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#### Abstract

In graph realization problems one is given a degree sequence and the task is to decide whether there is a graph whose vertex degrees match to the given sequence. This realization problem is known to be polynomialtime solvable when the graph is directed or undirected. In contrary, we show NP-completeness for the problem of realizing a given sequence of pairs of positive integers (representing indegrees and outdegrees) with a directed acyclic graph, answering an open question of Berger and MüllerHannemann [FCT 2011]. Furthermore, we classify the problem as fixedparameter tractable with respect to the parameter "maximum degree".


## 1 Introduction

Berger and Müller-Hannemann [1] introduced the following problem:
DAG Realization
Input: A multiset $\mathcal{S}=\left\{\binom{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{a_{n}}{b_{n}}\right\}$ of integer pairs with $a_{i}, b_{i} \geq 0$.
Question: Is there a directed acyclic graph (without parallel arcs and selfloops) that admits a labeling of its vertex set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ such that for all $v_{i} \in V$ the indegree is $a_{i}$ and the outdegree is $b_{i}$ ?

If the degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$ is a yes-instance, then $\mathcal{S}$ is called realizable and the corresponding directed acyclic graph (dag for short) $D$ is called a realizing dag for $\mathcal{S}$. Berger and Müller-Hannemann [1] showed that this problem is polynomial-time solvable for special types of degree sequences, but left the complexity of the general problem as their main open question. We answer this question by showing that DAG Realization is NP-complete. Moreover, on the positive side we classify DAG Realization as fixed-parameter tractable with respect to the parameter maximum degree $\Delta:=\max \left\{a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b_{n}\right\}$. The corresponding algorithm actually constructs for yes-instances a realizing dag.

Related Work. It is known for a long time that deciding whether a given degree sequence (a multiset of positive integers) is realizable with an undirected
graph is polynomial-time solvable. There are characterizations for realizable degree sequences due to Erdős and Gallai [5] and algorithms by Havel [11] and Hakimi [10]. In the case, where one asks whether there is a directed graph realizing the given degree sequence (a multiset of positive integer pairs), has also been intensively studied: See Chen [3], Fulkerson [7], Gale [8], Ryser [18] for characterizations of digraph realizations and Kleitman and Wang [13] for polynomial-time algorithms. The problem of realizing degree sequences has also been studied in context of (loop-less) multigraphs, where the aim is to minimize or maximize the number of multi-edges [12].

## 2 Preliminaries

We set $\mathbb{N}:=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. We denote with $\uplus$ the multiset sum $($ e.g $\{1,1\} \uplus$ $\{1,2\}=\{1,1,1,2\})$.

A parameterized problem $(I, k)$, consisting of the problem instance $I$ and the parameter $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is fixed-parameter tractable if it can be solved in $f(k) \cdot n^{c}$ time. Thereby, $f$ is a computable function solely depending on $k$ and $c \in \mathbb{N}$ is a constant independent from $I$ and $k$. For a more detailed introduction to parameterized algorithmics and complexity we refer to the monographs [4, 6, 16].

We denote directed graphs by $D=(V, A)$ with vertex set $V$ and arc set $A \subseteq$ $V \times V$. The indegree of $v \in V$ is denoted by $d^{-}(v)$ and the outdegree by $d^{+}(v)$. Correspondingly, for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$ and an element $s \in \mathcal{S}$ with $s=\binom{a}{b}$, we set $d^{-}(s):=a$ and $d^{+}(s):=b$.

A directed graph $D=(V, A)$ is a $d a g$ if it does not contain a cycle. A cycle is a vertex sequence $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{l}$ such that for all $1 \leq i<l:\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right) \in A$ and $\left(v_{l}, v_{1}\right) \in A$. Each dag $D$ admits a topological ordering, that is, an ordering of all its vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ such that for all $\operatorname{arcs}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in A$ it holds that $i<j$. Consequently, for a realizing dag we call a corresponding topological ordering a realizing topological ordering.

We use the opposed order $\leq_{\text {opp }}$ for the elements of a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$, as introduced by Berger and Müller-Hannemann [1]:

Definition 1. $\binom{a_{1}}{b_{1}} \leq_{\text {opp }}\binom{a_{2}}{b_{2}} \Longleftrightarrow\left(a_{1} \leq a_{2} \wedge b_{1} \geq b_{2}\right)$
Note that there might be elements in the degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$ that are not comparable with respect to the opposed order. However, we can always assume that a realization does not collide with the opposed order and thus DAG REALIZATION is polynomial-time solvable in case of all elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are comparable.

Lemma 1 ([1, Corollary 3]). Let $\mathcal{S}=\left\{\binom{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{a_{n}}{b_{n}}\right\}$ be a realizable degree sequence. Then, there exists a realizing topological ordering $\phi$ such that for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ with $s_{i}=\binom{a_{i}}{b_{i}} \leq_{\text {opp }}\binom{a_{j}}{b_{j}}=s_{j}$ and $s_{i} \neq s_{j}$, it holds that in $\phi$ the position of the vertex that corresponds to $s_{i}$ is smaller than the position of the vertex that corresponds to $s_{j}$.

Our paper is organized as follows: The next section contains the proof of the NP-hardness and in Section 4 we show that DAG Realization is fixedparameter tractable with respect to the parameter maximum degree $\Delta$.

## 3 NP-Completeness

In this section we show the NP-hardness of DAG Realization by giving a polynomial-time many-to-one reduction from the strongly NP-hard problem 3-Partition [9]:

## 3-Partition

Input: A sequence $\mathcal{A}=a_{1}, \ldots, a_{3 m}$ of $3 m$ positive integers and an integer $B$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{3 m} a_{i}=m B$ and $\forall i: B / 4<a_{i}<B / 2$.
Question: Is there a partition of the $3 m$ integers from $\mathcal{A}$ into $m$ disjoint triples such that in every triple the three elements add up to $B$ ?

This section is organized as follows: First we describe the construction of our reduction and explain the idea of how it works. Then, we prove the correctness in the remainder of the section.

Construction. Given an instance $(\mathcal{A}, B)$ of 3-Partition, we construct an equivalent instance $\mathcal{S}$ of DAG Realization as follows:

$$
\mathcal{S}:=X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{3 m}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}=\binom{a_{i}}{a_{i}}, 1 \leq i \leq 3 m$. The $X_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq m$, are subsequences which we formally define after giving the idea of the construction. We call an element from a subsequence $X_{i}$ an $x$-element and the $\alpha_{j}$ are called $a$-elements. In a realizing dag $D$ the vertices realizing $x$-elements are called $x$-vertices and the vertices realizing $a$-elements are called $a$-vertices.

The intuition of the construction is that a dag $D$ realizing $\mathcal{S}$ (if it exists) looks as follows: The vertices realizing elements of a subsequence $X_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq$ $m$, form a "block" in a realizing topological ordering $\phi$. These blocks are a skeletal structure in any realizing topological ordering. There are $m$ "gaps" between these blocks of $x$-vertices. The construction ensures that these gaps are filled with $a$-vertices and, moreover, the indegree and outdegree of all the $a$-vertices in a gap sum up to $B$. Hence, these $m$ gaps require to partition the $a$ vertices into $m$ sets where each them has in total in- and outdegree $B$ and, thus, correspond to a solution for the 3-Partition instance where we reduce from. In the reverse direction, for each triple in a solution of a 3-Partition instance the corresponding $a$-vertices will be used to fill up one gap. See Figure 1 for an example of the construction.

To achieve the mentioned skeletal structure of the subsequences $X_{0}, \ldots, X_{m}$, we require the corresponding $x$-vertices to form a complete dag: A dag with $n$ vertices and $\binom{n}{2}$ arcs that realizes the degree sequence $\left\{\binom{0}{n-1},\binom{1}{n-2}, \ldots,\binom{n-1}{0}\right\}$. Observe that there is only one dag realizing such a sequence and, furthermore, such a complete dag admits only one topological ordering.

Now, we complete the reduction by defining the subsequences $X_{0}, \ldots, X_{m}$. As indicated in Figure 1, $X_{0}$ and $X_{m}$ contain $B$ elements and the other subsequences contain $2 B$ elements. The subsequence $X_{0}$ consists of the elements $x_{0}^{0}$, $x_{0}^{1}, \ldots, x_{0}^{B-1}$. This subsequence corresponds to the $x$-vertices $v_{0}^{0}, \ldots, v_{0}^{B-1}$ forming the first block in a realizing dag for $\mathcal{S}$. Remember that the $x$-vertices are supposed to form a complete dag. To achieve this, $v_{0}^{j}$ has $(B-1-j)$ outgoing arcs to $v_{0}^{j+1}, \ldots, v_{0}^{B-1}$ and $(m-1) 2 B+B=(2 m-1) B$ outgoing arcs to the $x$-vertices in the subsequent blocks. Furthermore, $v_{0}^{j}$ has $j$ ingoing arcs


Figure 1: A schematic representation of a dag that realizes a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$ that is constructed from a 3 -Partition instance with $B=12$ and $m=4$. There are five blocks marked by the gray ellipses and four gaps between them. In each gap there are three $a$-vertices, altogether having in- and outdegree $B$. The sets $X_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, are partitioned into two parts of size $B$. The vertices in the left part have $B$ ingoing arcs from the $a$-vertices that fill the gap between $X_{i-1}$ and $X_{i}$. Correspondingly, the vertices in the right part have $B$ outgoing arcs to the $a$-vertices that fill the gap between $X_{i}$ and $X_{i+1}$. Consequently, the first and the last block $X_{0}$ and $X_{4}$ are of size $B$. The in- and outdegree of the $a$-vertices in each triple sum up to $B$.
from the $x$-vertices $v_{0}^{0}, \ldots, v_{0}^{j-1}$. Finally, each $x$-vertex in $v_{0}^{0}, \ldots, v_{0}^{B-1}$ has one outgoing arc to one of the three subsequent $a$-vertices. Hence, the corresponding $x$-element of $v_{0}^{j}$ is as follows:

$$
x_{0}^{j}:=\binom{j}{(B-1-j)+(2 m-1) B+1}=\binom{j}{2 m B-j} .
$$

Analogously, the subsequence $X_{m}$ consists of $B$ elements $x_{m}^{0}, x_{m}^{1}, \ldots, x_{m}^{B-1}$ defined as follows:

$$
x_{m}^{j}:=\binom{(2 m-1) B+j+1}{B-1-j}
$$

For $0<i<m$, the subsequence $X_{i}$ consists of $2 B$ elements $x_{i}^{0}, x_{i}^{1}, \ldots, x_{i}^{2 B-1}$. Let $v_{i}^{0}, \ldots, v_{i}^{2 B-1}$ denote the corresponding $x$-vertices. Then, $v_{i}^{j}$ has $(i-1) 2 B+$ $B=(2 i-1) B$ ingoing arcs from the $x$-vertices in the preceding blocks and $j$ ingoing arcs from $v_{i}^{0}, \ldots, v_{i}^{j-1}$. Furthermore, $v_{i}^{j}$ has $(m-i-1) 2 B+B=$ $(2 m-2 i-1) B$ outgoing arcs to the subsequent blocks and $2 B-1-j$ outgoing arcs to $v_{i}^{j+1}, \ldots, v_{i}^{B-1}$. Finally, if $j<B$, then $v_{i}^{j}$ has an ingoing arc from one of the three preceding $a$-vertices. Otherwise, if $j \geq B$, then $v_{i}^{j}$ has an outgoing arc to one of the three subsequent $a$-vertices. Hence, the corresponding $x$-element of $v_{i}^{j}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
x_{i}^{j}:=\binom{(2 i-1) B+j+1}{(2 m-2 i+1) B-1-j} & \text { if } j<B, \\
x_{i}^{j}:=\binom{(2 i-1) B+j}{(2 m-2 i+1) B-j} & \text { if } j \geq B .
\end{array}
$$

Observe that the strong NP-hardness of 3-Partition is essential to prove the polynomial running time of the reduction: The size of the constructed DAG Realization instance is upper-bounded by a polynomial in the values of the integers in $\mathcal{A}$. Since 3-Partition is strongly NP-hard, it remains NP-hard when the values of the integers in $\mathcal{A}$ are bounded by a polynomial in the input size. Hence, the size of the DAG Realization instance is polynomially bounded in the size of the 3-Partition instance. Clearly, the construction can be computed in polynomial time.

Correctness. In the following, we prove the correctness of the construction given above. Therefore, throughout this subsection let $(\mathcal{A}, B)$ be an instance of 3-Partition and let $\mathcal{S}$ be the corresponding degree sequence formed by the construction above.

Lemma 2. If $(\mathcal{A}, B)$ is a yes-instance of 3-Partition, then $\mathcal{S}$ is a yes-instance of DAG Realization.

Proof. We prove that if 3-Partition is a yes-instance, then there exists a realizing dag for $\mathcal{S}$ as described above and pictured in Figure 1.

Let $\pi$ be a permutation of the sequence $\mathcal{A}$ such that $a_{\pi(3 i+1)}+a_{\pi(3 i+2)}+$ $a_{\pi(3 i+3)}=B$ for all $0 \leq i<m$. Since $(\mathcal{A}, B)$ is a yes-instance of 3-Partition such a permutation exists. We now construct a realizing dag $D=(V, A)$. The degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$ and, hence, a realizing dag $D$ consists of $|V|=B+(m-$ 1) $2 B+B+3 m=2 m B+3 m$ vertices. We group $V$ into $2 m+1$ disjoint vertex sets $V=V_{0}^{b} \cup V_{1}^{b} \cup \ldots \cup V_{m}^{b} \cup V_{1}^{t} \cup \ldots \cup V_{m}^{t}$ with $V_{i}^{b}=\left\{v_{i}^{0}, v_{i}^{1}, \ldots, v_{i}^{2 B-1}\right\}$ for all $1 \leq$ $i<m$ and $V_{j}^{t}=\left\{u_{\pi(3 j+1)}, u_{\pi(3 j+2)}, u_{\pi(3 j+3)}\right\}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. The first set is $V_{0}^{b}=\left\{v_{0}^{0}, v_{0}^{1}, \ldots, v_{0}^{B-1}\right\}$ and $V_{m}^{b}$ contains the last $B$ vertices $v_{m}^{0}, \ldots, v_{m}^{B-1}$.

Each vertex $v_{i}^{j}$ realizes the $x$-element $x_{i}^{j}$. Each vertex $u_{i}$ realizes the $a$ element $\alpha_{i}$. The vertex sets $V_{i}^{b}$ form the blocks denoted by the ellipses in Figure 1. The vertex sets $V_{j}^{t}$ correspond to the triples of $a$-vertices filling the gaps between the blocks. By construction the indegrees and also the outdegrees of the vertices in each $V_{j}^{t}$ add up to $B$.

We now describe how the vertices are connected with arcs: The $x$-vertex $v_{i}^{j}$ has an outgoing arc to every vertex of $V_{\ell}^{b}, \ell>i$, and an outgoing arc to all the "following" vertices in his block, that is, the $x$-vertices $v_{i}^{\ell}$ with $\ell>j$. If $0 \leq j \leq$ $B-1$ and $0<i \leq m$, then $v_{i}^{j}$ has one ingoing arc from one of the $a$-vertices of $V_{i-1}^{b}$. If $B \leq j \leq 2 B-1$ and $0<i<m$ or $0 \leq j \leq B-1$ and $i=0$, then $v_{i}^{j}$ has one outgoing arc to one of the $a$-vertices of $V_{i}^{\bar{b}}$. Since the sum of the indegrees and the sum of the outdegrees in each vertex set $V_{j}^{t}$ adds up to $B$, the arcs between $a$-vertices and $x$-vertices can be set such that each $a$-vertex $u_{i}$ has $a_{i}$ ingoing and outgoing arcs. This completes the description of $D$. Clearly, $D$ is a dag. Hence, it remains to show that $D$ realizes $\mathcal{S}$.

The indegree of $v_{i}^{j}, 1 \leq i<m$, is as follows: $v_{i}^{j}$ has ingoing arcs from the $2 B(i-1)+B=(2 i-1) B$ vertices realizing the elements in $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-1}$, from the $j$ vertices $v_{i}^{0}, \ldots, v_{i}^{j-1}$, and from one $a$-vertex in $V_{i-1}$ if $0 \leq j<B$. Altogether, this gives an indegree of $(2 i-1) B+j+1$ if $0<j<B$ or $(2 i-1) B+j$ if $B \leq j<2 B$.

The outdegree of $v_{i}^{j}, 1 \leq i<m$, is as follows: $v_{i}^{j}$ has outgoing arcs to the $2 B(m-i-1)+B=(2 m-2 i-1) B$ vertices realizing the elements in $X_{i+1}, X_{i+2}, \ldots, X_{m}$, to the $2 B-1-j$ vertices $v_{i}^{j+1}, \ldots, v_{i}^{2 B-1}$, and to one
$a$-vertex in $V_{i}^{t}$ if $2 B>j \geq B$. Altogether this gives an outdegree of $(2 m-$ $2 i+1) B-j-1$ if $0 \leq j<B$ or $(2 m-2 i+1) B-j$ if $B \leq j<2 B$. Hence the $x$-vertex $v_{i}^{j}$ fulfills the degree constraints of the $x$-element $x_{i}^{j}$ (special cases of $i \in\{0, m\}$ follow analogously)

Each $x$-vertex of $\left\{v_{i+1}^{0}, \ldots, v_{i+1}^{B-1}\right\}$ has one ingoing arc from one of the $a$ vertices $u_{\pi(3 i+1)}, u_{\pi(3 i+2)}, u_{\pi(3 i+3)}$ of $V_{i}^{t}$. Hence, the total number of outgoing arcs of $u_{\pi(3 i+1)}, u_{\pi(3 i+2)}$, and $u_{\pi(3 i+3)}$ is $B$. Each $x$-vertex of $\left\{v_{i}^{B}, \ldots, v_{i}^{2 B-1}\right\}$ has one outgoing arc to one of the $a$-vertices $u_{\pi(3 i+1)}, u_{\pi(3 i+2)}, u_{\pi(3 i+3)}$ of $V_{i}^{t}$. Hence, the total number of ingoing arcs of $u_{\pi(3 i+1)}, u_{\pi(3 i+2)}$ and $u_{\pi(3 i+3)}$ is $B$. Since $a_{\pi(3 i+1)}+a_{\pi(3 i+2)}+a_{\pi(3 i+3)}=B$, the $a$-vertices $u_{\pi(3 i+1)}, u_{\pi(3 i+2)}$, and $u_{\pi(3 i+3)}$ fulfill the degree constraints of $\alpha_{\pi(3 i+1)}, \alpha_{\pi(3 i+2)}$, and $\alpha_{\pi(3 i+3)}$.

Overall, each $a$-vertex $u_{i}$ has indegree and outdegree equal to $a_{i}$ and each vertex $v_{i}^{j}$ fulfills the degree constraints of $x_{i}^{j}$.

To show the reverse direction, we first need some observations.
Observation 1. In any dag $D$ realizing $\mathcal{S}$, the a-vertices form an independent set and the $x$-vertices form a complete dag.

Proof. The number $d^{-}(X)$ of ingoing arcs to all $x$-vertices is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{-}(X)= & \sum_{j=0}^{B-1} d^{-}\left(x_{0}^{j}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{B-1} d^{-}\left(x_{i}^{j}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=B}^{2 B-1} d^{-}\left(x_{i}^{j}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{B-1} d^{-}\left(x_{m}^{i}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{B-1} j+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{B-1}((2 i-1) B+j+1) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=B}^{2 B-1}((2 i-1) B+j)+\sum_{j=0}^{B-1}((2 m-1) B+j+1) \\
= & 2 m^{2} B^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $d^{-}(X)$ is equal to the number $d^{+}(X)$ of outgoing arcs from all $x$ vertices. The number of $a$-vertices is $3 m$ and the number of $x$-vertices is $2 m B$. Hence, the number $\xi$ of arcs connecting two $x$-vertices is at most:

$$
\xi=\frac{1}{2} 2 m B(2 m B-1)=2 m^{2} B^{2}-m B .
$$

As a consequence, there are at least $d^{-}(X)-\xi=m B$ arcs going from an $a$ vertex to an $x$-vertex. Since $m B=\sum_{i=1}^{3 m} a_{i}$ is the number of outgoing arcs from the $a$-vertices, all outgoing arcs from $a$-vertices go to $x$-vertices. Thus, in any realizing dag $D$ the $a$-vertices form an independent set and the number of arcs that connect two $x$-vertices is exactly $\xi$. Hence, the $x$-vertices form a clique in the underlying undirected graph.

The next observation shows that for a realizable degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$ there exists a realization $D$ with a topological ordering of the vertices such that the $x$-vertices are ordered as follows:

$$
x_{0}^{0}, x_{0}^{1}, \ldots, x_{0}^{B-1}, x_{1}^{0}, \ldots, x_{1}^{2 B-1}, x_{2}^{0}, \ldots, x_{2}^{2 B-1}, x_{3}^{0}, \ldots, x_{m-1}^{2 B-1}, x_{m}^{0}, \ldots, x_{m}^{B-1}
$$

Observation 2. If $\mathcal{S}$ is realizable, then there exists a realizing topological ordering $\phi$ such that in $\phi$ for all $i<j$ the vertex realizing $x_{\ell}^{i}$ is ahead of the vertex realizing $x_{\ell}^{j}$ and for all $0 \leq h<k \leq m$ the vertices realizing elements of $X_{h}$ are ahead of the vertices realizing elements of $X_{k}$.
Proof. We first show that for all $i<j$ the vertex realizing $x_{\ell}^{i}$ is ahead of the vertex realizing $x_{\ell}^{j}$ : Let $i$ and $j$ be two integers with $i<j$. By Lemma 1 it suffices to show that $x_{\ell}^{i} \leq_{\text {opp }} x_{\ell}^{j}$, for $0 \leq \ell \leq m$. That is, it suffices to show $d^{-}\left(x_{\ell}^{i}\right)-d^{-}\left(x_{\ell}^{j}\right) \leq 0$ and $d^{+}\left(x_{\ell}^{i}\right)-d^{+}\left(x_{\ell}^{j}\right) \geq 0$, where $d^{-}\left(x_{\ell}^{i}\right)\left(d^{+}\left(x_{\ell}^{i}\right)\right)$ is the indegree (outdegree) of the $x$-vertex realizing $x_{\ell}^{i}$. This is shown in the following case distinction:
Case $\ell=0(0 \leq i<j \leq B-1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{-}\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)-d^{-}\left(x_{0}^{j}\right) & =i-j<0 \\
d^{+}\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)-d^{+}\left(x_{0}^{j}\right) & =2 m B-i-2 m B+j \\
& =j-i>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $0<\ell<m(0 \leq i<j \leq 2 B-1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{-}\left(x_{\ell}^{i}\right)-d^{-}\left(x_{\ell}^{j}\right) & \leq(2 \ell-1) B+i+1-((2 \ell-1) B+j) \\
& =i+1-j \leq 0 \\
d^{+}\left(x_{\ell}^{i}\right)-d^{+}\left(x_{\ell}^{j}\right) & \geq(2 m-2 \ell+1)-i-1-((2 m-2 \ell+1)-j) \\
& =j-1-i \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $\ell=m(0 \leq i<j \leq B-1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{-}\left(x_{m}^{i}\right)-d^{-}\left(x_{m}^{j}\right) & =(2 m-1) B+i+1-((2 m-1) B+j+1) \\
& =i-j<0 \\
d^{+}\left(x_{m}^{i}\right)-d^{+}\left(x_{m}^{j}\right) & =B-1-i-(B-1-j) \\
& =j-i>0
\end{aligned}
$$

We now show the second part: for all $0 \leq h<k \leq m$ it holds that in $\phi$ the vertices realizing elements of $X_{h}$ are ahead of the vertices realizing elements of $X_{k}$. By Lemma 1 and transitivity of $\leq_{\text {opp }}$ it remains to show that (1) $x_{0}^{B-1} \leq_{\text {opp }} x_{1}^{0}$ and (2) $x_{\ell}^{2 B-1} \leq_{\text {opp }} x_{\ell+1}^{0}$ for all $0<\ell<m$ :
(1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{-}\left(x_{0}^{B-1}\right)-d^{-}\left(x_{1}^{0}\right) & =B-1-((2-1) B+1) \\
& =-2<0 \\
d^{+}\left(x_{0}^{B-1}\right)-d^{+}\left(x_{1}^{0}\right) & =2 m B-(B-1)-((2 m-2+1) B-1) \\
& =2>0
\end{aligned}
$$

(2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{-}\left(x_{\ell}^{2 B-1}\right)-d^{-}\left(x_{\ell+1}^{0}\right)= & (2 \ell-1) B+2 B-1-((2(\ell+1)-1) B+1) \\
= & -2<0 \\
d^{+}\left(x_{\ell}^{2 B-1}\right)-d^{+}\left(x_{\ell+1}^{0}\right)= & (2 m-2 \ell+1) B-2 B+1 \\
& -((2 m-2(\ell+1)+1) B-1) \\
= & 2>0
\end{aligned}
$$

With Observation 1 and 2 we can prove the next lemma, which completes the proof of the correctness of our reduction.

Lemma 3. If $\mathcal{S}$ is a yes-instance of DAG Realization, then $(\mathcal{A}, B)$ is a yes-instance of 3-Partition.
Proof. Let $D=(V, A)$ be the realization of $\mathcal{S}$ with a topological ordering $\phi$. Let $v_{i}^{j}$ be the $x$-vertex realizing $x_{i}^{j}$ and let $u_{i}$ be the $a$-vertex realizing $a_{i}$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}(v)$ denotes the position of $v$ in the topological ordering $\phi$. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is a yes-instance, we can assume by Observation 2 that $\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}\left(v_{i}^{j}\right)<\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}\left(v_{i}^{\ell}\right)$ for $j<\ell$ and that $\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}\left(v_{i}^{j}\right)<\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}\left(v_{k}^{\ell}\right)$ for $i<k$.

From Observation 1 it follows that none of the $x$-vertices $v_{0}^{0}, v_{0}^{1}, \ldots, v_{0}^{B-1}$ has an ingoing arc from an $a$-vertex, but each has one outgoing arc to an $a$-vertex. Hence, we can assume that $\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}\left(u_{i}\right)>\Phi\left(v_{0}^{B-1}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq$ $3 m$. Observe that each $x$-vertex $v_{1}^{0}, v_{1}^{1}, \ldots, v_{1}^{B-1}$ has one ingoing arc from an $a$-vertex and no outgoing arc to an $a$-vertex. Hence, we can assume that there are $a$-vertices $u_{i_{1}}, u_{i_{2}}, \ldots, u_{i_{\ell}}$ with $\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}\left(v_{0}^{B-1}\right)<\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}\left(u_{i_{j}}\right)<\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}\left(v_{1}^{0}\right)$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_{i_{j}}=B$. Since $B / 4<a_{j}<B / 2$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 3 m$, it follows that $\ell=3$.

The vertices $v_{1}^{B}, \ldots, v_{1}^{2 B-1}$ also have no ingoing arc from an $a$-vertex but each of these vertices has an outgoing arc to an $a$-vertex. Also, each of the vertices $v_{2}^{0}, \ldots, v_{2}^{B-1}$ needs one ingoing arc from an $a$-vertex. So, again, we can assume that in the topological ordering $\phi$ of $D$ there are three $a$-vertices between $v_{1}^{2 B-1}$ and $v_{2}^{0}$ such that their indegrees and also their outdegrees sum up to $B$. Analogously, it follows for all $1 \leq i<m$ that there are three $a$ vertices $u_{j_{1}^{i}}, u_{j_{2}^{i}}, u_{j_{3}^{i}}$ with $\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}\left(v_{i}^{2 B-1}\right)<\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}\left(u_{j_{1}^{i}}\right)<\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}\left(u_{j_{2}^{i}}\right)<\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}\left(u_{j_{3}^{i}}\right)<$ $\operatorname{pos}_{\phi}\left(v_{i+1}^{0}\right)$ and $\sum_{\ell=1}^{3} a_{j_{\ell}^{i}}=B$. Hence, $(\mathcal{A}, B)$ is a yes-instance of 3-Partition.

Our construction together with Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 yields the NP-hardness of DAG Realization. Containment in NP is easy to see: Guessing an $n$-vertex dag and checking whether or not it is a realization for $\mathcal{S}$ is clearly doable in polynomial time. Hence, we arrive at the following theorem.
Theorem 1. DAG Realization is NP-complete.
Berger and Müller-Hannemann [1] gave an polynomial-time algorithm for DAG Realization if the degree sequence can be ordered with respect to the opposed order. Hence, one may search for other polynomial-time solvable special cases. One way to identify such special cases is to have a closer look on NP-hardness proofs and to check whether certain "quantities" need to be unbounded in order to make the proof (many-to-one reduction) work [14, 17]. In our NP-hardness proof the maximum degree $\Delta$ is unbounded. We show in the next section that DAG Realization is polynomial-time solvable for constant maximum degree. Indeed, we can even show fixed-parameter tractability with respect to the parameter $\Delta$.

## 4 Fixed-Parameter Tractability

Denoting the maximum degree in a degree sequence by $\Delta$, in this section we show that DAG Realization is fixed-parameter tractable with respect to the


Figure 2: A realizing topological ordering for the example degree sequence $\mathcal{S}=$ $\left\{\binom{0}{1},\binom{0}{1},\binom{0}{2},\binom{2}{2},\binom{2}{2},\binom{1}{2},\binom{2}{3},\binom{3}{2},\binom{2}{1},\binom{3}{2},\binom{2}{0},\binom{1}{0}\right\}$. The highlighted potentials are as follows: $p_{3}=(3,1)^{T}, p_{7}=(4,1,1)^{T}$, and $p_{8}=(3,2)^{T}$.
parameter $\Delta$. To describe the basic idea that our fixed-parameter algorithm is based on, we need the following definition.

Definition 2. Let $\phi=v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a topological ordering for a dag D. For all $1 \leq i \leq n$, the potential at position $i$ is a vector $p_{i}^{\phi} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta}$ where $p_{i}^{\phi}[l]$ for $1 \leq l \leq \Delta$ is the number of vertices in the subsequence $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i}$ that have in $D$ at least l neighbors in the subsequence $v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{n}$. The value of the potential $p_{i}^{\phi}$ is $\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right):=\sum_{l=1}^{\Delta} p_{i}^{\phi}[l]$.

See Figure 2 for an example of the definition. If the topological ordering $\phi$ is clear from the context, then we write $p$ instead of $p^{\phi}$. Observe that, for any potential $p_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta}$, it holds that $p_{i}[j] \geq p_{i}[j+1]$ for all $1 \leq j<\Delta$. We denote with $0^{\Delta}$ the potential of value zero.

Algorithm Outline. Our algorithm consists of two parts. First, if the degree sequence of a DAG Realization instance admits a dag realization where at any position the value of the potential is at least $\Delta^{2}$, then we will find such a "highpotential" realization with the algorithm that is described in Subsection 4.2. Otherwise, by exploiting the fact that the value of all potentials is upperbounded, we will find a "low potential" realization with the algorithm described in Subsection 4.3.

### 4.1 General Terms and Observations

In this section we introduce some general notations and observations that will be used in the algorithms to find high potential as well as low potential realizations.

Notation: For a topological ordering $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ and two indices $1 \leq i \leq j \leq$ $n$, set $\phi[i, j]:=v_{i}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{j}$. The set $\left\{v_{i}, \ldots, v_{j}\right\}$ is also denoted by $\phi[i, j]$.

Definition 3. Let $\mathcal{S}=\left\{\binom{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{a_{n}}{b_{n}}\right\}$ be a degree sequence. Two tuples $\binom{a_{i}}{b_{i}}$ and $\binom{a_{j}}{b_{j}}$ are of the same type if $a_{i}=a_{j}$ and $b_{i}=b_{j}$. Furthermore, a type $\binom{a_{i}}{b_{i}}$ is a good type if $a_{i} \leq b_{i}$ and otherwise it is a bad type.

Note that there are at most $(\Delta+1)^{2}$ different types.

Well-connected dags. Berger and Müller-Hannemann [2] already observed that, given a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}=\left\{\binom{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{a_{n}}{b_{n}}\right\}$, one can check in polynomial time whether $\mathcal{S}$ is realizable by a dag with a corresponding topological ordering $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ where $d^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)=a_{i}$ and $d^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)=b_{i}$. This implies that it is sufficient to compute the correct ordering of the elements in $\mathcal{S}$ as they appear in a topological ordering of a realizing dag. To prove this, the main observation is that for any topological ordering one can construct at least one corresponding dag by wellconnecting consecutive vertices.

Definition 4. Let $D$ be a dag with a corresponding topological ordering $\phi=$ $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$. The remaining outdegree at position $j$ of vertex $v_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, is the number of $v_{i}$ 's neighbors in the subsequence $\phi[j, n]$. Furthermore, $\bar{D}$ is well-connected if for all vertices $v_{i} \in \phi$ it holds that $v_{i}$ is connected to the $d^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)$ vertices in $\phi[1, i-1]$ that have the highest remaining outdegree at position $i-1$.

As a consequence of Definition 4 we show that in a well-connected dag the potential at position $i$ can be easily determined from that at position $i-1$.

Lemma 4. Let $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a topological ordering. Then, there is a wellconnected dag $D$ such that $\phi$ is also a topological ordering for $D$. Furthermore, for all $1<i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq \Delta$ it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{i}[j] & = \begin{cases}p_{i-1}[j] & \text { if } j<\Delta \wedge p_{i-1}[j+1] \geq d^{-}\left(v_{i}\right), \\
p_{i-1}[j]-\left(d^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)-p_{i-1}[j+1]\right) & \text { if } j<\Delta \wedge p_{i-1}[j] \geq d^{-}\left(v_{i}\right), \\
p_{i-1}[j+1] & \text { if } j<\Delta \wedge p_{i-1}[j]<d^{-}\left(v_{i}\right), \\
\max \left\{0, p_{i-1}[j]-d^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)\right\} & \text { if } j=\Delta .\end{cases} \\
& + \begin{cases}1 & d^{+}\left(v_{i}\right) \geq j, \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider a topological ordering $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ for a $\operatorname{dag} D=(V, A)$ and suppose that the vertex $v_{i}$ is not well-connected in $D$. The vertex $v_{i}$ needs $d^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)$ ingoing arcs from the vertices in $\phi[1, i-1]$ and has $d^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)$ outgoing arcs to the vertices in $\phi[i+1, n]$. Since $v_{i}$ is not well-connected, there exist two vertices $v_{h}, v_{l} \in \phi[1, i-1]$ such that $v_{l}$ has lower remaining outdegree at position $i-1$ than $v_{h}$ and $\left(v_{l}, v_{i}\right) \in A$ and $\left(v_{h}, v_{i}\right) \notin A$. Moreover, since $v_{h}$ has higher remaining outdegree at position $i-1$ than $v_{l}$ and $\left(v_{h}, v_{i}\right) \notin A$, there exists a vertex $u \in \phi[i+1, n]$ such that $\left(v_{l}, u\right) \notin A$ and $\left(v_{h}, u\right) \in A$. Thus, $D^{\prime}=\left(V, A^{\prime}\right)$ with $A^{\prime}:=\left(A \backslash\left\{\left(v_{l}, v_{i}\right),\left(v_{h}, u\right)\right\}\right) \cup\left\{\left(v_{h}, v_{i}\right),\left(v_{l}, u\right)\right\}$ is also a dag such that $\phi$ corresponds to $D^{\prime}$. By iteratively performing this operation we obtain a dag in which all vertices are well-connected.

Now, consider the well-connected dag $D$ with corresponding topological ordering $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$. Then, the potential $p_{i}$ computes from $p_{i-1}$ as follows: The $d^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)$ ingoing arcs to $v_{i}$ decrease the outdegree of the $d^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)$ vertices with highest remaining outdegree at position $i-1$ by one. Additionally, the vertex $v_{i}$ has outdegree $d^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)$ and, thus, all $p_{i}[j]$ with $d^{+}\left(v_{i}\right) \geq j$ are increased by one.

Cut-out subsequences. The following lemma shows that if in a topological ordering $\phi[1, n]$ there are two indices $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ with equal potential, then we
can cut out $\phi[i+1, j]$ resulting in a topological ordering $\phi[1, i][j+1, n]$. We later show that we can reinsert $\phi[i+1, j]$ at any position that fulfills some reasonable conditions. This is the main operation that we perform in order to "restructure" a topological ordering such that we can exploit the resulting regular structure in our algorithms.

Lemma 5. Let $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a realizing topological ordering for the degree sequence $\mathcal{S}=\left\{\binom{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{a_{n}}{b_{n}}\right\}$. If there are two indices $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ such that $p_{i}^{\phi}=p_{j}^{\phi}$, then the sequence $\phi^{\prime}=\phi[1, i] \phi[j+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering for the degree sequence that results from $\mathcal{S}$ by deleting the degrees of the vertices in $\phi[i+1, j]$. Moreover, the potential $p_{i+l}^{\phi^{\prime}}$ is equal to $p_{j+l}^{\phi}$ for all $1 \leq l \leq n-j$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}=\binom{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{a_{n}}{a_{n}}$ be a degree sequence and let $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ be two indices such that in a realizing topological ordering $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ it holds that $p_{i}^{\phi}=p_{j}^{\phi}$. Denoting by $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ the degree sequence that results from $\mathcal{S}$ by deleting all the degrees of the vertices in $\phi[i+1, j]$, we show that $\phi^{\prime}:=\phi[1, i] \phi[j+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$.

Let $V^{1-i}$ be all vertices in $\phi[1, i]$ that have at least one neighbor in $\phi[i+1, n]$ and, correspondingly, let $V^{1-j}$ be the vertices in $\phi[1, j]$ that have at least one neighbor in $\phi[j+1, n]$. By the definition of a potential, for all $1 \leq l \leq \Delta$, the number of vertices in $\phi[1, i]$ that have exactly $l$ neighbors in $\phi[i+1, n]$ is equal to the number of vertices in $\phi[1, j]$ that have exactly $l$ neighbors in $\phi[j+1, n]$. Thus, there is a bijection $f: V^{1-j} \rightarrow V^{1-i}$ such that for all $v \in V^{1-j}$ it holds that vertex $f(v)$ has the same number of neighbors in $\phi[i+1, n]$ as $v$ in $\phi[j+1, n]$. Thus, deleting in the dag that corresponds to $\phi$ the vertices in $\phi[i+1, j]$ and exchanging every arc from a vertex $v \in \phi[1, j]$ to a vertex $u \in \phi[j+1, n]$ by $(f(v), u)$ results in a dag that is a realization for $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. Note that the vertex at position $i+1$ in $\phi^{\prime}$ is the same as the vertex at position $j+1$ in $\phi$. Since $f$ is a bijection it is clear that the potential at position $i+l$ in $\phi^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq l \leq n-j$ is equal to the potential at position $j+l$ in $\phi$.

Lemma 5 shows that from a topological ordering $\phi$ we can cut out a subsequence $\phi[i+1, j]$ whenever $p_{i}^{\phi}=p_{j}^{\phi}$. Informally speaking, we shall show that the subsequence $\phi[i+1, j]$ can be inserted into any topological ordering $\phi^{\prime}$ at position $b$ whenever there is "enough potential" from the left part $\phi^{\prime}[1, b]$ to satisfy the indegrees of $\phi[i, j]$. Then, the structure of $\phi[i, j]$ guarantees that the "remaining potential" of $\phi^{\prime}[1, b] \phi[i+1, j]$ is sufficient to satisfy the indegree of $\phi^{\prime}[b+1, n]$ and thus $\phi^{\prime}[1, b] \phi[i+1, j] \phi^{\prime}[b+1, n]$ is a topological ordering. We need the following definition to formalize the conditions that $\phi[i+1, j]$ has to fulfill.
Definition 5. Let $\mathcal{S}=\left\{\binom{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{a_{n}}{b_{n}}\right\}$ be a degree sequence and let $p^{s}, p^{t} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta}$ be two vectors. Furthermore, let $P^{s}$ be a degree sequence with maximum degree $\Delta$ consisting of $p^{s}[1]$ elements such that for each $1 \leq l \leq \Delta$ there are exactly $p^{s}[l]$ elements $\binom{0}{b}$ where $b \geq l$. Correspondingly, let $P^{t}$ be a degree sequence consisting of $\omega\left(p^{t}\right)$ entries, all of the form $\binom{1}{0}$. Let $\phi$ be a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S} \uplus P^{s} \uplus P^{t}$ where the vertices whose degrees correspond to $P^{s}\left(P^{t}\right)$ are the first (last) vertices. If the potential at position $p^{s}[1]+n$ is exactly $p^{t}$, then $\phi\left[p^{s}[1]+1, p^{s}[1]+n\right]$ is a partial realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S}$ with input potential $p^{s}$ and output potential $p^{t}$.


Figure 3: A realizing high potential topological ordering that corresponds to the pattern $I \circ G \circ B \circ E$. Thereby, $I$ is a subsequence of length at most $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$ such that the first high potential occurs at position $i$. Correspondingly, $j$ is the last position with high potential and $E$ is a sequence of length at most $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$. The sequence $G$ (resp., $B$ ) only consists of good (bad) type vertices but is of arbitrary length. All high potential realizations can be reordered to fit into this pattern.

Note that in Definition 5 for the realizing topological ordering $\phi$ for $\mathcal{S} \uplus P^{s} \uplus$ $P^{t}$ it holds that the potential at position $p^{s}[1]$ is $p^{s}$, and by definition at position $p^{s}[1]+n$ it is $p^{t}$. Furthermore, for a realizing topological ordering $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$, for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ it holds that $\phi[i+1, j]$ is a partial realizing topological ordering with input potential $p_{i}$ and output potential $p_{j}$.

### 4.2 High Potential Sequences

In order to show that DAG Realization is fixed-parameter tractable with respect to the parameter maximum degree $\Delta$, in this subsection we show that if a realizable sequence admits a realizing topological ordering where at some position the value of the potential is at least $\Delta^{2}$, a so-called high potential realizing topological ordering, then there is also a realizing topological ordering $\phi$ that is of the following "pattern" (see Figure 3 for an illustration): The ordering $\phi$ can be partitioned into four sub-sequences $I \circ G \circ B \circ E$ (where $\circ$ is the concatenation). The sequence $I$ is an initializing sequence that "establishes" a potential of value at least $\Delta^{2}$, a so-called high potential. Correspondingly, at the end there is a sequence $E$ that reduces the value of the potential from a value that is greater than $\Delta^{2}$ to zero. Furthermore, $I$ and $E$ are of length at most $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$ and thus can be guessed in $\left.O\left((\Delta+1)^{2}\right)^{\Delta^{2 \Delta}}\right)=O\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta^{2 \Delta}}\right)$ time. The subsequence $G$, which is of arbitrary length, only consists of good types and, correspondingly, $B$ is of arbitrary length but only consists of bad types in arbitrary order.

Our strategy to prove that there is a high potential realizing topological ordering with the pattern $I \circ G \circ B \circ E$ is as follows. Let $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be an arbitrary high potential realizing topological ordering and let $i$ be the minimum position with high potential and, symmetrically, let $j$ be the maximum position with high potential. In the first part of this subsection (see 1), we show that we can assume that $i \leq \Delta^{2 \Delta}$ and $j \geq n-\Delta^{2 \Delta}$. Towards this the main argument is that if $i>\Delta^{2 \Delta}$, since there are $O\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta}\right)$ potentials with value less than $\Delta^{2}$,
there have to be two positions $1 \leq l_{1}<l_{2}<i$ with $p_{l_{1}}=p_{l_{2}}$. Then, by Lemma 5 , we can cut out $\phi\left[l_{1}+1, l_{2}\right]$ from $\phi$ and we will show (see Lemma 8) that we can reinsert it right behind $i$, resulting in a realizing topological ordering $\phi\left[1, l_{1}\right] \phi\left[l_{2}+1, i\right] \phi\left[l_{1}+1, l_{2}\right] \phi[i+1, n]$. By iteratively applying this operation, we end up with a realizing topological ordering where the minimum position with high potential is at most $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$. A symmetric argument holds for the maximum position $j$ with high potential.

In the second part we show that we can arbitrarily sort the vertices in $\phi[i+$ $1, j$ ] under the constraint that at first vertices of good type occur in any order, and then they are followed by the bad type vertices (see 2). Altogether, this shows that in order to check whether there is a high potential realizing topological ordering it is sufficient to branch into all possibilities to choose $I$ and $E$, insert the remaining vertices sorted by good and bad types between $I$ and $E$, and, finally, check whether this ordering is a topological ordering.

We first prove that if we have two partial realizing topological orderings $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ where $\phi_{1}$ has input potential $0^{\Delta}$ and $\phi_{2}$ has output potential $0^{\Delta}$ and the output potential of $\phi_{1}$ is at "least as good" as the input potential of $\phi_{2}$, then we can merge them to a realizing topological ordering $\phi_{1} \phi_{2}$ while preserving the indegree and outdegree of all vertices. Before proving that, we define a partial order for potentials.
Definition 6. For $p, p^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta}, p \geq p^{\prime}$ if $\forall 1 \leq j \leq \Delta: \sum_{i=1}^{j} p[i] \geq \sum_{i=1}^{j} p^{\prime}[i]$.
The intuition of Definition 6 is that a potential $p$ is at least as good as a potential $p^{\prime}$ if subsequent vertices that can be connected with potential $p^{\prime}$ can also be connected with potential $p$. To gurantee that there are enough vertices of degree at least $i$, it either has to hold that $p[i] \geq p^{\prime}[i]$ or there is a sufficiently large "overhang" of vertices with degree less than $i$ that are not necessary to gurantee the existence of vertices with degree less than $i$. Formally, $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} p[j]-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} p^{\prime}[j] \geq p^{\prime}[i]-p[i]$.
Lemma 6. Let $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a realizing topological ordering for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$, and let $1 \leq i \leq n$ be an arbitrary position. For any partial realizing topological ordering $\phi^{\prime}$ for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ with input potential $0^{\Delta}$ and output potential $p \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta}$ with $\omega(p)=\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right)$ and $p \geq p_{i}^{\phi}$, the sequence $\phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S}^{\prime} \uplus\left\{\binom{d^{-}\left(v_{i+1}\right)}{d^{+}\left(v_{i+1}\right)}, \ldots,\binom{d^{-}\left(v_{n}\right)}{d^{+}\left(v_{n}\right)}\right\}$.
Proof. Let $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a realizing topological ordering for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$, and let $1 \leq i \leq n$ be an arbitrary position. Furthermore, let $\phi^{\prime}$ be a partial realizing topological ordering for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ with input potential $0^{\Delta}$ and output potential $p \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta}$ with $\omega(p)=\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right)$ and $p \geq p_{i}^{\phi}$ (see Definition 6). By Definition 5, there are two degree sequences $P^{s}$ and $P^{t}$ such that there is a realizing topological ordering $\phi_{s, t}^{\prime}$ for $P^{s} \uplus P^{t} \uplus \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ where the vertices that correspond to $P^{s}\left(P^{t}\right)$ are the first (last) vertices.

We show that the sequence $\phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S}^{\prime} \uplus\left\{\binom{d^{-}\left(v_{i+1}\right)}{d^{+}\left(v_{i+1}\right)}, \ldots,\binom{d^{-}\left(v_{n}\right)}{d^{+}\left(v_{n}\right)}\right\}$. Therefore, we construct a dag $D$ that corresponds to $\phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, n]$ and thus is a realization. We first copy all arcs between two vertices in $\phi[i+1, n]$ that are present in the dag for $\phi$ and, correspondingly, all arcs between two vertices in $\phi^{\prime}$ that are present in the dag for $\phi_{s, t}^{\prime}$. Now, the potential in $\phi^{\prime}$ at position $\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|$ is $p$. By the condition of Lemma 6, it holds that $p \geq p_{i}^{\phi}$ and $\omega(p)=\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right)$.

Now, we show how to connect the $n-i$ vertices in $\phi[i+1, n]$ to their ancestors in $\phi^{\prime}$. Specifically, for $1 \leq r \leq n-i$, let $v_{r}$ be the $r^{\text {th }}$ vertex in $\phi[i+1, n]$. We show how to connect the vertex $v_{r}$ to its ancestors such that the potential at position $\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|+r$ in $\phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, n]$, denoted by $p^{r}$, is greater than $p_{i+r}^{\phi}$. To this end, we use induction, meaning that we assume that the potential of $p^{r-1}$ is greater than $p_{i-1+r}^{\phi}$. (Clearly, at the beginning for $r=1$, the direct ancestor of $v_{r}$ is the last vertex of $\phi^{\prime}$, and thus we set $p^{0}=p$, implying that $p^{0}=p \geq p_{i}^{\phi}$.) First, since $p^{r-1}[1] \geq p_{i-1+r}^{\phi}[1]$ it follows that we can well-connect $v_{r}$ to its ancestors. Note that for all $j, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$, it holds that $\omega\left(p^{j}\right)=\omega\left(p_{i+j}^{\phi}\right)$. We next prove that for the resulting potential $p^{r}$ it holds that $p^{r} \geq p_{i+r}^{\phi}$. This completes our argumentation.

To this end, denoting by $c \in N^{\Delta}$ the vector that has ones in the first $d^{+}\left(v_{r}\right)$ rows and the remaining entries are zero, by the definition of potentials it is clear that $p^{r} \geq p_{i+r}^{\phi} \Leftrightarrow p^{r}-c \geq p_{i+r}^{\phi}-c$. For the sake of readability we substitute as follows $f=p^{r-1}, f^{-}=p^{r}-c$, and $e=p_{i+r-1}^{\phi}, e^{-}=p_{i+r}^{\phi}-c$ and we shall show that $f^{-} \geq e^{-}$. Note that from $\omega(f)=\omega(e)$ it follows that $\omega(f)-d^{-}\left(v_{r}\right)=\omega\left(f^{-}\right)=\omega\left(e^{-}\right)$. Towards a contradiction assume that there is a position $1 \leq l<\Delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{l} e^{-}[j]-f^{-}[j]>0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \geq e$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{l} f[j]-f^{-}[j]>\sum_{j=1}^{l} e[j]-e^{-}[j] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from this together with $\omega\left(f^{-}\right)=\omega\left(e^{-}\right)$we can infer that

$$
\sum_{j=l+1}^{\Delta} f[j]-f^{-}[j]<\sum_{j=l+1}^{\Delta} e[j]-e^{-}[j] .
$$

By Lemma 4 it follows that

$$
\sum_{j=l+1}^{\Delta} f[j]-f^{-}[j]=f[l+1] \text { and } \sum_{j=l+1}^{\Delta} e[j]-e^{-}[j] \leq e[l+1]
$$

From that and since $\omega(f)=\omega(e)=\omega\left(f^{-}\right)+d^{-}\left(v_{r}\right)=\omega\left(e^{-}\right)+d^{-}\left(v_{r}\right)$, for Inequality (2) it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} f[j]-f^{-}[j]\right)-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} e[j]-e^{-}[j]\right)= \\
& \left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} f[j]-e[j]\right)+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} e^{-}[j]-f^{-}[j]\right) \leq e[l+1]-f[l+1] \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since from $f \geq e$ it follows that $\sum_{j=1}^{l} f[j]-e[j] \geq e[l+1]-f[l+1]$ and this implies together with Inequality (3) that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{l} e^{-}[j]-f^{-}[j] \leq 0
$$

causing a contradiction to Inequality (1).
Lemma 6 shows that we can "merge" two partial realizing topological orderings $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ to $\phi_{1} \phi_{2}$, if for the output potential $p_{o}^{\phi_{1}}$ of $\phi_{1}$ and the input potential $p_{i}^{\phi_{2}}$ it holds that $p_{o}^{\phi_{1}} \geq p_{i}^{\phi_{2}}$ and $\omega\left(p_{0}^{\phi_{1}}\right)=\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi_{2}}\right)$. The next lemma shows that the condition $p_{o}^{\phi_{1}} \geq p_{i}^{\phi_{2}}$ is not necessary in case of high potentials, that is, $\omega\left(p_{0}^{\phi_{1}}\right)=\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi_{2}}\right) \geq \Delta^{2}$. Before that, we need the following observation showing that for a fixed value there is a potential that is less than all others.

Observation 3. For a fixed positive integer $x$ let $p \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta}$ be the potential with

$$
p[j]= \begin{cases}\left\lceil\frac{x}{\Delta}\right\rceil, & \text { if } j \leq x \text { modulo } \Delta \\ \left\lfloor\frac{x}{\Delta}\right\rfloor, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq \Delta$. Then, for all potentials $p^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta}$ with $\omega\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\omega(p)$ it holds that $p^{\prime} \geq p$.

Proof. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta}$ be the potential as defined in Observation 3 and let $p^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta}$ be a potential with $\omega\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\omega(p)$. Clearly, by definition it holds that $\omega(p)=$ $x$. Towards a contradiction assume that $p^{\prime}<p$. Hence, there is a position $1 \leq j \leq \Delta$ with $\sum_{l=1}^{j} p[l]>\sum_{l=1}^{j} p^{\prime}[l]$. From this it follows that there is a position $1 \leq t \leq j$ such that $p[t]>p^{\prime}[t]$ and since $p[t] \leq\lceil x / \Delta\rceil$ it follows that $p^{\prime}[t] \leq\lfloor x / \Delta\rfloor$. Recall that, by definition, for any potential it holds that $p\left[l_{1}\right] \geq p\left[l_{2}\right]$ for all $1 \leq l_{1} \leq l_{2} \leq \Delta$. Thus, from $p^{\prime}[t] \leq\lfloor x / \Delta\rfloor$ it follows that $\sum_{l=j+1}^{\Delta} p^{\prime}[l] \leq(\Delta-j)\lfloor x / \Delta\rfloor \leq \sum_{l=j+1}^{\Delta} p[l]$. Together with $\sum_{l=1}^{j} p[l]>\sum_{l=1}^{j} p^{\prime}[l]$ this implies a contradiction to $\omega(p)=\omega\left(p^{\prime}\right)$.

Lemma 7. Let $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a realizing topological ordering for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$ and let $\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right) \geq \Delta^{2}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, for any partial realizing topological ordering $\phi^{\prime}$ for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ with input potential $0^{\Delta}$ and output potential $p$ with $\omega(p)=\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right)$, the sequence $\phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S}^{\prime} \uplus\left\{\binom{d^{-}\left(v_{i+1}\right)}{d^{+}\left(v_{i+1}\right)}, \ldots,\binom{d^{-}\left(v_{n}\right)}{d^{+}\left(v_{n}\right)}\right\}$.

Proof. Let $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a realizing topological ordering for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$ and let $1 \leq i \leq n$ be a position with $\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right) \geq \Delta^{2}$. Furthermore, let $\phi^{\prime}$ be a partial realizing topological ordering with input potential $0^{\Delta}$ and output potential $p$ with $\omega(p)=\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right)=x$. We shall show that $\phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S}^{\prime} \uplus\left\{\binom{d^{-}\left(v_{i+1}\right)}{d^{+}\left(v_{i+1}\right)}, \ldots,\binom{d^{-}\left(v_{n}\right)}{d^{+}\left(v_{n}\right)}\right\}$. We prove Lemma 7 in case of

$$
p[j]= \begin{cases}\left\lceil\frac{x}{\Delta}\right\rceil, & \text { if } j \leq x \text { modulo } \Delta \\ \left\lfloor\frac{x}{\Delta}\right\rfloor, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq \Delta$. Then, Observation 3 and Lemma 6 imply its correctness in the general case.

In the following, we describe how to construct a dag that corresponds to $\phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, n]$. We first add all arcs between two vertices in $\phi[1, i]$ that are present in a dag for $\phi$. Correspondingly, we add all arcs between two vertices that are present in a dag for $\phi^{\prime}$. Observe that it now only remains to add the arcs from a vertex in $\phi^{\prime}$ to $\phi[i+1, n]$. For a more convenient construction of these arcs, assume that there are no arcs between two vertices in $\phi[i+1, n]$. (Clearly, because in the following we only add arcs having one endpoint in $\phi^{\prime}$ and the other in $\phi[i+1, n]$, arcs between two vertices in $\phi[i+1, n]$ can be removed and, correspondingly, the degrees in $\mathcal{S}$ can be adjusted. Afterwards, these arcs can be reinserted.)

We next prove that it is possible to stepwise well-connect the vertices $v_{i+r}$ for $r=1$ to $n-i$ to the vertices in $\phi^{\prime}$. By the assumption that there is no arc between vertices in $\phi[i+1, n]$, it holds that $\sum_{v \in \phi[i+1, n]} d^{-}(v)=\omega(p)=\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right)$. More specifically, denoting the potential at position $\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|+r$ in $\phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, n]$ by $p^{r}$, it is clear that $\omega\left(p_{i+r}^{\phi}\right)=\omega\left(p^{r}\right)$. Now, towards a contradiction, assume that for some $1 \leq r \leq n-i$ it is not possible to well-connect the vertex $v_{i+r}$ to $\phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, i+r-1]$. Clearly, since we cannot connect $v_{i+r}$, it holds that $p^{r-1}[1]<d^{-}\left(v_{i+r}\right) \leq p_{i+r-1}^{\phi}[1]$.

For $0 \leq j \leq r-1$ and for a vertex $v \in \phi^{\prime}$ consider the remaining outdegree $d_{j}^{+}(v)$, that is, the outdegree of $v$ minus the number arcs from $v$ to any vertex in $\phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, i+j]$. Since we always choose the vertices with highest remaining outdegree from $\phi^{\prime}$ to connect a vertex in $\phi[i+1, n]$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{1,\left|d_{j}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right)-d_{j}^{+}\left(v_{2}\right)\right|\right\} \geq\left|d_{j+1}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right)-d_{j+1}^{+}\left(v_{2}\right)\right| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0 \leq j<r-1$ and $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \phi^{\prime}$. Moreover, recall that, since $x \geq \Delta^{2}, p[\Delta]=$ $\lfloor x / \Delta\rfloor \geq \Delta$ and, thus, there are at least $\Delta$ vertices $L \subseteq \phi^{\prime}$ with $d_{0}^{+}(v)=\lfloor x / \Delta\rfloor$. Because of Inequality (4) it follows that $\forall v_{1}, v_{2} \in L:\left|d_{r-1}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right)-d_{r-1}^{+}\left(v_{2}\right)\right| \leq 1$. Moreover, since $p^{r-1}[1]<d^{-}\left(v_{i+r}\right) \leq \Delta$, there is a vertex $v \in L$ such that $d_{r-1}^{+}(v)=0$ and thus $d_{r-1}^{+}(w) \leq 1$ for all $w \in L$.

It remains to consider the vertex (by definition there can be at most one) $u \in \phi^{\prime} \backslash L$. Because the remaining outdegree can only decrease by one in each step and $d_{r-1}^{+}(v)=0$, there is a position $1 \leq l \leq r-1$ with $d_{l}^{+}(v)=d_{l}^{+}(u)$ and, thus, by Inequality (4) $d_{r-1}^{+}(u) \leq 1$. Thus, $d_{r-1}^{+}(v) \leq 1$ for all $v \in \phi^{\prime}$. Hence, $\omega\left(p^{r-1}\right)=p^{r-1}[1]$ and $\omega\left(p^{r-1}=\omega\left(p_{i+r-1}^{\phi}\right)\right.$ imply a contradiction to $p^{r-1}[1]<d^{-}\left(v_{i+r}\right) \leq p_{i+r-1}^{\phi}[1]$.

While Lemma 5 shows that we can cut out a partial realizing topological ordering with equal input and output potential, the following lemma shows that we can reinsert it right behind a high potential in any realizing topological ordering.

Lemma 8. Let $\phi$ be a realizing topological ordering for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$. Furthermore, let $\phi^{\prime}$ be a partial realizing topological ordering with equal input and output potential $p$ for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. Then, for any position $1 \leq i \leq|\phi|$ with $\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right) \geq \Delta^{2}$ and $\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right) \geq \omega(p)$ it holds that $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S} \uplus \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$.

Proof. For a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$ let $\phi$ be a realizing topological ordering and let $\phi^{\prime}$ be a partial realizing topological ordering with input and output potential $p$
for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. Furthermore, let $1 \leq i \leq|\phi|$ be a position with $\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right) \geq \Delta^{2}$ and $\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right) \geq \omega(p)$. We prove that $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S} \uplus \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$.

We first show that $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}$ is a partial realizing topological ordering with input potential $0^{\Delta}$ and output potential $p$ where $\omega(p)=\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right)$. Then, from Lemma 7 it follows that $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1,|\phi|]$ is a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S} \uplus \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$.

By Definition 5 there are two degree sequences $P^{s}$ and $P^{t}$ such that there is a realizing topological ordering $\phi_{s, t}=\phi^{s} \phi^{\prime} \phi^{t}$ for $P^{s} \uplus P^{t} \uplus \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ such that $\phi^{s}$ contains the vertices that match to $P^{s}$ and $\phi^{t}$ that match to $P^{t}$. Now, in case of $\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right)>\omega(p)$ we extend $P^{s}$ by $\omega\left(p_{i}\right)-\omega(p)$ elements of type $\binom{1}{0}$ and $P^{t}$ by the same number of $\binom{0}{1}$ type elements. This shows that $\phi^{\prime}$ is a partial realizing topological ordering with input potential $0^{\Delta}$ and output potential $p$ with $\omega(p)=\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right)$.

From this together with Lemma 7 it follows that $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime} \phi^{t}$ is a realizing topological ordering. Since, by our assumption $\phi^{\prime}$ is a partial realizing topological ordering with input and output potential $p$ it follows that $\sum_{v \in \phi^{\prime}} d^{-}(v)=$ $\sum_{v \in \phi^{\prime}} d^{+}(v)$. From this, since the potential at position $i$ in $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime} \phi^{t}$ is $p_{i}^{\phi}$ it follows that $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}$ is a partial realizing topological ordering with input potential $0^{\Delta}$ and output potential $p^{\prime}$ with $\omega\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\omega\left(p_{i}^{\phi}\right)$. Thus, by Lemma 7 it follows that $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering.

With Lemma 8 we are able to bound the minimum and maximum position where a high potential occurs.

Proposition 1. If a DAG Realization instance admits a high-potential realization, then there is also a high potential realizing topological ordering such that the minimum position with high potential is at most $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$ and the maximum position with high potential is at least $n-\Delta^{2 \Delta}$.

Proof. Let $\phi$ be a high-potential realizing topological ordering and let $1 \leq i \leq n$ be the minimum position where $\omega\left(p_{i}\right) \geq \Delta^{2}$. Consider the case where $i>\Delta^{2 \Delta}$. Thus, for all $1 \leq l<i$ it holds that $\omega\left(p_{l}\right)<\Delta^{2}$. However, there are less than $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$ potentials with value less than $\Delta^{2}$ and, thus, there are two indices $1 \leq l_{1}<l_{2}<i$ with $p_{l_{1}}=p_{l_{2}}$. By Lemma 5 , the sequence $\phi\left[1, l_{1}\right] \phi\left[l_{2}+1, n\right]$ is a realizing topological ordering where the potential at position $i-\left(l_{2}-l_{1}\right)$ is $p_{i}$. Moreover, by definition $\phi\left[l_{1}+1, l_{2}\right]$ is a partial realizing topological ordering with input and output potential $p_{l_{1}}$ where $\omega\left(p_{l_{1}}\right)<\omega\left(p_{i}\right)$. Thus, by Lemma 8 it holds that $\phi\left[1, l_{1}\right] \phi\left[l_{2}+1, i\right] \phi\left[l_{1}+1, l_{2}\right] \phi[i+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering. Moreover, in this realizing topological ordering, since $\sum_{v \in \phi\left[l_{1}+1, l_{2}\right]} d^{-}(v)-d^{+}(v)=0$, the minimum position with high potential is $i-\left(l_{2}-l_{1}\right)$. Applying the same operation iteratively as long as there are two positions with equal potential before the first high-potential results in a realizing topological ordering where the minimum position with high potential is at most $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$.

Basically, the same argumentation can be applied for the maximum position $j$ where a high potential occurs. In case of $j<n-\Delta^{2 \Delta}$, there have to be two indices $j<l_{1}<l_{2} \leq n$ where $p_{l_{1}}=p_{l_{2}}$. Then, by Lemma 5 the sequence $\phi\left[1, l_{1}\right] \phi\left[l_{2}+1, n\right]$ is a realizing topological ordering and $\phi\left[l_{1}+1, l_{2}\right]$ is a partial realizing topological ordering with input and output potential $p_{l_{1}}$ with $\omega\left(l_{1}\right)<$ $\omega\left(p_{j}\right)$. Thus, by Lemma 8 the sequence $\phi[1, j] \phi\left[l_{1}+1, l_{2}\right] \phi\left[j+1, l_{1}\right] \phi\left[l_{2}+1, n\right]$ is a
realizing topological ordering where the maximum position with high potential is $j+\left(l_{2}-l_{1}\right)$. Again, by applying this operation iteratively we get a sequence where the maximum position with high potential is at least $n-\Delta^{2 \Delta}$.

Having shown that we can assume that for the minimum position $i$ and the maximum position $j$ with high potential it holds that $i \leq \Delta^{2 \Delta}$ and $j \geq n-\Delta^{2 \Delta}$ we next prove that one can sort all vertices between $i$ and $j$ arbitrarily by good and bad types.

Proposition 2. Let $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a high potential realizing topological ordering for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$ and let $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ be two arbitrary indices such that $\omega\left(p_{i}\right) \geq \Delta^{2}$ and $\omega\left(p_{j}\right) \geq \Delta^{2}$. Furthermore, let $\phi^{\prime}[i+1, j]$ be a permutation of the vertices in $\phi[i+1, j]$ such that there is a position $0 \leq l \leq j-i$ with the property that the first $l$ vertices in $\phi^{\prime}[i+1, j]$ are of good type and all subsequent vertices are of bad type. Then, the sequence $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}[i+1, j] \phi[j+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Assume that there is a high potential realizing topological ordering for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$ with two indices $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ such that $\omega\left(p_{i}\right) \geq \Delta^{2}$ and $\omega\left(p_{j}\right) \geq \Delta^{2}$. We prove that $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}[i+1, j] \phi[j+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S}$ for any reordering $\phi^{\prime}[i+1, j]$ of the vertices in $\phi[i+1, j]$ where first the vertices of good types are consecutive in any ordering and then are followed by the bad type vertices.

To this end, by induction on $l$ with $1 \leq l \leq j-i$ we show that the sequence $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}[i+1, i+l]$ is a partial realizing topological ordering with input potential $0^{\Delta}$ and output potential $p^{l}$ with $\omega\left(p^{l}\right) \geq \Delta^{2}$. First, we well-connect the vertex $\phi^{\prime}[i+l, i+l]$ to the partial realizing topological ordering $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}[i+1, i+l-1]$ to get $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}[i+1, i+l]$. This is always possible since the output potential $p^{l-1}$ of $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}[i+1, i+l-1]$ is a high potential. It only remains to show that the value of the output potential $p^{l}$ of $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}[i+1, i+l]$ is at least $\Delta^{2}$. Towards a contradiction suppose that it is not. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \in \phi^{\prime}[i+1, i+l]} d^{-}(v)-d^{+}(v)>\omega\left(p_{i}\right)-\Delta^{2} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the vertex $\phi^{\prime}[i+l, i+l]$ has to be a bad type, otherwise Equation 5 cannot be true. However, it holds that

$$
\omega\left(p_{i}\right)-\sum_{v \in \phi[i+1, j]}\left(d^{-}(v)-d^{+}(v)\right)=\omega\left(p_{j}\right) \geq \Delta^{2}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \in \phi[i+1, j]} d^{-}(v)-d^{+}(v) \leq \omega\left(p_{i}\right)-\Delta^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi^{\prime}[i+1, j]$ is sorted by good and bad types and $\phi^{\prime}[i+l, i+l]$ is of bad type, all vertices in $\phi^{\prime}[i+l, j]$ are bad type vertices. Thus, Equation 6 yields a contradiction to Equation 5.

1 and 2 lead to the central contribution of this section.
Theorem 2. If a DAG REALIZATION instance admits a high potential realizing topological ordering, then it can be solved in $O\left(\Delta^{4 \Delta^{2 \Delta}} \cdot n\right)$ time.


Figure 4: Realization for the sequence $\binom{0}{2},\binom{0}{4},\binom{2}{1},\binom{3}{4},\binom{2}{1},\binom{3}{4},\binom{2}{1},\binom{3}{4}, \ldots,\binom{2}{1},\binom{3}{4}$, $\binom{2}{0},\binom{2}{0},\binom{1}{0},\binom{1}{0}$. Since this sequence basically consists of only two different types (not regarding types with indegree or outdegree equal to zero), it is easy to check that the pictured low potential realization (the highest occurring value of a potential is six) is the only existing one. The main part of the realizing topological ordering consists of a repetition of $\binom{2}{1},\binom{3}{4}$.

Proof. If an instance of DAG Realization admits a high potential realizing topological ordering, then by 1 there is also a high potential realizing topological ordering in which the occurrence of the first high potential is at most at position $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$ and the last occurrence of a high potential is at least at position $n-\Delta^{2 \Delta}$. Recall that there are at most $(\Delta+1)^{2}$ types of elements in the given degree sequence, and thus by exhaustive search we can find these two subsequences in time $O\left(\Delta^{4 \Delta^{2 \Delta}} \cdot n\right)$. 2 shows that the remaining degrees can be arbitrarily inserted between them, as long as they are sorted by good and bad types.

### 4.3 Low Potential Sequences

In this section, we will provide an algorithm that finds a low potential realization (if it exists) for a DAG Realization instance. That is, a realization such that in the corresponding topological ordering the value of all potentials is strictly less than $\Delta^{2}$. See Figure 4 for an example of such a realization.

The crucial point to give an algorithm which solves such instances is that, besides some "special gaps" which can be handled afterwards, the length of a corresponding realizing topological ordering can be upper bounded by a function $f$ only depending on the maximal degree $\Delta$. Then, the algorithm, basically, consists of branching into all realizing topological orderings of length of at most $f(\Delta)$ and, then, filling up the "special gaps" afterwards.

In the following we describe how to upper-bound the length. To this end, we introduce some notation.

Definition 7. In a topological ordering $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ and for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, $\phi[i, j]$ is a super-type $s_{i, j}$ of potential $p \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta}$ if $p_{i-1}^{\phi}=p_{j}^{\phi}=p$ and all potentials from position $i$ till $j-1$ are different from $p$.

Note that, by the definition of super-types, cutting out any super-type from the topological ordering results, by Lemma 5, again in a topological ordering. We use this fact later in order to reorder topological orderings.

Definition 8. A $k$-repetition of a super-type $s$ in a topological ordering $\phi$ is a subsequence $\psi$ of $\phi$ with $\psi=s^{k}$, that is, $k$ subsequent occurrences of $s$. If $k$ is maximal under this condition, then it is called a maximal $k$-repetition.

Since in the low potential case the values of the occurring potentials in any realizing topological ordering $\phi$ is upper-bounded by $\Delta^{2}$, it follows that the number of different occurring potentials is upper-bounded by $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$. Hence, there are potentials that occur multiple times in $\phi$.

In Figure 4 an example for a realizable degree sequence is given where the only existing realizing topological ordering consists basically of one big $k$-repetition of the super-type $\binom{2}{1},\binom{3}{4}$ of potential $(2,2,1,1)^{T}$. To solve such instances, the algorithm works in two steps. First, it guesses a so-called nonrepeating ordering, that is a realizing topological ordering where all maximal $k$ repetitions are replaced by one occurrence of the corresponding super-type. As one can see in Figure 4, in this example the non-repeating ordering is very short: $\binom{0}{2},\binom{0}{4},\binom{2}{1},\binom{3}{4},\binom{2}{0},\binom{2}{0},\binom{1}{0},\binom{1}{0}$, where $\binom{2}{1},\binom{3}{4}$ is the repeating super-type in a realizing topological ordering. Indeed, for any degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$ admitting a low potential realization there exists a "short" non-repeating ordering (see Lemma 11). Then, the non-repeating ordering can be computed by exhaustive search and, afterwards, the algorithm computes, based on an ILP (integer linear program) formulation, the missing $k$-repetitions (see Lemma 12). Next, we formalize the idea of non-repeating orderings.

Definition 9. Let $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a realizing topological ordering for a DAG Realization instance $\mathcal{S}$. The ordering $\phi^{\prime}$, that results from $\phi$ by replacing each maximal $k$-repetition, $2 \leq k \leq n$, by a 1 -repetition of the corresponding super-type is called non-repeating ordering.

Given a non-repeating ordering $\phi^{\prime}$ and a DAG Realization instance $\mathcal{S}$, we say a topological ordering $\phi$ respects $\phi^{\prime}$ if $\phi^{\prime}$ results from replacing all maximal $k$-repetitions by 1 -repetitions for each super-type in $\phi$.

In order to prove that the length of non-repeating orderings can be bounded in a function solely depending on $\Delta$, we need a "reordering operation" for topological orderings, similar to the high potential case. Cutting out any supertype from the topological ordering results, by the definition of super-types and Lemma 5, in a topological ordering. In the high potential case we have reinserted the cut out parts right behind a high potential. Since in the low potential case there exists no high potential we need to show another way to insert the parts that we cut out. Therefore, the next lemma shows that a partial realizing topological ordering with input and output potential $p$ can be reinserted in a realizing topological ordering at any position $i$ with potential $p$.

Lemma 9. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a degree sequence with a realizing topological ordering $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$. Furthermore, for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ let $\phi^{\prime}$ be a partial realizing topological ordering with input and output potential $p$. Then, for all indices $1 \leq i \leq n$ where $p_{i}^{\phi}=p$, the ordering $\phi^{\prime \prime}=\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S} \uplus \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ with $p_{j}^{\phi}=p_{j+\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|}^{\phi^{\prime \prime}}$ for all $i<j \leq n$.

Proof. Let $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a realizing topological ordering for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}$ and let $\phi^{\prime}$ be a partial realizing topological ordering for a degree sequence $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ with input and output potential $p \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta}$. By Definition 5 there
are degree sequences $P^{s}$ and $P^{t}$ such that there is a realizing topological ordering $\phi_{s, t}^{\prime}$ for $\mathcal{S}^{\prime} \uplus P^{s} \uplus P^{t}$. Furthermore, in $\phi_{s, t}^{\prime}$ the first (last) vertices correspond to $P^{s}\left(P^{t}\right.$, respectively).

We show that for the order $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime} \phi[i+1, n]$ there is a dag $D$ that corresponds to it, and thus is a realization for $\mathcal{S} \uplus \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. We first copy from the dag that corresponds to $\phi$ all arcs between two vertices in $\phi[1, i]$ and all arcs between two vertices in $\phi[i+1, n]$ into $D$. Correspondingly, from the dag that corresponds to $\phi_{s, t}^{\prime}$ we copy all arcs between two vertices in $\phi^{\prime}$ into $D$. In the following we shall describe how to connect the remaining three "components" $\phi[1, i], \phi^{\prime}$, and $\phi[i+1, n]$ in $D$.

For $1 \leq l \leq \Delta$, let $V_{\phi[1, i]}^{l}$ be the vertices in $\phi[1, i]$ that have exactly $l$ neighbors in $\phi[i+1, n]$. Symmetrically, let $V_{P s}^{l}$ be the vertices in $\phi_{s, t}^{\prime}\left[1,\left|P^{s}\right|\right]$ that have exactly $l$ neighbors in $\phi_{s, t}^{\prime}\left[\left|P^{s}\right|+1,\left|\phi_{s, t}^{\prime}\right|\right]$. Clearly, since $p=p_{i}^{\phi}$, for all $1 \leq l \leq \Delta$ it follows that $\left|V_{\phi[1, i]}^{l}\right|=\left|V_{P^{s}}^{l}\right|$ and thus there is a bijection $h$ : $\left(\bigcup_{l=1}^{\Delta} V_{P s}^{l}\right) \rightarrow\left(\bigcup_{l=1}^{\Delta} V_{\phi[1, i]}^{l}\right)$ such that for $v \in V_{P s}^{l}$ it holds that $f(v) \in V_{\phi[1, i]}^{l}$. We now connect the two "components" $\phi[1, i]$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ by adding for each arc $(u, v)$ with $u \in \phi_{s, t}^{\prime}\left[1,\left|P^{s}\right|\right]$ and $v \in \phi^{\prime}$ the $\operatorname{arc}(h(u), v)$ to $D$. Since $h$ is a bijection it is clear that we have not introduced parallel arcs and the indegrees of the vertices $\phi^{\prime}$ in $D$ now matches its element entries in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$.

We now consider in $D$ the vertices in $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}$ whose outdegree is less than the outdegree in their corresponding element entry in $\mathcal{S} \uplus \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. We denote this as the outgoing gap of such a vertex. The set of vertices with outgoing gap greater than zero is a subset of the vertices in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} V_{\phi[1, i]}^{l}$ and the vertices in $\phi^{\prime}$ that have in $\phi_{s, t}^{\prime}$ neighbors in $\phi_{s, t}^{\prime}\left[\left|P^{s}\right|+\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|+1,\left|\phi_{s, t}^{\prime}\right|\right]$. We will use these vertices to connect the two remaining "components" $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}$ and $\phi[i+1, n]$. More specifically, for each $1 \leq l \leq \Delta$ let $V_{\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}}^{l}$ be the vertices in $\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}$ with outgoing gap exactly $l$. Since $h$ is a bijection and the output potential of $\phi^{\prime}$ is $p$, it follows that there is a bijection $g:\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} V_{\phi[1, i]}^{l}\right) \rightarrow\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} V_{\phi[1, i] \phi^{\prime}}^{l}\right)$. Thus, for every $\operatorname{arc}(v, u)$ with $v \in \phi[1, i]$ and $u \in \phi[i+1, n]$ we add the arc $(g(v), u)$ to $D$. Since $g$ is a bijection it follows that we have not introduced parallel arcs and the indegrees and outdegrees of all vertices correspond to their elements in $\mathcal{S} \uplus \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. Thus, the resulting dag $D$ with topological ordering $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ is a realization for $\mathcal{S} \uplus \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ and $p_{j}^{\phi}=p_{j+\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|}^{\phi^{\prime \prime}}$ for all $i<j \leq n$.

Combining the cut operation from Lemma 5 and the insert operation from Lemma 9 we arrive at the following lemma describing our "reordering operation".

Lemma 10. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a degree sequence with a realizing topological ordering $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$. Let $1 \leq i<j<k \leq n$ be three positions with $p_{i}=p_{j}=p_{k}$. Then the ordering $\phi[1, i] \phi[j+1, k] \phi[i+1, j] \phi[k+1, n]$ is a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S}$.

With Lemma 10, it is easy to see that we can reorder any topological ordering $\phi$ such that there is only one consecutive occurrence of a super-type $s$, that is, beside on maximal $k$-repetition of $s$ there are no further occurrences of $s$ in $\phi$.

Next, we show that we can bound the number and the length of super-types in a non-repeating ordering $\phi^{\prime}$ for a DAG Realization instance $\mathcal{S}$ by some
function only depending on the parameter $\Delta$. This allows to determine the non-repeating ordering by brute force in running time only depending on $\Delta$.

Lemma 11. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a realizable DAG Realization instance. If there is a low potential realization for $\mathcal{S}$, then there exists a non-repeating ordering $\phi^{\prime}$ for $\mathcal{S}$ and a realizing topological ordering $\phi$ for $\mathcal{S}$ that respects $\phi^{\prime}$ such that the length of any repeating super-type in $\phi$ is bounded by $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$ and the length of $\phi^{\prime}$ is bounded by $\Delta^{2 \Delta}\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta^{2 \Delta}+2 \Delta}+\Delta^{2 \Delta}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\phi=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a low potential realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{P}=p_{0}, \ldots, p_{n}$ be the corresponding sequence of potentials with values strictly less than $\Delta^{2}$. By definition $p_{0}=p_{n}=0^{\Delta}$. We now construct a nonrepeating ordering $\phi^{\prime}$ from $\phi$.

Let $p$ be a potential. We denote by $\operatorname{first}_{\mathcal{P}}(p)$ the position of the first occurrence of $p$ in $\mathcal{P}$. Let $p$ be the potential with $\operatorname{first}_{\mathcal{P}}(p)>\operatorname{first}_{\mathcal{P}}(q)$ for all potentials $q \neq p$, and $p$ and $q$ occur in $\mathcal{P}$. That is, $p$ is the potential that occurs at last. Let $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\ell}$ be the occurrences of $p$ in $\mathcal{P}$. We now show how to construct a non-repeating ordering with $i_{j}-i_{j-1} \leq \Delta^{2 \Delta}$ for all $1<j \leq \ell$.

Assume that there is a $j \in\{2, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that $i_{j}-i_{j-1}>\Delta^{2 \Delta}$. Since we assume in this subsection that the value of any occurring potential is lower than $\Delta^{2}$, this gives less than $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$ possible potentials. Hence, there are two positions $i_{j-1}<h_{1}<h_{2}<i_{j}$ such that $p_{h_{1}}=p_{h_{2}}=: q$. Since first $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{P}}(p)>$ $\operatorname{first}_{\mathcal{P}}(q)$ there is a position $k$ with $k<i_{1}$ with $p_{k}=q$. By Lemma $10, \phi[1, k]$. $\phi\left[h_{1}+1, h_{2}\right] \cdot \phi\left[k+1, h_{1}\right] \cdot \phi\left[h_{2}+1, n\right]$ is also a realizing topological ordering. After exhaustively applying this procedure we have a realizing topological ordering $\widetilde{\phi}$ where the occurrences of $p$ are $\widetilde{i}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{i}_{\ell}$ with $\widetilde{i}_{j}-\widetilde{i}_{j-1}<\Delta^{2 \Delta}$. By the same argument, we can assume that $n-\widetilde{i}_{\ell}<\Delta^{2 \Delta}$ since every potential occurs at most once in $\widetilde{\phi}\left[\widetilde{i}_{l}, n\right]$. Thus, there are at most $O\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta^{2 \Delta}}\right)$ many different supertypes of potential $p$. Since these super-types are of the same potential $p$, they can be reordered by using Lemma 10 such that there is at most one subsequent occurrence of each super-type of potential $p$. Thus, in a non-repeating ordering each super-type of potential $p$ occurs at most once and, hence, the part with the super-types of potential $p$ has length at most $O\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta^{2 \Delta}} \Delta^{2 \Delta}\right)=O\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta^{2 \Delta}+2 \Delta}\right)$. Hence, the length of $\widetilde{\phi}\left[\widetilde{i}_{1}, n\right]$ can be upper-bounded by $O\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta^{2 \Delta}+2 \Delta}+\Delta^{2 \Delta}\right)$.

So far, the longest repeating super-type is of potential $p$ and of length at most $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$. It remains to bound the length of $\widetilde{\phi}\left[1, \widetilde{i}_{1}-1\right]$. Note that the potential $p$ does not occur in $\widetilde{\phi}\left[1, \widetilde{i}_{1}-1\right]$. Now, we can iteratively apply this procedure to deal with the other potentials in $\widetilde{\phi}\left[1, \widetilde{i}_{1}-1\right]$. By iteratively applying the described procedure for the at most $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$ different potentials, the length of the resulting non-repeating ordering is at most $O\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta}\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta^{2 \Delta}+2 \Delta}+\Delta^{2 \Delta}\right)\right)$.

In each iteration the repeating super-types that are deleted through the non-repeating ordering notion is of the particular potential dealt with in the iteration. Hence, the length of the longest such deleted repeating super-type is at most $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$. Thus, by reverting all the deletion steps, we get a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S}$ such that the length of the longest repeating supertype is at most $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$.

Using Lemma 11, the algorithm branches in all possibilities for non-repeating orderings of length at most $O\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta}\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta^{2 \Delta}+2 \Delta}+\Delta^{2 \Delta}\right)\right)<\Delta^{3 \Delta^{2 \Delta}}$ for sufficiently
large $\Delta$. This gives at most $\Delta^{2 \Delta^{3 \Delta^{2 \Delta}}}$ cases. Lemma 4 shows that by wellconnecting the corresponding vertices one can easily check whether the nonrepeating ordering $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}$ is a realizing topological ordering for the degree sequence $\left.\left\{\begin{array}{c}d^{-}\left(v_{1}\right) \\ d^{+}\left(v_{1}\right)\end{array}\right), \ldots,\binom{d^{-}\left(v_{\ell}\right)}{d^{+}\left(v_{\ell}\right)}\right\}$. For a non-repeating ordering, the algorithm checks which of the $\left(\Delta^{2}\right)^{\Delta^{2 \Delta}}=\Delta^{2 \Delta^{2 \Delta}}$ possibly repeating super-types occur in the sequence of the particular case and stores the occurring ones in a set $\mathcal{T}^{S}$. Then, given a non-repeating ordering $\phi^{\prime}$ for a DAG Realization instance $\mathcal{S}$ and the set of $\mathcal{T}^{S}$ super-types that may repeat, the problem of computing a realizing topological ordering that respects $\phi^{\prime}$ is fixed-parameter tractable with respect to the number of super-types in $\mathcal{T}^{S}$. We shall show an ILP formulation for this problem. To this end, we formalize the problem and call it Sequence Filling.

Sequence Filling
Input: A multiset $\mathcal{S}=\left\{\binom{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{a_{n}}{b_{n}}\right\}$, a non-repeating ordering $\phi^{\prime}$ and a set of all super-types $\mathcal{T}^{S}=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell}\right\}$ of $\phi^{\prime}$ that have length at most $\Delta^{2 \Delta}$.
Question: Is there a realizing topological ordering $\phi$ for $\mathcal{S}$ that respects $\phi^{\prime}$ such that $\phi^{\prime}$ results from replacing for each $s \in \mathcal{T}^{S}$ all maximal $k$-repetitions of $s$ in $\phi$ by one occurrence of $s$ ?

Next, we show fixed-parameter tractability of Sequence Filling with respect to the parameter $\left|\mathcal{T}^{S}\right|=k$. Since the number $k$ of super-types is bounded by a function only depending on $\Delta$, this completes our algorithm for the case that an input of DAG Realization only admits a low potential realization.

Lemma 12. Sequence Filling is fixed-parameter tractable with respect to the parameter $\left|\mathcal{T}^{S}\right|=k$.
Proof. We show the fixed-parameter tractability by giving an ILP-formulation of the problem with $O(k)$ variables. Lenstra [15] proved that ILP with $p$ variables can be solved in $O\left(p^{4.5 p} \cdot L\right)$ time where $L$ is the input size.

To solve the Sequence Filling instance, we use the following ILP-formulation:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\forall 1 \leq i \leq k: & f_{i} \geq 0 \\
\forall e & \in \mathcal{S}: & \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i} \cdot o\left(e, s_{i}\right)=o(e, \mathcal{S})-o\left(e, \phi^{\prime}\right) \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

Here, the function $o(e, M)$ denotes the number of occurrences of the element $e$ in the multiset (or sequence) $M$. The ILP uses the $k$ integer variables $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ and consists of $k+|\mathcal{S}|$ equations that have together a size of $O(k \cdot|\mathcal{S}|)$. Hence, the ILP can be solved in $O\left(k^{4.5 k} \cdot k \cdot|\mathcal{S}|\right)$ time.

Now we describe how we use the solution of the ILP-formulation to create a realizing topological ordering $\phi$ as described in the problem definition of SEQUence Filling. For each super-type $s_{i} \in \mathcal{T}^{S}$ with $f_{i}>0$ insert a $f_{i}$-repetition of $s$ right after an occurrence of $s$ in $\phi^{\prime}$. By Lemma 9, the ordering that results from adding the $k$-repetitions results in a realizing topological ordering for $\phi^{\prime} \uplus \biguplus_{i, f_{i}>0} \biguplus_{j=1}^{f_{i}} s_{i}=\mathcal{S}$.

Next, we show that if the Sequence Filling-instance is a yes-instance, there exists a solution for our ILP-formulation. If there is a realizing topological
ordering $\phi$ for $\mathcal{S}$ that respects $\phi^{\prime}$, then there exists a set of super-types $T_{S}$ such that replacing all maximal $k$-repetitions of super-types $s_{i}$ in $T_{S}$ in $\phi$ by one occurrence of the corresponding super-type results in $\phi^{\prime}$. Clearly, $T_{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{S}$. Set $f_{i}=0$ for each $s_{i} \in \mathcal{T}^{S} \backslash T_{S}$ and for all $s_{i} \in T_{S}$ set $f_{i}=k-1$ where $\phi$ contains a maximal $k$-repetition of $s$. Thus, Inequality (7) is fulfilled for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Since $\phi$ is a realizing topological ordering for $\mathcal{S}$, Inequality (8) is fulfilled.

Combining Lemma 11 and Lemma 12 shows fixed-parameter tractability for the low potential case. The running time in this case is $O\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta^{3 \Delta^{2 \Delta}}}\right)$ for checking all non-repeating orderings, $O\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta^{2 \Delta}} \cdot \Delta^{2} \cdot n\right)$ for constructing the SEQUENCE Filling instance, and $O\left(\Delta^{2 \Delta^{2 \Delta}} 6 \Delta^{2 \Delta^{2 \Delta}} \cdot \Delta^{2 \Delta^{2 \Delta}} \cdot n\right)$ for solving the ILP. Altogether we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. If a degree sequence admits a low potential realizing topological ordering, then it can be found in $\Delta^{\Delta^{\Delta^{O(\Delta)}}} \cdot n$ time.

Theorems 2 and 3 together lead to the main theorem of this section.
Theorem 4. DAG Realization is fixed-parameter tractable with respect to the parameter maximum degree $\Delta$.
 It is dominated by the low potential case.

## 5 Conclusion and Open Questions

Answering an open question by Berger and Müller-Hannemann [1] we proved the NP-completeness of DAG Realization. Following the spirit of deconstructing intractability we figured out the necessity of large degrees in the NPhardness proof by showing fixed-parameter tractability for DAG Realization with respect to the maximum degree $\Delta$. The natural questions whether DAG Realization is solvable in single-exponential time and whether it admits a polynomial-size problem kernel with respect to the parameter $\Delta$ arises. In our NP-hardness reduction other parameters occur with unbounded values, for instance, the number of types. Investigating this parameter is an interesting task for future work.
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