

# **Human–Computer Interaction Series**

SpringerBriefs in Human-Computer Interaction

## **Editors-in-Chief**

Desney Tan

Microsoft Research, Redmond, WA, USA

Jean Vanderdonckt

Louvain School of Management, Université catholique de Louvain,  
Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium

*SpringerBriefs in Human-Computer Interaction* presents concise research within the fast growing, multidisciplinary field of Human-Computer Interaction (HCI). Designed to complement Springer's prestigious *Human-Computer Interaction Series*, this Briefs series provides researchers with a forum to publish cutting-edge scientific material relating to any emerging HCI research that is not yet mature enough for a volume in the *Human-Computer Interaction Series*, but which has evolved beyond the level of a journal or workshop paper.

*SpringerBriefs in Human-Computer Interaction* are shorter works of 50–125 pages in length, allowing researchers to present focused case studies, summaries and introductions to state-of-the-art research. They are subject to the same rigorous reviewing processes applied to the *Human-Computer Interaction Series* but offer exceptionally fast publication.

Topics covered may include but are not restricted to:

User Experience and User Interaction Design

Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing

Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Learning (CSCW/CSCL)

Cultural Computing

Computational Cognition

Augmented and Virtual Reality

End-User Development

Multimodal Interfaces

Interactive Surfaces and Devices

Intelligent Environment Wearable Technology

SpringerBriefs are published as part of Springer's eBook collection, with millions of users worldwide and are available for individual print and electronic purchase. Briefs are characterized by fast, global electronic distribution, standard publishing contracts, easy-to-use manuscript preparation and formatting guidelines and have expedited production schedules to help aid researchers disseminate their research as quickly and efficiently as possible.

More information about this subseries at <http://www.springer.com/series/15580>

Haiyue Yuan • Shujun Li • Patrice Rusconi

# Cognitive Modeling for Automated Human Performance Evaluation at Scale



Springer

Haiyue Yuan  
Centre for Vision, Speech, and Signal  
Processing  
University of Surrey  
Guildford, Surrey, UK

Shujun Li  
School of Computing  
University of Kent  
Canterbury, Kent, UK

Patrice Rusconi  
School of Psychology, Department of  
Psychological Sciences  
University of Surrey  
Guildford, UK

ISSN 1571-5035                      ISSN 2524-4477 (electronic)  
Human-Computer Interaction Series  
ISSN 2520-1670                      ISSN 2520-1689 (electronic)  
SpringerBriefs in Human-Computer Interaction  
ISBN 978-3-030-45703-7              ISBN 978-3-030-45704-4 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45704-4>

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

# Contents

- 1 Introduction** ..... 1
  - 1.1 Cognitive Modeling and Human Performance Evaluation ..... 1
  - 1.2 Overview of the Book..... 2
  - 1.3 Who This Book Is For? ..... 3
  - References ..... 3
- 2 Cognitive Approaches to Human Computer Interaction** ..... 5
  - 2.1 Cognitive Architectures..... 5
    - 2.1.1 ACT-R ..... 6
    - 2.1.2 SOAR..... 8
    - 2.1.3 Other Architectures: EPIC and CLARION..... 10
  - 2.2 Cognitive Models ..... 10
    - 2.2.1 GOMS ..... 11
    - 2.2.2 KLM..... 11
    - 2.2.3 CPM-GOMS ..... 12
    - 2.2.4 Comparison ..... 13
  - References ..... 13
- 3 Review of Cognitive Modeling Software Tools**..... 17
  - 3.1 Cognitive Modeling Simulation Software ..... 17
    - 3.1.1 CogTool ..... 17
    - 3.1.2 SANLab-CM..... 19
    - 3.1.3 Cogulator..... 20
  - 3.2 Cases Studies ..... 22
  - 3.3 Issues and Challenges..... 23
  - References ..... 24
- 4 Integration of Behavioral Data** ..... 27
  - 4.1 Using Human Behavioral Data in Cognitive Modeling ..... 27
  - 4.2 Case Studies: Using an Eye Tracker to Model a Cyber Security System ..... 28
    - 4.2.1 Eye-Tracking Assisted Cognitive Modeling Experiment ..... 28

4.2.2	Target System: Undercover.....	28
4.2.3	Initial CogTool Models (Without Eye-Tracking Data).....	30
4.2.4	Eye-Tracking Experiment .....	32
4.2.5	Re-modeling Undercover (with Eye-Tracking Data) .....	33
4.2.6	Conclusion .....	36
4.3	Case Studies: The Influence of Stimulus Type on Visual-Search Strategies Using Eye Tracking Data .....	36
4.3.1	Experimental Design .....	36
4.3.2	Results: User Performance .....	41
4.3.3	Results: Visual Search .....	47
4.3.4	Discussion .....	54
4.3.5	Conclusion .....	56
	References .....	57
<b>5</b>	<b>Large-Scale Human Performance Modeling Framework .....</b>	<b>59</b>
5.1	A New Conceptual Framework.....	59
5.1.1	Generator.....	60
5.1.2	Model Interpreter .....	62
5.1.3	Model Simulator .....	63
5.1.4	Offline Analyzer .....	63
5.2	CogTool+: A Prototype of a Software Implementation .....	63
5.2.1	Generator.....	65
5.2.2	Model Interpreter .....	69
5.2.3	Model Simulator .....	71
5.2.4	Offline Analyzer .....	72
5.2.5	External Data.....	72
	References .....	73
<b>6</b>	<b>Example Applications of CogTool+ .....</b>	<b>75</b>
6.1	Modeling the User Authentication System Undercover.....	75
6.1.1	Modeling with CogTool+.....	75
6.2	Modeling the Cognitive Process of Entering PINs .....	82
6.2.1	Modeling PIN Entry Using CogTool+ .....	83
6.2.2	Results .....	84
6.3	Modeling Number Entry Interfaces .....	87
6.3.1	Number Pad .....	87
6.3.2	Up-Down .....	88
6.4	Software Evaluation Discussion .....	91
	References .....	92
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion and Future Work .....</b>	<b>95</b>
7.1	Summary of Key Findings .....	95
7.2	Future Work .....	96

# List of Figures

Fig. 2.1	Representation of the modular structure of ACT-R based on [2, 20, 34] .....	7
Fig. 2.2	Representation of the modular structure of SOAR based on [22] and [20] .....	9
Fig. 3.1	Screenshots of using CogTool GUI to model ‘Undercover’ system [25]: (a) CogTool design interface (b) CogTool trace prediction interface (c) CogTool results visualisation interface .....	18
Fig. 3.2	Screenshots of using SANLab-CM to model ‘Undercover’ system [25]: (a) Visualization of critical paths, (b) distribution of prediction times .....	21
Fig. 3.3	Screenshots of using Cogulator: (a) Text-based interface with choice of KLM, CPM-GOMS, and NGMOSL (b) Interface for visualising predictions and memory load .....	22
Fig. 4.1	The UI of Undercover [24]: (a) the public challenge panel shown on the computer display; (b) a box composed of the following UI components: (b1) a track ball for transmitting the hidden challenge, (b2) the hidden challenge button layout panel, (b3) the response button panel .....	29
Fig. 4.2	An illustration of the two visual scan paths when the pass-picture is the second picture in the public challenge and the hidden challenge is “Left”: the red dashed and dark green dotted lines show <b>A1</b> and <b>A2</b> , respectively .....	31
Fig. 4.3	Average response times for (a) A1 and (b) A2 .....	32
Fig. 4.4	An illustration of observed visual scan patterns where red lines show the eye gaze trajectories, blue circles and blue crosses indicate the starting and ending gazing positions: (a) $r_h = 1$ , $c_h = \text{Left}$ ; (b) $r_h = 5$ , $c_h = \text{Right}$ .....	33
Fig. 4.5	Confirmation rate for hidden challenges .....	34

Fig. 4.6	Average response times (in milliseconds) for different values of (a) the hidden challenge $c_h$ and (b) the hidden response $r_h$ .....	35
Fig. 4.7	Selected 10 images per stimulus type: (a) emojis, (b) faces, and (c) elementary shapes .....	39
Fig. 4.8	Illustration of the eye tracking calibration. (a) 5 dots calibration grid (b) Example of good calibration, where the green lines are well within each circle (c) Example of bad calibration, where the green lines are out of the circle for most of the circles .....	40
Fig. 4.9	Illustration of the software used in the experiment: (a) registration interface for participants to select pass image; (b) authentication screen for participants to identify the presence/absence of the selected pass image. The text in (b) is for illustration of the position only, it was not displayed in the experiment .....	42
Fig. 4.10	Comparison of completion times for different factor, where the blue group is the base group for comparison, and red group has significant difference comparing with the base group. (a) Different stimuli. (b) Different positions of pass image .	45
Fig. 4.11	Fixations heat map for different stimuli .....	48
Fig. 4.12	First fixation probability heat map for all stimuli image types, the lighter the color is, the higher the probability is and vice versa .....	49
Fig. 4.13	Correlation between pass image position from the previous trial and first fixation position at current trial. The lighter the color is, the higher the probability is and vice versa .....	50
Fig. 5.1	Proposed system framework diagram .....	61
Fig. 5.2	System framework of CogTool+ .....	64
Fig. 5.3	An example of simulation models written in XML .....	66
Fig. 5.4	The XML tree structure of a descriptive meta model .....	68
Fig. 5.5	The tree-like structure of an example of complex mixed models .....	69
Fig. 5.6	The internal structure of the interpreter worker .....	70
Fig. 5.7	The flowchart illustrating the working pipeline of the model simulator .....	71
Fig. 6.1	Modeling the creation of 7 challenge screens: (a) Undercover UI; (b) Visualization of Undercover UI model for 1 challenge screen; (c) Visualization of Undercover UI models for 7 challenge screens .....	77
Fig. 6.2	The flowchart of the modeling of the Undercover authentication process .....	78



Fig. 6.3	(a) Bar chart produced by CogTool+ showing the predicted average response time per hidden challenge $c_h$ using CogTool+; (b) Average response time per hidden challenge $c_h$ using real human data (the error bars represent standard deviations) [3] .....	81
Fig. 6.4	Bar chart produced by CogTool+ showing the predicted average response time per hidden response $r_h$ (i.e., pass picture position) .....	82
Fig. 6.5	Modeling one 6-digit PIN task: (a) PIN pad UI, (b) visualization of the PIN pad UI model, (c) visualization of entering one 6-digit PIN and press <Enter> .....	84
Fig. 6.6	Comparison of inter-keystroke timing data between human users and simulation, where y-axis is the performance time in milliseconds, and x-axis is the inter-keystroke time interval, $r$ represents the correlation coefficient between the human data and the simulated data. (a) Inter-keystroke timing data for PIN 000533 (b) Inter-keystroke timing data for PIN 100086 .....	85
Fig. 6.7	Comparison of inter-keystroke timing data between human users and simulation, where y-axis is the performance time in milliseconds, and x-axis is the inter-keystroke time interval, $r$ represents the correlation coefficient between the human data and the simulated data. (a) Inter-keystroke timing data for PIN 990872 (b) Inter-keystroke timing data for PIN 443333 .....	86
Fig. 6.8	Illustration of the number entry interfaces used in this study. (a) Illustration of Number pad interface [2] (b) Illustration of Up-down interface [2] .....	87
Fig. 6.9	Steps to model the Up-down number entry interface .....	89
Fig. 6.10	Models designed for the simulation of the Undercover system .....	92

# List of Tables

Table 4.1	Average response time (in milliseconds) to each hidden challenge value for different models .....	34
Table 4.2	Descriptive statistics for pass image position .....	43
Table 4.3	Descriptive statistics for stimuli image type .....	43
Table 4.4	Tukey-Kramer pairwise comparison between stimulus types .....	44
Table 4.5	Tukey-Kramer pairwise comparison between pass image positions .....	44
Table 4.6	Statistic report .....	46
Table 4.7	Tukey-Kramer pairwise comparison between stimulus and condition .....	46
Table 4.8	Transition probability after the first fixation .....	51
Table 4.9	Top 5 fixation sequences (visual-search strategy) for pass image at position 1 .....	52
Table 4.10	Top 5 fixation sequences (visual-search strategy) for pass image at position 2 .....	52
Table 4.11	Top 5 fixation sequences (visual-search strategy) for pass image at position 3 .....	53
Table 4.12	Top 5 fixation sequences (visual-search strategy) for pass image at position 4 .....	53
Table 6.1	Examples of inter-keystroke timing sequences for PIN entry tasks .....	83
Table 6.2	Mean for the number entry time (milliseconds) .....	91