejected and allocated external reviewers based on the selections suggested by the PC members in Phase 1. A large effort was made to balance reviewing load across external reviewers. Because many papers crossed several research fields, care was taken to assign an expert for every area addressed in the paper. Reviewers were also carefully chosen to preserve anonymity and avoid institutional conflicts of interest. When necessary, reviewers could ask for additional reviews to be carried out. Papers received an average of five reviews in total – two from PC members and 3 from external reviewers.

#### Phase 4: On-line Discussion

After all of the reviews were received, the lead PC member for each paper coordinated an electronic discussion among the reviewers to reach a consensus as to the technical merit of the paper. When necessary, the reviewers could ask for additional reviews to be carried out to clarify certain aspects of the paper.

# Phase 5: PC Meeting

A single PC meeting was held on May 8 in Munich, Germany. Attendance was a condition of acceptance to serve on the PC, and almost all of the PC members attended the meeting. At the meeting the committee examined all the papers that scored above a threshold (roughly corresponding to borderline weak reject) as well as some other papers with unusually high score spans. Each paper was discussed and rated. The final program was selected solely based on the criteria of scientific excellence.

# Phase 6: Shepherding

To help authors with accepted papers interpret their reviews, all of the papers were allocated shepherds selected from the PC. These PC members guided the authors through the final revisions of their papers. Acceptance of papers was conditional on approval from the shepherds. This process helped considerably to improve the clarity of written presentation of work in a number of cases, and it ensured that the papers in these proceedings were revised to reflect the extensive feedback provided to the authors by the PC.

# **Conference Organization**

# **Organizers**

**Honorary Conference Chair** 

Yuichiro Anzai President, Keio University (Japan)

Conference Chair

Hideyuki Tokuda Keio University (Japan)

**Program Chairs** 

Michael Beigl TecO, University of Karlsruhe (Germany)

Stephen Intille MIT (USA)

Jun Rekimoto SonyCSL (Japan)

**Demonstration Chairs** 

Marc Langheinrich ETH Zurich (Switzerland)

Yasuto Nakanishi Tokyo University of Agriculture and

Technology (Japan)

**Poster Chairs** 

Elaine M. Huang Georgia Institute of Technology (USA)

Tsutomu Terada Osaka University (Japan)

Video Chairs

Masayoshi Ohhashi KDDI R&D Labs (Japan)

Atau Tanaka SonyCSL Paris (France)

Workshop Chairs

Yoshito Tobe Tokyo Denki University (Japan)

Khai N. Truong Georgia Institute of Technology (USA)

**Doctoral Colloquium Chair** 

Gabriele Kotsis University of Linz (Austria)

#### Conference Organization

# Student Volunteers Chair

Yoshihiro Kawahara The University of Tokyo (Japan)

Treasurer Chair

Χ

Kazunori Takashio Keio University (Japan)

Local Arrangements Chair

Masateru Minami Shibaura Institute of Technology (Japan)

**Publicity Chair** 

Koichi Kurumatani AIST (Japan)

**Publications Chair** 

Christian Decker TecO, University of Karlsruhe (Germany)

Webmaster

Michael Beigl TecO, University of Karlsruhe (Germany)

Daisuke Maruyama Keio University (Japan) Shunsaku Yamazaki Keio University (Japan)

**Sponsors** 

Gold Sponsors KDDI R&D Labs

 $\operatorname{NTT}$  DoCoMo

NEC

Silver Sponsors Fuji Xerox

Fujitsu Intel

Microsoft

Bronze Sponsors Crossbow

 $\operatorname{IBM}$ 

Mitsubishi Electric

Uchida NTT DNP Ubitec Panasonic Toshiba

Hitachi CiscoSystems

Ubiquitous Networking Forum

# **Program Committee**

Gregory Abowd Georgia Institute of Technology (USA)
Christian Becker University of Stuttgart (Germany)

Gaetano Borriello University of Washington and Intel Research

Seattle (USA)

Roy H. Campbell University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign

(USA)

Nigel Davies Lancaster University (UK)

Paul Dourish University of California (Irvine (USA) W. Keith Edwards Georgia Institute of Technology (USA)

Alois Ferscha University of Linz (Austria)
Geraldine Fitzpatrick University of Sussex (UK)
Masaaki Fukumoto NTT DoCoMo (Japan)
Hans Gellersen Lancaster University (UK)

Scott Hudson Carnegie Mellon University (USA)

Gabriele Kotsis

John Krumm

Microsoft Research (USA)

Anthony LaMarca

Marc Langheinrich

Tom Rodden

University of Linz (Austria)

Microsoft Research (USA)

Intel Research Seattle (USA)

ETH Zurich (Switzerland)

University of Nottingham (UK)

Ichiro Satoh National Institute of Informatics (Japan)
Bernt Schiele Darmstadt University of Technology

(Germany)

Chris Schmandt Massachusetts Institute of Technology (USA)

Albrecht Schmidt University of Munich (Germany)
Itiro Siio Tamagawa University (Japan)
Mirjana Spasojevic Hewlett-Packard Labs (USA)
Yasuyuki Sumi Kyoto University (Japan)
Yoshito Tobe Tokyo Denki University (Japan)

Allison Woodruff Intel Research (USA)
Michiaki Yasumura Keio University (Japan)

### Reviewers

Ken Anderson Intel Research (USA)

Paul Aoki Palo Alto Research Center (PARC) (USA)

Jakob Bardram University of Aarhus (Denmark)

John Barton IBM Research (USA)

Martin Bauer Universität Stuttgart (Germany) Michael Beigl University of Karlsruhe (Germany)

Genevieve Bell Intel Corporation (USA)
Alastair Beresford University of Cambridge (UK)

Mark Billinghurst University of Canterbury (New Zealand)
Philippe Bonnet University of Copenhagen (Denmark)

Barry Brown University of Glasgow (UK) Matthew Chalmers University of Glasgow (UK)

Luigina Ciolfi Interaction Design Centre, University of

Limerick (Ireland)

Sunny Consolvo Intel Research Seattle (USA) Andy Crabtree University of Nottingham (UK)

Christian Decker TecO, University of Karlsruhe (Germany)

Anind Dey Carnegie Mellon University (USA)

Carl Ellison Microsoft (USA)

Kenneth Fishkin Intel Research Seattle (USA) Christian Floerkemeier ETH Zurich (Switzerland)

James Fogarty Carnegie Mellon University (USA) Adrian Friday Lancaster University (UK)

Adrian Friday Lancaster University (UK) Kaori Fujinami Waseda University (Japan)

Dipak Ghosal University of California, Davis (USA)

William Griswold UCSD (USA)

Mike Hazas Lancaster University (UK)

Jeffrey Hightower Intel Research Seattle (USA)

Paul Holleis University of Munich (Germany)

Lars Erik Holmquist Viktoria Institute (Sweden)

Jason Hong Carnegie Mellon University (USA)

Eva Hornecker Interact Lab, University of Sussex, Falmer

(UK)

Eric Horvitz Microsoft Research (USA) Tom Igoe NYU / ITP (USA) Mizuko Ito Keio University (Japan)

Sadanori Ito ATR (Japan) Natalie Jeremijenko UCSD (USA)

Rui Jose University of Minho (Portugal)

Eiji Kamioka National Institute of Informatics, Tokyo

(Japan)

Nicky Kern TU Darmstadt (Germany) Boriana Koleva University of Nottingham (UK)

Yasuyuki Kono NAIST (Japan)

Gerd Kortuem

Matthias Kranz

Christian Kray

Antonio Krüger

Michael Kreutzer

Lancaster University (UK)

University of Munich (Germany)

Lancaster University (UK)

University of Münster (Germany)

Albert Krohn
University of Karlsruhe (Germany)
Shoji Kurakake
NTT DoCoMo R&D Center (Japan)
Spyros Lalis
University of Thessaly (Greece)
Mik Lamming
HP Laboratories Palo Alto (USA)

Scott Lederer UC Berkeley (USA)

Darren Leigh Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories

(USA)

Lin Liao University of Washington (USA) Cristina Lopes University of California, Irvine (USA)

Paul Luff King's College London (UK)

Paul Lukowicz UMIT (AT)

Carsten Magerkurth Fraunhofer IPSI (Germany) Scott Mainwaring Intel Research (USA)

Jennifer Mankoff Human Computer Interaction Institute,

Carnegie Mellon University (USA)

Natalia Marmasse IBM Research, Israel (Israel)

Emmanuel Munguia Tapia Massachusetts Institute of Technology (USA)

Tatsuo Nakajima Waseda University (Japan) Chandrasekhar Narayanaswami IBM T. J. Watson (USA)

Mark W. Newman Palo Alto Research Center (PARC) (USA)

Nobuhiko Nishio Ritsumeikan University (Japan) Nuria Oliver Microsoft Research, Redmond (USA)

Joseph Paradiso MIT (USA) Kurt Partridge PARC (USA)

Shwetak Patel Georgia Institute of Technology (USA)
Donald Patterson University of Washington (USA)

Alex Pentland MIT Media Lab (USA) Rosalind Picard MIT Media Lab (USA)

Claudio Pinhanez IBM Research, TJ Watson (USA)

Ivan Poupyrev Sony CSL (Japan)

Nissanka Priyantha Massachusetts Institute of Technology (USA)

Aaron Quigley University College Dublin (Ireland)

Kay Römer ETH Zurich (Switzerland)

Philip Robinson TecO, University of Karlsruhe (Germany)

Yvonne Rogers Indiana University (USA)
Michael Rohs ETH Zurich (Switzerland)

Manuel Roman DoCoMo Laboratories San Jose (USA)

Mark Rouncefield Lancaster University (UK)
Enrico Rukzio University of Munich (Germany)

Kathy Ryall Mitsubishi Electric Research Labs (USA) Karsten Schwan Georgia Institute of Technology (USA)

James Scott Intel Research Cambridge (UK)
Abigail Sellen Microsoft Research (UK)
Steven Shafer Microsoft Research (USA)

Louis Shue Institute for Infocomm Research (Singapore)

Ian Smith Intel Research Seattle (USA)

Mathias Stäger Werarable Computing Lab, ETH Zurich

(Switzerland)

Anthony Steed University College London (UK)
Peter Steenkiste Carnegie Mellon University (USA)

#### XIV Conference Organization

Oliver Storz Lancaster University (UK)
Peter Tandler Fraunhofer IPSI (Germany)
Hiroyuki Tarumi Kagawa University (Japan)

Khai Truong Georgia Institute of Technology (USA) Brygg Ullmer Louisiana State University (USA)

Harald Vogt ETH Zurich (Switzerland)
Jay Warrior Agilent Laboratories (USA)
Mark Weal University of Southampton (UK)

Torben Weis Berlin University of Technology (Germany)

Daniel Weld University of Washington (USA) Andy Wilson Microsoft Research (USA)

Keiichi Yasumoto Nara Institute of Science and Technology

(Japan)

Tobias Zimmer TecO, University of Karlsruhe (Germany)

# **Table of Contents**

CarpetLAN: A Novel Indoor Wireless(-like) Networking and Positioning System	1
Masaaki Fukumoto (NTT DoCoMo Multimedia Labs), Mitsuru Shinagawa (NTT Microsystem Integration Labs)	
u-Texture: Self-Organizable Universal Panels for Creating Smart Surroundings	19
Naohiko Kohtake (Keio University), Ryo Ohsawa (Keio University), Takuro Yonezawa (Keio University), Yuki Matsukura (Keio University), Masayuki Iwai (Keio University), Kazunori Thakashio (Keio University), Hideyuki Tokuda (Keio University)	
Fast and Robust Interface Generation for Ubiquitous Applications  Krzysztof Gajos (University of Washington), David Christianson (University of Washington), Raphael Hoffmann (University of Washington), Tal Shaked (University of Washington), Kiera Henning (University of Washington), Jing Jing Long (University of Washington), Daniel S. Weld (University of Washington)	37
Analysis of Chewing Sounds for Dietary Monitoring	56
Preventing Camera Recording by Designing a Capture-Resistant Environment	73
Khai N. Truong (Georgia Institute of Technology), Shwetak N. Patel (Georgia Institute of Technology), Jay W. Summet (Georgia Institute of Technology), Gregory D. Abowd (Georgia Institute of Technology)	• •
Self-Mapping in 802.11 Location Systems	87
A Study of Bluetooth Propagation Using Accurate Indoor Location Mapping	105

A New Method for Auto-calibrated Object Tracking	123
Accurate GSM Indoor Localization	141
Learning and Recognizing the Places We Go  Jeffrey Hightower (Intel Research Seattle), Sunny Consolvo (Intel Research Seattle), Anthony LaMarca (Intel Research Seattle), Ian Smith (Intel Research Seattle), Jeff Hughes (University of Washington)	159
Visually Interactive Location-Aware Computing	177
DigiDress: A Field Trial of an Expressive Social Proximity Application Per Persson (Nokia Corporation, Finland), Jan Blom (Nokia Corporation, Finland), Younghee Jung (Nokia Corporation, Finland)	195
Control, Deception, and Communication: Evaluating the Deployment of a Location-Enhanced Messaging Service	213
Place-Its: A Study of Location-Based Reminders on Mobile Phones  Timothy Sohn (University of California, San Diego), Kevin A. Li (University of California, San Diego), Gunny Lee (University of California, San Diego), Ian Smith (Intel Research Seattle), James Scott (Intel Research Cambridge), William G. Griswold (University of California, San Diego)	232
Time, Ownership and Awareness: The Value of Contextual Locations in the Home	251

Living for the Global City: Mobile Kits, Urban Interfaces, and Ubicomp . Scott D. Mainwaring (People and Practices Research Lab, Intel Corporation), Ken Anderson (People and Practices Research Lab, Intel Corporation), Michele F. Chang (People and Practices Research Lab, Intel Corporation)	269
From Interaction to Participation: Configuring Space Through Embodied Interaction	287
Scanning Objects in the Wild: Assessing an Object Triggered Information System	305
Abaris: Evaluating Automated Capture Applied to Structured Autism Interventions	323
To Frame or Not to Frame: The Role and Design of Frameless Displays in Ubiquitous Applications	340
Picking Pockets on the Lawn: The Development of Tactics and Strategies in a Mobile Game	358
ActiveTheatre – A Collaborative, Event-Based Capture and Access System for the Operating Theatre	375
Author Index	393