Lecture Notes in Computer Science

10499

Commenced Publication in 1973
Founding and Former Series Editors:
Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

Editorial Board

David Hutchison

Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

Takeo Kanade

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Josef Kittler

University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

Jon M. Kleinberg

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Friedemann Mattern

ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

John C. Mitchell

Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

Moni Naor

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

C. Pandu Rangan

Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India

Bernhard Steffen

TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany

Demetri Terzopoulos

University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Doug Tygar

University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Gerhard Weikum

Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Saarbrücken, Germany

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/7407

Interactive Theorem Proving

8th International Conference, ITP 2017 Brasília, Brazil, September 26–29, 2017 Proceedings



Editors
Mauricio Ayala-Rincón
University of Brasília
Brasília D.F.
Brazil

César A. Muñoz NASA Hampton, VA USA

ISSN 0302-9743 ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic) Lecture Notes in Computer Science ISBN 978-3-319-66106-3 ISBN 978-3-319-66107-0 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-66107-0

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017949523

LNCS Sublibrary: SL1 - Theoretical Computer Science and General Issues

© Springer International Publishing AG 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

This volume contains the proceedings of the 8th Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2017) held in Brasília, Brazil, on September 26–29, 2017. The conference was organized by the departments of Computer Science and Mathematics of the Universidade de Brasília.

The ITP conference series is concerned with all topics related to interactive theorem proving, ranging from theoretical foundations to applications in program verification, security, and formalization of mathematics. ITP succeeded TPHOLs, which took place every year from 1988 until 2009. Since 2010, ITP has been held in Edinburgh (2010), Nijmegen (2011), Princeton (2012), Rennes (2013), Vienna (2014), Nanjing (2015), and Nancy (2016).

ITP 2017 was part of the Brasília Spring on Automated Reasoning and was co-located with the 26th International Conference on Automated Reasoning with Analytic Tableaux and Related Methods (Tableaux 2017) and the 11th International Symposium on Frontiers of Combining Systems (FroCoS 2017). In addition to the three main conferences, four workshops took place: 12th Logical and Semantic Frameworks with Applications (LSFA 2017), 5th Workshop for Proof eXchange for Theorem Proving (PxTP 2017), EPS - Encyclopedia of Proof Systems, and DaLí - Dynamic Logic: New Trends and Applications. The Brasília Spring on Automated Reasoning also included four tutorials: Proof Compressions and the Conjecture NP = PSPACE, General Methods in Proof Theory for Modal and Substructural Logics, From Proof Systems to Complexity Bounds, and PVS for Computer Scientists.

There were 65 submissions. Each submission was reviewed by at least 3 members of the Program Committee. The reviews were written by the 36 committee members and 69 external reviewers. An electronic PC meeting was held using the EasyChair system. The PC decided to accept 28 regular submissions and 2 rough diamond contributions. The program also included 3 invited talks by Moa Johansson on Automated Theory Exploration for Interactive Theorem Proving: An Introduction to the Hipster System, Cezary Kaliszyk on Automating Formalization by Statistical and Semantic Parsing of Mathematics, and Leonardo de Moura on Whitebox Automation. Cezary Kaliszyk, Katalin Bimbó, and Jasmin Blanchette presented joint TABLEAUX/FroCoS/ITP invited talks.

We would like to thank the PC members for their work, especially during the paper selection process, all the reviewers for writing high-quality reviews, the invited speakers for accepting our invitation and delivering insightful talks, and the authors who submitted their contributions to ITP 2017. Many people helped to make ITP 2017 a success. In particular, we are very grateful to Cláudia Nalon and Daniele Nantes-Sobrinho, who served as Local Organizers at the Universidade de Brasília. Claudia and Daniele worked hard and were highly instrumental in guaranteeing the success of the Brasília Spring on Automated Reasoning.

VI Preface

Last but not least, we are thankful to the sponsors of ITP 2017: Microsoft, the European Association for Artificial Intelligence (EurAI), the District Federal Research Support Foundation (FAPDF), the Coordination of Personnel Training in Higher Education of the Brazilian Education Ministry (CAPES), the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), and the Departments of Computer Science and Mathematics of the Universidade de Brasília (UnB).

September 2017

Mauricio Ayala-Rincón César Muñoz

Organization

Program Committee

Mauricio Ayala-Rincón Universidade de Brasília (Co-chair), Brazil

César Muñoz NASA (Co-chair), USA

María Alpuente Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain

Vander Alves Universidade de Brasília, Brazil

June Andronick CSIRO—Data61 and UNSW, Australia Jeremy Avigad Carnegie Mellon University, USA

Sylvie Boldo Inria, France

Ana Bove Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden

Adam Chlipala MIT, USA

Gilles Dowek Inria and ENS Paris-Saclay, France

Aaron Dutle NASA, USA

Amy Felty University of Ottawa, Canada Marcelo Frias IT Buenos Aires, Argentina Ruben Gamboa University of Wyoming, USA

Herman Geuvers Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands Elsa Gunter University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

John Harrison Intel Corporation, USA

Nao Hirokawa JAIST, Japan

Matt Kaufmann University of Texas at Austin, USA Mark Lawford McMaster University, Canada

Andreas Lochbihler Institute of Information Security, ETH Zurich, Switzerland

Assia Mahboubi Inria, France

Panagiotis Manolios
Gopalan Nadathur
Keiko Nakata
Northeastern University, USA
University of Minnesota, USA
SAP Potsdam, Germany

Adam Naumowicz Institute of Informatics, University of Bialystok, Poland

Tobias Nipkow
Scott Owens
Sum Owre
Lawrence Paulson
TU München, Germany
University of Kent, UK
SRI International, USA
University of Cambridge, UK

Leila Ribeiro Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

Claudio Sacerdoti Coen University of Bologna, Italy

Augusto Sampaio Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brazil

Monika Seisenberger Swansea University, UK

Christian Sternagel University of Innsbruck, Austria Sofiene Tahar Concordia University, Canada Christian Urban King's College London, UK

Josef Urban Czech Technical University in Prague, Czech Republic

ITP Steering Committee

Lawrence Paulson University of Cambridge, UK

(Chair)

David Basin ETH Zurich, Switzerland

Yves Bertot Inria, France

Amy Felty University of Ottawa, Canada Panagiotis Manolios Northeastern University, USA

César Muñoz NASA, USA

Michael Norrish CSIRO—Data61 and ANU, Australia

Sofiène Tahar Concordia University, Canada Christian Urban King's College London, UK

Jasmin Blanchette Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

(Ex-officio)

Organizing Committee

Cláudia Nalon Universidade de Brasília, Brazil Daniele Universidade de Brasília, Brazil

Nantes-Sobrinho

Elaine Pimentel Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil João Marcos Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil

Additional Reviewers

Akbarpour, Behzad Escobar, Santiago Lammich, Peter Altenkirch, Thorsten Faissole, Florian Larchey-Wendling, Asperti, Andrea Foster, Simon Dominique Lawrence, Andrew Azzi, Guilherme Färber, Michael Ballis, Demis Gacek, Andrew Lee, Holden Bannister, Callum Goel, Shilpi Magaud, Nicolas Beckert, Bernhard Grabowski, Adam Maggesi, Marco Berger, Ulrich Gutiérrez, Raúl Mahmoud, Mohamed Besson, Frédéric Helali, Ghassen Yousri Brown, Chad Maietti, Maria Emilia Herbelin, Hugo Castro, Thiago Hunt, Warren A. Maric, Filip Chau, Cuong Iyoda, Juliano Matichuk, Daniel Claessen, Koen Kaliszyk, Cezary Melquiond, Guillaume Keller, Chantal Miné, Antoine Cohen, Cyril Collins, Pieter Korniłowicz, Artur Miguey, Étienne Daghar, Alaeddine Kozen, Dexter Moscato, Mariano Danielsson, Nils Anders Krebbers, Robbert Nakano, Keisuke Demeo, William Kullmann, Oliver Narkawicz, Anthony

Nordvall Forsberg, Sewe Fredrik Siddi Norrish, Michael Sozea Popescu, Andrei Stern Rashid, Adnan Tan, Setzer, Anton Teixe

Sewell, Thomas Siddique, Umair Sozeau, Matthieu Sternagel, Thomas Tan, Yong Kiam Teixeira, Leopoldo Théry, Laurent Titolo, Laura Van Oostrom, Vincent Villanueva, Alicia Wiedijk, Freek Young, William D.

Local Sponsors

Coordination of Personnel Training in Higher Education of the Brazilian Education Ministry (CAPES)

District Federal Research Support Foundation (FAPDF)

Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq)

Department of Computer Science Universidade de Brasília - UnB Department of Mathematics Universidade de Brasília - UnB











Whitebox Automation

Leonardo de Moura¹, Jeremy Avigad², Gabriel Ebner³, Jared Roesch⁴, and Sebastian Ullrich⁵

¹ Microsoft Research
leonardo@microsoft.com
² Carnegie Mellon University
avigad@andrew.cmu.edu
³ Vienna University of Technology
gebner@gebner.org
⁴ University of Washington
jroesch@cs.washington.edu
⁵ Karlsruhe Institute of Technology
ullrich@kit.edu

Abstract. We describe the metaprogramming language currently in use in Lean, a new open source theorem prover that is designed to bridge the gap between interactive use and automation. Lean implements a version of the Calculus of Inductive Constructions. Its elaborator and unification algorithms are designed around the use of type classes, which support algebraic reasoning, programming abstractions, and other generally useful means of expression. Lean also has parallel compilation and checking of proofs, and provides a server mode that supports a continuous compilation and rich user interaction in editing environments such as Emacs, Vim, and Visual Studio Code. Lean currently has a conditional term rewriter, and several components commonly found in state-of-the-art Satisfiability Modulo Theories (SMT) solvers such as forward chaining, congruence closure, handling of associative and commutative operators, and E-matching. All these components are available in the metaprogramming framework, and can be combined and customized by users.

In this talk, we provide a short introduction to the Lean theorem prover and its metaprogramming framework. We also describe how this framework extends Lean's object language with an API to many of Lean's internal structures and procedures, and provides ways of reflecting object-level expressions into the metalanguage. We provide evidence to show that our implementation is performant, and that it provides a convenient and flexible way of writing not only small-scale interactive tactics, but also more substantial kinds of automation.

We view this as important progress towards our overarching goal of bridging the gap between interactive and automated reasoning. Users who develop libraries for interactive use can now more easily develop special-purpose automation to go with them thereby encoding procedural heuristics and expertise alongside factual knowledge. At the same time, users who want to use Lean as a back end to assist in complex verification tasks now have flexible means of adapting Lean's libraries and automation to their specific needs. As a result, our metaprogramming language opens up new opportunities, allowing for more

XIV Whitebox Automation

natural and intuitive forms of interactive reasoning, as well as for more flexible and reliable forms of automation.

More information about Lean can be found at http://leanprover.github.io. The interactive book "Theorem Proving in Lean" is the standard reference for Lean. The book is available in PDF and HTML formats. In the HTML version, all examples and exercises can be executed in the reader's web browser.

¹ https://leanprover.github.io/theorem_proving_in_lean.

Automated Theory Exploration for Interactive Theorem Proving

An Introduction to the Hipster System

Moa Johansson

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden moa.johansson@chalmers.se

Abstract. Theory exploration is a technique for automatically discovering new interesting lemmas in a mathematical theory development using testing. In this paper I will present the theory exploration system Hipster, which automatically discovers and proves lemmas about a given set of datatypes and functions in Isabelle/HOL. The development of Hipster was originally motivated by attempts to provide a higher level of automation for proofs by induction. Automating inductive proofs is tricky, not least because they often need auxiliary lemmas which themselves need to be proved by induction. We found that many such basic lemmas can be discovered automatically by theory exploration, and importantly, quickly enough for use in conjunction with an interactive theorem prover without boring the user.

Automating Formalization by Statistical and Semantic Parsing of Mathematics

Cezary Kaliszyk¹, Josef Urban², and Jiří Vyskočil²

¹ University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria cezary.kaliszyk@uibk.ac.at ² Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

Abstract. We discuss the progress in our project which aims to automate formalization by combining natural language processing with deep semantic understanding of mathematical expressions. We introduce the overall motivation and ideas behind this project, and then propose a context-based parsing approach that combines efficient statistical learning of deep parse trees with their semantic pruning by type checking and large-theory automated theorem proving. We show that our learning method allows efficient use of large amount of contextual information, which in turn significantly boosts the precision of the statistical parsing and also makes it more efficient. This leads to a large improvement of our first results in parsing theorems from the Flyspeck corpus.

Contents

Automated Theory Exploration for Interactive Theorem Proving: An Introduction to the Hipster System	1
Automating Formalization by Statistical and Semantic Parsing of Mathematics	12
A Formalization of Convex Polyhedra Based on the Simplex Method Xavier Allamigeon and Ricardo D. Katz	28
A Formal Proof of the Expressiveness of Deep Learning	46
Formalization of the Lindemann-Weierstrass Theorem	65
CompCertS: A Memory-Aware Verified C Compiler Using Pointer as Integer Semantics	81
Formal Verification of a Floating-Point Expansion Renormalization Algorithm	98
How to Simulate It in Isabelle: Towards Formal Proof for Secure Multi-Party Computation	114
FoCaLiZe and Dedukti to the Rescue for Proof Interoperability	131
A Formal Proof in Coo of LaSalle's Invariance Principle	148
How to Get More Out of Your Oracles	164
Certifying Standard and Stratified Datalog Inference Engines in SSReflect Véronique Benzaken, Évelyne Contejean, and Stefania Dumbraya	171

XVIII Contents

Weak Call-by-Value Lambda Calculus as a Model of Computation in Coq Yannick Forster and Gert Smolka	189
Bellerophon: Tactical Theorem Proving for Hybrid Systems	207
Formalizing Basic Quaternionic Analysis	225
A Formalized General Theory of Syntax with Bindings Lorenzo Gheri and Andrei Popescu	241
Proof Certificates in PVS	262
Efficient, Verified Checking of Propositional Proofs	269
Proof Tactics for Assertions in Separation Logic	285
Categoricity Results for Second-Order ZF in Dependent Type Theory Dominik Kirst and Gert Smolka	304
Making PVS Accessible to Generic Services by Interpretation in a Universal Format	319
Formally Verified Safe Vertical Maneuvers for Non-deterministic, Accelerating Aircraft Dynamics	336
Using Abstract Stobjs in ACL2 to Compute Matrix Normal Forms Laureano Lambán, Francisco J. Martín-Mateos, Julio Rubio, and José-Luis Ruiz-Reina	354
Typing Total Recursive Functions in Coq	371
Effect Polymorphism in Higher-Order Logic (Proof Pearl)	389
Schulze Voting as Evidence Carrying Computation	410
Verified Spilling and Translation Validation with Repair Julian Rosemann, Sigurd Schneider, and Sebastian Hack	427

	Contents XIX
A Verified Generational Garbage Collector for CakeML . Adam Sandberg Ericsson, Magnus O. Myreen, and Johannes Åman Pohjola	444
A Formalisation of Consistent Consequence for Boolean Ed Myrthe van Delft, Herman Geuvers, and Tim A.C. Wille	
Homotopy Type Theory in Lean	
Verifying a Concurrent Garbage Collector Using a Rely-Guarantee Methodology	,
Formalization of the Fundamental Group in Untyped Set Tusing Auto2	•
Author Index	531