

Commenced Publication in 1973

Founding and Former Series Editors:

Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

Editorial Board

David Hutchison

Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

Takeo Kanade

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Josef Kittler

University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

Jon M. Kleinberg

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Friedemann Mattern

ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

John C. Mitchell

Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

Moni Naor

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

C. Pandu Rangan

Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India

Bernhard Steffen

TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany

Demetri Terzopoulos

University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Doug Tygar

University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Gerhard Weikum

Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Saarbrücken, Germany

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/7407>

T.V. Gopal · Gerhard Jäger
Silvia Steila (Eds.)

Theory and Applications of Models of Computation

14th Annual Conference, TAMC 2017
Bern, Switzerland, April 20–22, 2017
Proceedings

Editors

T.V. Gopal
Anna University
Chennai
India

Silvia Steila
Universität Bern
Bern
Switzerland

Gerhard Jäger
Universität Bern
Bern
Switzerland

ISSN 0302-9743

ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)

Lecture Notes in Computer Science

ISBN 978-3-319-55910-0

ISBN 978-3-319-55911-7 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-55911-7

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017935403

LNCS Sublibrary: SL1 – Theoretical Computer Science and General Issues

© Springer International Publishing AG 2017, corrected publication 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature

The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

Theory and Applications of Models of Computation (TAMC) is a series of annual conferences that aims at bringing together a wide range of researchers with interest in computational theory and its applications. These conferences have a strong interdisciplinary character; they are distinguished by an appreciation of mathematical depth and scientific rather than heuristic approaches as well as the integration of theory and implementation.

Some of the most important theoretical aspects of a model of computation are its power, generality, simplicity, synthesizability, verifiability, and expressiveness. The TAMC series of conferences explores the algorithmic foundations, computational methods, and computing devices to meet the rapidly emerging challenges of complexity, scalability, sustainability, and interoperability, with wide-ranging impacts on virtually every aspect of human endeavor.

Due to a policy change in China, the 13th such conference had to be canceled. As a consequence, the Steering Committee of TAMC decided to give the authors of those articles that had been accepted for TAMC 2016 the option to present them at TAMC 2017. For TAMC 2016 a total of 24 papers was accepted out of 35 submissions. For TAMC 2017, which was held in Bern during April 20–22, we had 68 submissions and could accept 27. In both cases the reviewing process was rigorous and conducted by international Program Committees. The authors and reviewers for TAMC 2017 were from 29 countries. This volume contains 45 of the 51 accepted submissions of TAMC 2016 and TAMC 2017.

The main themes of TAMC 2017 were computability, computer science logic, complexity, algorithms, models of computation, and systems theory, as reflected also by the choice of the invited speakers.

This volume contains abstracts or full papers of the invited lectures of TAMC 2017 and the written versions of those contributions to TAMC 2016 and TAMC 2017 that were presented at Bern.

If indeed, as Hilbert asserted, mathematics is a meaningless game played with meaningless marks on paper, the only mathematical experience to which we can refer is the making of marks on paper.

Eric Temple Bell, *The Queen of the Sciences*, 1931

We are very grateful to the Program Committees of TAMC 2016 and TAMC 2017, and the many external reviewers they called on, for the hard work and expertise that they brought to the difficult selection process. We thank all those authors who submitted their work for our consideration. We thank the members of the Editorial Board of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* and the editors at Springer for their encouragement and cooperation throughout the preparation of this conference.

Last but not least we thank our sponsors for providing the financial and structural basis to have TAMC 2017 in Bern:

- Universität Bern
- Schweizerischer Nationalfonds
- Akademie der Naturwissenschaften Schweiz
- Burgergemeinde Bern
- Kanton Bern
- Engagement Stadt Bern
- Springer
- NASSCOM

April 2017

T.V. Gopal
Gerhard Jäger
Silvia Steila

Organization

Steering Committee

M. Agrawal	Indian Institute of Technology, India
J.Y. Cai	University of Wisconsin, USA
J. Hopcroft	Cornell University, USA
A. Li	Chinese Academy of Sciences, China
Z. Liu	Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

Conference Chair

G. Jäger	University of Bern, Switzerland
----------	---------------------------------

Local Organizers

M. Bärtschi	University of Bern, Switzerland
B. Choffat	University of Bern, Switzerland
G. Jäger	University of Bern, Switzerland
L. Jaun	University of Bern, Switzerland
L. Lehmann	University of Bern, Switzerland
T. Rosebrock	University of Bern, Switzerland
K. Sato	University of Bern, Switzerland
N. Savić	University of Bern, Switzerland
S. Steila	University of Bern, Switzerland
T. Strahm	University of Bern, Switzerland
T. Studer	University of Bern, Switzerland
J. Walker	University of Bern, Switzerland

Sponsoring Institutions

Universität Bern
Schweizerischer Nationalfonds
Akademie der Naturwissenschaften Schweiz
Bürgergemeinde Bern
Kanton Bern
Engagement Stadt Bern
Springer
NASSCOM

Program Committee TAMC 2016

Chair

J.Y. Cai University of Wisconsin, USA

Co-chairs

J. Cui Xidian University, China
X. Sun Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

Members

A. Abraham Machine Intelligence Research Labs, USA
A. Bonato Ryerson University, Canada
Y. Chen Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China
R.G. Downey Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand
H. Fernau Universität Trier, Germany
D. Fotakis National Technical University of Athens, Greece
T.V. Gopal Anna University, India
S. Lempp University of Wisconsin, USA
J. Liu Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand
K. Meer Brandenburgische Technische Universität, Germany
M. Minnes University of California, USA
P. Moser Maynooth University, Ireland
M. Ogihara University of Miami, USA
P. Peng Technische Universität Dortmund, Germany
H. Wang Chinese Academy of Sciences, China
W. Wang Sun Yat-Sen University, China
G. Wu Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
Y. Yin Nanjing University, China
M. Ying University of Technology Sydney, Australia
T. Zeugmann Hokkaido University, Japan
S. Zhang Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, SAR China
X. Li Xidian University, China
Z. Fu University of Wisconsin, USA
D. Zhu Shandong University, China
S. Lokam Microsoft Research, India
W. Chen Microsoft Research, China
X. Chen Columbia University, USA
Y. Cao Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, SAR China
K. Yi Hong Kong University of Science and Technology,
Hong Kong, SAR China
J. Zhang Chinese Academy of Sciences, China
Y. Yu Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China
M. Li City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, SAR China
Z. Huang The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, SAR China
L. Zhang Bell Labs Research, USA

X. Bei	Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
M. Xia	Chinese Academy of Sciences, China
A. Fernandez	IMEDIA, Spain
X. Wang	Shandong University, China
Y. Fu	Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China
J. Nelson	Harvard University, USA
J. Xue	Jiangxi Normal University, China
R. Jain	National University of Singapore, Singapore

Program Committee TAMC 2017

Chair

G. Jäger	University of Bern, Switzerland
----------	---------------------------------

Co-chair

T.V. Gopal	Anna University, India
------------	------------------------

Members

S. Artemov	City University of New York, USA
J. Bradfield	The University of Edinburgh, UK
C. Calude	The University of Auckland, New Zealand
V. Chakaravorthy	IBM Research, India
A. Fässler	Bern University of Applied Sciences, Switzerland
H. Fernau	Universität Trier, Germany
D. Fotakis	National Technical University of Athens, Greece
T. Fujito	Toyohashi University of Technology, Japan
C.A. Furia	Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden
A.D. Jaggard	United States Naval Research Laboratory, USA
R. Kuznets	TU Wien, Austria
S. Lempp	University of Wisconsin, USA
J. Liu	Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand
S. Martini	University of Bologna, Italy
K. Meer	Brandenburgische Technische Universität, Germany
M. Minnes	University of California, USA
P. Moser	Maynooth University, Ireland
M. Ogihara	University of Miami, USA
J. Rolim	University of Geneva, Switzerland
H. Schwichtenberg	Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany
A. Seth	Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India
R.K. Shyamasundar	Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, India
S. Steila	University of Bern, Switzerland
T. Studer	University of Bern, Switzerland
S. Wainer	University of Leeds, UK
P. Widmayer	ETH Zurich, Switzerland
G. Wu	Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

X Organization

Y. Yin	Nanjing University, China
M. Ying	University of Technology Sydney, Australia
T. Zeugmann	Hokkaido University, Japan
N. Zhan	Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

Abstracts of Invited Talks

Cognitive Reasoning and Trust in Human-Robot Interactions

Marta Kwiatkowska

Department of Computer Science, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
marta.kwiatkowska@cs.ox.ac.uk

Abstract. We are witnessing accelerating technological advances in autonomous systems, of which driverless cars and home-assistive robots are prominent examples. As mobile autonomy becomes embedded in our society, we increasingly often depend on decisions made by mobile autonomous robots and interact with them socially. Key questions that need to be asked are how to ensure safety and trust in such interactions. How do we know when to trust a robot? How much should we trust? And how much should the robots trust us? This paper will give an overview of a probabilistic logic for expressing trust between human or robotic agents such as “agent A has 99% trust in agent B’s ability or willingness to perform a task” and the role it can play in explaining trust-based decisions and agent’s dependence on one another. The logic is founded on a probabilistic notion of belief, supports cognitive reasoning about goals and intentions, and admits quantitative verification via model checking, which can be used to evaluate such trust in human-robot interactions. The paper concludes by summarising recent advances and future challenges for modelling and verification in this important field.

Approximate Counting via Correlation Decay

Pinyan Lu

Shanghai University of Finance and Economics, Yangpu, China
`lu.pinyan@mail.shufe.edu.cn`

In this talk, I will survey some recent development of approximate counting algorithms based on correlation decay technique. Unlike the previous major approximate counting approach based on sampling such as Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC), correlation decay based approach can give deterministic fully polynomial-time approximation scheme (FPTAS) for a number of counting problems. Based on this new approach, many new approximation schemes are obtained for counting problems on graphs, partition functions in statistical physics and so on.

On Extraction of Programs from Constructive Proofs

Maria Emilia Maietti

Università di Padova, Padua, Italy
maietti@math.unipd.it

A key distinctive feature of constructive mathematics with respect to classical one is the fact that from constructive proofs one can extract computable witnesses of provable existential statements. As a consequence all the constructively definable number theoretic functions are computable.

In this talk we argue that for certain constructive dependent type theories known to satisfy the proofs-as-programs paradigm, the extraction of computable witnesses from existential statements must be done in a stronger proofs-as-programs theory, for example in a realizability model. This is the case both for Coquand's Calculus of Constructions in [1] extended with inductive definitions and implemented in the proof-assistant Coq, and for its predicative version represented by the intensional level of the Minimalist Foundation in [2, 3].

References

1. Coquand, T.: Metamathematical investigation of a calculus of constructions. In: Odifreddi, P. (ed.) *Logic in Computer Science*, pp. 91–122. Academic Press (1990)
2. Maietti, M.E.: A minimalist two-level foundation for constructive mathematics. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **160**(3), 319–354 (2009)
3. Maietti, M.E., Sambin, G.: Toward a minimalist foundation for constructive mathematics. In: Crosilla, L., Schuster, P. (eds.) *From Sets and Types to Topology and Analysis: Practicable Foundations for Constructive Mathematics*. *Oxford Logic Guides*, vol. 48, pp. 91–114. Oxford University Press (2005)

Computational Complexity for Real Valued Graph Parameters

Johann A. Makowsky

Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel
janos@cs.technion.ac.il

A *real-valued graph parameter* is a function f which maps (possibly weighted) graphs into the real number \mathbb{R} such that two isomorphic (weighted) graphs receive the same value. Typical examples are graph polynomials $F(G; \bar{X}) \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ in k indeterminates, partition functions and Holant functions. The talk is based [1, 2]. We address the following issues:

- (i) How to choose the computational model?
the complexity of evaluating $F(G; \bar{a})$ The weighted graphs are best modeled as *metafinite structures*. In our discussion computations over the reals \mathbb{R} are performed in unit cost in the computation model of Blum-Shub-Smale (BSS).
- (ii) What are the complexity classes?
In BSS polynomial time $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and non-deterministic time $\mathbf{NP}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are well defined. However, we are looking for an analogue of $\#\mathbf{P}$, which captures the complexity of evaluating a graph polynomial $F(G; \bar{X})$ at real values of \bar{X} , for which there are complete problems.
- (iii) Are there dichotomy theorems?
For a graph polynomial $F(G; \bar{X})$ we look at the complexity spectrum which describes how the complexity of evaluating $F(G; \bar{a})$ varies for different $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{R}^k$. The analogue of Ladner's Theorem, which states that there are many intermediate complexity classes, unless $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$, also holds in BSS. However, in all the cases known in the literature, the complexity of evaluating $F(G; \bar{a})$ is either in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ or $\mathbf{NP}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -hard (the Difficult Point Dichotomy). We present infinitely many new graph polynomials for which this dichotomy holds. We shall also discuss the difficulties in proving such a dichotomy in general, and formulate various conjectures, which state that such a dichotomy holds for wide classes of graph polynomials.

References

1. Goodall, A., Hermann, M., Kotek, T., Makowsky, J.A., Noble, S.D.: On the complexity of generalized chromatic polynomials (2017). arXiv: [1701.06639](#)
2. Makowsky, J.A., Kotek, T., Ravve, E.V.: A computational framework for the study of partition functions and graph polynomials. In: Proceedings of the 12th Asian Logic Conference 2011, pp. 210–230. World Scientific (2013)

Natural Language Processing, Moving from Rules to Data

Carlos Martín-Vide

Rovira i Virgili University, Tarragona, Spain
carlos.martin@urv.cat

Abstract. During the last decade, we assist to a major change in the direction that theoretical models used in natural language processing follow. We are moving from rule-based systems to corpus-oriented paradigms. In this paper, we analyze several generative formalisms together with newer statistical and data-oriented linguistic methodologies. We review existing methods belonging to deep or shallow learning applied in various subfields of computational linguistics. The continuous, fast improvements obtained by practical, applied machine learning techniques may lead us to new theoretical developments in the classical models as well. We discuss several scenarios for future approaches.

An All-or-Nothing Flavor to the Church-Turing Hypothesis

Stefan Wolf^{1,2}

¹ Faculty of Informatics, Università della Svizzera italiana (USI),
6900 Lugano, Switzerland
wolfs@usi.ch

² Facoltà indipendente di Gandria, Lunga Scala, 6978 Gandria, Switzerland

Abstract. Landauer’s principle claims that “Information is Physical.” It is not surprising that its conceptual *antithesis*, Wheeler’s “It from Bit,” has been more popular among computer scientists — in the form of the *Church-Turing hypothesis*: All natural processes can be computed by a universal Turing machine; physical laws then become descriptions of subsets of *observable*, as opposed to merely *possible*, computations. Switching back and forth between the two traditional styles of thought, motivated by quantum-physical Bell correlations and the doubts they raise about fundamental space-time causality, we look for an intrinsic, physical randomness notion and find one around the second law of thermodynamics. Bell correlations combined with *complexity as randomness* tell us that beyond-Turing computations are either physically impossible, or they can be carried out by “devices” as simple as individual photons.

Computing on Streams and Analog Networks

Jeffery Zucker

McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada
zucker@mcmaster.ca

In [5] John Tucker and I defined a general concept of a network of analog processing units or modules connected by analog channels, processing data from a metric space A , and operating with respect to a global continuous clock \mathbb{T} , modelled by the set of non-negative reals. The inputs and output of a network are continuous streams $u : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow A$, and the input-output behaviour of a network with system parameters from A is modelled by a function of the form

$$\Phi : \mathcal{C}[\mathbb{T}, A]^p \times A^r \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[\mathbb{T}, A]^q,$$

where $\mathcal{C}[\mathbb{T}, A]$ is the set of all continuous streams equipped with the compact-open topology. We give an equational specification of the network, and a semantics when some physically motivated conditions on the modules, and a stability condition on the behaviour of the network, are satisfied. This involves solving a fixed point equation over $\mathcal{C}[\mathbb{T}, A]$ using a contraction principle based on the fact that $\mathcal{C}[\mathbb{T}, A]$ can be approximated by metric spaces. We analysed in detail a case study of analogue computation, using a mechanical system involving a mass, spring and damper, in which data are represented by displacements. The curious thing about this solution is that it worked only for certain ranges in the values of the parameters M (mass), K (spring constant) and D (damping constant), namely $M > \max(K, 2D)$, which has no obvious physical interpretation. (More on this below.)

The fixed points found as above are functions of the parameters of the system, considered as inputs (in our example, the external force applied to the mass, as a function of time). The functionals characterizing the system are then *stream transformations*. Tucker and I showed [6, 7] that these transformations are (respectively) *continuous* (w.r.t. a suitable topology) and *computable*, w.r.t. a suitable notion of *concrete computation* on the reals, where the computable reals, and operations on them, are represented respectively by codes for effective Cauchy sequences, and operations on them – essentially equivalent to Grzegorzcyk-Lacombe computability.

The significance of *continuity* of the fixed point function is that it implies *stability* of the fixed point as the solution to the specification. This is related to *Hadamard's principle* which (as (re-)formulated by Courant and Hilbert) states that for a scientific problem to be well posed, the solution must (apart from existing and being unique) depend continuously on the data.

Returning to the problem indicated above that the functionals (apparently) converge to fixed points only for certain values in the range of the parameters, this was successfully solved by Nick James [1, 2] who, developing a theory of stream functions on Banach spaces, showed that the important thing is *how* the network is modularized. By re-modularizing our original mass/spring/damper suitably, he obtained an equivalent system in which the (unnatural seeming) limitations on the sizes of the parameters are removed.

We turn to the next development in this theory. Diogo Poças [3] investigated analog networks which were based on Shannon's GPACs (general purpose analog computers). He has made a number of significant developments in this theory, which also impacts on the work described above: (1) He worked in the context of Fréchet spaces, not Banach spaces, which provides a more natural framework for investigating the space $\mathcal{C}[\mathbb{T}, A]$. (2) He characterized the class of functions over the reals, computable by GPACS, as the *differential algebraic* functions. (3) He has extended the structure of GPACS to X-GPACS, which allows *two* independent variables: t , ranging over *time*, as before, and also x , ranging over *space*. This to the use of *partial differential equations*.

It turns out that the X-GPAC generable functions are precisely those solvable by partial *differential algebraic systems* [4]. Now certain interesting functions, such as the *gamma function*, and the *Riemann zeta function*, are not differentially algebraic, and (therefore) not X-GPAC computable. (4) This motivates a further extension of the X-GPAC model to the LX-GPAC model, ("L" for limit), which permits the use of limit operations (either discrete, over the naturals, or continuous, over segments of the reals). This facility permits the generation of both the gamma function and Riemann zeta function [3, Ch. 4]

It remains to find a useful characterization, in terms of concrete computation models, of the class of functions generated by LX-GPACs.

References

1. James, N.D.: Existence, continuity and computability of unique fixed points in analog network models. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Computing and Software, McMaster University (2012)
2. James, N.D., Zucker, J.I.: A class of contracting stream operators. *Comput. J.* **56**, 15–33 (2013)
3. Poças, D.: Analog computability in differential equations. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Mathematics, McMaster University (2017). Draft.
4. Poças, D., Zucker, J.I.: Analog networks on function data streams. Based on Ch. 3 of [3]; submitted for publication (2017)
5. Tucker, J.V., Zucker, J.I.: Computability of analog networks. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **371**, 115–146 (2007)
6. Tucker, J.V., Zucker, J.I.: Continuity of operators on continuous and discrete time streams. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **412**, 3378–3403 (2011)
7. Tucker, J.V., Zucker, J.I.: Computability of operators on continuous and discrete time streams. *Computability* **3**, 9–44 (2014)

Contents

Invited Papers

Cognitive Reasoning and Trust in Human-Robot Interactions	3
<i>Marta Kwiatkowska</i>	
On Choice Rules in Dependent Type Theory	12
<i>Maria Emilia Maietti</i>	
Natural Language Processing, Moving from Rules to Data	24
<i>Adrian-Horia Dediu, Joana M. Matos, and Carlos Martín-Vide</i>	
An All-or-Nothing Flavor to the Church-Turing Hypothesis	39
<i>Stefan Wolf</i>	

Contributed Papers

Turbo-Charging Dominating Set with an FPT Subroutine: Further Improvements and Experimental Analysis	59
<i>Faisal N. Abu-Khzam, Shaowei Cai, Judith Egan, Peter Shaw, and Kai Wang</i>	
Multi-interval Pairwise Compatibility Graphs (Extended Abstract).	71
<i>Shareef Ahmed and Md. Saidur Rahman</i>	
A Note on Effective Categoricity for Linear Orderings.	85
<i>Nikolay Bazhenov</i>	
On the Shortest Common Superstring of NGS Reads.	97
<i>Tristan Braquelaire, Marie Gasparoux, Mathieu Raffinot, and Raluca Uricaru</i>	
On the Cost of Simulating a Parallel Boolean Automata Network by a Block-Sequential One.	112
<i>Florian Bridoux, Pierre Guillon, Kévin Perrot, Sylvain Sené, and Guillaume Theyssier</i>	
On Resource-Bounded Versions of the van Lambalgen Theorem.	129
<i>Diptarka Chakraborty, Satyadev Nandakumar, and Himanshu Shukla</i>	
Scheduling Fully Parallel Jobs with Integer Parallel Units	144
<i>Vincent Chau, Minming Li, and Kai Wang</i>	

Continuous Firefighting on Infinite Square Grids	158
<i>Xujin Chen, Xiaodong Hu, Changjun Wang, and Ying Zhang</i>	
Mediated Population Protocols: Leader Election and Applications	172
<i>Shantanu Das, Giuseppe Antonio Di Luna, Paola Flocchini, Nicola Santoro, and Giovanni Viglietta</i>	
Learning AC^0 Under k -Dependent Distributions	187
<i>Ning Ding, Yanli Ren, and Dawu Gu</i>	
Parikh Images of Matrix Ins-Del Systems.	201
<i>Henning Fernau and Lakshmanan Kuppusamy</i>	
Algorithmic Aspects of the Maximum Colorful Arborescence Problem	216
<i>Guillaume Fertin, Julien Fradin, and Géraldine Jean</i>	
Incompleteness Theorems, Large Cardinals, and Automata over Finite Words	231
<i>Olivier Finkel</i>	
Scheduling Tasks to Minimize Active Time on a Processor with Unlimited Capacity	247
<i>Ken C.K. Fong, Minming Li, Yungao Li, Sheung-Hung Poon, Weiwei Wu, and Yingchao Zhao</i>	
The Strength of the SCT Criterion.	260
<i>Emanuele Frittaion, Silvia Steila, and Keita Yokoyama</i>	
Covering Polygons with Rectangles.	274
<i>Roland Glück</i>	
The Complexity of Perfect Packings in Dense Graphs	289
<i>Jie Han</i>	
On the Maximum Weight Minimal Separator	304
<i>Tesshu Hanaka, Hans L. Bodlaender, Tom C. van der Zanden, and Hirotaka Ono</i>	
Pebble Games over Ordered Structural Abstractions	319
<i>Yuguo He</i>	
Counting Minimal Dominating Sets.	333
<i>Mamadou Moustapha Kanté and Takeaki Uno</i>	
On the Computational Complexity of Variants of Combinatorial Voter Control in Elections	348
<i>Leon Kellerhals, Viatcheslav Korenwein, Philipp Zschoche, Robert Bredereck, and Jiehua Chen</i>	

On the Computational Complexity of Read once Resolution Decidability in 2CNF Formulas	362
<i>Hans Kleine Büning, Piotr Wojciechowski, and K. Subramani</i>	
Vector Ambiguity and Freeness Problems in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$	373
<i>Sang-Ki Ko and Igor Potapov</i>	
An $O(n^2)$ Algorithm for Computing Optimal Continuous Voltage Schedules.	389
<i>Minming Li, Frances F. Yao, and Hao Yuan</i>	
Towards an Almost Quadratic Lower Bound on the Monotone Circuit Complexity of the Boolean Convolution	401
<i>Andrzej Lingas</i>	
Bounds for Semi-disjoint Bilinear Forms in a Unit-Cost Computational Model	412
<i>Andrzej Lingas, Mia Persson, and Dzmitry Sledneu</i>	
Bounding the Dimension of Points on a Line	425
<i>Neil Lutz and D.M. Stull</i>	
Büchi Automata Recognizing Sets of Reals Definable in First-Order Logic with Addition and Order.	440
<i>Arthur Milchior</i>	
qPCF: A Language for Quantum Circuit Computations.	455
<i>Luca Paolini and Margherita Zorzi</i>	
Blocking Independent Sets for H -Free Graphs via Edge Contractions and Vertex Deletions.	470
<i>Daniël Paulusma, Christophe Picouleau, and Bernard Ries</i>	
A Density Theorem for Hierarchies of Limit Spaces over Separable Metric Spaces.	484
<i>Iosif Petrakis</i>	
On the Conjecture of the Smallest 3-Cop-Win Planar Graph.	499
<i>Photchchara Pisantechakool and Xuehou Tan</i>	
On Complexity of Total Vertex Cover on Subcubic Graphs	515
<i>Sheung-Hung Poon and Wei-Lin Wang</i>	
Nondeterministic Communication Complexity of Random Boolean Functions (Extended Abstract)	529
<i>Mozhgan Pourmoradnasseri and Dirk Oliver Theis</i>	

The Smoothed Number of Pareto-Optimal Solutions in Non-integer Bicriteria Optimization.	543
<i>Heiko Röglin and Clemens Rösner</i>	
From Nonstandard Analysis to Various Flavours of Computability Theory. . .	556
<i>Sam Sanders</i>	
Hardness of Routing for Minimizing Superlinear Polynomial Cost in Directed Graphs	571
<i>Yangguang Shi, Fa Zhang, and Zhiyong Liu</i>	
A Cryptographic View of Regularity Lemmas: Simpler Unified Proofs and Refined Bounds	586
<i>Maciej Skórski</i>	
On the Complexity of Breaking Pseudoentropy.	600
<i>Maciej Skorski</i>	
Efficient Algorithms for Touring a Sequence of Convex Polygons and Related Problems	614
<i>Xuehou Tan and Bo Jiang</i>	
Parameterized Complexity of Fair Deletion Problems.	628
<i>Tomáš Masařík and Tomáš Toufar</i>	
Degrees of Word Problem for Algebras Without Finitely Presented Expansions	643
<i>Guohua Wu and Huishan Wu</i>	
Kernelization and Parameterized Algorithms for 3-Path Vertex Cover	654
<i>Mingyu Xiao and Shaowei Kou</i>	
Fast Searching on Cartesian Products of Graphs	669
<i>Yuan Xue and Boting Yang</i>	
Sequentialization Using Timestamps	684
<i>Anand Yeolekar, Kumar Madhukar, Dipali Bhutada, and R. Venkatesh</i>	
Erratum to: Scheduling Fully Parallel Jobs with Integer Parallel Units	E1
<i>Vincent Chau, Minming Li, and Kai Wang</i>	
Author Index	697